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## PREFACE.

Triss work completes the Ancient History of the East, to which the suthor has devoted his main attention daring the lnst eighteen years. It is a sequel to his - Parchians,' published in 1873; and carries down the History of Western Asia from the third century of our ent to the middle of the seventh. So far as the preseat writer is aware, no European author has previously treated this period from the Oriental atand-point, in many work appiring to be more than a mere sketch or outline. Very many auch sketches have been pubLo: .n! : bat the have lneen manty in the extreme, and : . - arear: number of them have been based on the a A. rity of a single claw of writers. It has been the :- - : : author's aim to cumbine the various classes of A. $\cdot$ nitico which are now ace essible to the historical $\because$. $: \therefore$ and to give their due weight to earh of them. T:. Limerr of M. C. Milller, of the Able Gregoire E.tarey Garalmal, and of M. J. St. Martin have - $:$ :. 1 : $:$, wh the store of anciont Armenian literature, - to :s w. r. previously a maled volume to all but a - : .: . ....e of student. The carly Arab historims
 brep. il Julea Mohl, and othere. The coinage of the

Sassanians has been elaborately-almost exhaustivelytreated by Mordtmann and Thomas. Mr. Fergusson has applied his acute and practised powers to the elucidation of the Sassanian architecture. By combining the results thus obtained with the old sources of information-the classical, especially the Byzantine, writers-it has become possible to compose a history of the Sassanian Empire which is at once consecutive, and not absolutely meagre. How the author has performed his task, he must leave it to the public to judge; he will only venture to say that he has spared no labour, but has gone carefully through the entire series of the Byzantine writers who treat of the time, besides availing himself of the various modern works to which reference has been made above. If he has been sometimes obliged to draw conclusions from his authorities other than those drawn by Gibbon, and has deemed it right, in the interests of historic truth, to express occasionally his dissent from that writer's views, he must not be thought blind to the many and great excellencies which render the 'Decline and Fall' one of the best, if not the best, of our histories. The mistakes of a writer less eminent and less popular might have been left unnoticed without ill results. Those of an historian generally regarded as an authority from whom there is no appeal could not be so lightly treated.

The author begs to acknowledge his great obligations, especially, to the following living writers: M. Patkanian, M. Jules Mohl, Dr. Haug, Herr Spiegel,

Hert Windischmann, Hert Mordmann, Canon Tristram, Mr. James Fergusson, and Mr. E. Thomas. He is aloo largely beholden to the works of M. Texier and of MCM. Flandin and Coste for the illustrations, which he has been able to give, of Sassanian sculpture and arclitecture. The photographic illustrations of the bewly-disovered palace at Mashita are due to the Eilernality of Mr. R. C. Johnson (the amateur artist who scoumpanied Chnon Tristram in his exploration of the - Land of Moab '), who, with Canon Tristram's kind consent, has allowed them to appear in the present volume. The numimatic ilhstrations are chiefly derived from Lougperier ; bus one or two have been borrowed from orber sources. For his frontispiece the author is indebeed to his brother, Sir Henry Rawlinson, who has permitted it to be taken from an original drawing in hise posession, which is believed to be a truthful reirevetation of the great Sassanian building.
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## CONTENTS.

## CHAPTEIE I .

## C-ndithen of the Peribss under the Faccosome of Aloxender. Tider the Anacile. Fomur ahuwn them by the latter. Allowed so hase Kings of tbeir emm. Their Sulligion at Eins held in 3t moser. Power of their Primes. Armian Clunge of Policy on OH yart of the Parchion Masarches and final Oppremiose of the 

## CHAPTER 11.

Siagt :s and Sue of Premia. Oetemal Chancter of the Conatry and Climota CMaf Prodecta. Charsecterincias of the Dirxian Iteople,
 $\because=: 1 \cdot=.!$

## (HIDrlil: III.





 1:- - aser lacrifinen

## - HAMTI:I: 1 A .







War with Rome. Mesopotamia again invaded, a.d. 258. Valerian takes the Command in the East. Struggle between him and Sapor. Defeat and Capture of Valerian, A.d. 260. Sapor invests Miriades with the Purple. He takes Syria and Southern Cappadocia, but is shortly afterwards attacked by Odenathus. Successes of Odenathus. Treatment of Valerian. Further Successes of Odenathus. Period of Tranquillity. Great Works of Sapor. His Sculptures. His Dyke. His Inscriptions. His Coins. His Religion. Religious Condition of the East in his Time. Rise into Notice of Manes. His Rejection by Sapor. Sapor's Death. His Character

## CHAPTER V.

Short Reign of Hormisdas I. His Dealings with Manes. Accession of Varahran I. He puts Manes to Death. Porsecutes the Manichæans and the Christians. His Relations with Zenobia. He is threatened by Aurelian. His Death. Reign of Varahran II. His Tyrannical Conduct. His Conquest of Seistan, and War with India. His War with the Roman Emperors Carus and Diocletian. His Loss of Armenia. His Death. Short Reign of Varahran III. 101

## CHAPTER VI.

Civil War of Narses and his Brother Hormisdas. Narses victorious. He attacks and expels Tiridates. War declared against him by Diocletian. First Campaign of Galerius, A.d. 297. Second Campaign, A.d. 298. Defeat suffered by Narses. Negotiations. Conditions of Peace. Abdication and Death of Narses

## CHAPTER VII.

Reign of Hormisdas II. His Disposition. General Character of his Reign. His Taste for Building. His new Court of Justice. His Marriage with a Princess of Cabul. Story of his Son Hormisdas. Death of Hormisdas II., and Imprisonment of his Son Hormisdas. Interregnum. Crown assigned to Sapor II. before his birth. Long Reign of Sapor. First Period of his Reign, from A.d. 309 to A.D. 337. Persia plundered by the Arabs and the Turks. Victories of Sapor over the Arabs. Persecution of the Christians. Escape of Hormisdas. Feelings and Conduct of Sapor

## COSTESTS.

## CHEAPTEK VII.

Foutione of Asiis on the Death of Cumenalime. Fimt Whe of Sapor
 wol. Trowhte is Armentia, and Etemarery of Arnamia by they Theriese Bupor't Stound Eiege of Niaibia Ite Failure Great
 C.dd Mrond. Third Siegre of Nimilis Eapor ealled awoy loy ats lovinise of the Mmemter.

## CLAPTER IX.

Den ibs ef Amomta and Acomptanee by Anmeet of that Iosition of a Rumass Feodasery. Clannetes and Ievoo of Sapur's Eeatera Wime. Hio Kogetistives with Conolnatium. His Katrams Themande Cirecantaines sindor whild be determiom tor reaow the War. Mia Propanciso Jesortion as bile of Antuminus. Greas Iaveion

 Meremens of Crmenstina. If witerlo Bemblde, but fuils Carso prife of as Milt. Doech of Curantantios .

## CHAFTERE N .


 Pr: : $\because$. : ad Whor Fmbnaies. Lielntion, of Julian with 1:me:-a - $:$ :ofh of hin Army. Hin Intanion of Mesopotamia. Hi. L.er \& March. Siogn of I'rrianior : of Macmmalebn. Battle
 . $\cdot$ : :ance: Cimaphon. Hi, lietreat. His Ikeath. Metrent
 it J var. Its Cipditione lirtictions on the leace and on the
 1•••

## CIIAPTER NI.

I $\because$ - ase Armegia during 4 . War betwenn Sapor and Julian.
 if. a: aris It na, drpers Saurnmacre, and nets up a now hing.


 - i:s baria lwate of Sopor. Ilin Coing

## CIIAPTER XII.

Short Reigns of Artaxerxes II. and Sapor III. Obscurity of their History. Their Relations with Armenia. Monument of Sapor III. at Takht-i-Bostan. Coins of Artaxerxes II. and Sapor III. Reign of Varahran IV. His Signets. His Dealings with Armenia. His Death .

## Chapter Nill.

Accession of Isdigerd I. Peaceful Churacter of his Reign. His alleged Guardianship of Theodosius II. Ilis Leaning towards Christianity, and consequent Unpopularity with his Subjects. His Change of View and Persecution of the Christians. His Relations with Armenia. His Coins. His Personal Character. His Death 2Cy

## CHAPTER NIV.

Internal Troubles on the Death of Isdigerd I. Accession of Varahran V. His Persecution of the Christians. His War with Rome. Ilis Relations with Armenia from A.d. 422 to A.D. 428. His Wars with the Scythic Tribes on his Eastern Frontier. His Strange Death. His Coins. IIis Character

## CHAPTER XV.

Reign of Isdigerd II. His War with Rome. His Nine Years' War with the Ephthalites. His l'olicy towards Armenia. His Second Ephthalite War. Ilis Character. Ilis Coins

## CHAPTER XVI.

Right of Succession disputed between the two Sons of Isdigerd II., Perozes (or Firuz) and IIormisdas. Civil War for two years. Success of Perozes, through aid given him by the Ephthalites. Great Famine. Perozes declares War against the Ephthalites, and makes an Expedition into their Country. His Ill Success. Conditions of Peace granted him. Armenian Revolt and War. Perozes, after some years, resumes the Ephthalite War. His Attack fails, and he is sluin in Battle. Summary of his Character. Coins of IIormisdas III. and Perozes. Vase of Perozes . . il

## CILAPTER XVIL

 smale wist the Pphthaliens. Pacificatiou of Ammenia and Genema Pitiet of Tolmations. Ifovelt of Zarch, Sou of Perazen, and SupFerione of the Thavolt with the IIolp of the Armeninnas. Fliptit of What we the Epholblitet Furcher Cherpes in Amwenis. Vnlinu sebe Covemour. Dents of Dlalur his Churacter. Culne meribed 43)

## ORAPTER XVH.

Fime Roige of Kohat Hia Farouritm, Sufrai and Eapos. His Kheres Wiar. Rime, Trocking, and Influencen of Mardak Itis Carsa fre Minculane Puwem, Kolad adupes the Sew Rollgion, nel efterepte to impion it on the Armenians Revolt of Armenis
 INeres, nod Iopoeition of Kobed. Ererpo of Mardak. Short Bive of Zamery. Iis Cats

## CIIAPTER NIX.

Smond ithim of Kobes. His Chenge of Attitude towarla the Fof-- W.: : Mardat. His Cause of Quarrel with Rome. First Hene Wis of Kobed. I'eace made A.d. S05. Rome fortifies inane a-1 Thendcaicprlia. Complaint made by l'ersia. Nego:in: =. ! hichad with Justin. Proposed Adoption of Chosmes by :z0 a:c:e. Icternal Troubles in l'ersia. Second Moman War of in in :. 1: $:=2 t-231$. Deach of Kobed. His Character. His -

## CHAPTEH XX.

voren = (brag.- I. IAnuabirwan). Conapiracy to dethrone 2- $=$ Trabed liederal Sererity of his Goremment. He concludes


 tratea folis. Suppred lampaign in India. War with tho Eseza Kopids f l'ermarmenin Thind lluman War, a.d. 87\%-670. imact < (berex

## CHAPTER XXI.

Administration of Persia under Chosroës I. Fourfold Division of the Empire. Careful Surveillance of those entrusted with Power. Severe Punishment of Abuse of Trust. New System of Taxation introduced. Correction of Abuses connected with the Military Service. Encouragement of Agriculture and Marriage. Relief of Poverty. Care for Travellers. Encouragement of Learning. Practice of Toleration within certain Limits. Domestic Life of Chosrö̈s. His Wives. Revolt and Death of his Son, Nushizad. Coins of Chosroës. Estimate of his Character

CHAPTER XXII.
Accession of Hormisdas IV. His good Government in the Earlier Portion of his Reign. Invasion of Persia by the Romans under Maurice. Defeats of Adarman and Tam-chosro. Campaign of Johannes. Campaigns of Philippicus and Heraclius. Tyranny of Hormisdas. He is attacked by the Arabs, Khazars, and Turks. Bahram defeats the Turks. His Attack on Lazica. He suffers a Defeat. Diggrace of Bahram. Dethronement of Hormisdas IV. and Elevation of Chosroës II. Character of Hormisdas. Coins of Hormisdas .

## CHAPTER XXIII.

Accession of Chosroës II. (Eberwiz). Bahram rejects his Terms. Contest between Chosroës and Bahram. Flight of Chosroës. Short Reign of Bahram (Varahran VI.). Campaign of a.d. 591. Recovery of the Throne by Chosroës. Coins of Bahram . . 475

## CHAPTER XXIV.

Second Reign of Chosroës II. (Eberwiz). His Rule at first unpopular. His Treatment of his Uncles, Bindoës rand Bostam. His vindictive Proceedings against Bahram. His supposed Leaning towards Christianity. His Wives, Shirin and Kurdeyeh. His Early Wars. His Relations with the Emperor Maurice. His Attitude towards Phocas. Great War of Chosroës with Phocas, A.D. 603-610. War continued with Heraclius. Immense Successes of Chosroës, A.d. 611-620. Aggressive taken by Heraclius, a.d. 622. His Campaigns in Persian Territory, a.d. 622-628. Murder of Chosroës. His Character. His Coins. . . 498

## CHAPTEA XXV.

Anerin af sirvis or Fotint II. His letter to Meruelles Peece sabe with Resers Turas if the Poacs. Genenal Popelarity of she serve Itige. Miem thefuctina of Shabs-Bers. Kotin, by the stidies of Dio Tumén Londy, murlow Me Drothen His Sivten spreinch hito with their Doath. He falls into Lave Bpitits and
 serna III. Revels of Sbahomare. Deiges of Shebr-Baxs. IIr Mertar Rlaige of Purndocht. Itopil 8ccomion of Protendes. Anowhas of lolifent III

CTLAPTER KXI.
 edie A be-belo. Croquest of the Kivelocu of Hits. Conquest efilollh. lavilan of Mesopotemia. Natile of the Bridpo-the Aaly offry a. Revene Thatie of ER Blowelb-Mhme doSadat ly $D$ M Mothants. Promb Eitart ande by Pervie-Thettle dCoblic Dnofet of the Piminoa. Puaso is the Wer. March of Sidet as Ctimplian. Fighet of Tallinemt. Capsers of Cteniphes Deseile of Salule. Conquest of Senima and Invaien of
 Thlaweat Dirthe it Kelanwed. Fipht of Tadigent. Conquent ؛: prar o. I'erian I'risibcea Ledigerd murdered. Character


## rHAMTER XXVII.

A-ziactut $?$ the Semanians. Its 1 rigin. Its Peculiaritica




 fone -irecemen l'alamat serbin:an, at Firuzabad, at
 ian Iw nat: a riowhere Inch of Takht-i-llutan. San-

 -arnen lirso nd summary .

## CHAPTER XXVIII.

Religion of the later Persians, Dualism of the extremest kind. Ideas entertained with respect to Ormazd and Ahriman. Representations of them. Ormazd the special Guardian of the Kings. Lesser Deities subject to Ormazd: Mithra, Serosh, Vayu, Airyanam, Vitraha, \&c. The six Amshashpands : Bahman, Ardibehesht, Shahravar, Isfand-armat, Khordad, and Amerdat. Religion, how far Idolatrous. Worship of Anaitis. Chief Eril Spirits subject to Ahriman : Akomano, Indra, Çaurva, Naonhaitya, Taric, and Zaric. Position of Man between the two Worlds of Good and Evil. His Duties: Worship, Agriculture, Purity. Nature of the Worship. Hymns, Invocations, the Homa Ceremony, Sacrifice. Agriculture a Part of Religion. Purity required: 1, Moral; 2,Legal. Nature of each. Man's future Prospects. Position of the Magi under the Sassanians : their Organisation, Dress, \&c. The Fire-Temples and Altars. The Barsom. The Khraf̧thraghna. Magnificence of the Sassanian Court: the Throne-room, the Seraglio, the Attendants, the Ministers. Multitude of Palaces. Dress of the Monarch : 1, in Peace; 2, in War. Favourite Pastimes of the Kings. Hunting. Maintenance of Paradises. Stag and Boar Hunts. Music. Hawhing. Games. Character of the Persian Warfare under the Sassanians. Sassanian Chariots. The Elephant Corps. The Cavalry. The Archers. The ordinary Infantry. Officers. Standards. Tactics. Private Life of the later Persians. Agricultural Employment of the Men. Non-seclusion of the Women. General Freedom from Oppression of all Classes except the highest.621
Royal House of the Sassanians ..... 657
List of Authors and Editions quoted in the Notes ..... 659
Index ..... 665

## LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS.

## 1. Cienos Yiew of the Tab-i-kiem of Palnoo of Quemes L, at Olosipheo (fromes a dsawing is tim pelvoe of Bir H. Ramlinmon) <br> To fore Tilimapape


n page 1
 oftere Iner Prever:


Eqparif (after Flasdia) ..... A2
5. Viarriea dileg besage to Cyriadee (after Flacilin) ..... (1)
6. Fentine II ellanatiog his noblies (afuer Kin Parter) ..... 108
I. Smalines IL. meairing the wintimion of the Segor- God (afler Mandio) ..... 100

- ienri.ef repronoting rapor II. and Sapor III. a!:rphas liotes).
- Fs:ras romentation if Palac. at Firuzabal és 「asdia) . . . . . . sno
 mis.
:

(has)
- fenorime :rimenting the victory of sapir 1 . ures ba-ras after Trabry
(6):

Fharior! ! Sopis I. mprementiog tribut--brarem


10 N
 La: Teries)
an
 are i die: Piendin,
:ill
 s: endrose (rum the If, tuane iafice thandid)
. 11:
17. Bes-relief of Chosroës II. under arch at Takht-i-Bos-

    tan (after Flandin) . . . . To face page 013
    18. Bas-relief of stag-hunt, at same place (after Flandin) ". 614
19. Bas-relief of boar-hunt, at same place (after Flandin) " 616
20. Bas-relief representing the embroidery of the royal
robes (after Flandin)639

## WOODCUTS IN THE TEXT.

 (after Ker Porter) . 2
22. Earlier coins of Artaxerxes I. . 63
23. Later coins of Artaxer-
xes I. . . . . 67
24. Coins of Sapor I. . - 94
25. Head of Sapor I., from $\Omega$
gem (after Mordtmann) 100
28. Coin of Hormisdas I. . 103
27. Coin of Varahran I. . 105
28. Coin of Varahran II. . 108
29. Coin of Varahran III. . 115
30. Head of Narses, from a bas-relief (after Flandin) 118
31. Coins of Narses . . 137
32. Head of Hormisdas II., from a gem (afterMordtmann) . . . 138
33. Coin of Hormisdas II. (after Thomas). . 188
34. Coins of Sapor II. . 253
35. Coin of Artaxerxes II. . 262
36. Coins of Sapor III. . 263
37. Portrait of Varahran IV.,
38. Later seal of Varahran IV. (after Thomas). 205
39. Coin of Varahran IV. . 266
40. Coin of Isdigerd L . 278
41. Coin of Varahran V. . 299
42. Coin of Isdigerd II. . 310
43. Doubtful coin of Hormis-
das III.
44. Coin of Perozes . . 329
45. Coin of Balas . . 338
46. Coin of Zamasp . . 348
47. Coin of Kobad I. . . 378
48. Coin of Chosroës I. . 453
49. Another coin of Chosroës I. . . . 454
50. Coin of Hormisdas IV. . 474
51. Preregnal coin of Varahran VI. (after Thomas) . 491
52. Late coin of Varahran VI. 492
53. Coins of Chosroës II. (Parwiz) . . . 531
54. Coin of Kobad II. (Siroës) 540
55. Coin of Artaxerxes III. . 540
56. Coin of Isdigerd III. . 577

fers tafier Flandin . Bita
67. 8momniam capitale (afler Fhatin)

Patys

Q8. Somarrians capitale (aflos Maculin)
00. Gewarml piew of arch at Takhej-Bontan (aftar Fhendia)
70. Smanian entral at Victory (after Flanain). . 400
71. Blan-tilief urOcunal giving ther cnumb eis Artaxerate 1. (after Kur I'orter) , 600
72. IInad-dreas of an anlmown Hing (ather Tesier)
7i.) thereflef mepremplisp Chourvia II. Uib hotnehack, mprouppasied by a parnmol-ionct (efot Flamdis)

615
74. Enddle-tuale of Chcurois I., frose a baentiof (after Tesier). . 0411
Tis. Mamanian charict, frym a bubselled tafle: Tesier) Cha
-1:. I'emian guandaman, from
a bas-relief (after Flan-
din)
(is)


## HISTORY

## B) T世1

## SISSANLAN OR NEW PERSIAN EMPIRE.

## CHAPTER I.

Colime of fic Arains under the Bumwert of Allerumitr-vaire the
 Thit Ralipher of fors hald in hamer. Jomer of thair

 sof Arianom.



Wher the great Fimpire of the Persians, founded be Crros, collapeed under the attack of Alexamber the Girath the dominant race of Wietern Asia did not feel seelf at the first retucet to an intolerable condition. Is was the bencrolent deaign of Alexander to fuse into ane the ewo liading perples of Europe and L-ia, and w, etabli-h himelf at the hoad of a Peroo. Hellenic seate, the capital of which was to have bern Mativon. ${ }^{1}$ Hed tha diat bern carried out, the lereians would, it serobent, have lont but litte by their subjugation.

[^0]Placed on a par with the Greeks, united with them in marriage bonds, ${ }^{1}$ and equally favoured by their common ruler, they could scarcely have uttered a murmur, or have been seriously discontented with their position. But when the successors of the great Macedonian, unable to rise to the height of his grand conception, took lower ground, and, giving up the idea of a fusion, fell back upon the ordinary status, and proceeded to enact the ordinary rôle, of conquerors, the feelings of the late lords of Asia, the countrymen of Cyrus and Darius, must have undergone a complete change. It had been the intention of Alexander to conciliate and elevate the leading Asiatics by uniting them with the Macedonians and the Greeks, by promoting social intercourse between the two classes of his subjects and encouraging them to intermarry, by opening his court to Asiatics, by educating them in Greek ideas and in Greek schools, by promoting them to high employments, and making them feel that they were as much valued and as well cared for as the people of the conquering race: it was the plan of the Seleucidæ to govern wholly by means of European officials, Greek or Macedonian, and to regard and treat the entire mass of their Asiatic subjects as mere slaves. ${ }^{2}$ Alexander had placed Persian satraps over most of the provinces, attaching to them Greek or Macedonian commandants as checks. ${ }^{3}$ Seleucus divided his empire into seventy-two satrapies; but among his satraps not one was an Asiatic-all were either Macedonians or Greeks. Asiatics, indeed, formed the bulk of his standing army, and so far were admitted to employment;

[^1]needs the strictest superintendence and supervision. There is no reason to believe that any sufficient watch was kept over their satraps by the Seleucid kings, or even any system of checks established, such as the Achæmenidæ had, at least in theory, set up and maintained. ${ }^{1}$ The Greco-Macedonian governors of provinces seem to have been left to themselves almost entirely, and to have been only controlled in the exercise of their authority by their own notions of what was right or experlient. Under these circumstances, abuses were sure to creep in ; and it is not improbable that gross outrages were sometimes perpetrated by those in power-outrages calculated to make the blood of a nation boil, and to produce a keen longing for vengeance. We have no direct evidence that the Persians of the time did actually suffer from such a misuse of satrapial authority; but it is unlikely that they entirely escaped the miseries which are incidental to the system in question. Public opinion ascribed the grossest acts of tyranny and oppression to some of the Seleucid satraps; ${ }^{2}$ probably the Persians were not exempt from the common lot of the subject races.

Moreover, the Seleucid monarchs themselves were occasionally guilty of acts of tyranny, which must have intensified the dislike wherewith they were regarded by their Asiatic subjects. The reckless conduct of Antiochus Epiphanes towards the Jews is well known; but it is not perhaps generally recognised that incolerance and impious cupidity formed a portion of the system on which he governed. There seems, however,

[^2]to be good reason to helieve that, having exhausted his treasury by his wars aml his extruvagances, Epiphanes formed a general design of rucruiting it by means of she phiniler of his subjects. The temples of the Asiatics had hitherto been for the most purt reapected by their European conquerors, ${ }^{2}$ and large stores of the precions mactals were accumulated in them. Epiphanes saw in these hoanls the means of relieving his own necessities, and determined to seize and confiscate them. Besides plundering the Temple of Jehovah at Jerusitem, he tende a fourney into the south-eastern portion of his enapine, about b.c. 165, for the expreas purpose of condurting in pernon the collection of the sancred treasures. It suas while the wne engrged in this unpopular work that a spirit of disaffection showed itself; the East took arme no less than the West ; and in Persin, or upon its barders, the avaricious monarch was forced to retire be-ore the opposition which his ill-judged messures had prowoled, and to allow one of the doomed temples to -a :- !am: When he sem afterwards sickened and C..... : i.e tatives of thi part of Asia saw in his death a :- !.:. at upm him for his attempted sacrilege. ${ }^{3}$

1: W.s- wathon twenty gears of this unfortunate. s: : : :a;e : tat the dominion of the selencide over Persia A. : S. ada ent countrins came to an end. The lar-- ..n Kanne had for nearly a century been gradually $\therefore$ A:A : :a puwer and extenting itedf at the expens. : : :. ErrMarelomian: and. about b.c. $16: 3$, an
 - $:$ ace: buari- the Wiot, which terminated (atrout
wise 1 erevier and wiber by hie


B.c. 150) in the transference from the Syro-Macedonian to the Parthian rule of Media Magna, Susiana, Persia, Babylonia, and Assyria Proper. It would seem that the Persians offered no resistance to the progress of the new conqueror. ${ }^{1}$ The Seleucidæ had not tried to conciliate their attachment, and it was impossible that they should dislike the rupture of ties which had only galled hitherto. Perhaps their feeling, in prospect of the change, was one of simple indifference. Perhaps it was not without some stir of satisfaction and complacency that they saw the pride of the hated Europeans abased, and a race, which, however much it might differ from their own, was at least Asiatic, installed in power. The Parthian system, moreover, was one which allowed greater liberty to the subject races than the Macedonian, as it had been understood and carried out by the Seleucidæ; and so far, some real gain was to be expected from the change. Religious motives must also have conspired to make the Persians sympathise with the new power, rather than with that which for centuries had despised their faith, and had recently insulted it.

The treatment of the Persians by their Parthian lords seems, on the whole, to have been marked by moderation. Mithridates indeed, the original conqueror, is accused of having alienated his new subjects by the harshness of his rule; ${ }^{2}$ and in the struggle which occurred between him and the Seleucid king, Demetrius II., Persians, as well as Elymæans and Bactrians, are said to have fought on the side of the Syro-Macedonian. ${ }^{8}$ But this is the only occasion in

[^3]Parthian history, between the submission of Persia and the great revoll under Artaxerses, where there is any appearance of the Persians reyarding their masters with bostile feclings. In general they show themselves submiteive and cootented with their position, which was certaialy, on the whole, a less irksome one than they had occupied under the Seleucidso.

It was a principle of the Parthiun governmental system to allow the rabject peoples, to a large extent, to govern themedves. These proplee generally, and notably the Tenims, were ruled by native laings, ${ }^{3}$ who succeeded tis the throne by hereditary right, had the full power of life and death, ${ }^{2}$ and ruled very much as they pleased. sa Jong at they paid regularly the tribute imposed apon them by the 'King of Kings' and sent him a reveretable contingent whea he was about to cugage in a military expedition. ${ }^{3}$ Such a system implies that the osequered peoples have the enjoyment of their own lose and intitutions, are exempt from troublesome interfencoce, and possess a sort of semi-independence. conetutal nations, having once assumed this position, are uanaig orntented with it, and rarely make any effort to ineter themselves. It would seem that, thus far at asy rate, the l'ersians could not complain of the l'ar:han rule. but must have been fairly satisfied with tion ar oruditions.

Agran. the Greco-Macedonians had wherated, but Bot lad noe viewed with much respect, the religion - :. A ther hat found established in Persia. Alex1: j. :. meded, with the enlightened curiosity which :2.2nersed him, had made inquiries concerning the

[^4]tenets of the Magi, and endeavoured to collect in one the writings of Zoroaster. ${ }^{1}$ But the later monarchs, and still more their subjects, had held the system in contempt, and, as we have seen, Epiphanes had openly insulted the religious feelings of his Asiatic subjects. The Parthians, on the other hand, began at any rate with a treatment of the Persian religion which was respectful and gratifying. Though perhaps at no time very sincere Zoroastrians, they had conformed to the State religion under the Achæmenian kings; and when the period came that they had themselves to establish a system of government, they gave to the Magian hierarchy a distinct and important place in their governmental machinery. The council, which advised the monarch, and which helped to elect and (if need were) depose him, was composed of two elementsthe Sophi, or wise men, who were civilians; and the Magi, or priests of the Zoroastrian religion. ${ }^{2}$ The Magi had thus an important political status in Parthia during the early period of the Empire; but they seem gradually to have declined in favour, and ultimately to have fallen into disrepute. ${ }^{3}$ The Zoroastrian creed was, little by little, superseded among the Parthians by a complex idolatry, which, beginning with an imageworship of the Sun and Moon, proceeded to an association with those deities of the deceased kings of the nation, and finally added to both a worship of ancestral idols, which formed the most cherished possession of each family, and practically monopolised the religious sentiment. ${ }^{4}$ All the old Zoroastrian practices

[^5]
ficient to call forth different feelings. There can be no doubt that the Parthians, whether they were actually Turanians or no, ${ }^{1}$ were, in comparison with the Persians, unpolished and uncivilised. They showed their own sense of this inferiority by an affectation of Persian manners. ${ }^{2}$ But this affectation was not very successful. It is evident that in art, in architecture, in manners, in habits of life, the Parthian race reached only a low standard; they stood to their Hellenic and Iranian subjects in much the same relation that the Turks of the present day stand to the modern Greeks; they made themselves respected by their strength and their talent for organisation; but in all that adorns and beautifies life they were deficient. ${ }^{3}$ The Persians must, during the whole time of their subjection to Parthia, have been sensible of a feeling of shame at the want of refinement and of a high type of civilisation in their masters.

Again, the later sovereigns of the Arsacid dynasty were for the most part of weak and contemptible character. From the time of Volagases I. to that of Artabanus IV., the last king, the military reputation of Parthia had declined. Foreign enemies ravaged the territories of Parthian vassal kings, and retired, when ther chose, unpunished.4 Provinces revolted and established their independence. ${ }^{5}$ Rome was entreated to lend assistance to her distressed and afflicted rival, and met the entreaties with a refusal. ${ }^{6}$ In the wars which still from time to time were waged between the two empires, Parthia was almost uniformly worsted. Three

[^6]times her capital was occupied, ${ }^{1}$ and once her monarch's summer palace was burned. ${ }^{2}$ Province after province had to be ceded to Rome. ${ }^{3}$ The golden throne which symbolised her glory and magnificence was carried off. ${ }^{4}$ Meanwhile feuds raged between the different branches of the Arsacid family; civil wars were frequent; two or three monarchs at a time claimed the throne, or actually ruled in different portions of the Empire. ${ }^{5}$ It is not surprising that under these circumstances the bonds were loosened between Parthia and her vassal kingdoms, or that the Persian tributary monarchs began to despise their suzerains, and to contemplate without alarm the prospect of a rebellion which should place them in an independent position.

While the general weakness of the Arsacid monarchs was thus a cause naturally leading to a renunciation of their allegiance on the part of the Persians, a special influence upon the decision taken by Artaxerxes is probably to be assigned to one, in particular, of the results of that weakness. When provinces long subject to Parthian rule revolted, and revolted successfully, as seems to have been the case with Hyrcania, and partially with Bactria, ${ }^{6}$ Persia could scarcely for very shame continue submissive. Of all the races subject to Parthia, the Persians were the one which had held the most brilliant position in the past, and which retained the liveliest remembrance of its ancient glories. This is evidenced not only by the grand claims which Artaxerxes put forward in his early negotiations with

[^7]calamities and indignities in consequence of his folly. ${ }^{1}$ When the Parthian monarch atoned for his indiscretion, and wiped out the memory of his disgraces by the brilliant victory of Nisibis and the glorious peace which he made with Macrinus, Artaxerxes may have found that he had gone too far to recede; or, undazzled by the splendour of these successes, he may still have judged that he might with prudence persevere in his enterprise. Artabanus had suffered great losses in his two campaigns against Rome, and especially in the three days' battle of Nisibis. He was at variance with several princes of his family, one of whom certainly maintained himself during his whole reign with the state and title of 'King of Parthia.' ${ }^{2}$ Though he had fought well at Nisibis, he had not given any indications of remarkable military talent. Artaxerxes, having taken the measure of his antagonist during the course of the Roman war, having estimated his resources and formed a decided opinion on the relative strength of Persia and Parthia, deliberatcly resolved, a few years after the Roman war had come to an end, ${ }^{3}$ to revolt and accept the consequences. He was no doubt convinced that his nation would throw itself enthusiastically into the struggle, and he believed that he could conduct it to a successful issue. He felt himself the champion of a depressed, if not an oppressed, ${ }^{4}$ nationality, and had faith in his power to raise it into a lofty position. Iran, at any rate, should no longer, he re-

[^8]
## CHAPTER II.

Situation and Size of Persia. General Character of the Country and Climate. Chief Products. Characteristics of the Persian People, physical and moral. Differences observable in the Race at different periods.
 Strabo, xv. 3, 81.

Persin Proper was a tract of country lying on the Gulf to which it has given name, and extending about 450 miles from north-west to south-east, with an average breadth of about 250 miles. Its entire area may be estimated at about a hundred thousand square miles. It was thus larger than Great Britain, about the size of Italy, and rather less than half the size of France. ${ }^{1}$ The boundaries were, on the west, Elymais or Susiana (which, however, was sometimes reckoned a part of Persia); ${ }^{2}$ on the north, Media; on the east, Carmania; ${ }^{3}$ and on the south, the sea. It is nearly represented in modern times by the two Persian provinces of Farsistan and Laristan, the former of which retains, but slightly changed, the ancient appellation. The Hindyan or Tab (ancient Oroatis) seems towards

[^9]climate or character of the country has undergone any important alteration between the time of Nearchus or Strabo and the present day. At present it is certain that the tract in question answers but very incompletely to the description which those writers give of it. Three regions may indeed be distinguished, though the natives seem now to speak of only two ${ }^{1}$ but none of them corresponds at all exactly to the accounts of the Greeks. The coast tract is represented with the nearest approach to correctness. This is, in fact, a region of arid plain, often impregnated with salt, ill-watered, with a poor soil, consisting either of sand or clay, and productive of little besides dates and a few other fruits. ${ }^{2}$ A modern historian ${ }^{3}$ says of it that 'it bears a greater resemblance in soil and climate to Arabia than to the rest of Persia.' It is very hot and unhealthy, and can at no time have supported more than a sparse and scanty population. Above this, towards the north, is the best and most fertile portion of the territory. A mountain tract, ${ }^{4}$ the continuation of Zagros, succeeds to the flat and sandy coast region, occupying the greater portion of Persia Proper. It is about two hundred miles in width, and consists of an alternation of mountain, plain, and narrow valley, curiously intermixed, and hitherto mapped very imperfectly. ${ }^{5}$ In places this district answers fully

[^10]6n the description of Nearchus, being 'richly fertile, pertureaque, and romantic almost beyond imagination, with lovely wooded dells, green mountain sides, and broed plains, suited for the production of almost any eropses. ${ }^{1}$ But it is anly to the smaller moiety of the rephion that such a character attaches ; more than half the moontain truct is sterile and barren; ${ }^{2}$ the supply of water is almost everywhere sonnty ; the rivers are fere, and have not much volume; many of them, after whont courses, end in the sumd, or in small salt lakes, from which the superfluous water is evaporated. Much of the country is absolutely without streams, and would le usinhabitable were it not for the kanats or kareczes ${ }^{3}$ -robterramenn channele made by art for the conseyance of sprivg water to be used in irrigation. The aroat desolate portion of the mountain tract is bumanle the north and north-enst, where it adjoins upma the third region, which is the worst of the three This is a portion of the high table-land of Iran, the 5.a: idern which stretches from the eastern skirts of Harm t., the Hamom, the Helmend, and the river of -ar,zwur. It in a dry and harl plain, intersected at ar.. rosis by ranges of rocky hills, ${ }^{4}$ with a climate ex: :- e. A hot in summer and extremely cold in winter, $\therefore$ a;aible of cultivation, excepting so far as water can :- anvered by hamats, which is, of course, only a $\cdots$ didance. The fox, the jackal, the antelope, and : 2 . Wibil ant prese this sterile and desolate tract,
 ans 181: . Les: moch ofll remaine Jownary, Pp. Ir2, 1ti, 1ff: (icugraph.
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where 'all is dry and cheerless,' ${ }^{1}$ and verdure is almost unknown.

Perhaps the two most peculiar districts of Persia are the lake basins of Neyriz and Deriah-i-Nemek. The rivers given off from the northern side of the great mountain chain between the twenty-ninth and thirty-first parallels, being unable to penetrate the mountains, flow eastward towards the desert ; and their waters gradually collect into two streams, which end in two lakes, the Deriah-i-Nemek and that of Neyriz, or Lake Bakhtigan. ${ }^{2}$ The basin of Lake Neyriz lies towards the north, Here the famous 'Bendamir' ${ }^{3}$ and the Pulwar or Kur-ab, flowing respectively from the north-east and the north, unite in one near the ruins of the ancient Persepolis, and, after fertilising the plain of Merdasht, ${ }^{4}$ run eastward down a rich vale for a distance of some forty miles into the salt lake which swallows them up. This lake, when full, has a length of fifty or sixty miles, with a breadth of from three to six. ${ }^{5}$ In summer, however, it is often quite dry, ${ }^{6}$ the water of the Bendamir being expended in irrigation before reaching its natural terminus. The valley and plain of the Bendamir, and its tributaries, are among the most fertile portions of Persia, as well as among those of most historic interest. ${ }^{7}$

[^11]corn, ${ }^{1}$ and to have produced good dates and a few other fruits. ${ }^{2}$ The mountain region was, as we have seen, ${ }^{8}$ celebrated for its excellent pastures, for its abundant fruits, and especially for its grapes. Within the mountains, on the high plateau, assafetida (silphium) was found, ${ }^{4}$ and probably some other medicinal herbs. ${ }^{5}$ Corn, no doubt, could be grown largely in the plains and valleys of the mountain tract, as well as on the plateau, so far as the kanats carried the water. There must have been, on the whole, a deficiency of timber, though the palms of the dow tract, and the oaks, planes, chenars or sycomores, poplars, and willows ${ }^{6}$ of the mountain regions sufficed for the wants of the natives. Not much fuel was required, and stone was the general material used for building. Among the fruits for which Persia was famous are especially noted the peach, ${ }^{7}$ the walnut, and the citron. ${ }^{8}$ The walnut bore among the Romans the appellation of 'royal.' ${ }^{9}$

Persia, like Media, was a good nursery for horses. ${ }^{10}$ Fine grazing grounds existed in many parts of the mountain region, and for horses of the Arab breed even the Deshtistan was not unsuited. ${ }^{11}$ Camels were reared in some places, ${ }^{12}$ and sheep and goats were

[^12]namerous.' Iomed cattle were probrbly not so aburdent, $n 9$ the chancter of the country is not favourable for them. ${ }^{2}$ Game existed in large quantities, ${ }^{3}$ the lakee abounding with water-fowl, such as ducks, teal, heroa, smipe, dc. ; and the wooded portions of the pocuntrin tract giving shelter to the stag, the aild goot, the wild boar, the hare, the pheasant, and the beatbrack. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Fish were also plentiful. Whales sinited the Persinn Gulf, and were sometimes stranded upon the shares, where their carcases furnished a mine of veelth to the mhabitants." Dolphins ahounded, as well as many emaller kinds; and shell-fish, particularly egstens, cuuld always be obtained without difficulty. ${ }^{\text {? }}$ The rivers, too, were cupable of furnishing fresh-water 6ish in good quantity," though we cannot suy if this acarce of supply was utilised in antiguity.
The minuenal treasurea of Persia were fairly mumerans. Good alt was yielded by the lakes of the middle megion, and was also obtainable upon the platenu, Phtuen: a and naphtha were produced by sources in the "a . .o.arry:" The mountains contained most of the :a:- emt metaly and a certain number of valuable $\therefore \cdots$. . The pearls of the Gulf arquired early a great

[^13]reputation, and a regular fishery was established for them before the time of Alexander. ${ }^{1}$

But the most celebrated of all the products of Persia were its men. The 'scant and rugged country' gave birth, as Cyrus the Great is said to have observed, ${ }^{2}$ to a race brave, hardy, and enduring, calculated not only to hold its own against aggressors, but to extend its sway and exercise dominion over the Western Asiatics generally. The Aryan family is the one which, of all the races of mankind, is the most self-asserting, and has the greatest strength, physical, moral, and intellectual. The Iranian branch of it, whereto the Persians belonged, is not perhaps so gifted as some others; but it has qualities which place it above most of those by which Western Asia was anciently peopled. In the primitive times, from Cyrus the Great to Darius Hystaspis, the Persians seem to have been rude mountaineers, probably not very unlike the modern Kurds and Lurs, who inhabit portions of the same chain which forms the heart of the Persian country. Their physiognomy was handsome. ${ }^{8}$ A high straight forehead, a long slightly aquiline nose, a short and curved upper lip, a well-rounded chin, characterised the Persian. The expression of his face was grave and noble. He had abundant hair, which he wore very artificially

[^14]atranged. Above and round the brow it was made to mand away from the face in short crisp curls; on the top of the head it was worn smooth; at the back of the bead it was again truined into curls, which followed each other in several rows from the level of the forchoad to the nape of the neck. The moustache Was elways cultivated, and curved in a gentle sweep. A beand and whisken were worn, the former sometimes loug and pendent, like the Assyrian, but more often clustering around the chin in short close curls. The figure was well-formed, hut somewhat stout; the earriage was dignified and simple.



Simplicity of manners prevailed during this period. At the court there was some luxury ; but the bulk of the nation, living in their mountain territory, and attached to agriculture and hunting, maintained the habits of their ancestors, and were a somewhat rude though not a coarse people. The dress commonly worn was a close-fitting shirt or tunic of leather, ${ }^{1}$ descending to the knee, and with sleeves that reached down to the wrist. Round the tunic was worn a belt or sash, which was tied in front. The head was protected by a loose felt cap, ${ }^{2}$ and the feet by a sort of high shoe or low boot. The ordinary diet was bread and cress-seed, ${ }^{8}$ while the sole beverage was water. ${ }^{4}$ In the higher ranks, of course, a different style of living prevailed; the elegant and flowing 'Median robe 'was worn; ${ }^{5}$ flesh of various kinds was eaten ; ${ }^{6}$ much wine was consumed ; ${ }^{7}$ and meals were extended to a great length. ${ }^{8}$ The Persians, however, maintained during this period a general hardihood and bravery which made them the most dreaded adversaries of the Greeks, ${ }^{9}$ and enabled them to maintain an unquestioned dominion over the other native races of Western Asia.

As time went on, and their monarchs became less warlike, and wealth accumulated, and national spirit decayed, the Persian character by degrees deteriorated, and sank, even under the Achæmenian kings, to a level not much superior to that of the ordinary Asiatic.

[^15]The Pensin antagonists of Alexander were pretty onarly upou a par with the ruces which in Hindustan have gielded to the British power; they occavionally froght with gallantry, but they were deficient in resolotion, in endurance, in all the elements of solid atrength : and they were quite unable to stand their ground against the vigour and dash of the Macedonians and the Greeke. Whether physically they were very different from the soldiers of Cyrus may be donbted, but morally they had fallen far below the ancient standard: their elf-respect, their love of country, their attachment to their monarch had diminished ; to one showed any great derotion to the cause for which he fought; affer two defents ${ }^{2}$ the enpire wholly collapsed; and the Penians submitted, apparently without nuch relucenoce, to the Helleno-Mancedonian yoke.

Five centuries and a balf of servitode could not surth improve or elevate the chameter of the people. Their fall from power, their loss of wealth and of A mand did inderd advantage them in one way: it $\therefore:$ a: ond to that comtinually advancing sloth and cary which had sapmed the virtue of the mation, for: inz it of enery, omburance and almost every $=-\therefore \mathrm{y}$ evelleme It dashed the Persians back upon $\therefore$ :cromid whence they had sprung, and whence, $\mathrm{A}:: \mathrm{a}:-$ - ik. . they proceeded to derive fresh vigour and vin. inse. In their 'sant and rugeed' fatherland, the own ef (ymu once more recowerel tw a great extent $\because \pi$ armont prowes and hardihenal-their habits be2.). amplainel. their old patrintion revivel, their


[^16]some respects proved its 'sweet uses' upon them, there were other respects in which submission to the yoke of the Greeks, and still more to that of the Parthians, seems to have altered them for the worse rather than for the better. There is a coarseness and rudeness about the Sassanian Persians which we do not observe in Achæmenian times. The physique of the nation is not indeed much altered. Nearly the same countenance meets us in the sculptures of Artaxerxes, the son of Babek, of Sapor, and of their successors, ${ }^{1}$ with which we are familiar from the bas-reliefs of Darius Hystaspis and Xerxes. There is the same straight forehead, the same aquiline nose, the same well-shaped mouth, the same abundant hair. The form is, however, coarser and clumsier; the expression is less refined; and the general effect produced is that the people have, even physically, deteriorated. The mental and æsthetic standard seems still more to have sunk. There is no evidence that the Persians of Sassanian times possessed the governmental and administrative ability of Darius Hystaspis or Artaxerxes Ochus. Their art, though remarkable, considering the almost entire disappearance of art from Western Asia under the Parthians, ${ }^{2}$ is, compared with that of Achæmenian times, rude and grotesque. In architecture, indeed, they are not without merit, though even here the extent to which they were indebted to the Parthians, which cannot be exactly determined, must lessen our estimation of them; but their mimetic art, while not wanting in spirit, is remarkably coarse and unrefined. As a later chapter will be devoted to this subject, no more

[^17]moed be said upon it here. It is sufficient for our present purpose to note that the impression which se obtain from the monumental remains of the Sassaming Persians accords with what is to be gathered of them from the sccounts of the Romans and the Grocits. The great Asiatic revolution of the year A.D. 2206 marke a revival of the Iranic nationality from the depresed etate into which it had sunk for more than five hundred years; but the revival is not full or compiete. The Persians of the Susemian kingdom are not equal to those of the time between Cyrus the Great and Darius Codomannus; they have ruder manners, a gromer taste, lese cupacity for government and organisation: they have, in fact, been coarsened by centuries of Tatar rule: they are vigorous, active, energetic, poow, brave; bus in civilisation and refinemeat they do not rank much above their Parthian predecemsors. Wevorn Asia gained, perhaps, something, but it did oor gin much, from the subatitution of the Pervians for ti. I'arthians as the dominamt power. The change 1. ti.. least marked among the revolutions which the Ea: underwent between the accession of Cyrus and :too renquests of Timour. But it is a change, on the w.ab. for the better. It is accompanied by a revival a ar.. br improvementa in architecture : it inaugurates 2 rex_2us revolution which has advantages. Above $2 \because::$ area the Fant from stagnation. It is one among rac: of thoes salutary shexks which, in the pelitical 20 : : : : $\cdot$ n natural world, are needed from time to time - •.:.asise actun and prevent torpor and apathy.

## CHAPTER III.

Reign of Artaxerxes I. Stories told of him. Most probable account of his Descent, Rank, and Parentage. His Contest with Artabanus. First War with Chosroës of Armenia. Contest with Alexander Severus. Second War with Chosroës and Conquest of Armenia. Religious Reforms. Internal Administration and Government. Art. Coinage. Inscriptions.

 exteßoúdevaev.-Hbrodian. vi. 2, ad fin.
Around the cradle of an Oriental sovereign who founds a dynasty there cluster commonly a number of traditions, which have, more or less, a mythical character. The tales told of Cyrus the Great, which even Herodotus set aside as incredible, ${ }^{1}$ have their parallels in narratives that were current within one or two centuries ${ }^{2}$ with respect to the founder of the Second Persian Empire, which would not have disgraced the mythologers of Achæmenian times. Artaxerxes, according to some, ${ }^{3}$ was the son of a common soldier who had an illicit connection with the wife of a Persian cobbler ${ }^{4}$ and astrologer, a certain Babek or Papak, an inhabitant of the Cadusian country ${ }^{5}$ and a man of the

[^18]later; he did not write till about A.D. 580.

3 Agathias, ii. p. 65.
4 Gibbon calls Babek a 'tanner' (Docline and Fall, ch. viii. vol. i. p. 331), and De Sacy a 'currier' (corroyeur : Mémoire sur les Inscriptions de Nakhsh-i-Rustam, p. 33, note 49). But Agathias, their authority, has бкvтотó $\mu$ oc.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ So Agathias, ii. p. 65, C.
lowest class. ${ }^{1}$ Papak, knowing by his art that the soldher's som would attain a lofty puestion, voluntarily ceded this righte to husband to the favourite of fortune, and bred app his own the issue of this illegitimate commerce, who, when he attainesl to manhood, justified Papak's fornsight by successfully revoleing from Artabanus and exablishing the new Pervian monarchy. Others ${ }^{2}$ adl that the founder of the new kingdons was a Parchian eatrap, the son of a noble, and that, having ling smeditated revolt, he took the final plunge in consequence of a prophecy uttered by Artabanus, who whe well skilled in magical arts, and saw in the stars that the Parthian empire was threatened with destructiven. Arablans, on a certan occasion, when he comsunnicated this prophetic knowledge to his wife, was overbeard by one of her atcendants, a noble damsel esmed Artadsera, alrendy affianced to Artaxerses and a sharer in his secret onussels. As her instigation be hatemed his plans, raised the standard of revolt, and O-n the succesful issue of his enterprise made her :..- 'iberen. Miraculous circumstances were freely in--rairen with these narratives, ${ }^{3}$ and a result was :-aducad which staggered the faith even of such a an:or at Moes of Chorine, who, desiring to contine imeif to what was strictly true and certain, could Ex: bermore to say of Artaxerses' birth and origin -in that he was the son of a certain Sasan, and a :د:: : $\%$ of Istakr, or Persepolis.


Even, however, the two facts thus selected as beyond criticism by Moses are far from being entitled to implicit credence. Artaxerxes, the son of Sasan according to Agathangelus and Moses, ${ }^{1}$ is the son of Papak (or Babek) in his own ${ }^{2}$ and his son's inscriptions. The Persian writers generally take the same view, and declare that Sasan was a remoter ancestor of Artaxerxes, the acknowledged founder of the family, and not Artaxerxes' father. ${ }^{3}$ In the extant records of the new Persian kingdom, the coins and the inscriptions, neither Sasan nor the gentilitial term derived

[^19]from it, Susanidne, has nay place ; and though it would pechape be rash to question on this account the emspheywent of the term Sasunida by the dynasty,' yet me way regard it as really 'certain' that the father of Artaxernes whs named, not Sasan, but-Papak; and thot, if the term Susanian was in reality a parrunymic, it was derived, Hike the term 'Achremenian, ${ }^{2}$ from some semole progenitor ${ }^{3}$ whom the roynl fimily of the new enpiro believed to have been thicir founder.

The native country of Aruxerxes is also variously atated by the authoricies. Agntbangelus calls him an Aayriast, and makes the Assyrians play an important part in his rebellion. Agathins says that he wis burn is the Cadusina country, or the low tract south-weat of the Cuspian, which belonged to Median rather than 2o Asmria or Persia. Dio Caprius ${ }^{7}$ and Herodiam ${ }^{6}$ the centmporaties of Artaxerxes, call him a Penian; and there can be no reasomable doubt that they are correct in so doing. Agathangelus allows the predominantly
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Persian character of his revolt, and Agathias is apparently unaware that the Cadusian country was no part of Persia. The statement that he was a native of Persepolis (Istakr) is first found in Moses of Chorêné. ${ }^{1}$ It may be true, but it is uncertain; for it may have grown out of the earlier statement of Agathangelus, that he held the government of the province of Istakr. ${ }^{2}$ We can only affirm with confidence that the founder of the new Persian monarchy was a genuine Persian, without attempting to determine positively what Persian city or province had the honour of producing him. ${ }^{8}$

A more interesting question, and one which will be found perhaps to admit of a more definite answer, is that of the rank and station in which Artaxerxes was born. We have seen ${ }^{4}$ that Agathias (writing ab. a.d. 580) called him the supposititious son of a cobbler. Others ${ }^{5}$ spoke of him as the child of a shepherd; while some said that his father was ' an inferior officer in the service of the goverument.' ${ }^{6}$ But on the other hand, in the inscriptions which Artaxerxes himself set up in the neighbourhood of Persepolis, ${ }^{7}$ he

[^20]give his father, Papak, the title of 'King.' Agathangolue cully hize a 'noble" and "satrup of the Ferspolitan government;'z while Herodian seems to speak of him us 'king of the Persinns,' before his ricturies over Arubanus. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ On the whole, it is perhsye moat probable that, like Cyrus, be was the berelitary monarch of the subject kingdom of Persin, which had alwaye its own prinece under the Parthians, ${ }^{4}$ and that thus be naturnilly and without effort took the lesdenship of the revole when circumstances induced Sis ation to rebel and seek to entablish its indepeadence. The stories told of his humble arigin, which are contradietory and improbable, are to be paralleled with those which made Cyrus the son of a Penian of moderate rank, ${ }^{\text {b }}$, and the forter-child of a Berdman." There is always in the Enst a tendency towards romance and ecaggerntion; and when a great mosarch emerges from a comparatively humble posibise. the burnility and obscurity of his first condition 2r. mathitied. th make the contrast more striking :o:unn ho ,riginal low estate and his ultimate an and diunty.
Th, ardumetace of the struggle between Artax. T.- and Arabanus are brietly sketched by Dion 1 .....: and Agathangelus, ${ }^{\text {s }}$ while they are related ":- at lange thy the P'erian writere.' It is probable $\therefore$ : : : o contat owrupial a space of four or five
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years. At first, we are told, ${ }^{1}$ Artabanus neglected to arouse himself, and took no steps towards crushing the rebellion, which was limited to an assertion of the independence of Persia Proper, or the province of Fars. After a time the revolted vassal, finding himself unmolested, was induced to raise his thoughts higher, and commenced a career of conquest. Turning his arms eastward, he attacked Kerman (Carmania), and easily succeeded in reducing that scantily-peopled tract under his dominion. ${ }^{2}$ He then proceeded to menace the north, and, making war in that quarter, overran and attached to his kingdom some of the outlying provinces of Media. Roused by these aggressions, the Parthian monarch at length took the field, collected an army consisting in part of Parthians, in part of the Persians who continued faithful to him, ${ }^{8}$ against his vassal, and, invading Persia, soon brought his adversary to a battle. A long and bloody contest followed, both sides suffering great losses; but victory finally declared itself in favour of Artaxerxes, through the desertion to him, during the engagement, of a portion of his enemy's forces. ${ }^{4}$ A second conflict ensued within a short period, in which the insurgents were even more completely successful; the carnage on the side of the Parthians was great, the loss of the Persians small; and the great king fled precipitately from the field. Still the resources of Parthia were equal to a third trial of arms. After a brief pause, Artabanus

[^21]ande a final effort to reduce his revolued vassal ; and a thet engagement took place in the plain of Hormuz, ${ }^{1}$ which was a portion of the Jerahi valley, in the besutiful country between Bebalan and Shuster. Here, after a desperite conffict, the Parthine monarch seitereal a chird and sigual defeat; his army was satered; and he himself leat his life in the combat. Acoorling to some, his denth was the result of a hand-tio-hand conflict with his great antagonist, ${ }^{2}$ who, prerenting to $f l$, drew him on, and then pieroed his heart widb as arrow.
The fictory of Hormuz gave to Artaxerxes the dusintion of the Fast; but it did not secure him this result at once, or withoat further struggle. Artabanus had leff sons;' and both in Bactria and Armenia Hhene were powerfol branches of the Arsacid family, ${ }^{4}$ which could not see unnowed the downfall of their hiodred in Parthin. Chosrotes, the Armenian monarch, *as a prinee of considerable alility, and is said to have -.:. -: uanta hie throme by Artatanus, whose brother ‥ as. surding $u$ ame writers. ${ }^{3}$ At any rate he wats s: 1-a. if: and he f.lt keenly the diminution of his - Andare monded in the tranfor to an alien race - . . - we.ens:y wielded for tive centurice by the


motion, while the contest between Artabanus and Artaxerxes was still in progress, in the hope of affording substantial help to his relative. ${ }^{1}$ But the march of events was too rapid for him; and, ere he could strike a blow, he found that the time for effectual action had gone by, that Artabanus was no more, and that the dominion of Artaxerxes was established over most of the countries which had previously formed portions of the Parthian Empire. Still, he resolved to continue the struggle; he was on friendly terms with Rome, ${ }^{2}$ and might count on an imperial contingent; he had some hope that the Bactrian Arsacidæ would join him; ${ }^{3}$ at the worst, he regarded his own power as firmly fixed and as sufficient to enable him to maintain an equal contest with the new monarchy. Accordingly he took the Parthian Arsacids under his protection, and gave them a refuge in the Armenian territory. ${ }^{4}$ At the same time he negotiated with both Balkh and Rome, made arrangements with the barbarians upon his northern frontier to lend him aid, ${ }^{5}$ and, having collected a large army, invaded the new kingdom on the north-west, ${ }^{6}$ and gained certain not unimportant successes. According to the Armenian historians, Artaxerxes lost Assyria and the adjacent regions; Bactria wavered; and, after the struggle had continued for a year or two, the founder of the second Persian empire was obliged to fly ignominiously to India! ${ }^{7}$ But this

[^22]millions of our money, ${ }^{1}$ he may naturally have thought that a facile triumph was open to his arms in this direction. Alexander Severus, the occupant of the imperial throne, was a young man of a weak character, controlled in a great measure by his mother, Julia Mamæa, and as yet quite undistinguished as a general. The Roman forces in the East were known to be licentious and insubordinate; ${ }^{2}$ corrupted by the softness of the climate and the seductions of Oriental manners, they disregarded the restraints of discipline, indulged in the vices which at once enervate the frame and lower the moral character, had scant respect for their leaders, and seemed a defence which it would be easy to overpower and sweep away. Artaxerxes, like other founders of great empires, entertained lofty views of his abilities and his destinies; the monarchy which he had built up in the space of some five or six years was far from contenting him; well read in the ancient history of his nation, he sighed after the glorious days of Cyrus the Great and Darius Hystaspis, when all Western Asia from the shores of the Ægean to the Indian desert, and portions of Europe and Africa, had acknowledged the sway of the Persian king. The territories which these princes had ruled he regarded as his own by right of inheritance; and we are told that he not only entertained, but boldly published, these views. ${ }^{8}$ His emissaries everywhere declared that their master claimed the dominion of Asia as far as the Egean Sea and the Propontis. It was his duty and his mission to recover to the Persians their pristine

[^23]empire. What Cyrus had conquered, what the Persian linger had held from that time until the defeat of Colomannus by Alexander, was his by indefeasible right, and he was about to take possession of it.

Nor were these lirave words a mere brutum fulmen. Smrultancorsly with the putting forth of such lofty protennions, the troops of the Pensian monarch crossed the Tigris and spread themselves over the entire Romann province of Mesopotamia, ${ }^{3}$ which was rapidly overruin ind offered scarcely any resistance. Severus loursed as the same motnent the demands of his adverary and the lose of one of his best provinces. He feard that his strong poste upon the Euphrates, the old defence of the empire in this quarter, were being atracked, ${ }^{2}$ and thas Syria daily expected the paseage of the havadess. The criais was one requiring prompt. sectioe: but the weak and inexperienced youth was ceritent to mees it with diplomacy, and, instead of sending an army to the East, despatcher ambassadors -, h. : ival with a letter. 'Artaxerxes,' he said, $\cdot 0 . \therefore$ : wontine himelf to his own territories and $\therefore \therefore$ - $\mathrm{K}:$ revolutionise Asia; it was unsafe, on the $\because \because \cdot$ :.a: $:$ of mere unsubtantial hopes, to commence a $-\overrightarrow{-a}$ : war. Fiveryone should be content with keeping a:n: 1-!nged th him. Artaxerxes would find war -. I: :a, a very different thing from the con--... : : whech he had leern hitherte enguged with u: as: taces like hin own. He should call to mind $\cdots$. •.....ers of Auruetus and Trajan, and the trophies 1:-..! . .? from the Eant by Laciun Virus and by $\rightarrow \because . .$.

[^24]The counsels of moderation have rarely much effect in restraining princely ambition. Artaxerxes replied by an embassy in which he ostentatiously displayed the wealth and magnificence of Persia; ${ }^{1}$ but, so far from making any deduction from his original demands, he now distinctly formulated them, and required their immediate acceptance. 'Artaxerxes, the Great King,' he said, ' ordered ${ }^{2}$ the Romans and their ruler to take their departure forthwith from Syria and the rest of Western Asia, and to allow the Persians to exercise dominion over Ionia and Caria and the other countries within the 不gean and the Euxine, since these countries belonged to Persia by right of inheritance. ${ }^{3}$ A Roman emperor had seldom received such a message; and Alexander, mild and gentle as he was by nature, seems to have had his equanimity disturbed by the insolence of the mandate. Disregarding the sacredness of the ambassadorial character, he stripped the envoys of their splendid apparel, treated them as prisoners of war, and settled them as agricultural colonists in Phrygia. If we may believe Herodian, he even took credit to himself for sparing their lives, which he regarded as justly forfeit to the offended majesty of the empire.

Meantime the angry prince, convinced at last against his will that negotiations with such an enemy were futile, collected an army and began his march towards the East. Taking troops from the various provinces

[^25]thruugh whirh he passed, ${ }^{1}$ he conducted to Antioch, in the sutumn of A.D. 231. ${ }^{2}$ a considerable force, which कns there augmented by the legions of the East and by troope drawa from Egypt ${ }^{3}$ and other quarters. Artaserxes, on his purt, was not idle. Accordiag to Severus himself, the army brought into the field by the Peninin monurch consisted of one hundred and twenty thousand rauiled borsemen, of eighteen hundred sythed chariots, and of seven hundred trained clephants, bearing on their backy towers filled with aschess; and though this pretended hoat has been truly charncterised as one 'the like of which is not to be found in Eastern history, and has scancely been immgived in Eastern romance, ${ }^{8}$ yet, allowing much for exuggeration, we may still safely conclude that great. exertions had been made on the Persian side, that their formes cotesites of the three arms mentionerl, and that the numbers of each were large beyond ordinary
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precedent. The two adversaries were thus not ill matched; each brought the flower of his troops to the conflict; each commanded the army, on which his dependence was placed, in person; each looked to obtain from the contest not only an increase of military glory, but substantial fruits of victory in the shape of plunder or territory.

It might have been expected that the Persian monarch, after the high tone which he had taken, would have maintained an aggressive attitude, have crossed the Euphrates, and spread the hordes at his disposal over Syria, Cappadocia, and Asia Minor. But it seems to be certain that he did not do so, and that the initiative was taken by the other side. Probably the Persian arms, as inefficient in sieges as the Parthian, ${ }^{1}$ were unable to overcome the resistance offered by the Roman forts upon the great river; and Artaxerxes was too good a general to throw his forces into the heart of an enemy's country without having first secured a safe retreat. The Euphrates was therefore crossed by his adversary ${ }^{2}$ in the spring of a.D. 232 ; the Roman province of Mesopotamia was easily recovered; ${ }^{3}$ and arrangements were made by which it was hoped to deal the new monarchy a heavy blow, if not actually to crush and conquer it. ${ }^{4}$

[^26]Alexander divided his troops into three bodies. One division wes to act towards the north, to take advanunge of the friendly disposition of Chosroès, king of Armenin, and, traversing his strong mountain tersitary, to dineet its attack upon Media, into which Amsenia gave a ready entrance. Another was to take a southern line, ${ }^{3}$ and to threaten Persia Proper from the marriby tract about the junction of the Eupliates with the Tigris, a partion of the Bahylomian territory. The thind and main division, which was to be comranded by the emperar in person, was to act on a Sise internucliate between the other two, which would condurt is to the very haurt of the enemy's territory, and at the same time allow of its giving effective supfoon to either of the two other divisions if they should and it. The plan of operations appeus to have been fulldioesly constructed, and should perhaps be asmind nutber to the friends whom the gouthful emperor comolead ${ }^{5}$ than to his own unnesisted wislom. But
 - - tendhy in the execution ; and it was here, if v. ....y :nat the : author who alone gives us any $\therefore$...al a ...unt of the campaign," that the weakness
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of Alexander's character showed itself. The northern army successfully traversed Armenia, and, invading Media, proved itself in numerous small actions superior to the Persian force opposed to it, and was able to plunder and ravage the entire country at its pleasure. The southern division crossed Mesopotamia in safety, and threatened to invade Persia Proper. ${ }^{1}$ Had Alexander with the third and main division kept faith with the two secondary armies, had he marched briskly and combined his movements with theirs, the triumph of the Roman arms would have been assured. But, either from personal timidity or from an amiable regard for the anxieties of his mother Mamæa, he hung back while his right and left wings made their advance, and so
sought to discredit Herrodian by imputing to him a prejudice against Alexander; but, on the whole, his account of that prince is not an unHattering portrait. Again, it is said (De Champagny, ii. p. 121) to be inconceivable that, if Herodian's account of the campaign had been true, the general result of the contest should have been so abeolutely without injury to Rome as he himself admits it to have been. Certainly there is a difficulty here; but it is not insuperable. We, with our Western notions, should have expected Artaxerxes to have followed up his successes in A.D. 232 by a great invasion of the Roman territory in A.D. 233. But we find him absolutely passive. This appears strange until we reflect that an Eastern army after a victory demands a time for rest and enjoyment; that it has almost of necessity to be disbanded, and can only be collected again after a considerable interval. Eastern lings, moreover, are often lazy or capricious. Orodes did not follow up his victory over Crassus by any serious attack on
the Roman territory until two years had passed (Sixth Monarchy, pp. 177-8). And a similar neglect of favourable opportunities is obeervable throughout Oriental history.

It may be added that there is at least one expression in Lampridius which betrays the truth that he endeavours to conceal. The universal cry of the Romans who accompanied Alexander's triumphal procession from the Capitol to the Palace was, Lampridius tells us (§ 57), thio-' Rome is saved, since Alexander is safe.' Safety is only ${ }^{\text {a }}$ subject of congratulation after imminent danger.
${ }^{1}$ There is some difficulty in understanding Herodian here, since his geographical ideas are confused (Gibbon, ch. viii. note 51). He speaks of the second army as threatening both Parthia and Persia. The real Parthia, between the Caspian and Bactria, cannot, it seems to me, be intended. I suspect that he means by Parthia the tract about Ctesiphon, recently the head-quarters of Parthian power.
allawed the enemy to concentrate their efforts on these two isolated bodies. The anny in Media, favoured by the rugged character of the country, was able to maintain ita ground without much difficulty; but that which hand advazeed by the line of the Euphrutes and Tigris, and which was still marching through the boundless plaine of the great alluvium, found itself sudetenly beed by a countles host, commanded by Artaxerxes in person, and, though it struggled gallatly, was overwhelmed and utterly destnoyed by the arrows of the terrible Pensian bowmen. Herodian saya, no doubt with some exaggeration, that this was the greatest ealamity which had ever befallen the Romans. ${ }^{1}$ It eerainly canbot compure with Cunne, with the diasster of Varus, or even with the similar defeat of Crissus in a not very diatant region. But it was (if rightly represented by Hendian) a terrible blow. It absolutely devernised the campaign. A Cosar or a Trajan might Love retrieved such a loss. An Alexander Severus ar. not likely even to make an attempt to do so. Almady weak.mal in burdy by the heat of the climate 20:! the unwornayd fatigues of war, ${ }^{2}$ he was utterly proseatal in opirit by the intelligence when it reached :am The signal was at once given for retreat. (arlare were sent to the corps darmee which cecupied 3!- in in evactuate its conquests and to retire forth-- : : copern the Fuphrates. These orders were exeruted. $\because$.: Wish diffeculty. Winter had already set in - cosetrat the hiph regions: and in its retreat the 2-as of Media suffered preat lowed through the areary ,if the climate. so that those who reacheed

[^27]Syria were but a small proportion of the original force. Alexander himself, and the army which he led, experienced less difficulty; but disease dogged the steps of this division, and when its columns reached Antioch, it was found to be greatly reduced in numbers by sickness, though it had never confronted an enemy. The three armies of Severus suffered not indeed equally, but still in every case considerably, from three distinct causes-sickness, severe weather, and marked inferiority to the enemy. ${ }^{1}$ The last-named cause had annihilated the southern division; the northern had succumbed to climate; the main army, led by Severus himself, was (comparatively speaking) intact, but even this had been decimated by sickness, and was not in a condition to carry on the war with vigour. The result of the campaign had thus been altogether favourable to the Persians, ${ }^{2}$ but yet it had convinced Artaxerxes that Rome was more powerful than he had thought. It had shown him that in imagining the time had arrived when they might be easily driven out of Asia, he had made a mistake. The imperial power had proved itself strong enough to penetrate deeply within his territory, to ravage some of his best provinces, and to threaten his capital. ${ }^{3}$ The grand ideas with which he had entered upon the contest had consequently to be

[^28]ahandoned; and it had to be recognised that the ncruggle with Rome was one in which the two parties emere very evenly matched, one in which it was not to be suppused that eitber side would very somon obtain sery decided preponderance. Under these circummenow the grand idens were quietly dropped; the anmy which had beea gathered together to enforce them whe allowed to disperse, and was not required sithim any given time to reasoctuble ; it is not unlikely that (ns Niebuhr conjectures ${ }^{3}$ ) a peace was made, shough whether Pome esded any of ber territory ${ }^{2}$ by its terms is exceedingly doubtful. Probalily the pooeral principle of the arrangement was a roturn to sine stathen guo ande bellum, or, in other words, the nomptanoe by either side, ss the true territorial limita beswen Howe and Persia, of those boundaries which bed been previouly beld to divide the imprerial prosssmiona from the dominions of the Arsacidic.

The insue of the struggle was no doubt disappointing $\therefore$ Areaxerxes: but if, on the one hand, it dispelled -.r.e nluoion and proved to him that the Roman $\because$-a:. Ah, ugh verging to its decline, nevertheless still :omerala vigour and a life which he had been far :- :. atiscipating. on the other hand it left him free to ...e:trate his efforts on the reduction of Armenia, * ... was really of more importance to him, from Ar$\therefore . .+$ :-ang the preat stronghold of the Arsurid power, $\because \therefore \cdot$ ie :anmalathehment to the empire of half-a-dozen
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$E$
themselves in a position of independence and substantial power so near the Persian borders, and in a country of such extent and such vast natural strength as Armenia, there could not but be a danger of reaction, of the nations again reverting to the yoke whereto they had by long use hecome accustomed. and of the star of the Sasanidæ paling before that of the former masters of Asia. It was essential to the consolidation of the new Persian Empire that Armenia should be subjugated, or at any rate that Arsacidæ should cease to govern it; and the fact that the peace which appears to have been made between Rome and Persia, A.D. 232, set Artaxerxes at liberty to direct all his endeavours to the establishment of such relations between his own state and Armenia as he deemed required by public policy and necessary for the security of his own power, must be regarded as one of paramount importance, and as probably one of the causes mainly actuating him in the negotiations and inclining him to consent to peace on any fair and equitable terms.

Consequently, the immediate result of hostilities ceasing between Persia and Rome was their renewal between Persia and Armenia. The war had indeed, in one sense, never ceased; for Chosroës had been an ally of the Romans during the campaign of Severus, ${ }^{1}$ and had no doubt played a part in the invasion and devastation of Media which have been described above. ${ }^{2}$ But, the Romans having withdrawn, he was left wholly dependent on his own resources; and the

[^29]exiles with faviour, discussed with them his plans for the subjugation of Persia, and, having sheltered them during the whole of the autumn and winter, proposed to them in the spring that they should accompany him and take part in the year's campaign. ${ }^{1}$ Anak, forced by this proposal to precipitate his designs, contrived a meeting between himself, his brother, and Chosroës, without attendants, on the pretext of discussing plans of attack, and, having thus got the Armenian monarch at a disadvantage, drew sword upon him, together with his brother, and easily put him to death. The crime which he had undertaken was thus accomplished; but he did not live to receive the reward promised him for it. Armenia rose in arms on learning the foul deed wrought upon its king; the bridges and the few practicable outlets by which the capital could be quitted were occupied by armed men ; and the murderers, driven to desperation, lost their lives in an attempt to make their escape by swimming the river Araxes. ${ }^{2}$ Thus Artaxerxes obtained his object without having to pay the price that he had agreed upon; his dreaded rival was removed; Armenia lay at his mercy; and he had not to weaken his power at home by sharing it with an Arsacid partner.

The Persian monarch allowed the Armenians no time to recover from the blow which he had treacherously dealt them. His armies at once entered their territory ${ }^{8}$ and carried everything before them. Chosroës seems to have had no son of sufficient age to succeed him, and the defence of the country fell upon the satraps, or governors of the several provinces.

[^30]These chiefs implored the aid of the Boman emperor, ${ }^{\text {, }}$ and received a contingent; but neither were their own exertions nor was the valour of their allies of any avail. Artaxerxes easily defeated the confederate army, and forced the satraps to take refuge in Roman terntory. Armenia submitted to his arms, and became 03 istegral portion of his empire. ${ }^{2}$ It probably did not greatly trouble him that Artavasdes, one of the eatrapes suoceeded in carrying off one of the sons of Chomoes, a boy named Tiridates, whom he conveyed to Rome, and placed under the protection of the reignIng emperor."

Such were the chief military successer of Artaxerxes. The greatess of our historians, Gibbon, ventures indeed to aseign to him, in addition, 'some easy victories over she wild Bcythins and the effeminate Indians.'4 But thene is so good authority for this statement; and on the whole it is unlikely that he came into contact with either nation. His coins are not found in Affghanisan: and it may be doubted whether he ever made 2:5. $20.6 \cdot \mathrm{~m}$.xpedition. His reign was not long; and :: wa. -ufficiently occupied by the Roman and Ar?. San wars. and by the greatest of all his works, $\therefore$ - : iormataon of religion.

T:.. rehpious aspect of the insurrection which :a:-.:ir rred the headhip of Western Asia from the I'ariant $w$, the Persians, from Artabanus to Arta1. The . hae been already noticed: ${ }^{6}$ but we have now

[^31]to trace, so far as we can, the steps by which the religious revolution was accomplished, and the faith of Zoroaster, or what was believed to be such, established as the religion of the State throughout the new empire. Artaxerxes, himself (if we may believe Agathias ${ }^{1}$ ) a Magus, was resolved from the first that, if his efforts to shake off the Parthian yoke succeeded, he would use his best endeavours to overthrow the Parthian idolatry and instal in its stead the ancestral religion of the Persians. This religion consisted of a combination of Dualism with a qualified creatureworship, and a special reverence for the elements, earth, air, water, and fire. Zoroastrianism, in the earliest form which is historically known to us, ${ }^{2}$ postulated two independent and contending principles -a principle of good, Ahura-Mazda, and a principle of evil, Angro-Mainyus. These beings, who were coeternal and coequal, were engaged in a perpetual struggle for supremacy; and the world was the battlefield wherein the strife was carried on. Each had called into existence numerous inferior beings, through whose agency they waged their interminable conflict. Ahura-Mazda (Oromazdes, Ormazd) had created thousands of angelic beings to perform his will and fight on his side against the Evil One ; and Angro-Mainyus (Arimanius, Ahriman) had equally on his part called into being thousands of malignant spirits to be his emissaries in the world, to do his work, and fight his battles. The greater of the powers called into being

[^32]by Abum-Mazla were proper objects of the worahip of pasn. ${ }^{2}$ though, of course, bis main worship was to be given to Ahura-Mazda. Angro-Mainyus was not to be Funhisped, but to be hated and feared. With this dualistic belief had been combined, at a time not much later than that of Darius Hystaspis, an entirely separste system, the worship of the elementa. Fire, air, earth, and water were regarded as essentially holy, aod to pollute any of thers was a crime. Fire was sapecially to be held in honour; and it became an cemertial part of the Persian religion to maintuin perperually upon the fire-altars the sucred flame, supposed oo liave been originally kindled from heaven, and to ee that it never went out." Together with this elemental wroship was introduced into the religion a peofound regard for an order of prients called Magians, wio interpoed themselven between the deity and the workhipper, and claimed to possess prophetic powen ' This Magian order was a priest-caste, and -xer-iad vast influence, being internally organised :nt. . hierarchy containing many ranks, and claiming a eatutity far almoe that of the best laymen.

Araxerxes found the Magian order depressed by t.. - -ot - matic action of the later Parthian princes, ${ }^{6}$ who :2: practically fallen away from the Zoroastrian faith

[^33]and become mere idolaters. He found the fire-altars in ruins, the sacred flame extinguished, ${ }^{1}$ the most essential of the Magian ceremonies and practices disregarded. ${ }^{2}$ Everywhere, except perhaps in his own province of Persia Proper, he found idolatry established. Temples of the sun abounded, where images of Mithra were the object of worship, ${ }^{8}$ and the Mithraic cult was carried out with a variety of imposing ceremonies. Similar temples to the moon existed in many places; and the images of the Arsacidæ were associated with those of the sun and moon gods in the sanctuaries dedicated to them. ${ }^{4}$ The precepts of Zoroaster were forgotten. The sacred compositions which bore that sage's name, and had been handed down from a remote antiquity, were still indeed preserved, if not in a written form, ${ }^{5}$ yet in the memory of the faithful few who clung to the old creed; but they had ceased to be regarded as binding upon their consciences by the great mass of the Western Asiatics. Western Asia was a seething-pot, in which were mixed up a score of contradictory creeds, old and new, rational and irrational, Sabaism, Magism, Zoroastrianism, Grecian polytheism, teraphim-worship, Judaism, Chaldee mysticism, Christianity. Artaxerxes conceived it to be his mission to evoke order out of this confusion, to establish in lieu of this extreme diversity an absolute uniformity of religion.

[^34]The steps whicls he took to effect his purpose scem to have been the following. He put down idolatry by a general destruction of the images, which be overthrew and broke to piecess ${ }^{1}$ He raised the Magian hierurchy to a position of honour and dignity such as they had scarcely enjoyed even under the later Achemenian princes, ${ }^{2}$ securing them in a condition of pecuniary independence by assignments of lands ${ }^{3}$ and also by allowing their title to claim from the faithful the tithe of all their possessions. ${ }^{\text {t }}$ He caused the sacred fire to be rekindled on the altars Where it was extinguished, ${ }^{5}$ and assigned to certain badies of priests the change of maintaining the fire in each locality. He then proceeded to collect the supprosd precepts of Zoroaster into a volume, in order so eatublish a stasdard of orthodoxy whereto he might require all to conform. He found the Zoroustrians cheruselves divided into a number of sects. ${ }^{\circ}$ Among tiese he established uniformity by means of a 'general cocronl,' which was attended by Magi from all parts of A.. $\cdot$ minre, and which settled what was to be regarded 2. ih.- true. Zarrastrian faith. According to the Oriental v.-:-r. thre was effected in the following way :-Forty :. - .asal. ur. according to others, eightr thousand Magi iarit:' aournbled, they were successively reduced by ftr:s . .wn aci to four thousand, to four hundred, to forty, a:-: Ataliy won, the most highly respected for

their piety and learning. Of these seven there was one, a young but holy priest, whom the universal consent of his brethren recognised as pre-eminent. His name was Ardâ-Viraf. 'Having passed through the strictest ablutions, and drunk a powerful opiate, he was covered with a white linen and laid to sleep. Watched by seven of the nobles, including the king, he slept for seven days and nights; and, on his reawaking, the whole nation listened with believing wonder to his exposition of the faith of Ormazd, which was carefully written down by an attendant scribe for the benefit of posterity.' ${ }^{1}$

The result, however brought about, which must always remain doubtful, was the authoritative issue of a volume which the learned of Europe have now possessed for some quarter of a century, ${ }^{2}$ and which has recently been made accessible to the general reader by the labours of Spiegel. ${ }^{8}$ This work, the Zendavesta, while it may contain fragments of a very ancient literature, ${ }^{4}$ took its present shape in the time of Artaxerxes, and was probably then first collected from the mouths of the Zoroastrian priests and published by Ardâ-Viraf. Certain additions may since have been made to it; but we are assured that 'their number is small,' and that we 'have no reason to doubt

[^35]that the text of the Avesta, in the days of ArdaViraf, wis on the whole exactly the same as at present.' ${ }^{1}$ The religions system of the new Persian monerchy if thus completely known to us, and will be deacribed minutely is a later chapter. At present we have to consider, not what the exact tenets of the Zorvastriass were, but only the mode in which Artaxerxes imposed them upon his subjects.

The sext step, after settling the true text of the sacred volume, was to ngree upon its interpretation. The laniguage of the Avesta, though pure Persian.? was of so archaic a type that none but the most learned of the Magi undenstood it; to the common people, even to the ordinary prient, it was a dend letter. Arecterses seems to have recognised the necessity of semmparying the Zend text with a truaslation and a conmentary in the language of his own time, the Peblevi or Huxvareh. Such a trunslation und commontary exist; and though in part belonging to later Smanan times, they reach back probably in their asior pritions to the cra of Artaxerxes, who may fasty be crodited with the desire to make the sacred to. .ik cundertanded of the perple.'

Forther, it was nereosury, in order to secure perma$\therefore \cdot \mathrm{t}$ : uniformity of belief, to give to the Magian priest-:-a.. the kerpers and interpreter of the sacred book, -. T • stemive power. The Mapian hierarchy was there-

[^36]fore associated with the monarch in the government and administration of the State. It was declared that the altar and the throne were inseparable, and must always sustain each other. ${ }^{1}$ The Magi were made to form the great council of the nation. ${ }^{2}$ While they lent their support to the crown, the crown upheld them against all impugners, and enforced by pains and penalties their decisions. Persecution was adopted and asserted as a principle of action without any disguise. By an edict of Artaxerxes, all places of worship were closed except the temples of the fire-worshippers. ${ }^{8}$ If no violent outbreak of fanaticism followed, it was because the various sectaries and schismatics succumbed to the decree without resistance. Christian, and Jew, and Greek, and Parthian, and Arab allowed their sanctuaries to be closed without striking a blow to prevent it; and the non-Zoroastrians of the empire, the votaries of foreign religions, were shortly reckoned at the insignificant number of 80,000 . ${ }^{4}$

Of the internal administration and government of his extensive empire by Artaxerxes, but little is known." That little seems, however, to show that while in general type and character it conformed to the usual Oriental model, in its practical working it was

[^37][^38]rach as to obtain the approval of the bulk of his subjecs. Aruaserxes governed his provinces either through native kings, or else through Persian sitrapes ${ }^{1}$ At the same time, like the Achamenian. monarchs, be kept the armed force under his own control by the appointment of 'generalg' or 'commandana ${ }^{\text {' }}$ distinct from the satraps. ${ }^{2}$ Discarding the Parthian plan of intrusting the military defence of the empire and the preservution of domestic order to a twere militin, be maintained on a war footing a conadienable force, regularly paid and drilled. 'There ean be oo power,' he remarked, 'without an army, on amy without money, no money without agriculsure, and no agricultare without justice.' ${ }^{13}$ To antminister atrict justice was therefore among his chief enelenvours. Daily reports were made to him of all that pased, not only in his capital, but in every prosince of his vast empire ; and his knowlelge extended ween to the private actions of his subjects, ${ }^{4}$ It was !.: -ame-t dosire that all well-disposed persons shouhd $\therefore$ as almilute asurance of security with respect to
1.:Dwe declarra but incrif- 'king of the Cadusians,' by Jul.

.. S.-: 2. procermpt himmelf - 0 . $=$ en inile of king. abolinhed
 :1. is:- es and the pongle'I Inorione alerin P. 34li. Agatharor. - -i.e we that be called a
 -.: : coerreie is 1:1, and we

 1. 14 lime 1 iman : il. 1 be



 $\cdots$. 'rerreted fy if:limne Ni!er

Capitolinun ( ${ }^{\circ}$ aler. § 6 ).
Agathang. l.n.c.: monoraligi-


si, Madcolmi IMiae. of I'raia, rol. i. P Iff). (iibbon paraphrames thus: The authorits of the prisce muar be defended by $n$ military firce : that furce can only be maintained by inxme: all taxien munt, at last, fall upm ayriculture: and ayricuiture can bever Hourish excrept under the protection of justice and turaberation' ' /troline and fill, rol. i. p. $\because$ が 1.

- Mabcolu. IIiof of I'ersin, Vol. i. p. 94.
their lives, their property, and their honour. ${ }^{1}$ At the same time he punished crimes with severity, and even visited upon entire families the transgression of one of their members. It is said to have been one of his maxims, that 'kings should never use the sword where the cane would answer; ${ }^{2}$ but, if the Armenian historians are to be trusted, in practice he certainly did not err on the side of clemency. ${ }^{8}$

Artaxerxes was, of course, an absolute monarch, having the entire power of life or death, and entitled, if he chose, to decide all matters at his own mere will and pleasure. But, in practice, he, like most Oriental despots, was wont to summon and take the advice of counsellors. It is perhaps doubtful whether any regular 'Council of State' existed under him. Such an institution had prevailed under the Parthians, where the monarchs were elected and might be deposed by the Megistanes ; 4 but there is no evidence that Artaxerxes continued it, or did more than call on each occasion for the advice of such persons among his subjects as he thought most capable. In matters affecting his relations towards foreign powers, he consulted with the subject kings, the satraps, and the generals; ${ }^{5}$ in religious affairs he no doubt took counsel with the chief Magi. ${ }^{6}$ The general principles

[^39]wlich guided his conduct both in religious and other matters may perhaps be beat gathered from the words of that 'testament,' or 'dying speech,' which he is said to have addreved to his mon Sapor. 'Never forget,' be said, "that, as a king, you are at once the protector of religion and of your country. Consider the altar and she throne as inseparable; they must always sustain each reher. A sovereign without religion is a tyrant; and - people who have none may be decmed the most troastrous of all societies. Religion may exist without d. state ; but a state cannot exist without religion; and it is by boly laws that a political association can eline be bound. Fou should be to your people an example of piety and of virtue, but without pride or odentation. . . . . . . Remember, my sun, that it is the properity or advensity of the ruler which forms ehe happines or misery of his subjects, and that the tae of the nation depends on the conduct of the festivilual who fille the throne. The world is exposed -....natint virisitudes; learn, therrfore, to meet the © w: en of fortune with courage and fortitude, and to :...... h.r ombla with moxleration and wishom. To - on u; all-may your admimstration be such as to $\therefore \therefore$ :- at a future day, the bleninge of thone whon 1,.: i.s- canfided to our parental care upen beoth your $\therefore . .:$.ry and mitue:'1
 .. - : :me In-fire his death, inventerl sapor with the $\cdots \because$ an of mberignty, and either anowiaterl him in

[^40]the empire, or wholly ceded to him his own place. The Arabian writer, Maçoudi, declares that, sated with glory and with power, he withdrew altogether from the government, and, making over the administration of affairs to his favourite son, devoted himself to religious contemplation. ${ }^{1}$ Tabari knows nothing of the religious motive, but relates that towards the close of his life Artaxerxes 'made Sapor regent, appointed him formally to be his successor, and with his own hands placed the crown on his head. ${ }^{2}$ These notices would, by themselves, have been of small importance; but force is lent to them by the facts that Artaxerxes is found to have placed the effigy of Sapor on his later coins, ${ }^{8}$ and that in one of his bas-reliefs he seems to be represented as investing Sapor with the diadem. ${ }^{4}$ This tablet, which is at Takht-i-Bostan, has been variously explained, ${ }^{5}$ and, as it is unaccompanied by any inscription, no certain account can be given of it; but, on the whole, the opinion of those most competent to judge seems to be that the intention of the artist was to represent Artaxerxes (who wears the cap and inflated ball) as handing the diadem to Sapor-distinguished by the mural crown of his own tablets and coins ${ }^{6}$-while Ormazd, marked by his customary

[^41]

Biton, and further indicated by a halo of glory around his head, looks on, sanctioning and approving the transaction. A prostrate figure under the feet of the two Summian kings represents either Artabanus or the extinct Parthian monarchy, probably the former; while the sunflower upon which Ormazd stands, together with the rays that stream from his bead, debote an intention to present him under a Mithraitic arpect, suggestive to the beholder of a real latent ideatity between the two great objects of Persian wombip.

The coins of Artaxerses present five different typen ${ }^{1}$ In the earliest his effigy appears ou the obsetse, frone-faced, with the simple legend atransuath (Artaxerses), or sometimes with the longer one, baol atransman maka, 'Divine Artaxerxes, King;' while the reverse bears the profile of his father, Papak, look$\operatorname{lig}$ to the leff, with the legend magl papuki maLKa, 'Itivine Papak, King: ${ }^{\circ}$ or malls magt papak malea, - S.n of I ivine Papak, King.' Both heads wear the ir:.any Parthian diadem and tiara; and the head of Aras.rxes much resemblest that of Volagases V., one f :i.e laker Parthian kings. ${ }^{2}$ The coins of the next per.e: have a head on one side only. This is in :- ti.e. lowking $u$, the right, and bears a highly - A.secisul tiara, exactly like that of Mithridates I. of jartian' the preat conqueror. It is usually accom:a:.ee: !, the legend mazdiss bagi abtahshatr malas

(or malkan malka) alran, i.e. 'The Ormazd-worshipping Divine Artaxerxes, King of Iran,' or 'King of the Kings of Iran.' The reverse of these coins bears a fire-altar, with the legend artahshatr nuvazi, a phrase of doubtful import. ${ }^{1}$ In the third period, while the reverse remains unchanged, on the obverse the Parthian costume is entirely given up ; and the king takes, instead of the Parthian tiara, a low cap surmounted by the inflated ball, which thenceforth becomes the almost universal badge of a Sassanian monarch. The legend is

now longer, being commonly mazdisn bagi artahshatr malkan malka airan minuchitri min yazdan, or 'The Ormazd-worshipping Divine Artaxerxes, King of the Kings of Iran, heaven-descended of (the race of) the

[^42]Goula. . The fourth period is marked by the asamption of the mural crown, ${ }^{1}$ which in the sculptures of Artaxerxes is given only to Ormazd, but which was afterwards adopted by Sapor 1. and many later kings, ${ }^{\text {² }}$ is combination with the ball, as their usual bead-dreas. The leggend on these coins remains as in the third period, and the reverse is likewise unchanged. Finally, there are a few coins of Artaxerxes, belonging to the very close of his reign, where he is repressented with the tiara of the third period, looking to the right: while in front of him, and looking towards him, is abother profile, that of a boy, in whom numismatists revagraise his eldest son and successor, Sapor. ${ }^{3}$


LATM CITME OF ABTAXREXES I.
It i- remarkable that with the arcession of Arta-d.ex-a there is at once a revival of art. Art had sunk Oaber the Parthians. despite their (irecian leanings, :a ti.e lowent ebb which it had known in Wentern Asia -1ta - the accession of A whur-izir-pal to the throne of forsa (BC. suti). l'arthian attempts at art were $\therefore$ and far letwern, and when mathe were unhappes. t. $\therefore$ : en a ndiculous. The coine of Artaxerxes, com-

[^43]pared with those of the later Parthian monarchs, show at once a renaissance. ${ }^{1}$ The head is well cut; the features have individuality and expression; the epigraph is sufficiently legible. Still more is his sculpture calculated to surprise us. Artaxerxes represents himself as receiving the Persian diadem from the hands of Ormazd; both he and the god are mounted upon chargers of a stout breed, which are spiritedly portrayed; Artabanus lies prostrate under the feet of the king's steed, while under those of the deity's we observe the form of Ahriman, also prostrate, and indeed seemingly dead. ${ }^{2}$ Though the tablet has not really any great artistic merit, it is far better than anything that remains to us of the Parthians; it has energy and vigour ; the physiognomies are carefully rendered ; and the only flagrant fault is a certain over-robustness in the figures, which has an effect that is not altogether pleasing. Still, we cannot but see in the new Persian art-even at its very beginning-a movement towards life after a long period of stagnation; an evidence of that general stir of mind which the downfall of Tatar oppression rendered possible; a token that Aryan intelligence was beginning to recover and reassert itself in all the various fields in which it had formerly won its triumphs. ${ }^{3}$

[^44]The coinge of Artaxerses, and of the other Sassanian monarches, is based, in part upon Roman, in part upoo Parthian, modela. The Roman aureus furnishes the type which is reproduced in the Sassanian gold evins' while the silver coins follow the standard long established in Western Asia, first under the Seleucid, and then under the Ansacid princes. This standard is bosed upon the Attic drachm, which wis adopted by Alexander as the basis of his monetary system. The curious occurrence of a completely different stavilard for gold and silver in Pennin during this period is secounted for by the circumstances of the time at which the coinage took its rise. The Anacide had emploged no gold coins, ${ }^{2}$ but had been content with a silver currency; any gold coin that may have been is use among their subjects for purpowes of tride daring the continusance of their empire must have been forcign money-Roman, Bactrian, or Indian; ${ }^{3}$ but the guantity had probably for the most part been very mall. But, abrout ten years before the accession of Araserses, there had been a sudden influx into We:em Asia of Roman pold, in consequence of the Certa of the erenty concluded between Artabanus and (2, rinu- (1.b. 217), whereby Rome underurok to pay : l'arthia an indemity of above a million and a half , . .ur money. It is probable that the parment was

[^45]mostly made in aurei. Artaxerxes thus found current in the countries, which he overran and formed into an empire, two coinages-a gold and a silver-coming from different sources and possessing no common measure. It was simpler and easier to retain what existed, and what had sufficiently adjusted itself through the working of commercial needs, than to invent something new; and hence the anomalous character of the New Persian monetary system.

The remarkable bas-relief of Artaxerxes described above, ${ }^{1}$ and figured below in the chapter on the Art of the Sassanians, is accompanied by a bilingual inscription, ${ }^{2}$ or perhaps we should say by two bilingual inscriptions, which possess much antiquarian and some historic interest. The longer of the two runs as follows:-'Pathkar zan̂ mazdisn bagi Artahshatr, malkan malka Airan, minuchitri min yâztan, bari bagi Papaki malka; ' while the Greek version of it is-

## TOYTOTO!!POCOПONMACDACNOY

 ӨGOYAPTAZAPOYBACI^EWCBACIへEWN
## APIANWNEK:ENOYCOEWNYIOY

 ӨЄOYПАПАКОҮВАС:ЛЄШС.The shorter inscription runs-'Pathkar zan̂̂ Ahuramazda bagi, the Greek being

## TO:-TOTOПPOCWПONDIOCӨEOY.

[^46]The inscriptions are interesting, first, as proving the contimued use of the Greek character and language by a dymaty that was intensely national and that wished to drive the Greeks out of Asia. Secondly, they are intereating as showing the character of the native language, and lettern, employed by the Persians, when they came suddenly into notice as the ruling people of Western Avia. Thirdly, they have an historic interest in what they tell us of the relationship of Artaxerxes to Babck (Papak), of the rank of Babek, and of the relinious sympathies of the Sassanians. In this last reapect they do indeed, in themselves, little but confirm the evidence of the coins and the general voice of astiquity on the subject. Coupled, however, with the reliefs to which they are appended, they do more. They prove to us that the Persinns of the carlieat Sasneran time were not averse to exhibiting the great penonages of their theology in sculptured forms; nay, they reveal to us the sctual forms then considered appripritte to Ahura-Mazda (Ormazd) and Angro-mainyus ( Ai.roman): for we can scarcely be mistaken in regarding t.e- fremirate figure under the hoofs of Ahura-Mazda's ©ion a- the antagonist Epirit of Evil. ${ }^{1}$ Finally, the in-- apton- show that, from the commencement of their -a ormbty, the sawaian princes clamed for them--rio a qualitied divinity, assuming the title of bag,:

```
ctararef tre sucreafully de-, with anakm at the front of the
risurad bo M. Im Serr. wbo tro belmet. The connection of the
Ls or ceablad u, unanlatr the in-, merprnt of anake with Ahriman is
arijes e .Momumem be Imarrap a mell-known featum of the Zoro-
```



```
-ri Tbe nebar characier bae griii. 1-3: |lerud. i. 140; &c.).
L= m:aforim|ly mel br Mr. 'Buga is the torm unmed for
```



```
1.- Merlar llame l
    her fl regi dremiap obowe us
Lex invescase tre rppemetiled
mmenian inerriptiuna It is thero
applind tuith tu) Mrmasd and elir
inlesus destses. That the lagg or
```

or alha, ${ }^{1}$ ' god,' and taking, in the Greek version of their legends, the correspondent epithet of OEOL.
bagi of the early Sassanians repre- Pehlevi transcript of this and other sents this word is generally agread inscriptions of the early Sassanian upon. kings. It clearly represents the Jewish El, or Eloham, and the term for bagi in the Chaldæo- Arabic Allah.
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Aetieries appears to have died in b.c. $240 .{ }^{1}$ He wi. succeeded by his won, Shahpuhri, ${ }^{2}$ or Sapor, the fin: 亡imanian prince of that name. According to :Le. I'ensian historians, the mother of Sapor was a

Tve Mod,melal-Terarikh Perin (Seo Tabari, Chronigme, ii. arrow nil Agachien (iv. 24; p. P. 75: 'Andemir neron quatorzo zos. A1 and Yurschive 1 rol. i. $p$. an aprts la mort d'Ardowan: puie


 eat compar Leqoudi. con. ii $p$. ise, Whe the Aremian wrikes five s.d Inty. linty-fire, or eren E40 ioed Ifalienina, is the Jowr. co inermeland p. 148 ), they prinep cerlest ite time daring quarante-quatro ana)
: This in the firm of the name on the coins of Siapor, and in his inccriptions. The wond means 'prince'-literally • king's som from Shat (monimeted form of Habayalhoyn, - king') and meir (- Aehmmerian perion), 'an.' (\$wo Mas Chome. Hés Arman ii. 74.)
daughter of the last Parthian king, Artabanus, ${ }^{1}$ whom Artaxerxes had taken to wife after his conquest of her father. But the facts known of Sapor throw doubt on this story, ${ }^{2}$ which has too many parallels in Oriental romance to claim implicit credence. ${ }^{8}$ Nothing authentic has come down to us respecting Sapor during his father's lifetime; ${ }^{4}$ but from the moment that he mounted the throne, we find him engaged in a series of wars, which show him to have been of a most active and energetic character. Armenia, which Artaxerxes had subjected, attempted (it would seem) to regain its independence at the commencement of the new reign ; but Sapor easily crushed the nascent insurrection, ${ }^{5}$ and the Armenians made no further effort to free themselves till several years after his death. Contemporaneously with this revolt in the mountain region of the north, a danger showed itself in the plain country of the south, where Manizen, ${ }^{6}$ king of Hatra, or El Hadhr, not only declared himself independent,

[^47]but assumed dominion over the entire tract between the Euphrates and the Tigris, the Jezireh of the Ambian geographers. The strength of Hatra was groat, as had been proved by Trmjan and Severus; ${ }^{1}$ 3tes thiek walls und valiunt inhabitants would probably have defied every attempt of the Persian prince to make himelf master of it by force. He therefore cooducended to strutagetn. Manizen had a daughter Who cheriahed ambitious views. On obtaining a promiae from Supor that if she gave Hntra into his power be would make ber his queen, this unnatural child eurned against ber father, betrayed him into Sapor's hands, and thus brought the war to an end. Eapor neovered his loot territory; but he did not fulfil his largain. Inetend of marrying the traitress, he handed her over to an cxecutioner, to receive the death that she had deserved, thuugh searcely at bis hands.?

Encounaged by his succes in these two lesser conseses, Sapor resolved (apparently in A.D. $241^{3}$ ) to r-atme the lowld projects of his father, and engage in a great war with Rome. The confusion and troubles w...e ha aflicted the Roman Empire at this time were ota it as might well give him hopes of obtaining a d.e:tid advantage. Alexander, his father's adversary, iad Imen mundered in a.d. e3. by Maximin, who fron the condition of a Thrarian peranat had risen :as: , the higher ranks of the army. The upstart had

[^48]ruled like the savage that he was; and, after three years of misery, the whole Roman world had risen against him. Two emperors had been proclaimed in Africa; ${ }^{1}$ on their fall, two others had been elected by the Senate; ${ }^{2}$ a third, a mere boy, ${ }^{3}$ had been added at the demand of the Roman populace. All the pretenders except the last had met with violent deaths; and, after the shocks of a year unparalleled since A.D. 69 , the administration of the greatest kingdom in the world was in the hands of a youth of fifteen. Sapor, no doubt, thought he saw in this condition of things an opportunity that he ought not to miss, and rapidly matured his plans lest the favourable moment should pass away.

Crossing the middle Tigris into Mesopotamia, the bands of Sapor first attacked the important city of Nisibis. Nisibis, at this time a Roman colony, ${ }^{4}$ was strongly situated on the outskirts of the mountain range which traverses Northern Mesopotamia between the 37 th and 38th parallels. The place was well fortified and well defended; it offered a prolonged resistance; but at last the walls were breached, and it was forced to yield itself. ${ }^{5}$ The advance was then - made along the southern flank of the mountains, by Carrhæ (Harran) and Edessa to the Euphrates, which was probably reached in the neighbourhood of Bireh-

[^49]jik. The hordes then poured into Syrin, and, spreading themedves over that fertile region, surprised and took the metropolis of the Roman East, the rich and luxurions city of Antioch.' Bat meantime the Romans had shown a spirit which had uot been expected from them. Gordian, young as he was, had guitted Rome and marched through Maxia and Thrace into Asin, ${ }^{2}$ accompanied by a formidable army, and by at least one good general. Timesitheus, ${ }^{8}$ whose daughter Gordian had receotly married, though his life lad hitherto been that of a civilian, ${ }^{4}$ exhibited, on his elevation to the dignity of Pretorian prefect, considerable military ability. The army, nominally comansoded by Gordian, really acted under his orders. With it Timesitheus attacked and beat the bands of Sapor in a number of engagements, ${ }^{5}$ recovered Antioch, erowsed the Euphrutes, retook Garrhes, defeated the Perrian monarch in a pitched battle near Resminn ${ }^{6}$ (Rasel-Ain), recovered Nisibis, and once more planted the Ruman standards on the banks of the Tigris. sipor hatuly evacuated most of his conquests, and reuned fint acroes the Euphrates and then across the more eavern river: while the Romans advancel as he rereand, placed garrisons in the various Mesopotamian inwne, and even threatened the great city of Cusiphon. ${ }^{8}$
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Gordian was confident that his general would gain further triumphs, and wrote to the Senate to that effect; but either disease or the arts of a rival cut short the career of the victor, ${ }^{1}$ and from the time of his death the Romans ceased to be successful. The legions had, it would seem, invaded Southern Mesopotamia ${ }^{2}$ when the Prætorian prefect who had succeeded Timesitheus brought them intentionally into difficulties by his mismanagement of the commissariat ${ }^{8}$ and at last retreat was determined on. The young emperor was approaching the Khabour, and had almost reached his own frontier, when the discontent of the army, fomented by the prefect, Philip, came to a head. Gordian was murdered at a place called Zaitha, about twenty miles south of Circesium, and was buried where he fell, the soldiers raising a tumulus in his honour. His successor, Philip, was glad to make peace on any tolerable terms with the Persians; he felt himself insecure upon his throne, and was anxious to obtain the Senate's sanction of his usurpation. He therefore quitted the East in a.d. 244, having concluded a treaty with Sapor, by which Armenia seems to have been left to the Persians, while Mesopotamia returned to its old condition of a Roman province. ${ }^{4}$

[^50]The pence made between Philip and Sapor was followed by an interval of fourteens years, ${ }^{1}$ during which scarcely anything is known of the condition of Penin. We may suspect that troubles in the northenst of his empire occupied Sapor during this period, fur at the end of it we find Bactria, which was cortaninly subject to Pensia during the earlier years of the monarchy, occupying an independent position, and even assuming an attitude of hostility towards the Pensian monarch. ${ }^{3}$ Bactria had, from a remote antiputy, chaims to pre-erainence among the Aryan eations. She was more than once inclined to revolt from the Achumenidm; ${ }^{3}$ und daring the later Parthian period she had enjoyed in sort of semi-independence." It would seem that she now succeeded in detaching benself altogether from her southern neighbour, and becoming a distinct and separate power. To strengtben her position, she entered into relntions with Rome, which gladly weleomed any adhesions to her cause in this remote region.

Ayprr's arond war with Rome was, like his first, prow, ked by himself. After concluding his peace with Thap, he had sen the Roman world governed succansels by six weak emperors, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ of whom frur had hond violent deaths, while at the same time there had :min a continued series of attacks upen the northern

frontiers of the empire by Alemanni, Goths, and Franks, who had ravaged at their will a number of the finest provinces, and threatened the absolute destruction of the great monarchy of the West. ${ }^{1}$ It was natural that the chief kingdom of Western Asia should note these events, and should seek to promote its own interests by taking advantage of the circumstances of the time. Sapor, in A.D. 258, determined on a fresh invasion of the Roman provinces, and, once more entering Mesopotamia, carried all before him, became master of Nisibis, Carrhæ, and Edessa, and, crossing the Euphrates, surprised Antioch, which was wrapped in the enjoyment of theatrical and other representations, and only knew its fate on the exclamation of a couple of actors 'that the Persians were in possession of the town. ${ }^{2}$ The aged emperor, Valerian, hastened to the protection of his more eastern territories, and at first gained some successes, retaking Antioch, and making that city his head-quarters during his stay in the East. ${ }^{3}$ But, after this, the tide turned. Valerian entrusted the whole conduct of the war to Macrianus, his Prætorian prefect, whose talents he admired, and of whose fidelity he did not entertain a suspicion. ${ }^{4}$ Macrianus, however, aspired to the

[^51]empire, and intentionally brought Valerian into difficultees, ${ }^{1}$ in the bope of dingracing or removing him. His tactios were successful. The Roman army in Mesopotamia was betrayed into a situation whence ecape was impossible, and where its capitulation was auly a question of time. $\mathbf{\Delta}$ bold atteropt made to foree a way through the enemy's lines failed utterly, ${ }^{*}$ after which famine and pertilence began to do their work. In vain did the aged emperor send envoys to propase a peace, and ofler to purchase escape by the payment of on immense surn in gold. Sapor. confident of victory, refieed the overture, and, waiting patiencly tull his adversary was at the last gasp, invited him to a confercace, and then treacherously seized his person. . The army sursendered or dispensed. ${ }^{6}$ Macrianus, the Pratorian prefect, shortly nesumed the cucle of emperor, and marched against Gallienus, the oon and colleague of Valerim, who had been left to direcs afthirs in the West. But another rival started up in the Fast. Sapor conceived the idea of complicating tio Kuman affairs by himself putting forward a pre:cesder: and an obocure citizen of Antioch, a certain
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Miriades or Cyriades, ${ }^{1}$ a refugee in his camp, was invested with the purple, and assumed the title of Cæsar. ${ }^{2}$

The blow struck at Edessa laid the whole of Roman Asia open to attack, and the Persian monarch was not slow to seize the occasion. His troops crossed the Euphrates in force, and, marching on Antioch, once more captured that unfortunate town, from which the more prudent citizens had withdrawn, but where the bulk of the people, not displeased at the turn of affairs, remained and welcomed the conqueror. ${ }^{8}$ Miriades was installed in power, while Sapor himself, at the head of his irresistible squadrons, pressed forward, bursting 'like a mountain torrent' ${ }^{4}$ into Cilicia, and thence into Cappadocia. Tarsus, the birthplace of St. Paul, at once a famous seat of learning and a great emporium of commerce, fell; Cilicia Campestris was overrun ; and the passes of Taurus, deserted or weakly defended by the Romans, came into Sapor's hands. Penetrating through them and entering the champaign country beyond, his bands soon formed the siege of

[^52]

Crearea Mazaca, the greatest city of these parts, estimated at this time to have contained a population of four hundred thousand souls. Demosthenes, the povernor of Clasurea, defended it bravely, and, had force only been used against him, might have presailed ; but Supor found friends within the walls, and by their help made himself master of the place, while ite bold defender was obliged to content himself with escaping by cutting his way through the victorious bos. ${ }^{1}$ All Asia Minor now seemed open to the esonqueror: and it is difficult to understand why he did not at any rate attempt a permanent occupation of the territory which be had so easily overrun. But is secms certain that he entertained no such idea. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ IUerastacion and plunder, revenge and gain, not permanent conquest, were his objects; and hence his course was everywhere marked by ruin and carnage, by muoking towns, nuvaged fields, and heaps of slain. Wis croelties have no doubt been exaggerated; but a.et: w. harar that he filled the ravines and vallegs of 1. A:tadnaia with dead bexdies, and so leal his cavalry $+:-\infty \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{~m}$ : "that he depopulaterl Antioch, killing or arty:ug off inte olavery almost the whole population ; -a: :a, affirend his primmers in many cases to perish
$\therefore$ :angr. and that he drove them to water once a A: ik. larove." wer may be sure that the guise in - . h. he ohowed himelf to the Romans wat that of a :. rea.ene -rourge-an avenger bent on spreating the $\because$ O.: if hi. nume- not of one who really sought $w$ - aree the hatits of his empire.
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tion, until the retreat began, we hear but of one check that the bands of Sapor received. It had been determined to attack Emesa (now Hems), one of the most important of the Syrian towns, where the temple of Venus was known to contain a vast treasure. The invaders approached, scarcely expecting to be resisted; but the high priest of the temple, having collected a large body of peasants, appeared, in his sacerdotal robes, at the head of a fanatic multitude armed with slings, and succeeded in beating off the assailants. \({ }^{1}\) Emesa, its temple, and its treasure, escaped the rapacity of the Persians; and an example of resistance was set, which was not perhaps without important consequences.

For it seems certain that the return of Sapor across the Euphrates was not effected without considerable loss and difficulty. On his advance into Syria he had received an embassy from a certain Odenathus, a Syrian or Arab chief, who occupied a position of semiindependence at Palmyra, which, through the advantages of its situation, had lately become a flourishing commercial town. Odenathus sent a long train of camels laden with gifts, consisting in part of rare and precious merchandise, to the Persian monarch, begging him to accept them, and claiming his favourable regard on the ground that he had hitherto refrained from all acts of hostility against the Persians. It appears that Sapor took offence at the tone of the communication, which was not sufficiently humble to please him. Tearing the letter to fragments and trampling it beneath his feet, he exclaimed-' Who is this Odenathus, and of what country, that he ventures thus to

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Johann. Malal. Chronographia, xii. p. 296.
}
sdines his lord? Let him now, if he would lighten his punishment, come here and fall prostrate befone me with hie hatads tied behind his back. Should he refuee, let him be well assured that I will destroy himsolf, his nice, and his land.' At the same time he onlerad his servints to cast the costly presents of the Falmyrune prince into the Euphrates. \({ }^{1}\)

Thia arrogant and offensive behaviour naturally turned the willing friend into an enemy. Odenathus, finding himeelf forood into a bostile position, took arms sund wutched his opportunity. So long as Supor montinued to sdvance, he kept aloof. As soon, howeves, as the retreat commencod, and the Penian urny, encumbered with ita spoil and captives, proceeded to tonke ise way back alowly and painfully to the Euphonites, Odenathus, who had collected a large force, in jeart from the Syrian villages, \({ }^{2}\) in part from the wild tribe of Arabin,' made his appearance in the field. \#is light and agile horsemen havered about the Branh hom, cut off their stragglers, made prize of zos l of their opoil, and even captured a portion of : © wruph, of the (ireat king.' The harased troops w.:- gion when they had placed the Euphrates be-:a-ve themwlee and their pursuer, and congratulated -2 : chere on their exalpe. \({ }^{6}\) so much had they - Exenl. and w little did they feel equal to further

\footnotetext{
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conflicts, that on their march through Mesopotamia they consented to purchase the neutrality of the people of Edessa by making over to them all the coined money that they had carried off in their Syrian raid. \({ }^{1}\) After this it would seem that the retreat was unmolested, and Sapor succeeded in conveying the greater part of his army, together with his illustrious prisoner, to his own country.

With regard to the treatment that Valerian received at the hands of his conqueror, it is difficult to form a decided opinion. The writers nearest to the time speak vaguely and moderately, merely telling us that he grew old in his captivity, \({ }^{2}\) and was kept in the condition of a slave. \({ }^{8}\) It is reserved for authors of the next generation \({ }^{4}\) to inform us that he was exposed to the constant gaze of the multitude, fettered, but clad in the imperial purple; \({ }^{5}\) and that Sapor, whenever he mounted on horseback, placed his foot upon his prisoner's neck. \({ }^{6}\) Some add that, when the un-

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Pet. Patric. Fr. 11.
\({ }^{2}\) Historia Augusta, Valer. § 7 :
- Valeriano apud Persas consenescente.' Macrian. § 12 : ' Infelicisimo, quod senex apud Persas consenuit.'
\({ }^{3}\) Ibid.Gallien. § 1 : ' Erat ingens omnibus moeror, quod imperator Romanus in Perside serviliter teneretur.'

4The stories of the extreme illtreatment of Valerian start with Lactantius, or the author of the treatise De Morte Persecutorum, whoever he may be. This author wrote between A.D. 312 and 315 (Smith's Dict. of Biography, ad voc. Cæcilius), or above fifty years after the capture of Valerian. He asserts positively (c. s.) the use of Valerian as a footstool by Sapor, and the hanging of his skin in a temple, where it was often seen by Roman
}
ambassadors. Lactantius is followed by Eusebius of Cæsarea, excepting with regard to the employment of Valerian as a footstool; and then the tales are repeated by Aurelius Victor (De Casaribus, c. 33), by his epitomator (Epit. c. 32), by Orosius (viii. 22), and by Petrus Patricius (Fr. 13). On the whole it seems to me that the preservation of the skin is probably true (Euseb. Vit. Constant. iv. Il; Orat. Cmstant. xxiv. 2; Lactant. De M. P. c. 5) ; but that the employment of the captive emperor as a stool from which Sapor mounted his horse is a rhetorical invention of Lactantius, fifty years after the time, from whom alone later writers received it.
\({ }^{5}\) Euseb. Orat. Constant. xxiv 2.
- Lactant. I.s.c.; Victor, Epit. 32 ; Oros. vii. 22.
happy captive died, abont the year A.D. 265 or 266 , his body was flayed, atad she skin inflated and hung up to view in one of the most frequentad temples of Persia, where it was seen by Roman envoys on their visits to the Great King'e court.'

It is impossible to deny that Oriental barbarism may concervably have gone to these lengthe; and it is in favour of the truth of the details that Roman vanity would anturally have been opposed to their invention. Huk, on the other hand, we have to remember that in the East the parson of a king is generally regarded as mecrad, and that self-interest restrains the conquering monarch from dishonouring one of his own clask. We have also to give due weight to the fact that the errlier authorities are silent with respect to any such strocities, and that they are first related half a century afler the time when they are suid to have oocurred. Under these circumstances the soupticism of Gibbon mith reapect to them \({ }^{2}\) is perhaps more worthy of winmendation than the ready faith of a recent French wnter. \({ }^{3}\)

It may ise added that Oriental monarchs, when they are cruel, do not show themselves ashamed of their co.dtus. but usually relate them openly in their ane ripuona, or represent them in their bas-relicfs. \({ }^{4}\) The romana ameriked on geod grounds to Sapor do Dos. boswever, coukuin anything contirmatory of the
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stories which we are considering. Valerian is represented on them in a humble attitude, \({ }^{1}\) but not fettered, \({ }^{2}\) and never in the posture of extreme degradation commonly associated with his name. He bends his knee, as no doubt he would be required to do, on being brought into the Great King's presence; but otherwise he does not appear to be subjected to any indignity. It seems thus to be on the whole most probable that the Roman emperor was not more severely treated than the generality of captive princes, and that Sapor has been unjustly taxed "with abusing the rights of conquest. \({ }^{8}\)

The hostile feeling of Odenathus against Sapor did not cease with the retreat of the latter across the Euphrates. The Palmyrene prince was bent on taking advantage of the general confusion of the times to carve out for himself a considerable kingdom, of which Palmyra should be the capital. Syria and Palestine on the one hand, Mesopotamia on the other, were the provinces that lay most conveniently near to him, and that he especially coveted. But Mesopotamia had remained in the possession of the Persians as the prize of their victory over Valerian, and could only be obtained by wresting it from the hands into which it had fallen. Odenathus did not shrink from this contest. It has been with some reason conjectured \({ }^{4}\) that Sapor must have been at this time occupied with troubles which

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) See Flandin, pls. 33, 49, 53, \&c.; Texier, pl. 129, \&c.
\({ }_{2}\) It has been said that there is one exception (Thomas in As. Soc. Jotrnal, vol. iii. N. S. p. 304). But the figure referred to represents, I believe, Miriades. (See the cut, opp. p. 91. )
\({ }^{3}\) Tabari is the only Oriental
writer who reports that Valerian was used cruelly; but his statement that Sapor cut off his prisoner's nose and then set him at liberty (Chronique, tom. ii. p. 80) can scarcely be thought worthy of credit.
- Niebuhr, Lectures on Ancient History, vol. iii. p. 295.
}
had broken out on the eastern side of his empire. At any rute, it appears that. Odenathus, after a short coutest with Macrianus and his son, Quietus, \({ }^{1}\) turned his amms once more, about A.D. 263, against the Persians, enveed the Euphrates into Mesopotamia, took Carrho and Nisibis, defeated Sapor and some of his sons in a battle, \({ }^{2}\) and drove the entire Perxian host in confusion to the gates of Cresiphon. He even returned to form the siege of that city; \({ }^{8}\) but it was not long before effectual relief arrived; from all the provinces flocked in contingents for the defence of the Western capital ; several engagements were fought, in some of which Odenathos was defeated; \({ }^{4}\) and at last he found himself involved in dilliculties through his ignonance of the localities \({ }^{5}\) and so thought it best to retire. Apparently his retrent was undisturbed; he sucoeded in carrying of his booty and his prisoners, atnong whom were several satrups, \({ }^{\text { }}\) and lie retained possession of MesupoRasmia, which continued to form a part of the Palmyr.ine king dom until the capture of Zanobia by Aurelian
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the titles of 'Augustus,' which he received from the Roman emperor, Gallienus, \({ }^{1}\) and 'king of kings,' which he assumed upon his coins. \({ }^{2}\) He did not press further upon Sapor; nor did the Roman emperor make any serious attempt to recover his father's person or revenge his defeat upon the Persians. An expedition which he sent out to the East, professedly with this object, in the year a.d. 267, failed utterly, its commander, Heraclianus, being completely defeated by Zenobia, the widow and successor of Odenathus. \({ }^{8}\) Odenathus himself was murdered by a kinsman three or four years after his great successes; and, though Zenobia ruled his kingdom almost with a man's vigour, \({ }^{4}\) the removal of his powerful adversary must have been felt as a relief by the Persian monarch. It is evident, too, that from the time of the accession of Zenobia, the relations between Rome and Palmyra had become unfriendly; \({ }^{5}\) the old empire grew jealous of the new kingdom which had sprung up upon its borders; and the effect of this jealousy, while it lasted, was to secure Persia from any attack on the part of either.

It appears that Sapor, relieved from any further necessity of defending his empire in arms, employed the remaining years of his life in the construction of great works, and especially in the erection and ornamentation of a new capital. The ruins of Shahpur, which still exist near Kazerun, in the

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) 'Odenathum, participato imperio, Augustum vocavit' (Hist. Aug. Gallien. § 12).
\({ }^{2}\) See De Champagny, Cesars, \&c. tom. iii. p. 45.
\({ }^{3}\) Hist. Aug. Gallien. § 13.
- 'Zenobia Palmyrenis et orien-
talibus plerisque viriliter imperante' ibid. (Compare the letter of Aurelian to the Senate, preserved in the Hist. August., Triginta Tyranni, Zenob. § 30.)
\({ }^{3}\) See above, note \({ }^{3}\); and compare Hist. Aug. Claud. § 4.
}

province of Fars, \({ }^{1}\) commemornte the name, and afford some indication of the grandeur, of the second Persian monurch. Beides remains of buildings, they comprise a number of bas-refiefs and rock inscriptions, some of which were beyond a doubt set up by Eapor 1. \({ }^{2}\) In one of the most remarkable the Persan monarch is represented on bonseback, wearing the crown uxual upon his coins, and holding by the hand a tunicked figare, probably Miriades, whom he is preseating to the captured Romans as their sovereigo. Foremnes to do him bomage is the kneeling figure of a chieftain, probably Valerian, behind whom are arrangel in a double line seventeen persons, representing apparently the different corps of the Roman army. All these permons are on frot, while in contrast with them are arranged behind Bapor ten guards on horrselask, who repreent his irresistible cavalry,' Another basretief at the sume place ' gives us a general view of the triumplo of Sapor on his return to Persia with : Illatriou- priwner. Here fifty-seven guards are rone- : inchund him. while in front are thirty-three 1e.n:- Inarer. having with them an elephant and a - ara, \(\mathrm{I}_{4}\) the "entre is a group of seven figures. ...e:rnome sapor, whe is on horethack in his usual - Atome: Valerian, whe is under the horen's feet: Mrato. who stand hy sumer's side : three principal

\footnotetext{
Vai- !m. Hide of ftrac. vol. i. of the chief figum to the head upon








}
tribute-bearers in front of the main figure; and a Victory which floats in the sky.

Another important work, assigned by tradition to Sapor I., is the great dyke at Shuster. This is a dam across the river Karun, formed of cut stones, cemented by lime, and fastened together by clamps of iron; it is twenty feet broad, and no less than twelve hundred feet in length. The whole is a solid mass excepting in the centre, where two small arches have been constructed for the purpose of allowing a part of the stream to flow in its natural bed. The greater portion of the water is directed eastward into a canal cut for it; and the town of Shuster is thus defended on both sides by a water barrier, whereby the position becomes one of great strength. \({ }^{1}\) Tradition says that Sapor used his power over Valerian to obtain Roman engineers for this work; \({ }^{2}\) and the great dam is still known as the Bund-i-Kaisar, \({ }^{8}\) or 'dam of Cæsar,' to the inhabitants of the neighbouring country.

Besides his works at Shahpur and Shuster, Sapor set up memorials of himself at Haji-abad, Nakhsh-iRajab, and Nakhsh-i-Rustam, near Persepolis, at Darabgerd in South-eastern Persia, and elsewhere; most of which still exist and have been described by various travellers. \({ }^{*}\) At Nakhsh-i-Rustam, Talerian is seen making his submission in one tablet, \({ }^{5}\) while

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) See the Journal of the Geogra- | p. 129 : Ker Porter, Trarels, vol. i. phinal Socirty, rol. ix. pp is-4: pp 540-5i5: Malcolm, Hist. of vol. xvi. pp. 2 - -8; Loftus, Chal- Persia, rol. i. p. 254 : Flandin, diea and Smsciona, p. 298.

Ioyage en Perse, tom: ii. pp. 9:-
* Tabari. Chrowique, tom.ii. p. 80. 135, ac.; Trxier, Description de
 groph. Jowernal, rol. ix. p. i5: rol. 231 , \&c.
xri. \(p\). 2 .
- Niebuhr, C., Voyages tom. ii. Texier, pl. 129.
}
another exhibits the glories of Sapor's court. \({ }^{2}\) The sculptures are in some instances accomparied by inscriptions. One of these is, like those of Artaxerxes, bilingual, Greek and Persian. The Greek inscription runs as follows :-

\section*{TOMPOCORONTOYTOMACAACNOYEEOY \\ CAПWPOYBACINEWCBACI^E \(W\) NAPIANWN \\ KAIANAPIANLUNEKRENOYCEEWNYIOY \\ MACAACNOYE€OYAPTAEAPOYBACIAEWO \\ BACIAEWNAPIANWNEKTENOYCEEWN \\ EKRONOYӨєOYחARAKOYBACIAEWC}

Its Pemian transcript is read thus:-Pathkar ( \({ }^{(\prime)}\) sani masdimn bag Shahpuhri, malkan malka Airan ve Aniran minuchitri min yastan, bari masdion bag Artahkhetr motlan malka Airan, mimuchitri min yastan, napi bag Papaki malla.' \({ }^{\prime 3}\) In the main, Sapor, it will be arth. follows the phrases of his father Artaxerxes: th: he clams a wiler dominion. Artaxerses is con:ot: : :- rule over Ariana (or Iran) ouly; his son calls :aneif lurd lacth of the Arians and the mon-Arians, or if Iran and Turan. We may conclude from this as ;r, hatie that he held wome S.rethic tribee under his -xas. prokatly in segetan, or seistin, the country

\footnotetext{
Toswer. fi 150.


:-: :- -at. Imorpunmo do Val.


- - je can to theo reodend


- - \& A s.est Area aod mun-Aran,
bearen-deacended, of the race of ther ginta. an of the (Irmazd-worebipping divinn Irtaxerxen, king of the kiligin of Aria, hearen-do.ecenderl, if the rave of the poxbe. stasideots of the diviue P'apak, the. King.' Sore llaug un the Haji-abed Inenpison, which commencee in exartif the amine way. (Nd Ithh-laro-litedend lilweary. PP. AN-i).)
}
south and east of the Hamoon, or lake in which the Helmend is swallowed up. Scythians had been settled in these parts, and in portions of Affghanistan and India, since the great invasion of the Yue-chi, \({ }^{1}\) about B.c. 200 ; and it is not unlikely that some of them may have passed under the Persian rule during the reign of Sapor, but we have no particulars of these conquests.

Sapor's coins resemble those of Artaxerxes in general type, \({ }^{2}\) but may be distinguished from them,

first, by the head-dress, which is either a cap terminating in the head of an eagle, or else a mural crown surmounted by an inflated ball; and, secondly, by the emblem on the reverse, which is almost always a firealtar between two supporters. \({ }^{8}\) The ordinary legend on

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Compare the Author's Sixth \({ }^{3}\) A few coins of Sapor I. have, Monarchy, p. 115.
\({ }_{2}\) See Longperier, Medailles des on the reverse, a fire-altar without supporters, like the coins of his Sassanides, pl. 3 and pp. 13-18. \(\mid\) father.
}


COIN OF SAPOR I.
the exins is 'Masdisn bag Shalipuhri, malkan malla diran, minuchitri min yasdan' on the obverse; and ou the reverse 'Shahpuhri nueazi.'

It appears from these legends, and from the inscription above given, that Sapor was, like his father, a zealous Zoroastrins. His faith was exposed to coosiderable trial. Never was there a time of greater religious ferment in the East, or a crisis which more shook men's belief in ancestral creeds. The absurd idahary which had genemally prevailed through Western Asia fur two thousand years-a nature-worship which gave the sanction of religion to the gratification of tuen's lowest propensitios-was shaken to its foundation; asil everywhere men were striving after something higher, nobler, and truer than had antisfied previoun geonenations for tweuty centuries. The sudden reviviGation of Zoroantrianism, affer it had been depreseed and elmost forgotten for five bundred yenrs, was one result of this stir of men's minds. Another result was :!.e rapil progress of Christianity, which in the course .f: th. :hirl century overpread large portions of the Fant, ranimg ite.lf with great firmness in Armenia, and sin:ammg a hold to mome extent on Babylonia, lactria, atc: feriapmeven on India. Judaism, also, which had - Hi, had a foroting in Mesopotamia, and which after ti.. ume of Hadrian may be regarded as having its :.andianters at Babylon-Judaism itself, usually m A: :arsable, at this time showerl signs of life: and ci.suge, taking soncthing like a new form in the - i.and wherein was compiled the vast and strange


\footnotetext{



}

Amid the strife and jar of so many conflicting systems, each having a root in the past, and each able to appeal with more or less of force to noble examples of virtue and constancy among its professors in the present, we cannot be surprised that in some minds the idea grew up that, while all the systems possessed some truth, no one of them was perfect or indeed much superior to its fellows. Eclectic or syncretic views are always congenial to some intellects; and in times when religious thought is deeply stirred, and antagonistic creeds are brought into direct collision, the amiable feeling of a desire for peace comes in to strengthen the inclination for reconciling opponents by means of a fusion, and producing harmony by a happy combination of discords. It was in Persia, and in the reign of Sapor, that one of the most remarkable of these well-meaning attempts at fusion and reconcilation that the whole of history can show was made, and with results which ought to be a lasting warning to the apostles of comprehension. A certain Mani (or Manes, as the ecclesiastical writers call him \({ }^{1}\) ), born in Persia about A.D. \(240,{ }^{2}\) grew to manhood under Sapor, exposed to the various religious influences of which we have spoken. With a mind free from prejudice and open to conviction, he studied the various systems of belief which he found established in Western Asia-the Cabalism of the Babylonian Jews, the Dualism of the Magi, the mysterious doctrines of the Christians, and even the Buddhism of India. \({ }^{8}\) At first he inclined to Christianity, and is said

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Routh, Reliquia Sacra, vol. iv. pp. 147, 153, \&c.; Augustin, De Nat. Boni, p. 515 ; Contr. Faust. passim ; Epiphan. Adv. Hares. 1xvi.
\({ }^{2}\) Burton, Eccles. Hist. of First Three Centuries, vol. ii. p. 408.
\({ }^{3}\) Epiphan. Adv. Hares. lxvi. §§ 1-\$. Compare Milman, History
}
to have been admitted to priest's orders and to have simistured to a congregation; \({ }^{1}\) but after a time be thought that he saw his way to the formation of a new creed, which should combine all that was best in the religious systems which he was acquainted with, and omit what was superfluous or objectionable. He adopted the Dunlism of the Zoroustrians, the metempychosis of India, the angelism and demonism of the Talrusd, and the Trinitarianism of the Gospel of Christ. Christ Bimself he identified with Mithra, and guve Inm his dwelling in the sun. He assumed to be the Pasuclete promised by Christ, who should guide simen into all truth, and claimed that his "Ertang," e sacred book illustrated by pictures of his own proveting, should supersede the New Testament. \({ }^{2}\) Such pretensions were not likuly to be tolerated by the Cursatime coumunity; and Manes had not put them forward very long when he was expelled from the churchs \({ }^{2}\) and furced to carry his tewching elsowhere. If,ler ther circum-times he is said to have addressed itatacit :" Sapor, who wats at finst inclined to show him shese i.avour: ' but when he found out what the doc-

trines of the new teacher actually were, his feelings underwent a change, and Manes, proscribed, or at any rate threatened with penalties, had to retire into a foreign country. \({ }^{1}\)

The Zoroastrian faith was thus maintained in its purity by the Persian monarch, who did not allow himself to be imposed upon by the specious eloquence of the new teacher, but ultimately rejected the strange amalgamation that was offered to his acceptance. It is scarcely to be regretted that he so determined. Though the morality of the Manichees was pure, \({ }^{2}\) and though their religion is regarded by some as a sort of Christianity, there were but few points in which it was an improvement on Zoroastrianism. Its Dualism was pronounced and decided; its Trinitarianism was questionable; its teaching with respect to Christ destroyed the doctrines of the incarnation and atonement; its 'Ertang' was a poor substitute for Holy Scripture. Even its morality, being deeply penetrated with asceticism, was of a wrong type and inferior to that preached by Zoroaster. Had the creed of Manes been accepted by the Persian monarch, the progress of real Christianity in the East would, it is probable, have been impeded rather than forwarded-the general currency of the debased amalgam would have checked the introduction of the pure metal.

It must have been shortly after his rejection of the

45-65.) It seems to result from the extreme difference between the interpretations of these two scholars, that the language of the early Sassanian inscriptions is as yet too imperfectly known to allow of any conclusions being drawn from them, excepting where they are accompanied by a Greek transcript. Maçoudi says that, on the first.
preaching of Manes, Sapor ' abjured the doctrine of the Magi to embrace that of the new teacher,' but that he afterwards returned to the worship of his ancestors (tom. ii. p. 164).
\({ }^{1}\) Burton, l.s.c. ; Milman, p. 263.
\({ }^{2}\) Augustin. Contr. Fortunat. ad init. ; Contr. Faust. v. l.
tenching of Mumes that Sapor died, having reigned shirty-ode years, from A.D. 240 to a.d. 271. He was undoubtedly one of the most remarkable princes of the Bownmian series. In military talent, indeed, he may sure have equalled lis father; for though he defeated Valerian, he had to confeas himself inferior to Odenathus. But in general governmental ability he is amogg the foremont of the Ner-Persinn monarchs, and may coutpare favourably with almose any prince of the secries. He baffled Odenathus, when he was not able to defeat him, by placing himself behind walls, and by bringing into play thoes advantages which naturally belongerl to the position of a monarch attacked in lis awn country. \({ }^{1}\) He maintained, if he did not permasomely adyance, the power of Fensia in the west; while in the enst it is probable that he considerably extended the bounds of his dominion. \({ }^{8}\) In the internal administration of his empire, be united works of usefalmes \({ }^{2}\) with the construction of memorials which had Aly a -rntmental and acthetic value. He was a liberal an: © of of art, and in thoupht not to have contined his

 :- -anemty len away by the onthovian of a youna: : Son irmehimker. He derided to mantain the \(\therefore \therefore\) - \(\because\) - \(\because \cdot 0 \cdot \mathrm{~m}\) that had downded to him from his s:a.c.ers. and turned a deaf ear to per-na-ions that

would have led him to revolutionise the religious


HRAD OF SAPOR I. (from a gem). opinion of the East without placing it upon a satisfactory footing. The Orientals add to these commendable features of character, that he was a man of remarkable beauty, \({ }^{1}\) of great personal courage, and of a noble and princely liberality. According to them, 'he only desired wealth that he might use it for good and great purposes. \({ }^{2}\)
\({ }^{1}\) Tabari, Chronique, tom. ii. p. |given tends to confirm the testi81 ; Maçoudi, Prairies d' Or, tom. ii. mony.
p. 160, tom. iv. p. 83 ; Mirkhond, Histoire des Sassanides, pp. 285-7.
The portrait on the gem above
\({ }_{2}\) Malcolm, History of Persia, vol. i. p. 99.

\section*{CHAPTER V.}

 eis Chretions His Relatime wieh Zerobis If is evreatened by torelim. Hie Dwaki. Figign of Farulenm II. Hia Tyranminal Cow-

 Hu Dieth Shart Rrige of Thenairen III.
 Anast. iv. \(\beta\). 184, C.

The finst and second kings of the Neo-Persian Empire were men of mark and renown. Their successors fire several generations were, comparatively speaking, forble and insignificint. The first burst of vigour and freshnes which commonly attende the advent to power of a thew race in the Fant, or the recovery of its former ;-…u liy an oid bic, had paned away, and was -..........l. as os witen happens, by reaction and ex-:.a..-. : : , i.e momaroh becoming luxurious and inert, *.... :i.. prople willingly acquiesced in a policy of - :...! : the primiph. was • Kest and be thankful.' It :. ;-! in kerp matters in this quement state, that the k.fig. who ruled during this periox had, in almoet . .. :y inctane. -hort reigns, four monarchs coming to :f.e :hron.e and dyang wathin the space of a little more :iath iw. i'y ube years.' The first of these four wis H.:ri...-daias. Monnivdas, or Hormuz: the son of Sapor,

\footnotetext{
1 We Agsitace iv. p. 1.4 : Fiu-


}
who succeeded his father in A.d. 271. His reign lasted no more than a year and ten days, \({ }^{1}\) and was distinguished by only a single event of any importance. Mani, who had fled from Sapor, ventured to return to Persia on the accession of his son, \({ }^{2}\) and was received with respect and favour. Whether Hormisdas was inclined to accept his religious teaching or no, we are not told; but at any rate he treated him kindly, allowed him to propagate his doctrines, and even assigned him as his residence a castle named Arabion. From this place Mani proceeded to spread his views among the Christians of Mesopotamia, and in a short time succeeded in founding the sect which, under the name of Manichæans or Manichees, gave so much trouble to the Church for several centuries. Hormisdas, who, according to some, \({ }^{8}\) founded the city of RamHormuz in Eastern Persia, died in A.d. 272, and was succeeded by his son or brother, \({ }^{4}\) Vararanes or Varahran. \({ }^{5}\) He left no inscriptions, and it is doubted whether we possess any of his coins. \({ }^{6}\)
sians into Hormuz. The form of
the name on the coins of Hormisdas II. is Authrmazdi.
\({ }^{1}\) Agath. l.s.c. Compare Tabari, ii. p. 89 ; Maçoudi, ii. p. 166.
\({ }_{2}\) So Milman (History of Christianity, val. ii. p. 272); but Malcolm places his return to Persia under Varahran I. (Hist. of Persia, vol. i. p. 101). So Mirkhond (Histoire des Sassanides, p. 295).
\({ }_{3}\) Macoudi, tom. ii. p. 166 ; Malcolm, Hist. of Persia, vol. i. p. 100 ; Mirkhond, Histoire des Sassanides, p. 293.
\({ }^{4}\) Maçoudi tellsus (tom. ii.p. 238) that, according to Abu Obeidah, Varahran was the son of Sapor and brother of Hormisdas; but all other authorities, so far as I know, make him the son of Hormisdas.
- The orthography of the name upon the coins is Varahran (Longpérier, Médailles, p. 20). This the Greeks expressed by Ouapiuns, or Oúapaoávys. The later Persians corrupted the name into Bahram.
That the Achæmenian Persians had some similar contracted form of the word appears from the name Pharandates, or Pherendates. (See Sir H. Rawlinson's remarks on this name in the Author's Herodotus, vol. iii. p. 452, 2nd ed.)
\({ }^{6}\) Mr. Thomas does not allow that any of the extant coins belong to Hormisdas the First (see Num. Chron. for 1872, p. 105). Mordtmann (Zeitschrift, vol. viii. pp. 37-9; vol. xix. pp. 423, 478) regards as his the coins having the lion-crested cap with a flower rising from the

Varahran I., whose reign lasted three years only, \({ }^{1}\) from A.D. 272 to 275 , is declared by the native historians to have been a mild and amiable prince; \({ }^{2}\) but the little that is positively known of him does not bear out this testimony. It seems certain that he put Mani to death, and probable that he enticed him to leave the shelter of his castle by artifice, \({ }^{8}\) thus showing himself not only harsh but treacherous towards the unfortunate heresiarch. If it be true that he caused him to be flayed alive, \({ }^{4}\) we can scarcely exonerate him from the charge of actual cruelty, unless indeed we regard the punishment as an ordinary mode of execution in Persia. \({ }^{5}\) Perhaps, however, in this case, as in other similar ones, there is no sufficient evidence that the process of flaying took place until the culprit was dead, \({ }^{6}\) the real object of the excoriation being, not the
summit. Theee coins, however, must, from the Indian emblems on come of them (Thomas, l.s.c.), bolong to Hormisdes II. As the portraits on these coins and on those with the eagle cap are wholly difterent, I suspect that the latter may be coins of the first Hormisdas.


CULX of hormisdas i.
The gem regarded by Mordtmann as bearing the name and head of the tirst Hormisdas (Zeitschrift, vol. x viii. p. 7; pl. i. fig. 5) must be ascigued to the second prince of the name, from the reeemblance of the
head to the portraits on the lion coins.
\({ }^{1}\) Agath. iv. p. 134, D : rpociv íté ßaбulévas. So Maçoudi (ii. p. 167). Eutych. vol. i. p. 384 : 'Tres annos cum tribus mensibus regnavit.'
\({ }^{2}\) Malcolm, History of Persia, l.s.c. ; Tabari, tom. ii. p. 89 ; Mirkhond, Histoire des Sassanides, l.s.c.
\({ }^{3}\) So Milman (Hist. of Christianity, vol. ii. p. 272). Compare Maçoudi, tom. if. p. 167.

4 Milman, l.s.c.; Mirkhond, p. 293 ; Suidas ad voc. \&c.
\(s\) Besides Valerian (who, according to some, was flayed alive) and Manes, we hear of a certain Nachoragan being flayed alive by Chosroës (Agath. iv. p. 132, L). Some of the ecclesiastical writers call flaying alive 'the Persian punishment' (Theodoret, Adv. Hareses, i. 26; Cyrill. Catech. vii.). It is also mentioned as a Persian custom by Faustus (Bibl. Hist. iv. 21).
- In early times the Achsmenian
infliction of pain, but the preservation of a memorial which could be used as a warning and a terror to others. The skin of Mani, stuffed with straw, was no doubt suspended for some time after his execution over one of the gates of the great city of Shahpur; \({ }^{1}\) and it is possible that this fact may have been the sole ground of the belief (which, it is to be remembered, was not universal \({ }^{2}\) ) that he actually suffered death by flaying.

The death of the leader was followed by the persecution of his disciples. Mani had organised a hierarchy, consisting of twelve apostles, seventy-two bishops, and a numerous priesthood; \({ }^{3}\) and his sect was widely established at the time of his execution. Varahran handed over these unfortunates, or at any rate such of them as he was able to seize, to the tender mercies of the Magians, who put to death great numbers of Manichæans. Many Christians at the same time perished, either because they were confounded with the followers of Mani, or because the spirit of persecution, once let loose, could not be restrained, but passed on from ,victims of one class to those of another, the Magian priesthood seizing the opportunity of devoting all heretics to a common destruction.

\footnotetext{
Perians flayed men after killing
 The same was the practice of the European Scythians (ibid. iv. 64). It may be suspected that the flaying process which is represented in the Assyrian eculptures was performed on dead bodies (Ancient Monarchies, vol i. p. 244, 2nd edition). Malcolm cautiously says of Mani: - Mani and almost all his disciples were put to death by order of Ba haram ; and the okin of the impostor was hung up;' which does not imply flaying alive (seo Hiet.
of Persia, vol. i. p. 101).
\({ }^{1}\) Malcolm, 1.s.c.; Mirkhond, l.s.c.;
Tabari, tom. ii. p. 90.
\({ }^{2}\) Burton says: 'Manes was put to death, either by crucifurion or by excoriation' (Lectures on the First. Three Centuries, vol. ii. p. 410), which shows that two accounts were known to him. Eutychius gives a different account from either of these. According to him, Varahran 'cut Manes asunder' (' Manem prehensum medium divisit Behram,' vol. i. p. 301).
\({ }^{3}\) Milman, vol. ii. p. 273.
}

Thus unhappy in his domestic administration, Varahisan was not much more fortunate in his wars. Zenobia, the queen of the Fast, held for some time to the policy of her illustrious hustmod, maintaining a pouition inimical alike to Rome and Persia from the death of Odenathus in s.b. 267 to Aurelian's expedition aguinst her in A.D. 272 . When, however, in this year, Aurelian marched to attuck her with the full forces of the empire, ehe recognised the necusity of calling to her aid other troops besides her own. It wns at this time that ahe made overtures to the Persinns, which were favourably reccived; \({ }^{1}\) and, in the year A.D. 273, Persiom troopm are mentioned umong three with whom Aurelian contended in the vicinity of Palmyre. \({ }^{2}\) But the succours sent were inconsideruble, and were exily overpowered by the arts or arms of the emperor. The young king had not the cournge to throw himself boldly into the war. He allowed Zenobia to be defeated and reduoed to extremities without making anything like an varneot ur teterminest vflurt ton save ber. He contimed lior ally, iodercl, to the exnd, and protahly Atored her an acylum at has cours, it -t, were comperibed to quit her - acal: hat ceren thia pare bexth he
arernted from conterring by the capture of the \(\therefore\) atanat primeos juy as ohe reacheal the banks \(\therefore\) : O. Suphrato. \({ }^{3}\)
I: - !. and which he lent Zanobia, Varahran, while - !at! ione tax litile to affiot in any degree the iowe

\footnotetext{


\(\therefore \therefore\) • \(!=\)
capta. (Viphac. lece.)
- lajelan cum fuperet caturlio,
}
of the struggle, had done quite enough to provoke Rome and draw down upon him the vengeance of the Empire. It seems that he quite realised the position in which circumstances had placed him. Feeling that he had thrown out a challenge to Rome, and yet shrinking from the impending conflict, he sent an embassy to the conqueror, deprecating his anger and seeking to propitiate him by rare and costly gifts. Among these were a purple robe \({ }^{1}\) from Cashmere, or some other remote province of India, of so brilliant a hue that the ordinary purple of the imperial robes could not compare with it, and a chariot like to those in which the Persian monarch was himself wont to be carried. \({ }^{2}\) Aurelian accepted these gifts; and it would seem to follow that he condoned Varahran's conduct, and granted him terms of peace. Hence, in the triumph which Aurelian celebrated at Rome in the year a.d. 274, no Persian captives appeared in the procession, but Persian envoys \({ }^{8}\) were exhibited instead, who bore with them the presents wherewith their master had appeased the anger of the emperor.

A full year, however, had not elapsed from the time of the triumph when the master of the Roman world thought fit to change his policy, and, suddenly declaring war against the Persians, \({ }^{4}\) commenced his march towards the East. We are not told that he

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) 'Hoc munus [sc. pallium breve |tres fuerunt . . . unus Odenati purpureum lanestre, ad quod cum argento, auro, gemmis operosus atmatronæ atque ipse Aurelianus jungerent purpuras suns, cineris specie decolorari videbantur cæterm divini comparatione fulgoris] rex Persarum ab Indis interioribus sumptum Aureliano dedisse perhibetur, scribens, "Sume purpuram, qualis apud nos est."' (Vopisc. -4urel. § 29.)
\({ }^{2}\) Ibid. § 33: 'Currus regii
tres fuerunt - . unus Odenati que distinctus; alter, quent rex Persarum Aıreliaro dono dedit.' De Champagny has represented this as a chariot which the Persian king had given to Odenathus (Césars \(d u 3^{\text {mo }}\) Siècle, tom. iii. p. 119).
\({ }^{3}\) Vopisc. l.s.c.
4 Ibid. § 35 : 'Persis . . . bellum indixit [Aurelianus].'
}
discovered, or even sought to discover, any fresh ground of complaint. His talents were best suited for employment in the field, and he regarded it as expectient to 'exercise the restless remper of the legions in some foreign war. \({ }^{[3}\) Thus it was desirable to find or make an enemy; and the Persians presented themselves us the foe which could be attacked most conveaienty. There was no doubt a general desire to efface the memory of Valerian's disaster by some considerable success and war with Persia was therefore likely to be popular at once with the Senate, with the anny, and with the mixed multitude which was dignified with the title of the Roman people.'

Aurelinn, therefore, set out for Persia at the head of a numerous but still a manageable, force. He procoviled through Illyricum and Macedoain towards Byrantium, and had almost reached the straits, when a conapiracy, formented by one of his secretaries, cut short this carver, and saved the Pernian empire from invasion. Aurelata wa- murdered in the spring of a.d. 275 , at tompiorurium, a small station between Heraclea (Pe:a.t :a-1 and ligantium. \({ }^{3}\) The adversary with whom !.. i.a! hape-1 to contend, Varahran, camot have surbor! l.am loug sime he died (of disease as it would - :at at the coure of the year, leaving his crown to s 5ate on whin leore the same name with himself, atd - biawn in hiotory an Varahran the Serond."

Vasalran II wad to hawe ruled at firat tyramically, \({ }^{\text {s }}\)

\footnotetext{
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Irralion (I' hrialma (Pernthuo), and ti from Hyzantium.

}
and to have greatly disgusted all his principal nobles, who went so far as to form a conspiracy against him, and intended to put him to death. The chief of the Magians, however, interposed, and, haring effectually alarmed the king, brought him to acknowledge himself wrong and to promise an entire change of conduct. \({ }^{1}\) The nobles upon this returned to their allegiance; and Varahran, during the remainder of his reign, is said to have been distinguished for wisdom and moderation, and to have rendered himself popular with every class of his subjects.

It appears that this prince was not without military ambition. He engaged in a war with the Segestani \({ }^{2}\) (or Sacastani), the inhabitants of Segestan or Seistan, a people of Scythic origin, \({ }^{3}\) and after a time reduced them to subjection. \({ }^{4}\) He then became involved in a quarrel with some of the natives of Affghanistan, who were at this time regarded as 'Indians.' A long and

Sassanides, pp. 297-8. Maçoudi perament that he alone of the says that he abandoned himself to Sassanian kings places the effigy pleasure and idleness, passed his time in hunting and other amusements, gave the management of the empire to unworthy favourites, and allowed hundreds of towns and villages to fall into ruin (tom. ii. pp. 108-173). It is perbaps a sign of his soft and pleasure-loving tem-


COIN OF VARAHRAN II.

Sassanian kings places the effigy emplacement implies association in the kingdom.
\({ }^{1}\) Is the bas-relief at Nakhsh-iRustam, represented by Ker Porter (vol. i. pl. 24), intended to commemorate this scene? It 'consists of a king' (wearing the peculiar headdress of Varahran II.) 'standing in a niche or rostrum, as if delivering a harangue ' (ibid. vol. i. p. 557). See the cut opposite.
\({ }^{2}\) Agath. iv. p. 135, A.
\({ }^{3}\) Saca-stan is 'the country of the Saka' (Saceo or Scyths). It received the name probably at the time of the great invasion of the Yue-Chi. (See the Author's Sirth Monarchy, p. 117.)
\({ }^{4}\) The subjection of the Segestani is perhaps the subject of the beo-

To face page 109.


desultory contest followed without definite result, which was not concluded by the year A.D. 283, when he found hirnself suddenly engaged in hastilities on the opposite side of the empire. \({ }^{1}\)

Rome, in the latter part of the third century, had experienced one of thoee reactions which mark ber later history, and which alone emabled her to complete lher predestined term of twelve centuries. Between the yeun A.D. 274 and 282 , under Aurelian, Tacitus, Probus, and Curus, she showed herself once more very decidedly the fint military power is the world, drove back the barbarinas on all eides, and even ventured to isalulge in an aggreaive policy. Aurelian, as we have seen, whe on the point of invading Perwia when a dotnestic conspisacy brought his reign and life to an end. Tacitus, his succesor, scarcely obtained such a firm hold upon the throue as to feel that be could with any prudesce provoke a war. Hut Probus, the next emperor, revevel the projest of a Pensian expedition, \({ }^{2}\) and would probably have led the Roman armies into Me--preama. had not his career been cut short by the rewit of the le..inne in Illyria (A.D. 282). Carus, who hol twon hi- pratorian prefect, and who became empror as: hi- dieath, allered steadily to his policy. It n.- ti.e tiret at of his reign to march the forces of the - anorr io the extreme cast, and to commence in -atsoe the war which had an long been threatened. 1.: : y the Empror in permon, the legions once more
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crossed the Euphrates. Mesopotamia was rapidly overrun, since the Persians (we are told) were at variance among themselves, and a civil war was raging. \({ }^{1}\) The bulk of their forces, moreover, were engaged on the opposite side of the empire in a struggle with the Indians, \({ }^{2}\) probably those of Affghanistan. Under these circumstances, no effectual resistance was possible; and, if we may believe the Roman writers, not only was the Roman province of Mesopotamia recovered, but the entire tract between the rivers as far south as the latitude of Baghdad was ravaged, and even the two great cities of Seleucia and Ctesiphon were taken without the slightest difficulty. \({ }^{8}\) Persia Proper seemed to lie open to the invader, and Carus was preparing to penetrate still further to the east, when again an opportune death checked the progress of the Roman arms, and perhaps saved the Persian monarchy from destruction. Carus had announced his intention of continuing his march; some discontent had shown itself; and an oracle had been quoted which declared that a Roman emperor would never proceed victoriously beyond Ctesiphon. Carus was not convinced, but he fell sick, and his projects were delayed ; he was still in his camp near Ctesiphon, when a terrible thunderstorm broke over the ground occupied by the Roman army. A weird darkness was spread around, amid which flash followed flash at brief intervals, and peal upon peal terrified the superstitious soldiery. Suddenly, after the most violent clap of all, the cry arose that the Emperor was dead. \({ }^{4}\) Some said that his tent had been struck by

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Vopisc. Car. § \(8 . \quad{ }^{4}\) See the letter of the secretary,
\({ }_{3}^{2}\) Gibbon, l.s.c. \(\quad\) Julius Calpurnius, preserved by
\({ }^{3}\) Vopisc. l.s.c. ; Eutrop. ix. 18 ; Vopiscus (l.s.c.), and translated by Aurel. Vict. Cas. xxxviii. Com- Gibbon (Decline and Fall, vol. ii. pare Mos. Chor. Hist. Arm. ii. 76. pp. 55-6).
}
lightning, and that his death was owing to this cause ; others believed that he had simply happoned to succumb to his malady at the exnct moment of the last thunder-clap; a third theory was that his attendants had taken advantage of the general confusion to assassinate him, and that he merely added another to the long list of Roman emperons murdered by those who hoped to profit by their removal. It is not likely that the problem of what really caused the death of Carus will ever be solved. \({ }^{1}\) That he died very late in A.D. 2883, or within the first fortnight of A.B. 28.4, is certain; \({ }^{2}\) and it is no less certain that his death was most forturate for Persin, since it brougbt the war to an end when it had reached a point at which any further rerensen would have been disastrous, and gave the Perstans a breathing-space during which they might, at least partially, recover from their prostration.

Tpon the death of Carus, the Romans at once determined on retreat. It was generally believed that :ha improial tent had been struck by lightning; and it wa- conduleal that the derivion of the gods agrainst the Eurther aivance of the invading anmy had been thereby u:ma:akably derlared.s The amy considered that it :ol done enough, and was anxious to return home:
 :- oword the will, wa at any rate without the power to

\footnotetext{
1,:ben oneme w blipre that iii. p. INS, nute '.
 'It wan an old Iloman euperetition that placre us promine etruck with listhtning worn ain-

 :- 1 Aazperey earothat the while - olep .e etoristod in impronermbin

 : if the army ivelf iNirbubs. hararin. dintiben to the wrath of fol.i. p. Jlis There wan alan a mperial belief that whin tha prwitoriutin was struck. it forrbulad the deatruction Lereurce, val. iii. po whi, F.I.I.
}
resist the wishes of the troops; and the result was that the legions quitted the East without further fighting, \({ }^{1}\) and without securing, by the conclusion of formal terms of peace, any permanent advantage from their victories.

A pause of two years now occurred, during which Varahran had the opportunity of strengthening his position while Rome was occupied by civil wars and distracted between the claims of pretenders. \({ }^{2}\) No great use seems, however, to have been made of this interval. When, in A.D. 286, the celebrated Diocletian determined to resume the war with Persia, and, embracing the cause of Tiridates, son of Chosroës, directed his efforts to the establishment of that prince, as a Roman feudatory, on his father's throne, Varahran found himself once more overmatched, and could offer no effectual resistance. Armenia had now been a province of Persia for the space of twenty-six (or perhaps fortysix) years; \({ }^{3}\) but it had in no degree been conciliated or united with the rest of the empire. The people had been distrusted and oppressed; the nobles had been deprived of employment; a heavy tribute had been laid on the land; and a religious revolution had been

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) When Numerian is credited with Persian victories (Nemes. Cyneget. 71-2), it is on the notion that, having been associated by Carus, he had part in the successes of A.D. 283. That Numerian retreated upon the death of his father without tempting fortune any further, is clear from Aur. Vict. Cas. xxxviii., and Vopiscus, Numer. § 11.
\({ }_{2}\) During this interval Numerian was killed, Diocletian invested with the purple, Carinus defeated
(Gibbon, vol. ii. pp. 60-66.)
\({ }^{3}\) Moses of Chorêné makes the subjection of Armenia to Persia last twenty-six years (Hist. Arm. ii. 74, sub fin.). But if he is right in making Artaxerxes the king who reduced Armenia, and in stating that Tiridates regained the throne in the third year of Diocletian (ii. 79), the duration of the subjection must have been, at least, forty-six years, since Artaxerxes died in A.D. 241, and the third of Diocletian was A.d. 286.
}
violently effected. \({ }^{3}\) It is not surprising that when Tirilates, supported by a Roman corps darmée, \({ }^{2}\) appeared upon the frontiers, the whole population received him with transparts of loyalty and joy. All the nobles flocked to his standard, atd at once acknowledged him for their king. The people everywhere welcumed him with acclamations. A native prince of the Ansacid dymety united the suffruge of all; and the nation threw itself with enthusiastic zeal into a struggle which wn viewed as a war of independence. It was forgotem that Tiridates was in fact only a puppet in the hand of the Roman emperor, and that, whatever the result of the contest, Armenia would remain at its close, as she had been at its commencement, a dependant upon a farcign power.

The sucoes of Tiridates at the first was such as raight have been expected from the forces arrayed in his favour. He defeated two Persian armies in the oqeen field, drove ont the garrisons which held the more impertant of the fortified towns, and became undis-?.f.-1 mater of Armenia. \({ }^{4}\) He even cromed the bor-،.-. w!.:h eparated Armenia from l'eria, and ganed -. rad vaturicon admitterl l'ersian grounci. \({ }^{\text {s }}\) Acoordne t. the native writers, his permmal exploits were - vernembary ; he defeated singly a corps of piants, as: : :-uted on fort a larpe detachment mounted on
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with exaggeration; but the general result is correctly stated. Tiridates, within a year of his invasion, was complete master of the entire Armenian highland, and was in a position to carry his arms beyond his own frontiers.

Such seems to have been the position of things, when Varahran II. suddenly died, after a reign of seventeen years, ${ }^{1}$ a.d. 292. He is generally said to have left behind him two sons, ${ }^{2}$ Varahran and Narsehi, or Narses, of whom the elder, Varahran, was proclaimed king. This prince was of an amiable temper, but apparently of a weakly constitution. He was with difficulty persuaded to accept the throne, ${ }^{8}$ and anticipated from the first an early demise. ${ }^{4}$ No events are assigned to his short reign, which (according to the best authorities) did not exceed the length of four months. ${ }^{5}$ It is evi-

[^53]4 The inaugural address of Varahran III. is reported as follows : ' I ascend this throne by right, as the issue of your kings; but the sole end which I propose to myself in ruling is to obtain for the people who shall be subject to me a happy and quiet life. I place all my trust in the goodness of God, through whose help all things may end happily. If God preserves my life, I will conduct myself towards you in such a way that all who hear me spoken of will load me with blessings. If, on the contrary, the angel of death comes and carries me avoay, I hope that God will not forsake you or suffer you to perish.' (Mirkhond, Hist. des Sassanides, 1.s.c.)
${ }_{5}{ }^{\text {s. Agathias, 1.s.c. ; Eutych. vol. i. }}$ p. 395. So also Firdusi in the Shah-nameh. Some Oriental writers, however, gave him a reign of nine years. (Mirkhond, l.s.c.)
dent that he must have been powerless to offer any eflectund opposition to Tiridates, whose forces continuexl to ravage, year after year, the northwestern provinces of the Persian empire. ${ }^{1}$ Had Tiridates been a prince of real military talent, it could scarcely hasve been difficult for him to obtain still groater advantages. But he was
 content with aumual raids, which ona or vammermer left the substantial power of Pensia untouched. He allawed the orcasion of the throne's being occupied by a weak and invalid prince to slip by. The consequences of this nogligence will appear in the next chapter. Persia, permitted to excape serious attack in her time of weaknen, was able shorily to take the offensive und to make the Armeniutu prisce regret his indolence or want of ambition. The son of Chosrols becume a sectond time a fugitive; and once more the Romans were alled in to settle the affuirs of the East. We have bow to trace the circumstances of this struggle, and :, diow how Rome under able leaders succeeded at rebenging the defeat and captivity of Valerian, and a: athe uns in her turn, a grievous humiliation upon b.erabrerary
' Aprathang ir is in and $88^{\circ}$.

## CHAPTER VI.

Civil War of Narses and his Brother Hormisdas. Narses victornous. He attacks and expels Tiridates. War declared against him by Diocletian. First Campaign of Galerius, A.d. 297. Second Campaign, A.D. 298. Defeat suffered by Narses. Negotiations. Conditions of Peace. Abdication and Death of Narses.

Zonaras, xii. 31.
IT appears that on the death of Varahran III., probably without issue, there was a contention for the crown between two brothers, ${ }^{1}$ Narses and Hormisdas. ${ }^{2}$ We are not informed which of them was the elder, nor on what grounds they respectively rested their claims; but it seems that Narses was from the first preferred by the Persians, and that his rival relied mainly for success on the arms of foreign barbarians.

[^54]Wonsted in encounters wherein none but Pesians fought on either side, Hormisdas summoned to his aid the hordes of the north ${ }^{3}$-Gelli from the shores of the Chepiun, Scyths from the Oxus or the regions beyond, and Rusians, now first mentioned by a classical writer. But the perilous attempt to settle a domestic struggle by the sworls of foreigners was not destined on this secasion to promper. Hormiedns failed in his endeasvour to obthin the throne; and, as we hear no more of his, we may regard it as probable that he wis defented and slain. At any rate Nanses was, within a year or two of his scosesion, so firmly settled in his kingdom, that he whe able to turn bis thoughta to the external affairs of the erpire, and to engnge in a great war. All danger from internal disorder must have been pretty artainly removed before Narses could venture to affrout, as be did, the strongest of existing militury powers.

Narses ascended the throne in A.D. 292 or 293. It wa at liat ay early ar a.d. 296 that he challenged B, the in an concounter by attacking in force the vassol :., onar h whom her arms had establifhed in Armenia.? Trat:- hal, it is evident, done much to prowioke the s:ask hy hin contamt raids into l'ensian territory. ${ }^{3}$

[^55]which were sometimes carried even to the south of Ctesiphon. ${ }^{1}$ He was probably surprised by the sudden

march and vigorous assault of an enemy whom he had learned to despise; and, feeling himself unable to organise an effectual resistance, he had recourse to flight, gave up Armenia to the Persians, ${ }^{2}$ and for a second time placed himself under the protection of the Roman emperor. The monarch who held this proud position was still Diocletian, the greatest emperor that had occupied the Roman throne since Trajan, and the prince to whom Tiridates was indebted for his restoration to his kingdom. It was impossible that Diocletian should submit to the affront put upon him without an

[^56]earnest effort to avenge it. His own power rested, in a great measure, on his military prestige; and the unpunished insolence of a forcign king would lave seriously endangered an authority not very firmly extublisbed. The position of Diocletian compelled him to declare war against Narses ${ }^{1}$ in the year a.D. 296 , and to nidress himself to a struggle of which he is not likely to have misoonceived the importance. It might have been expected that be would lave undertaken the conduct of the war in person; but the internal condition of the empire was fur from satisfactory, and the chief of the State seres to have felt that he could not conveaiently quit his dominions to engage in war beyond his borders. He therefure committed the task of reinstating Tiridutes und punishing Narses to bis favourite stad sos-in-law, Galerius, ${ }^{2}$ while be bimself took up a position within the limite of the empire, ${ }^{2}$ which at once enabled him to overawe his domestic adversuries and to support and countenance his lieutenant.

Ther firt attempts of Galerius were unfortunate. Summend tuddenly from the Danube the Eupirato. and phaced at the head of an army composed lin tis if the levies of Asia, ill-disciplinet, and una - on:a... w.th their commander, he had to meet an a:ce:ery of whom he knew little or nothing, in a :-2..n the chanctur of which was adverse to his own terep and favourable to those of the enemy. NarseBas: madeat the Reman province of Mexpotamia, had ;-:0:a:ad to the khateor, and was threatening to : $\because$ m. :id. Fuphrites into Syria. (ialerius had no

[^57]choice but to encounter him on the ground which he had chosen. Now, though Western Mesopotamia is illdescribed as 'a smooth and barren surface of sandy desert, without a hillock, without a tree, and without a spring of fresh water,' ${ }^{1}$ it is undoubtedly an open country, possessing numerous plains, where, in a battle, the advantage of numbers is likely to be felt, and where there is abundant room for the evolutions of cavalry. The Persians, like their predecessors the Parthians, were especially strong in horse; and the host which Narses had brought into the field greatly outnumbered the troops which Diocletian had placed at the disposal of Galerius. Yet Galerius took the offensive. Fighting under the eye of a somewhat stern master, he was scarcely free to choose his plan of campaign. Diocletian expected him to drive the Persians from Mesopotamia, ${ }^{2}$ and he was therefore bound to make the attempt. He accordingly sought out his adversary in this region, and engaged him in three great battles. ${ }^{3}$ The first and second appear to have been indecisive; but in the third the Roman general suffered a complete defeat. ${ }^{4}$ The catastrophe of Crassus was repeated almost upon the same battlefield, and probably almost by the same means. ${ }^{5}$ But,

jam proliis adversus Narseum conflixisset, tertio inter Callinicum et Carras congressus et victus, amissis copiis, ad Iliocletianum refugit.
4 Aurel. Vict. Cas. § 39 ; Zonar. l.s.c. ; Eutrop. ix. 24 ; Julian. Paneg. Constant. p. 18, A.
${ }^{s}$ Gibbon's description of the battle (l.s.c.) is wholly imaginary, no classical writer having left us any account of it. He transfers to the conflict between Galerius and Narses all that Plutarch and Dio relate of Crassus and Surenas.
pensonully, Galerius was more fortunate than his predecesor. He escaped from the carnage, and, recrossing the Euphrates, rejoined his father-in-law in Syria. A conjecture, not altogether destitute of probability, makes Tiridates share both the calamity and the good firtune of the Roman Casar. Like Galerius, he escaped from the batale-field, and reached the banks of the Euphrates. But his horse, which had received a wound, could not be trusted to pass the river. In this emergency the Armenian prince dismounted, and, anned as he was, plunged into the stream. The river was both wide and deep; the current was rapid; but the barly alventurer, inured to danger and accustomed to every athletic exercise, swam across und reached the opposite bank in safety. ${ }^{\text { }}$

Thus, while the rauk and file perished ignominiously, the two personugea of most importance on the Romas side were sured. Galerius hastened towurds Antioch. to rejoin his colleague and sovereign. The latter came rut to meet him, but, instead of congratulating Bam in his exape, asumed the air of an offender mas:or. and. derhmeg to spat to him or $t \mathrm{~s}$ stop his chariot, frome the (avar to follow him on foxt for nearly a mel. Infiore he would condeseend to receive his "xphanat:- fl- and apolionges for defeat.s The disgrace wat- kionly filt, and was ultimately revenged upon the prane whe haul contrived it. Sut, at the time, its main
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effect doubtless was to awake in the young Cæsar the strongest desire of retrieving his honour, and wiping out the memory of his great reverse by a yet more signal victory. Galerius did not cease through the winter of A.D. 297 to importune his father-in-law for an opportunity of redeeming the past and recovering his lost laurels.

The emperor, having sufficiently indulged his resentment, acceded to the wishes of his favourite. Galerius was continued in his command. A new army was collected during the winter, to replace that which had been lost; and the greatest care was taken that its material should be of good quality, and that it should be employed where it had the best chance of success. The veterans of Illyria and Mœsia constituted the flower of the force now enrolled ; ${ }^{1}$ and it was further strengthened by the addition of a body of Gothic auxiliaries. ${ }^{2}$ It was determined, moreover, that the attack should this time be made on the side of Amnenia, where it was felt that the Romans would have the double advantage of a friendly country, and of one far more favourable for the movements of infantry than for those of an army whose strength lay in its horse. ${ }^{8}$ The number of the troops employed was still small. Galerius entered Armenia at the head of only 25,000 men; ${ }^{4}$ but they were a picked force, and they might be augmented, almost to any extent, by the national militia of the Armenians. He was now, moreover, as cautious as he had previously been rash; he advanced slowly, feeling his way; he even personally made

[^58]reconnaissances, accompanied by only one or two bursemen, and, under the shelter of a flag of truce, explored the position of his adversary. ${ }^{1}$ Narses found binself overmatched alike in art and in force. He allowed himself to be surprised in his camp by his active enemy, ${ }^{2}$ and suffered a defeat by which he saore than loat all the fruits of his former victory. Moses of his army was destroyed; he himself received a wound, ${ }^{3}$ and with difficulty escaped by a hasty flight. Gaderius pursued, and, though he did not succeed in taking the monnrch himself, made prize of his wives, his sisters, and a number of his children, ${ }^{4}$ besides eapturing his military chest. He also wook many of the mont illustrious Pensians prisoners. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ How far he followed his flying adversary is uncertain; ${ }^{6}$ but it is scarcely probable that he proceeded murh southward of the Armenian frontier. He had to reinstate Tinidates in his dominions, to recover Eastern Meappotamia, and to lay his laurels at the feet of his colleague and mater. It wems probable that having driven Nare- irm . Armenia, and left Tiridates there to ad-man-ater the fovermment he havened to rejoin Diocle:an infore attempting any further conque-ts.

Th.. I'raian monarch, on his side, having recovered for:: h:- wrundi; which could have been but slight, set

[^59]himself to collect another army, but at the same time sent an ambassador to the camp of Galerius, requesting to know the terms on which Rome would consent to make peace. A writer of good authority ${ }^{1}$ has left us an account of the interview which followed between the envoy of the Persian monarch and the victorious Roman. Apharban (so was the envoy named) opened the negotiations with the following speech ${ }^{2}$ :-
'The whole human race knows,' he said, 'that the Roman and Persian kingdoms resemble two great luminaries, and that, like a man's two eyes, they ought mutually to adorn and illustrate each other, and not in the extremity of their wrath to seek rather each other's destruction. So to act is not to act manfully, but is indicative rather of levity and weakness; for it is to suppose that our inferiors can never be of any service to us, and that therefore we had better get rid of them. Narses, moreóver, ought not to be accounted a weaker prince than other Persian kings; thou hast indeed conquered him, but then thou surpassest all other monarchs; and thus Narses has of course been worsted by thee, though he is no whit inferior in merit to the best of his ancestors. The orders which my master has given me are to entrust all the rights of Persia to the clemency of Rome; and I therefore do not even bring with me any conditions of peace, since it is for the emperor to determine everything. I have only to pray, on my

[^60]master's behalf, for the restoration of his wives and male children; if he receives them at your hands, he will be for ever beholden to you, and will be better pleased than if he recovered then by force of armm. Even now my master cannot sufficiently thank you for the kind treatment which he hears you have vouchsafed them, in that you have offered them no insult, but have behaved sowaris them as though on the point of gising them lack to their kith and kin. He sees herein that you bear in mind the changes of fortune and the instability of all human sffairs.'

At this point Galerius, who had listened with impatience to the long harangue, burst in with a movement of anger that shook his whole frame- ' What? Do the Penians dare to remind us of the vicissitudes of fortune, as though we could forget how they behave when victory inclines to them? If is not their wout to push their advantage to the uttermost and presw as heavily as may be on the usfortanate? How charmingly they -h,ural the moxleration that beromes a victor in Vale-r.sa-tme: They vanquisherl him ly framd: they kept in:n a prianer to advancel old ace; they let him die in dac.e...nar :and then, when her was deal, they stripped - : i.s- hata, and with dialolical ingenuity made of a ;-:n-inabe human lualy an impreriahable monument of -A. -hame' Virrily, if we follow this entors advice, A: ! !onh t., the chanders of human affiars, we shall not $\therefore$ : :mbal bu chemency, but to ather, whea we consider $\therefore$ : 0 ant comlut of the lerians. If pity be shown
 n:A: : i.. $y$ habe urienl. but lncalus it is a principle of

[^61]action with us-a principle handed down to us from our ancestors-"'to spare the humble and chastise the proud." ' Apharban, therefore, was dismissed with no definite answer to his question, what terms of peace Rome would require; but he was told to assure his master that Rome's clemency equalled her valour, and that it would not be long before he would receive a Roman envoy authorised to signify the Imperial pleasure, and to conclude a treaty with him.

Having held this interview with Apharban, Galerius hastened to meet and consult his colleague. ${ }^{1}$ Diocletian had remained in Syria, at the head of an army of observation, ${ }^{2}$ while Galerius penetrated into Armenia and engaged the forces of Persia. When he heard of his son-in-law's great victory, he crossed the Euphrates, and advancing through Western Mesopotamia, from which the Persians probably retired, took up his residence at Nisibis, ${ }^{8}$ now the chief town of these parts. It is perhaps true that his object was 'to moderate, by his presence and counsels, the pride of Galerius.' ${ }^{4}$ That prince was bold to rashness, and nourished an excessive ambition. He is said to have at this time entertained a design of grasping at the conquest of the East, and to have even proposed to himself to reduce the Persian Empire into the form of a Roman province. ${ }^{5}$ But the views of Diocletian were humbler and more prudent. He held to the opinion of Augustus and Hadrian, that

[^62]Pome did not nead any enlargement of her territory. and that the aboorption of the East was expecially undesirable. When he and his son-in-law met and interchanged ideas at Nisibis, the views of the elder ruler saturally prevailed ; and it was resolved to offer to the Persinns tolemble terms of peace. A civilian of importance, ${ }^{2}$ Eicorius Probus, was selected for the delicate office of eavoy, and was sent, with a train of attendants, into Meslia, where Narses had fixed his head-quarters. We ane foid that the Persian monarch received him with all bonour, but, under pretence of allowing him to rest and refreli himself after his long journey, deferred his audience from day to day; while he employed the time thus gained in collecting from various quarters such a number of detachments and garrisons as might constitute a refpertable army. He had no intention of renewing the war, but he knew the weight which military prepuration ever lemple to the representations of diplomacy. Accordlingly, is was not until be had brought under the notice of Sinerins a foree of no inconsiderable size that he at h.et admiteal him to an interview. The Roman ambass.ab, wat introluced into an inner chamber of the reyal palace in Media. ${ }^{2}$ where he found only the kines as: thre others- A pharban, the envoy sent to Galerius, Are hap toe. the captain of the guard, and Barvelensus. : . . 2 wermer of a prevince on the Armenian frontior. ${ }^{3}$ 11. wa: a-k.el to unfold the partaculary of his message, a!: : - ! what were the terme on which Rome would :..as pare sicurius complied. The emperors, he

[^63]said, required five things:-(i.) The cession to Rome of five provinces beyond the river Tigris, which are given by one writer ${ }^{1}$ as Intilene, Sophene, Arzanene, Carduene, and Zabdicene; by another ${ }^{2}$ as Arzanene, Moxoene, Zabdicene, Rehimene, and Corduene ; (ii.) the recognition of the Tigris as the general boundary between the two empires; (iii.) the extension of Armenia to the fortress of Zintha, in Media ; (iv.) the relinquishment by Persia to Rome of her protectorate over Iberia, including the right of giving investiture to the Iberian kings; and (v.) the recognition of Nisibis as the place at which alone commercial dealings could take place between the two nations.

It would seem that the Persians were surprised at the moderation of these demands. Their exact value and force will require some discussion; but at any rate it is clear that, under the circumstances, they were not felt to be excessive. Narses did not dispute any of them except the last; and it seems to have been rather because he did not wish it to be said that he had yielded everything, than because the condition was really very onerous, that he made objection in this instance. ${ }^{8}$ Sicorius was fortunately at liberty to yield the point. He at once withdrew the fifth article of the treaty, and, the other four being accepted, a formal peace was concluded between the two nations.

To understand the real character of the peace now made, and to appreciate properly the relations thereby established between Rome and Persia, it will be necessary to examine at some length the several conditions

[^64]of the treaty, and to sce exactly what was imported by each of them. There is scarcely one out of the whole number that carries its meaning plainly upon its face: and on the amore important very various interpretations have been put, so that a discussion and settlement of sorne rather intricate points is here necessary.
(i) Thene in a considerable difference of opinion as to the five provinces ceded to Rome by the first article of the treaty, as to their poaition and extent, and consequently as so their importance. By some they are put on the right, ${ }^{1}$ by others on the left, bank of the Tignis; while of those who assigu them this latter poation some place them in a cluster about the sources of the river, ${ }^{2}$ while others extend then very much further to the southward. ${ }^{3}$ Of the five provinces three only can be certainly named, since the authorities differ as to the two ochers. ${ }^{\text {i }}$.These three are Aramene, Cordyene, and Zablicenes, which occur in that onder in Patricius. If we ean determine the position of these three, that of t.. a! !er- will follow, at least within certain limite.

Ni,s Aramene war certainly on the left bank of the 1.2. It adjeined Armenia, ${ }^{3}$ and is reanonably iden$\therefore \because \cdot 1$ whth the mextern detrict of kheram, which lies :n a.e: lake Van and the Ti, rive to the weot of the 1:-.. : :er. ${ }^{6}$ All the notices of Aramene sit this

locaiiis: and the name ' Kherzan' may be regarded as representing the ancient appellation. ${ }^{1}$

Zabdicene was a littie south. and a little east of this position. It was the tract about a town known as Bezabda (perhaps a corruption of Beit-Zabda), which had been anciently called Phœenica. ${ }^{2}$ This town is almost certainly represented by the modern Fynyk, ${ }^{3}$ on the left bank of the Tigris, a little above Jezireh The province whereof it was the capital may perhaps have adjoined Arzanene, reaching as far north as the Bitlis river.

If these two tracts are rightly placed, Cordyene must also be sought on the left bank of the Tigris. The word is no doubt the ancient representative of the modern Kurdistan, and means a country in which Kurds dwelt. Now Kurds seem to have been at one time the chief inhabitants of the Mons Masius, the modern Jebel Karajah Dagh and Jebel Tur, which was thence called Cordyene, Gordyene, or the Gordiæan mountain chain. ${ }^{4}$ But there was another and a more important Cordyene on the opposite side of the river. The tract to this day known as Kurdistan, the high mountain region south and south-east of Lake Van between Persia and Mesopotamia, was in the possession of Kurds from before the time of Xenophon, and was known as the country of the Carduchi, as Cardyene, and as Cordyene. ${ }^{5}$ This tract, which was contiguous to

[^65]Arzanene and Zabdicene, if we have rightly placed those regions, must almost certainly have been the Cordyene of the treaty, which, if it corresponded at all nearly in extent with the modern Kurdistan, must have been by far the largest and most important of the five provinces.

The two remaining tracts, whatever their names, ${ }^{1}$ must undoubtedly have lain on the same side of the Tigris with these three. As they are otherwise unknown to us (for Sophene, which had long been Roman, cannot have been one of them), it is impossible that they should have been of much importance. No doubt they helped to round off the Roman dominion in this quarter; but the great value of the entire cession lay in the acquisition of the large and fruitful ${ }^{2}$ province of Cordyene, inhabited by a brave and hardy population, and afterwards the seat of fifteen fortresses, ${ }^{8}$ which brought the Roman dominion to the very edge of Adiabene, made them masters of the passes into Media, and laid the whole of Southern Mesopotamia open to their incursions. It is probable that the hold of Persia on the territory had never been strong; and in relinquishing it she may have imagined that she gave up no very great advantage; but in the hands of Rome Kurdistan became a standing menace to the Persian power, and we shall find that on the first opportunity the false

[^66]step now taken was retrieved, Cordyene with its adjoining districts was pertinaciously demanded of the Romans, ${ }^{1}$ was grudgingly surrendered, and was then firmly reattached to the Sassanian dominions.
(ii.) The Tigris is said by Patricius and Festus ${ }^{2}$ to have been made the boundary of the two empires. Gibbon here boldly substitutes the Western Khabour, and maintains that 'the Roman frontier traversed, but never followed, the course of the Tigris.' ${ }^{3}$ He appears not to be able to understand how the Tigris could be the frontier, when five provinces across the Tigris were Roman. But the intention of the article probably was, first, to mark the complete cession to Rome of Eastern as well as Western Mesopotamia, and, secondly, to establish the Tigris as the line separating the empires below the point down to which the Romans held both banks. Cordyene may not have touched the Tigris at all, or may have touched it only about the 37 th parallel. From this point southwards, as far as Mosul, or Nimrud, or possibly Kileh Sherghat, the Tigris was probably now recognised as the dividing line between the empires. By the letter of the treaty the whole Euphrates valley might indeed have been claimed by Rome ; but practically she did not push her occupation of Mesopotamia below Circesium. The real frontier from this point was the Mesopotamian desert, which extends from Kerkesiyeh to Nimrud, a distance of 150 miles. Above this, it was the Tigris, as far probably as Feshapoor; after which it followed the line, whatever

[^67]it was, which divided Cordyene from Assyria and Meelia.
(iii) The extension of Armenis to the fortress of Zintha, in Mexlia, seems to have imported much more than would at fint sight appear from the words Gihboin interpress it as implying the cession of all Merlia Atropatene, which certainly appease a litule later to be in the possession of the Armenian monarch, Tiridates. ${ }^{*}$ A large addition to the Armentan territory out of the Median is doubtless intended; but it is qquite impomible to deternine definftely the extent or exact charucter of the ceain."
(iv.) The fourth article of the treaty is sufficiently intelligibles. So long as Armenia had been a tief of the Persian empire, it naturally belonged to Possint in exerrise influence over the neighbouring Tberia, which corresponilel closely to the monlern Georgin, intervening between Armenia and the Cnncasus. Now, when Armenin had become a dependency of Rome, the proestorate hifberte exerciond by the Sasennian prinees pacol naturally th the racerr: and with the protectotate wa lenund up the right of pramting ince-titure to the bodelow, wher hy the protecting power was secured A- t.e. the e-athlishment on the throme of an unfriendly ierall In ria was mot herelf a state of much strengeth; bes bior pewes of oproing or shuting the pases of the Cau now enar hor conmbrable impartance, since by :h. atmionon of the Tatar horden. which were always r. n! w beur on from the platin of the North, whe could
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Western Asia, and inflict a terrible revenge on any enemy that had provoked her. It is true that she might also bring suffering on her friends, or even on herself, for the hordes, once admitted, were apt to make little distinction between friend and foe ; but prudential considerations did not always prevail over the promptings of passion, and there had been occasions when, in spite of them, the gates had been thrown open and the barbarians invited to enter. ${ }^{1}$ It was well for Rome to have it in her power to check this peril. Her own strength and the tranquillity of her eastern provinces were confirmed and secured by the right which she (practically) obtained of nominating the Iberian monarchs.
(v.) The fifth article of the treaty, having been rejected by Narses and then withdrawn by Sicorius, need not detain us long. By limiting the commercial intercourse of the two nations to a single city, and that a city within their own dominions, the Romans would have obtained enormous commercial advantages. While their own merchants remained quietly at home, the foreign merchants would have had the trouble and expense of bringing their commodities to market a distance of sixty miles from the Persian frontier and of above a hundred from any considerable town; ${ }^{2}$ they would of course have been liable to market dues, which would have fallen wholly into Roman hands ; and they would further have been chargeable with any duty, protective or even prohibitive, which Rome chose to im-

[^68]pose. It is not surpriving that Nanses here made a stand, und insisted on commerce being left to flow in the broader channels which it had formed for itself in the course of ages. ${ }^{1}$

Rome thus terminated her first period of struggle with the newly revived monarcby of Persia by a great victory and a great diplomatic success. If Narses regarded the terms-and by his conduct be would seem to have done so-as moderate under the circumatances.? our conclusion must be that the disister which he had suffered was extreme, and that he knew the strength of Persia to be, fur the time, exhnusted. Forced to relinगुuish his suzerainty over Armenia and Iberia, he saw thase countries not merely wrested from himself, but placod under the protectorate, and so made to minister to the strength, of his rival. Nor wis this all. Rome had gridually been advancing across Mesopotamia and working her way from the Euphrates to the Tigris. Narses had to acknowledge, in so many words, that the Tipros, and not the Euphrates, was to be regariled as lar thar inomularys and that mothing consequently was :- In andidind an Perian beyond the more castern -: t.. two riwn. Even this conceronon was not the
 :.1- -apre dimembered, a portion of Media attached © Arnanis, and tive prosinces, never hitherto in dinin: . Win trom lerra and added th the domimon of E.c.e H. hal wallow hame to arablioh heredf in $\therefore \because \cdot \therefore$ the lett bank of the Tipria, and w to lay opell


[^69]all his western frontier. He had to see her brought to the very edge of the Iranic plateau, and within a fortnight's march of Persia Proper. The ambition to rival his ancestor Sapor, if really entertained, ${ }^{1}$ was severely punished; and the defeated prince must have felt that he. had been most ill-advised in making the venture.

Narses did not long continue on the throne after the conclusion of this disgraceful, though, it may be, necessary, treaty. It was made in A.D. 297. He abdicated in A.D. 301. It may have been disgust at hisill-success, it may have been mere weariness of absolute power, which caused him to descend from his high position and retire into private life. ${ }^{2}$ He was so fortunate as to have a son of full age in whose favour he could resign, so that there was no difficulty about the succession. His ministers seem to have thought it necessary to offer some opposition to his project ; ${ }^{8}$ but their resistance was feeble, perhaps because they hoped that a young prince would be more entirely guided by their counsels. Narses was allowed to complete his act of self-renunciation, and, after crowning his son Hormisdas with his own hand, to spend the remainder of his days in retirement. According to the native writers, his main object was to contemplate death and prepare himself for it. In his youth he had evinced some levity of character, and had been noted for his devotion to games and to the chase ; ${ }^{4}$ in his middle age he laid aside these pur-

[^70]suits, and, applying bimself actively to business, was a good administrator, as well as a brave soldier. But at last it seemed to him that the only life worth living was the contemplative, and that the happiness of the hunter and the statesman must yield to that of the philosopher. It is doubtful how long he survived his resignabion of the thrones, but wolerably cartain that he did not outlive his son and successor, who reigned less than eight years.
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## CHAPTER VII.

Reign of Hormisdas II. His Disposition. General Character of his Reign. His Taste for Building. His new Court of Justice. His Marriage with a Princess of Cabul. Story of his Son Hormisdas. Death of Hormisdas II., and Imprisonment of his Son Hormisdas. Interregnum. Crovon assigned to Sapor II. before his Birth. Long Reign of Sapor. First Period of his Reign, from A.d. 309 to A.D. 337. Persia plundered by the Arabs and the Turks. Victories of Sapor over the Arabs. Persecution of the Christians. Escape of Hormisdas. Feelings and Conduct of Sapor.

- Regnum in Persas obtinuit Hormoz, Narsis flius.'-Eutycr. vol. i. p. 396.

Hormisdas II., who became king on the abdication of his father, Narses, had, like his father, a short reign. He ascended the throne a.d. 301 ; he died a.d. 309, not quite eight years later. ${ }^{1}$ To this period historians assign scarcely any events. The personal appearance


HORMISDAS II. (from a gem).

[^71]of Ilarnisdas, if we may julge by a gem, was pleasing ; he is said, however, to have been of a harsh temper by asture, but to have sontrolled his evil inelinations after he became king, and in fact to have then neglected mothing that could contribute to the welfare of his subjects, ${ }^{1}$ He engaged if no wars; und his reign was thus one of those quief and uneventful intervals which, furnishing no materials for history, indicate therelyy the happiness of a nation? We are told that he had a strong tuste for building, ${ }^{5}$ and could never sce a crumbling elifiee without instansly setting to work to restore it. Rained towns and villages, so common throughout the East in all ages, censed to be seen in Persia while he filled the throve. An ariny of masons alwaya followed him in hid frequent juuroeys throughout his etupire, and repaind dilapidated homesteads and cotLages with as much care abd difigence as clifices of a pebtic chameter. Aveorling to some writers he founded several entirely new towns in Khuzistan or su-inas. while, acording to others. ${ }^{3}$ he built the impros: • a! of Hormuk, or (a it in ometimes called) L:a:. Hermaz. in the provine of herman, which is still a It wrothep place ( ther authontion ${ }^{6}$ aw ribe this city, A.a.ior. for the fir- Hormindir, the son of sajor 1 . a:a : ramuen of Artaxerxe-
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In the East the oppression of the weak by the powerful is the most inveterate and universal of all evils, and the one that well-intentioned monarchs have to be most careful in checking and repressing. Hormisdas, in his anxiety to root out this evil, is said to have set up a court expressly for the hearing of causes where complaint was made by the poor of wrongs done to them by the rich. ${ }^{1}$ The duty of the judges was at ouce to punish the oppressors, and to see that ample reparation was made to those whom they had wronged. To increase the authority of the court, and to secure the impartiality of its sentences, the monarch made a point of often presiding over it himself, of hearing the causes, and pronouncing the judgments in person. The most powerful nobles were thus made to feel that, if they offended, they would be likely to receive adequate punishment; and the weakest and poorest of the people were encouraged to come forward and make complaint if they had suffered injury.

Among his other wives, Hormisdas, we are told, married a daughter of the king of Cabul. ${ }^{2}$ It was natural that, after the conquest of Seistan ${ }^{8}$ by Varahran II., about a.d. 280, the Persian monarchs should establish relations with the chieftains ruling in Affghanistan. That country seems, from the first to the fourth century of our era, to have been under the government of princes of Scythian descent and of considerable wealth and power. ${ }^{4}$ Kadphises, Kanerki, Kenorano, Ooerki, Baraoro, had the main seat of their empire in the region about Cabul and Jellalabad; but from this centre they exercised an extensive sway, which at times probably

[^72]reached Canulahar on the one hand, and the Panjab region on the other. Their large gold coinge proves them to have been monarclis of great wealth, while their use of the Greek letters and language indicates a certain amount of civilisation. The marriage of Hormisdas with a princess of Cabul implies that the hostile relations existing under Varahran II. had been superseded by friendly ones. ${ }^{1}$ Persian nggreswion had ceased to be feared. The reigning Indo-Scythic monarch felt no reluctances to give his danghter in marriage to his Western neighbour, and sent her to his court (we ure told) with a wardrobes and ormaments of the utmost magrificence und contliness. ${ }^{2}$

Hormisla II. appears to have lud a son, of the senie name with himself, who attained to manhood while his father was still reiguing. This prince, who Was generally rogarded, and who, of course, viewed himself, as the heir appareat, whe no favourite with the Pervian nobles, whom he had perhaps offended by an indination towarda the literature and civilisation of the 1a:-.. $k$ - ' It mat hase been upen presious consuhtation a: : A-T. $\quad$ ment that the entire benly of the chite men ra.... I 6 wht their pite by incultin! the prince in
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the most open and public way at the table of his father. The king was keeping his birthday, which was always, in Persia, the greatest festival of the year, ${ }^{1}$ and so the most public occasion possible. All the nobles of the realm were invited to the banquet; and all came and took their several places. The prince was absent at the first, but shortly arrived, bringing with him, as the excuse for his late appearance, a quantity of game, the produce of the morning's chase. Such an entrance must have created some disturbance and have drawn general attention; but the nobles, who were bound by etiquette to rise from their seats, remained firmly fixed in them, and took not the slightest notice of the prince's arrival. ${ }^{2}$ This behaviour was an indignity which naturally aroused his resentment. In the heat of the moment he exclaimed aloud that ' those who had insulted him should one day suffer for it-their fate should be the fate of Marsyas.' At first the threat was not understood ; but one chieftain, more learned than his fellows, explained to the rest that, according to the Greek myth, Marsyas was flayed alive. Now flaying alive was a punishment not unknown to the Persian law ; ${ }^{3}$ and the nobles, fearing that the prince really entertained the intention which he had expressed, became thoroughly alienated from him, and made up their minds that they would not allow him to reign. During his father's lifetime, they could, of course, do nothing; but they laid up the dread threat in their memory, and patiently waited for the moment when the throne would become vacant, and their enemy would assert his right to it.

[^73]Apparently, their patience was not very severely taxed. Hormisdas II. died within a few years; and Prince Hormisles, as the only son whom he had left behind him, thought to succeed as a matter of course. But the aobles rose in insurrection, seized his person, and threw him into a dungeon, intending that he sbould remain there for the rest of his life. They themselves took the direction of aflais, and finding that, though King Hormiadis had left behind him no other son, yet one of his wives was pregrant, they prochismed the unborn infant king, and even with the utmost ceremouy proceeded to crown the embryo by suspending the royal diadem over the womb of the mother. ${ }^{3}$ A real interregnum must have followed; but it did not extend beyond a few months. The pregrant widow of Horminelas fortunately gave birth to a boy, and the diffuculties of the succession were thereby eniled, All claeess acquiescenl in the rule of the infint monarch, who received the name of Sapor-whether simply to marls the fact that he was le lieved to the the late king's son. ${ }^{s}$ or in the hene that he would rival the glories of the first Sunr, 1- uncertain.

Th. r.inu of Siper II. i- cotimated variously, at 69, -11. 71 , ata 72 yars: but the balance of authority is

[^74]in favour of seventy. He was born in the course of the year a.d. 309, and he seems to have died in the year after the Roman emperor Valens, ${ }^{1}$ or a.D. 379. He thus reigned nearly three-quarters of a century, being contemporary with the Roman emperors, Galerius, Constantine, Constantius and Constans, Julian, Jovian, Valentinian I., Valens, Gratian, and Valentinian II.

This long reign is best divided into periods. The first period of it extended from a.D. 309 to a.d. 337 , or a space of twenty-eight years. This was the time anterior to Sapor's wars with the Romans. It included the sixteen years of his minority ${ }^{2}$ and a space of twelve years during which he waged successful wars with the Arabs. The minority of Sapor was a period of severe trial to Persia. On every side the bordering nations endeavoured to take advantage of the weakness incident to the rule of a minor, and attacked and ravaged the empire at their pleasure. ${ }^{8}$ The Arabs were especially aggressive, and made continual raids into Babylonia, Khuzistan, and the adjoining regions, which desolated these provinces and carried the horrors of war into the very heart of the empire. The tribes of Beni-Ayar and Abdul-Kais, which dwelt on the southern shores of the Persian Gulf, took the lead in these incursions, and, though not attempting any permanent conquests, inflicted terrible sufferings on the inhabitants of the tracts which they invaded. At the same time a Mesopotamian chieftain, called Tayer or Thair, ${ }^{4}$ made an

[^75]attack upon Ctesiphon, took the city by storm, and captured a sister or aunt of the Persian monarch. The nobles, who, during Sapor's minority, guided the helm of the State, were quite incompetent to make hend against these numerous evemies. For sixteen years the marauding bands had the advantage, and Persia found herself continually weaker, more impoverished, and less able to recover herself. The young prince is said to have ahown extraordinury diseretion and intelligence. ${ }^{1}$ He diligently trained himself in all manly exercises, and prepanel bosh his mind and body for the important duties of his station. But his tender years forbade his as yet taking the field; and it is not unlikely that his ministers prolonged the period of his tutelage in order to retain, to the latest possible moment, the power whereto they had become accustomed. At any rate, it was oot till he was sixteen, a later age than Oriental bien require, ${ }^{2}$ that Sapor's minority censed-that he sesrred his manhood, and, placing himself at the head of hi- army, unok the entire direction of affairs, civil and mainary. !nt, his wwn hamds. ${ }^{3}$

From thi moment the fortunes of Persia began to rio. Conient at firat to mert and chastise the maraud:n: bampon hiv own territory, Sapor, after a time, :-r is : $\therefore$ iler, and ventured to take the offenvive. Having ......- sed a theet of considerable size, he phaced his arene on bexrl, and convered them to the city of
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El-Katif, an important place on the south coast of the Persian Gulf, where he disembarked and proceeded to carry fire and sword through the adjacent region. Either on this occasion, or more probably in a long series of expeditions, he ravaged the whole district of the Hejer, gaining numerous victories over the tribes of the Temanites, the Beni-Waïel, the Abdul-Kaïs, and others, which had taken a leading part in the invasion of Persia. His military genius and his valour were everywhere conspicuous; but unfortunately these excellent qualities were unaccompanied by the humanity which has been the crowning virtue of many a conqueror. Sapor, exasperated by the sufferings of his countrymen during so many years, thought that he could not too severely punish those who had inflicted them. He put to the sword the greater part of every tribe that he conquered; and, when his soldiers were weary of slaying, he made them pierce the shoulders of their prisoners, and insert in the wound a string or thong by which to drag them into captivity. ${ }^{1}$ The barbarity of the age and nation approved these atrocities; and the monarch who had commanded them was, in consequence, saluted as Dhoulactaf, or 'Lord of the Shoulders,' by an admiring people. ${ }^{2}$

Cruelties almost as great, but of a different character, were at the same time sanctioned by Sapor in regard to one class of his own subjects-viz., those who had

[^76]made profession of Christianity. The Zoronstrian zeal of this king was great, and he regarded it as incumbent on him to check the advance which Christianity wiw raw making in his territories. He issued severe edicto againat the Christimes soon after attaining his majority ; ' and when they sought the protection of the Roman emperor, he punished their disloyalty by imposing upon them a fresh tax, the weight of which was oppressive. When Symeon, Archbikhop of Solencia, complained of this addlitional burden in an oflensive manner, Sapor retaliated by clowing the Cliristian churches, confiscation the ecrelesiastical property, and putting the conuplaimiat to death. Accounts of these severitios reached Constantine, the Romnn emperor, who had revently embracesl the oew religion (which, is spite of cunstast juerscicution, had gradually overapread the empince), and had assumed the character of a sorf of geseral protector of the Cliristinas throughout the world. ${ }^{2}$ Ile remonstrated with Sapor, but to no purpose. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Soper had formerl the resolution to renew the contest

[^77]terminated so unfavourably forty years earlier by his grandfather. He made the emperor's interference with Persian affairs, and encouragement of his Christian subjects in their perversity, a ground of complaint, and began to threaten hostilities. ${ }^{1}$ Some negotiations, which are not very clearly narrated, ${ }^{2}$ followed. Both sides, apparently, had determined on war, but both wished to gain time. It is uncertain what would have been the result had Constantine lived. But the death of that monarch in the early summer of a.d. 337, on his way to the eastern frontier, dispelled the last chance of peace, by relieving Sapor from the wholesome fear which lhad hitherto restrained his ambition. The military fame of Constantine was great, and naturally inspired nespect; his power was firmly fixed, and he was without competitor or rival. By his removal the whole face of affars was changed; and Sapor, who had almost brought himself to venture on a rupture with Rome during Constantine's life, no longer hesitated on receiving news of his death, but at once commenced hostilities. ${ }^{8}$

It is probable that among the motives which determined the somewhat wavering conduct of Sapor at this juncture ${ }^{4}$ was a reasonable fear of the internal troubles which it seemed to be in the power of the Romans to excite among the Persians, if from friends they became

[^78]enenies. Having tested his own military capacity in his Arab wars, and formed an army on whose courage, cendurnice, and attachinent he could rely, he was not afraid of meaturing his strength with that of Rome in the open field; but he may well have dreaded the arts which the Imperial State was in the habit of employing, ${ }^{5}$ to supplewent her military shortcomings, in wars with ber neightouns. There was now at the court of Constantinople a Persian refugee of such rank and importance that Constantine had, as it were, a pretender ready made to his hand, and could reckon on creating dissension among the Persians whenever he plensed, by simply proclaiming himself this person's aily and putron. Prince Hormisdas, the edder brother of Sapor, and rightful king of Persia, had, after a long imprisonument, ${ }^{3}$ contrived, by the help of his wife, to ecape from his dungeon, ${ }^{3}$ and hal fled to the court of Constantine ns carly as a.D. 323. He had been received by the emperor with every mark of honour and distinctin, h.ul lwou civen a mantenance suited to his rank, ath land rijeved other favours.' Supor must have felt hamori s.oply arorieven by the undue attention paid :, !:- rival : and though he pretended to make light of t.. mater, and evon generouly sent Hormishas the wati- t, whin his crape was due. he camot but have Inct unaty at the pexemion, by the Roman emperor, of ha- browier peran. In weighing the reamons for and Ansthet war, her canue but have anigned considerable mineratare to thin circumetance. It did not ultimately

[^79]prevent him from challenging Rome to the combat; but it may help to account for the hesitation, the delay, and the fluctuations of purpose, which we remark in his conduct during the four or five years ${ }^{1}$ which immediately preceded the death of Constantine.
${ }^{1}$ From A.D. 333 to A.D. 337.

## CHAPTER VIII
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Tres deuth of Constantine wus followed by the division of the Bomnan world among his sons. The vist empire with which Sapor had almost made up his mind to contuod was partitioned out into three modenate-sized kingroms. 'In phace of the late brave and experienced - Mi.wrer a raw youth, who had given no signs of - -a-t:ers ability, had the povernment of the Roman Bo: in. of the Eat, of Thrace, Asia Minor, Syria, M-antana, and koypt. Master of one-third of the - :a;?:, nuin, and of the least warlike portion, ${ }^{3}$ Constantar wa fine whom the P'ervian monarch might well $\therefore-1 \cdot \mathrm{a}$ : and whom he might expert to defeat without :act i: ifficulty. Morenver, there was much in the cir-- A.a-atu on of the time that seemed to promise success

[^80]to the Persian arms in a struggle with Rome. The removal of Constantine had been followed by an outburst of licentiousness and violence among the Roman soldiery in the capital ; ${ }^{1}$ and throughout the East the army had cast off the restraints of discipline, and given indications of a turbulent and seditious spirit. ${ }^{2}$ The condition of Armenia was also such as to encourage Sapor in his ambitious projects. Tiridates, though a persecutor of the Christians in the early part of his reign, had been converted by Gregory the Illuminator, ${ }^{3}$ and had then enforced Christianity on his subjects by fire and sword. A sanguinary conflict had followed. A large portion of the Armenians, firmly attached to the old national idolatry, had resisted determinedly. ${ }^{4}$ Nobles, priests, and people had fought desperately in defence of their temples, images, and altars; and, though the persistent will of the king overbore all opposition, yet the result was the formation of a discontented faction, which rose up from time to time against its rulers, and was constantly tempted to ally itself with any foreign power from which it could hope the re-establishment of the old religion. Armenia had also, after the death of Tiridates (in A.D. 314), fallen under the government of weak princes. ${ }^{5}$ Persia had recovered from it the portion of Media Atropatene ceded by the treaty between Galerius and Narses. ${ }^{6}$ Sapor, therefore, had nothing to fear on this side ; and he might reasonably expect to find friends among the Armenians them-

[^81]sefves, sliould the general position of his affairs allow him to make an effort to extend Persian influence once more over the Armenian hiythand.

The bands of Sapor crossed the Roman frontier soon after, if not even before, ${ }^{1}$ the death of Constantine; and after an interval of furty years the two great powers of the world were once mure engaged in a bloody contict. Constuntius, having paid the last honours to his father's remains, ${ }^{2}$ hastened to the eastern frontier, where he found the Romanarmy weak in mumbers, badly armed and badly provided, ill-dippoed towarls himself, and almost ready to mutiny, It was necemary, before nnything could be done to reakt the advance of Sapor, that the insubordination of the troops nhould be checked, their wante supplied, and their goodwill concilinted. Constantius applied himself to cifect those changes. ${ }^{*}$ Meanwhile Supor set the Arabs and Armeniuns in motion, inducing the Pagan party among the latter to rise in insurrecuon, deliver their king, Tiranus, into his frwer, ${ }^{3}$ and make incursions into the loman territory, whie the latter infenten with their armed bands the :resmoer of Monprotamia and Syria. ${ }^{6}$ He himelf was Content, during the tire year of the war, a.b. 3.37, with aba! rate surceons, and appeared to the homans to abod rather than sork a pitched batle:: Con-tantius

[^82]was able, under these circumstances, not only to maintain his ground, but to gain certain advantages. He restored the direction of affairs in Armenia to the Roman party, ${ }^{1}$ detached some of the Mesopotamian Arabs from the side of his adversary, and attached them to his own, ${ }^{2}$ and even built forts in the Persian territory on the further side of the Tigris. ${ }^{3}$ But the gains made were slight; and in the ensuing year (a.d. 338) Sapor took the field in greater force than before, and addressed himself to an important enterprise. He aimed, it is evident, from the first, at the recovery of Mesopotamia, and at thrusting back the Romans from the Tigris to the Euphrates. He found it easy to overrun the open country, to ravage the crops, drive off the cattle, and burn the villages and homesteads. But the region could not be regarded as conquered, it could not be permanently held, unless the strongly fortified posts which commanded it, and which were in the hands of Rome, could be captured. ${ }^{4}$ Of all these the most important was Nisibis. This ancient town, known to the Assyrians as Nazibina, ${ }^{5}$ was, at any rate from the time of Lucullus, ${ }^{6}$ the most important city of Mesopotamia. It was situated at the distance of about sixty miles from the Tigris, at the edge of the Mons Masius, in a broad and fertile plain, watered by one of the affluents ${ }^{7}$ of the river Khabour, or Aborrhas. The



 rwr.)

1 Julian. Orat. i. p. 37.
${ }^{2}$ Ibid. p. 38.
3 Ibid. p. 39.
4 This is well urged by Gibbon
(Decline and Fall, rol. ii. p. 372).
s See the Assyrian Canon, pas-
sim ; and compare Ancient Monarchies, vol. i. p. 258.

- Plutarch, Lucull. § 32.

7 This river, now called the Jerujer, anciently the Mygdonius (river of Gozan ? ). joins the main stream of the Khabour in lat. $36^{\circ} 20^{\prime}$, near the volcanic hill of Koukab. (Layard, Nin. and Bab. pp. 309, 322, \&c.)

Romans, after their cceupation of Mesopotamia, had suised it to the rank of a colony; ${ }^{1}$ and ite defences, which were of great strength, had always been maintrised by the emperors in a state of efficiency. Sirpor regarled it as the key of the Roman position in the trict between the rivers, and, ns carly as A.D. 338, suught to make himself master of it. ${ }^{3}$

The first siege of Nisibis by Sapor lasted, we are :old, sisty-three days." Few particulars of it have come down to iss. Supor had attacked the city, apparently, in the absence of Constantius, ${ }^{5}$ who had been called off to Pannonia to hold a esnference with his brothers. It was defended, not only by its garrison and inhabitants, but by the prayers and exhortations of its bishop, ${ }^{5}$ 8t. Janes, who, if he did not work miracles for the doliverance of his countrymen, at any rate sustained and animated their resistance. The result was that the bands of Sapor were repelled with loss, and he was forced, after wasting two months before the walls, to rair the sioge and own himelf baifled. ${ }^{7}$

At:-r this, for oome years the l'enian war with Rome ia: : $\quad$ mohed. It is difficult to extract from the brief
 a steveries of orators. the real circumstancer of the

[^83]struggle; but apparently the general condition of things was this. The Persians were constantly victorious in the open field; Constantius was again and again defeated; ${ }^{1}$ but no permanent gain was effected by these successes. A weakness inherited by the Persians from the Parthians ${ }^{2}$-an inability to conduct sieges to a prosperous issue-showed itself; and their failures against the fortified posts which Rome had taken care to establish in the disputed regions were continual. Up to the close of A.D. 340, Sapor had made no important gan, had struck no decisive blow, but stood nearly in the same position which he had occupied at the commencement of the cunflict.

But the year a.d. 341 saw a change. Sapor, after obtaining possession of the person of Tiranus, had sought to make himself master of Armenia, and had even attempted to set up one of his own relatives as king. ${ }^{8}$ But the indomitable spirit of the inhabitants, and their firm attachment to their Arsacid princes, caused his attempts to fail of any good result, and tended on the whole to throw Armenia into the arms of Rome. Sapor, after a while, became convinced of the folly of his proceedings, and resolved on the adoption of a wholly new policy. He would relinquish the idea of conquering, and would endeavour instead to conciliate the Armenians, in the hope of obtaining from

Epistle of Julian to the Athenian Senate and People, and the tenth oration of Libanius, belong (so far as Constantius is concerned) to the former. The later writings of these two authors to a great extent invalidate the earlier.
${ }^{1}$ Nine times, according to Festus (§ 27); frequently, according to Eutropius (x. 10); whenever he engaged the Persians, according to

Ammianus (xx. 11, ad fin.) and Sucrates (Hist. Eccles. ii. 25).
${ }^{2}$ See the Author's Sixth Monarchy, p. 406.
${ }^{3}$ Mos. Chor. Hist. Armen. iii. 10; Faustus, iii. 21. The Persian prince seems to have been named Narses. Moses calls him Sapor's brother; but this is very iupprobable.
their gratitude what he had been unable to extort from their fenrs. Tiranus was still living; and Sapor, we are told, offered to replace him upon the Armenian throne ; ${ }^{2}$ but, is he had been blinded by his captors, and as Oriental notions did not allow a person thus mutilated to exercise royal power, ${ }^{2}$ Tiranus declined the offer made him, and suggested the subatitution of his son, Arsaces, who was, like himself, a prisoner in Pessin. Sapor readily consented; and the young prince, released from captivity, returned to his country, and was instailed as king by the Persins, ${ }^{\text { }}$, with the goodwill of the natives, who were satisfied so long as they could feel that they had at their head a monarch of the atcient stock. This arrangement, of course, placed Armenia on the Persian side, and gave Sapor for many gears n powerful ally in his struggle with Rome.

Thus Sapor had, by the year A.D, 341, made a very considerable gain. He had placed a friendly sovercign on the Armenian throne, had bound him to his cause by coths, and had therebre established his influence, win ouly over Armenia itedf, but ower the whole tract which lay betwern Armenia and the Caucams. But he wa: far from content with there surceeses. It was still he- areat objeet to drive the Romans from Menopotamia: :and with that ohjeet in view it comtmued to be his firet woth to .htain pumewion of Si-ibis. Accordingly. havme arteld Armenian affair to his liking, he made, :t , 1b. 3thi, a aromd attack on the great city of Northern So - potamia, ng:ain inveoting it with a large loxly of

[^84]troops, and this time pressing the siege during the space of nearly three months. ${ }^{1}$ Again, however, the strength of the walls and the endurance of the garrison baffed him. Sapor was once more obliged to withdraw from before the place, having suffered greater loss than those whom he had assailed, and forfeited much of the prestige which he had acquired by his many victories.

It was, perhaps, on account of the repulse from Nisibis, and in the hope of recovering his lost laurels, that Sapor, in the next year but one, a.d. 348, made an unusual effort. Calling out the entire military force of the empire, and augmenting it by large bodies of allies and mercenaries, ${ }^{2}$ the Persian king, towards the middle of summer, crossed the Tigris by three bridges, ${ }^{8}$ and with a numerous and well-appointed army invaded Central Mesopotamia, probably from Adiabene, or the region near and a little south of Nineveh. Constantius, with the Roman army, was posted on and about the Sinjar range of hills, in the vicinity of the town of Singara, which is represented by the modern village of Sinjar. ${ }^{4}$ The Roman emperor did not venture to dispute the passage of the river, or to meet his adversary in the broad plain which intervenes between the Tigris and the mountain range, but clung to the skirts of the hills, and commanded his troops to remain wholly on the defensive. ${ }^{5}$

[^85]Sapor was thus ennbled to choose his position, to establish a fortified camp at a convenient distance from the eneny, and to occupy the hills in its vicinity-some portion of the Sinjar rauge-with his archens. It is uncertnin whecher, in making these dispositions, he was merely providing for his own safity, or whether he was laying a trap into which be hoped to entice the Roman arrny. ${ }^{1}$ Perhaps his mind was wide enough to embrnace both contingencies. At any rate, baving thus established a peint d'appui in his rear, he advenced bildly and challenged the legions to an encounter. The challenge was at once accepted, and the battle commenced about midday; ${ }^{2}$ but now the Persians, having just crosed swords with the eneny, almost immediately began to give ground, and retreating hastily drew their advenarios along, scrome the thissty plain, to the vicinity of their fortified camp, where a strong body of honse and the flower of the Pensim archers were posted. The bose charged, but the legionaries easily defated them. ${ }^{3}$ and dated with their sucress burst into the canc. di-phte the warming of their lealer, who strove van: w. chenk their andour and to induce them to put
 A -t.a. du:a hment found within the ramparts was a: :o the sworl: and the whdiers atathered themedres as.enc: the tent- ome in quat of lanty, wher only atama for valme man- of quenching their raging

[^86]thirst. ${ }^{1}$ Meantime the sun had gone down, and the shades of night fell rapidly. Regarding the battle as over, and the victory as assured, the Romans gave themselves up to sleep or feasting. But now Sapor saw his opportunity-the opportunity for which he had perhaps planned and waited. His light troops on the adjacent hills commanded the camp, and, advancing on every side, surrounded it. They were fresh and eager for the fray; they fought in the security afforded by the darkness; while the fires of the camp showed them their enemies, worn out with fatigue, sleepy, ordrunken. ${ }^{2}$ The result, as might have been expected, was a terrible carnage. ${ }^{8}$ The Persians overwhelmed the legionaries with showers of darts and arrows; Hight, under the circumstances, was impossible; and the Roman soldiers mostly perished where they stood. They took, however, ere they died, an atrocious revenge. Sapor's son had been made prisoner in the course of the day; in their desperation the legionaries turned their fury against this innocent youth ; they beat him with whips, wounded him with the points of their weapons, and finally rushed upon him and killed him with a hundred blows. ${ }^{4}$

[^87]The battle of Singara, though thus disastrous to the Romans, had not any great effect in deternining the course or issue of the war. Sapor did not take ndyanage of his victory to attack the rest of the Roman forees in Mesopotamia, or even to attermpt the siege of any Large town. ${ }^{1}$ Perhaps he had really suffered large loeed in the earlier part of the day ; ${ }^{2}$ perhaps he was too much affected by the miserable denth of his son to care, till time had dulled the edge of his grief, for milheary glory:" At any rate, we hear of his undertaking no further enterprise till the second year after the battle, ${ }^{4}$ A.D. $\$ 50$, when he made his third and most desperate attempt to capture Nisibis.

The rise of a civil war in the West, and the departure of Constanúus for Europe with the flower of his troops enrly in the year, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ no doube encouniged the Penvian monarch to mike one more effort against the place which had twice repuleed him with ignominy. ${ }^{5}$ He collected a numerous native nrmy, and strongthened it by the aldition of a body of Indian allies, ${ }^{7}$ who brought a lareie trexp of elphante into the field. ${ }^{8}$ With this forme he crinual the Tiuris in the carly summer, and, after hiking meveral fortified poste, marched northwards

[^88]
## ( 141 .
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and invested Nisibis. The Roman commander in the place was the Count Lucilianus, afterwards the father-in-law of Jovian, a man of resource and determination. He is said to have taken the best advantage of every favourable turn of fortune in the course of the siege, and to have prolonged the resistance by various subtle stratagems. ${ }^{1}$ But the real animating spirit of the defence was once more the bishop, St. James, who roused the enthusiasm of the inhabitants to the highest pitch by his exhortations, guided them by his counsels, and was thought to work miracles for them by his prayers. ${ }^{2}$ Sapor tried at first the ordinary methods of attack; he battered the walls with his rams, and sapped them with mines. But finding that by these means he made no satisfactory progress, he had recourse shortly to wholly novel proceedings. The river Mygdonius (now the Jerujer), swollen by the melting of the snows in the Mons Masius, had overflowed its banks and covered with an inundation the plain in which Nisibis stands. Sapor saw that the forces of nature might be employed to advance his ends, and so embanked the lower part of the plain that the water could not run off, but formed a deep lake round the town, gradually creeping up the walls till it had almost reached the battlements. ${ }^{8}$ Having thus created an artificial sea, the energetic monarch rapidly collected, or constructed, ${ }^{4}$ a fleet of vessels, and, placing his military engines on board, launched the ships upon the waters, and so attacked the walls of the city

1 Zosimus, iii. 8.
${ }^{2}$ Theodoret, ii. 30.
${ }^{3}$ Julian. Orat. ii. p. 115: '0

 iєXó $\mu \in \nu 0 \mathrm{c}$
 Nai ẅबxє $\rho$ ข

 Orat. i. p. 49.
${ }^{4}$ Compare Trajan's construction of a fleet in this same region in the winter of A.D. 115-116. (Sizth Monarchy, p. 310.)
at great advantage. But the defender resisted stoutly, vetting the engines on fire with torches, and eilher lifting the shipe from the water by means of cranes, or clee shuttering them with the buge stones which they could discharge from their balister.' Still, therefore, soo jupresion was made; but at last an unforeseen circumstance brought the benieged into the greatest peril, and slmost gave Nisibis into the enemy's hands. The inundation, confined by the mounds of the Persinns, which prevented it from running off, presed with continually incrensing force ugninst the defences of the city, till at last the wall, in one part, proved too weak to withatund the tremendous weight which bove upon it, and gave way suddenly for the space of a hundrel and fifty feet. ${ }^{2}$ What further damage was done to the town we know not; but a lreach was opened through which the Pessians at once male ready to pour into the place, regarding is as imposible that so huge a gap stould be either repaired or effectually defended. Bapor wook up his poeition on an artifirial eminence, while his tringer ru-hed th the asoult. ${ }^{3}$ First of all marched the heasy cavalry, accompanied by the homearehers; next -athe the elephant-. Inaring iron towers upon their i.atho, and in each fowrer a number of bewmen; intermaxel with the elephants were a cartain amount of bacorarmed fent. It was a strange column with whe h the atiok a breah : and its comporition dees not

[^89]say much for Persian siege tactics, which were always poor and ineffective, ${ }^{1}$ and which now, as usually, resulted in failure. The horses became quickly entangled in the ooze and mud which the waters had left behind them as they subsided; the elephants were even less ahle to overcome these difficulties, and as soon as they received a wound sank down-never to rise again-in the swamp. ${ }^{2}$ Sapor hastily gave orders for the assailing column to retreat and seek the friendly shelter of the Persian camp, while he essayed to maintain his advantage in a different way. His light archers were ordered to the front, and, being formed into divisions which were to act as reliefs, received orders to prevent the restoration of the ruined wall by directing an incessant storm of arnows into the gap made by the waters. But the firmness and activity of the garrison and inhabitants defeated this well-imagined proceeding. While the heavy-armed troops stood in the gap receiving the flights of arrows and defending themselves as they best could, the unarmed multitude raised a new wall in their rear, which, by the morning of the next day, was six feet in height. ${ }^{8}$ This last proof of his enemies' resolution and resource seems to have finally convinced Sapor of the hopelessness of his enterprise. Though he still continued the siege for a while, he made no other grand attack, and at length drew off his forces, having lost twenty thousand men before the walls, ${ }^{4}$ and wasted a hundred days, or more than three months. ${ }^{5}$

[^90]Perhaps he would not have departed so soon, but would have turned the siege into a blockade, and endeavoured to starve the garrion into submision, had not alarming tidings reached him from his north-enstern fruntier. Then, as now, the low tlat sandy repion east of the Caspian was in the possession of nomadic horles, whese whole life was spent in war and plunder. The Oxus might be nominilly the boundary of the empire in this quarter; but the nomade were really dominant aver the entire desert to the foot of the Hyramian and Parthina hills. Fetty plundering forsys into the fertite region south and enst of the deert were no doubt constant, and were not greatly regarded ; but from time to time some tribe or chieftain bolder than the rest made a deeper inroad and a more sustrined attack than usual, spreading consternation around, und terrifying the court for its anfely. Such an attack seens to have securred towards the autumn of A.D. 350 . The insading horde is said to have consisted of Massagete: ${ }^{\text {P }}$ but wre can harilly he mistaken in regrarting them as, in the mam, of Tatar or Turknoman bencl, akin to the 10 !n-a and wher Turamian trike which still inhathit the

 m.in. 1 .ne of the wor rival- from Menponamia to the far Wi-at, whir. he hat to contend with the self-styled - rioror. Manditus and Vietramio, the other was ~a.d anay t., the extrome Fant tor repela Tatar invaion $A$ :ant truce was thus atablished between the

[^91]great belligerents ${ }^{1}$-a truce which lasted for seven or eight years. The unfortunate Mesopotamians, harassed by constant war for above twenty years, had now a breathing-space during which to recover from the ruin and desolation that had overwhelmed them. Rome and Persia for a time suspended their conflict. Rivalry, indeed, did not cease; but it was transferred from the battle-field to the cabinet, and the Roman emperor sought and found in diplomatic triumphs a compensation for the ill-success which had attended his efforts in the field.



## CHAFTER IX.

 Fidintivy. Claranter and Isewe of Sapor's Easten Hars. HM.N:

 sies fo hum of Antiminas. Grent Invenime of Sapor. Singe of Amide. Sapur't Birentios Siage and Capture of Singara; of Bearddo. At-





Ir seems to have been soon after the close of Sapor's first war with Constantius that events took place in Armenia which once more replaced that country under Bommen influence. Arsaces, the son of Tiranus, had Inen. av we have meen, ${ }^{1}$ establisherl as monarch, by Saper. in the year a.d. 341 , under the notion that, in return fir the favour shown him, he would administer Arme mat in the lereian interest. But gratitude is an wasi- has: fir the frie modhipe of monarchs. Arsaces, at:- r a tume. brean to chafe against the obligations under whi. is saper had laid him, and to wish, by taking indepreabint action. to show himelf a real king, and not a B.. r. Peulatory. He was aloo, perhape, tired of aiding Sime in hi- Roman war, and may have found that he -atiorent mare than he gained by having lome for an C:Atay. It any rate, in the interval ${ }^{2}$ between a.d. 351
 - Ite ai'Snace of Iraces with aud by lines of Cborind. The
and 359, probably while Sapor was engaged in the far East, ${ }^{1}$ Arsaces sent envoys to Constantinople with a request to Constantius that he would give him in marriage a member of the Imperial house. ${ }^{2}$ Constantius was charmed with the application made to him, and at once accepted the proposal. He selected for the proffered honour a certain Olympias, the daughter of Ablabius, a Prætorian prefect, and lately the betrothed bride of his own brother, Constans; and sent her to Armenia, ${ }^{8}$ where Arsaces welcomed her, and made her (as it would seem) his chief wife, provoking thereby the jealousy and aversion of his previous sultana, a native Armenian, named Pharandzem. ${ }^{4}$ The engagement thus entered into led on, naturally, to the conclusion of a formal alliance between Rome and Armenia-an alliance which Sapor made fruitless efforts to disturb, ${ }^{5}$ and which continued unimpaired down to the time (a.d. 359) when hostilities once more broke out between Rome and Persia.

Of Sapor's Eastern wars we have no detailed account. They seem to have occupied him from A.D. 350 to A.D.

[^92]357, and to have been, on the whole, successfal. They were certainly terminated by a pace in the last-namex year ${ }^{3}$-a peace of which it must have been a condition that his late enemies should lend him aid in the struggle which he was about to renew with Rome. Who these enemies exactly were, and what exact region they inhabited, is doubeful. They comprised certainly the Chionites and Geluni, probably the Euseni and the Verta. : The Chionites are thought to have been Hiongnis or Huns; ${ }^{5}$ and the Euseni are probably the U-wiun, who, as early an B.c. 200 , are found among the nomadic bordes pressing towards the Oxus, ${ }^{4}$ The Vertar are wholly unknown. The Gelani shoukd, by their name, be the inhubritants of Ghilan, or the const trwet sonthweat of the Caspian ; but this locality neems too remote from the probable seats of the Chionites and Euscai to be the one intended. The general scene of the wars was undoubtedly cast of the Cospiun, either in the Oxus regiot, or still further eastward, on the confines of Twian and Bythia. ${ }^{3}$ The result of the wars, though m: a compuest, was an extemion of l'ersian intluence and inwer. Trublilewne enemies were converted into
 ©i.r Armenta was thas comprosated, or more than comperaterl, within a fow years, by a gain of a similar kial in another quarter.

[^93]While Sapor was thus engaged in the far East, he received letters from the officer whom he had left in charge of his western frontier, ${ }^{1}$ informing him that the Romans were anxious to exchange the precarious truce which Mesopotamia had been allowed to enjoy during the last five or six yeans for a more settled and formal peace. Two great Roman officials, Cassianus, duke of Mesopotamia, and Musonianus, Prætorian prefect, understanding that Sapor was entangled in a bloody and difficult war at the eastern extremity of his empire, and knowing that Constantius was fully occupied with the troubles caused by the inroads of the barbarians into the more western of the Roman provinces, had thought that the time was favourable for terminating the provisional state of affairs in the Mesopotamian region by an actual treaty. ${ }^{2}$ They had accordingly opened negotiations with Tamsapor, satrap of Adiabene, and suggested to him that he should sound his master on the subject of making peace with Rome. Tamsapor appears to have misunderstood the character of these overtures, or to have misrepresented them to Sapor ; in his despatch he made Constantius himself the mover in the matter, and spoke of him as humbly supplicating the great king to grant him conditions. ${ }^{8}$ It happened that the message reached Sapor just as he had come to terms with his eastern enemies, and had succeeded in inducing them to become his allies. He was naturally elated at his success, and regarded the Roman overture as a simple acknowledgment of weakness. Accordingly he answered in the most haughty style. His letter, which was conveyed to the Roman emperor at Sirmium by an am-

[^94]husendor named Narses, ${ }^{1}$ was conceived in the following terms: 2-

- Bapor, king of kings, brother of the sun and moon, and companion of the stars, sends salutation to his brother, Constantius Cossar. It glads me to see that thou art at last returned to the right way, and art ready to do what is just and fair, having learned by experience that inordinate greed is ofttimes punished by defeat and disaster. As then the voice of truth ought to speak with all opennes, and the more illustrions of mankind should make their words mirror their thoughts, I will briefly declare to thee what I propose, not forgetting that I have often said the same things before. Your own authors are witness that the entire tract within the river Strymon and the borders of Macedon was once held by tuy ancestors ; if I required you to restore all this, it would not ill become me (excuse the bonst), inasmuch us I excel in virtue and in the splendour of my achievements the whole line of our ancient monarchs. But as moxleration deliphts me, and has always been the rule of my conduct-wherefore from $m y$ youth up I hase had ao occasion to repent of any action-I will In content to reveive Movprotamia and A rmenia, which wav frambulenty extorted from my prandfather. We Proian, have never adnatted the principle, which you problam with such effrontery, that success in war is aln.ey-ghon, is, whether it be the fruit of courage or te. ki.ry. In conclusion, if you will take the advice of - ar. whio - parak- for your gexal, sucrifice a mall tract of armairy, one always in dippute and causing continual li.a-ibial. in orther that you may rule the remander wardy. Iheoicians, rememiner, often cut and burn,

[^95]and even amputate portions of the body, that the patient may have the healthy use of what is left to him ; and there are animals which, understanding why the hunters chase them, deprive themselves of the thing coveted, to live thenceforth without fear. I warn you, that, if my ambassador returns in vain, I will take the field against you, so soon as the winter is past, with all my forces, confiding in my good fortune and in the fairness of the conditions which I have now offered.'
It must have been a severe blow to Imperial pride to receive such a letter; and the sense of insult can scarcely have been much mitigated by the fact that the missive was enveloped in a silken covering, ${ }^{1}$ or by the circumstance that the bearer, Narses, endeavoured by his conciliating manners to atone for his master's rudeness. ${ }^{2}$ Constantius replied, however, in a dignified and calm tone. ${ }^{3}$ 'The Roman emperor,' he said, 'victorious by land and sea, saluted his brother, King Sapor. His lieutenant in Mesopotamia had meant well in opening a negotiation with a Persian governor ; but he had acted without orders, and could not bind his master. Nevertheless, he (Constantius) would not disclaim what had been done, since he did not object to a peace, provided it were fair and honourable. But to ask the master of the whole Roman world to surrender territories which he had successfully defended when he ruled only over the provinces of the East was plainly indecent and absurd. He must add that the employment of threats was futile, and too common an artifice ; more especially as the Persians themselves must know that Rome always

[^96]defended herself when attacked, and that, if occesionally she was vanquished in a battle, yet she ouver failed to have the advantage in the event of every war.' Three envoys were entrusted with the delivery of this repily ${ }^{1}$-Promper, a count of the empire; Epestatus, a tribune and notary; and Enstathius, an orator and philosopber, a pupil of the celebruted Neo-Matonist, Jamblichus, ${ }^{2}$ and a friend of St. Basil. Coustancius was must anxious for peace, as a dangerous war threstened with the Aleusuni, ose of the most powerful tribce of Germany. He secme to have hoped that, if the usiadorned langrage of the two statesmens failed to move Sapor, he might be won over by the persuasive eloquence of the professor of rhetoric.

But Supor was bent on war. He had concluded arrangements with the natives so long his udversaries in the Fast, by which they had pledged themodves to join his standard with all their forces in the ensuing spring." He wis well aware of the prosition of Constantius in the Wi-t. of the internal corruption of his court, and of the probl convantly threatening him from external - hermo. I homan official of importance bearing the ob. . bomoured name of Antoninus, had recently taken refuare with him from the claime of pretended ereditors. at.d had laren recoive. into high favour on account of tio. inturmatson which he was able to communicate n:th reper: the the di-perition of the Roman forcee and
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whom he stimulated by alternately reproaching him with his backwardness in the past, and putting before him the prospect of easy triumphs over Rome in the future. He pointed out that the emperor, with the bulk of his troops and treasures, was detained in the regions adjoining the Danube, and that the East was left almost undefended; he magnified the services which he was himself competent to render; ${ }^{1}$ he exhorted Sapor to bestir himself, and to put confidence in his good fortune. He recommended that the old plan of sitting down before walled towns should be given up, and that the Persian monarch, leaving the strongholds of Mesopotamia in his rear, should press forward to the Euphrates, ${ }^{2}$ pour his troops across it, and overrun the rich province of Syria, which he would find unguarded, and which had not been invaded by an enemy for nearly a century. The views of Antoninus were adopted; but, in practice, they were overruled by the exigencies of the situation. A Roman army occupied Mesopotamia, and advanced to the banks of the Tigris. When the Persians in full force crossed the river, accompanied by Chionite and Albanian allies, ${ }^{8}$ they found a considerable body of troops prepared to resist them. Their opponents did not, indeed, offer battle, but they laid waste the country as the Persians took possession of it; they destroyed the forage, evacuated the indefensible towns ${ }^{4}$ (which fell, of course, into the enemy's hands), and fortified the line of the Euphrates with castles, military engines, and palisades. ${ }^{6}$ Still the programme of Antoninus would probably have been carried out, had not the swell of the Euphrates

[^97]escoeded the average, and rendered it impossible for the Punsian troops to ford the river at the usmal point of passage into Byria. On discovering this obstacle, Antoniaus suggested that, by a march to the norti-east through a fertile country, the Upper Euphrates might be reached, and easily crossed, before its waters had attained any considerable volume. Sapor ayreed to edopt this suggestion. He marched from Zeugma merose the Mons Masius towards the Upper Euphrates, defented the Romans in an important battle near Amida, ${ }^{1}$ took, by a sudden assault, two castles which defended the town, ${ }^{2}$ and then somewhat hastily resolved that he would attack the place, which he did not imagine capable of muking much resistunce.

Amida, now Diarbekr, wns situated on the righe bank of the Upper Tigris, in a fertile plain, und wns washed along the whole of ite castern side by a semicincular bend of the river. ${ }^{1}$ It had beens a place of considerable importance from a very ancient date ${ }^{4}$ and had revently leen much strengthencel by Constantius, who hat made it an arsemal for military engines, and had repaired its towers and walls.' The town contained withun it a copious fountain of water, which was liable, howewr, to acpuire a disurecalle onlour in the sum-mer-time. S.ven legions, of the moxlerate strongth to which legions had leen reflucel by Constantince, ${ }^{6}$ defroded it: and the farrison included also a booly of

[^98]horse-archers, composed chiefly or entirely of noble foreigners. ${ }^{1}$ Sapor hoped in the first instance to terrify it into submission by his mere appearance, and boldly rode up to the gates with a small body of his followers, expecting that they would be opened to him. But the defenders were more courageous than he had imagined. They received him with a shower of darts and arrows, that were directed specinlly against his person, which was conspicuous from its ornaments; and they aimed their weapons so well that one of them passed through a portion of his dress and was nearly wounding him. ${ }^{2}$ Persuaded by his followers, Sapor upon this withdrew, and committed the further prosecution of the attack to Grumbates, the king of the Chionites, who assaulted the walls on the next day with a body of picked troops, but was repulsed with great loss, his only son, a youth of great promise, being killed at his side by a dart from a balista. ${ }^{8}$ The death of this prince spread dismay through the camp, and was followed by a general mourning; but it now became a point of honour to take the town which had so injured one of the great king's royal allies; and Grumbates was promised that Amida should become the funeral pile of his lost darling. ${ }^{4}$

The town was now regularly invested. Each nation was assigned its place. The Chionites, burning with the desire to avenge their late defeat, were on the east; the Verta on the south; the Albanians, warriors from the Caspian region, on the north ; the Segestans, ${ }^{5}$ who

[^99]were roekoned the bravest soldiers of all, and who brought into the field a large body of elephants, held the west. A continuous line of Persians, fire ranks deep, surnounded the entire city, and supported the auxiliary detachments. The entire besiaging anny was estimated at a hundred thousand men; ' the besieged, including the unurmed multitude, were under 30,000 . $^{2}$ After the puuse of un eatire day, the first general attack was made. Grumbates gave the signal for the neault by hurling it bloody spear into the space before the walls, after the fashion of a Roman fortialia. ${ }^{3}$ A cloud of darts and arrows from every side followed the flight of this weapon, and did severe damage to the beaieged, who ware at the same time galled with discharges from Romon military engines, taken by the Persians in some capture of Singara, and now employed ngainst their former owners. ${ }^{4}$ Still a vigorous resistance continued to be made, and the besiegers, in their exposed positions, suffered even more than the garrison; so that after two day the attempt to carry the city by genemal a-viule was abandonerl, and the slow prosess of a regular si-2. was adopterl. Trenches were opened at the :a-ual ins:ance from the walls, along which the tronos adathom unler the cower of hurdles twardo the ditech, whath they promeeded to fill up in places. Mound were then thrown up againet the walls: and moveablwow were comeructed and brought intephay. guardend
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externally with iron, and each mounting a balista. \({ }^{1}\) It was impossible long to withstand these various weapons of attack. The hopes of the besieged lay, primarily, in their receiving relief from without by the advance of an army capable of engaging their assailants and harassing them or driving them off ; secondarily, in successful sallies, by means of which they might destroy the enemy's works and induce him to retire from before the place.

There existed, in the neighbourhood of Amida, the elements of a relieving army, under the command of the new prefect of the East, Sabinianus. Had this officer possessed an energetic and enterprising character, he might, without much difficulty, have collected a force of light and active soldiers, which might have hung upon the rear of the Persians, intercepted their convoys, cut off their stragglers, and have even made an occasional dash upon their lines. Such was the course of conduct recommended by Ursicinus, the second in command, whom Sabinianus had recently superseded; but the latter was jealous of his subordinate, and had orders from the Byzantine court to keep him unemployed. \({ }^{2}\) He was himself old and rich, alike disinclined to and unfit for military enterprise \({ }^{8}\) he therefore absolutely rejected the advice of Ursicinus, and determined on making no effort. He had positive orders, he said, from the court to keep on the defensive, and not endanger his troops by engaging them in hazardous adventures. Amida must protect itself, or at any rate not look to him for succour. Ursicinus chafed terribly, it is said, against this decision, \({ }^{4}\) but was forced to submit

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Amm. Marc. xix. 5 , ad init.
\({ }^{2}\) Ibid. xix. 3 .
\({ }^{3}\) Ibid. xviii. 5 .
}
- 'Visebatur ut leo masnitudine

\footnotetext{
corporis et torvitate terribilis, in-
clusos inter retia catulos periculo
}
to it. His messengers conveyed the dispiriting intelligence to the devoted city, which learned thereby that it must rely wholly upon its own exertions.

Nothing now remained but to orgmise sallies on a large scale and attack the besiegers' works. Such attempts were made from time to time with some surcess ; and on one occasion two Gaulish legions, banished to the Enst for their adherence to the cause of Magnentias, penctrated, by night, into the heart of the besieging camp, and brought the person of the monarch inte Anoger. This peril was, however, escaped; the legion were repulsel with the lowe of a sixth of their ammber; ; and nothing was guinsd by the audacions enterprise beyoud a truce of three days, during which each sithe roourned its dend, and sought to repair its loses.

The frite of the doomed eity ilrew on. Pistilence was abded to the calamities which the besieged had to cudure: \({ }^{3}\) Desertion and treachery were arruyed againat them. One of the natives of Amida, going over to the P.r.ant, inf rmend them that on the suthern side of the













before any support reached its occupants; and then, directing their artillery and missiles against the assailing columns, inflicted on them tremendous losses, and soon compelled them to return hastily to the shelter of their camp. The Vertr, who maintained the siege on the south side of the city, were the chief sufferers in this abortive attempt. \({ }^{1}\)

Sapor had now spent seventy days before the place, and had made no perceptible impression. Autumn was already far advanced, \({ }^{2}\) and the season for military operations would soon be over. It was necessary, therefore, cither to take the city speedily or to give up the siege and retire. Under these circumstances Sapor resolved on a last effort. He had constructed towers of such a height that they overtopped the wall, and poured their discharges on the defenders from a superior elevation. He had brought his mounds in places to a level with the ramparts, and had compelled the garrison to raise countermounds within the walls for their protection. He now determined on pressing the assault day after day, until he either carried the town or found all his resources exhausted. His artillery, his foot, and his elephants were all employed in turn or together; he allowed the garrison no rest. \({ }^{8}\) Not content with directing the operations, he himself took part in the supreme struggle, exposing his own person freely to the enemy's weapons, and losing many of his attendants. \({ }^{4}\) After the contest had lasted three continuous days from morn to night, fortune at last favoured him. One of the inner mounds, raised by the besieged behind their wall, suddenly gave way, involving its defenders

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Amm. Marc. xix. b, ad fin. \(\quad \mid\) data.' (Ibid. xix. 7.)
2 Ibid. xix. 0, ad init. | Ibid. sub fin.
- Nulla quies certaminibus
}
in its fall, and at the same time filling up the entire space between the wall and the mound raised outside by the Persians. A way into the town was thus laid open, \({ }^{1}\) and the besiegers instantly occupied it. It was in vain that the flower of the garrison threw itself across the path of the entering columns-nothing could withstand the ardour of the Persian troops. In a little time all resistance was at an end; those who could quitted the city and fled-the remainder, whatever their sex, age, or calling, whether armed or unarmed, were slaughtered like sheep by the conquerors. \({ }^{2}\)

Thus fell Amida after a siege of seventy-three days. \({ }^{2}\) Sapor, who on other occasions showed hinsself not deficient in clemency, \({ }^{4}\) was exasperated by the prolonged resistance and the losses which he had sustained in the course of it. Thirty thousand of his best soldiers had fallen; \({ }^{5}\) the son of his chief ally had perished; \({ }^{6}\) be himself had been brought into imminent danger. Such audacity on the part of a petty town seemed no doubt to him to deserve a severe retribution. The place was therefore given over to the infuriated soldiery, who were allowed to slay and plunder at their pleasure. Of the captives taken, all belonging to the five provinces across the Tigris, claimed as his own by Sapor, though

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{2}\) Gibbon says 'a large breach ' was made by the battering-ram'
dabantur.' (Amm. Marc. l.s.c.)
\({ }^{3}\) Ibid. xix. 0 , aub fin.
(Decline ard Fall, vol. ii. p. 409); © As when, on the capture of but he has apparently confused the one of the fortified posts outside capture of Singarn, related by Am- Amida, he sent the wife of Craumianus ( xx .6 ), with that of Amida, which is expresely ascribed to the spontaneous crumbling of a mound in bk. xix. ch. viii. ('diu laborata moles illa nostrorum, velut terro quodam tremore quassata, procu-
buit'). gasius unharmed to her husband and at the same time ordered a number of Christian rirgins, found among the captiree, to be protected from insult and allowed the free exencise of their religion. (Ibid.
\({ }^{2}\) 'Perorwm ritk armati et im-1 ' lbid. xix. \(\theta\).
belles sine sexus discrimine truci- - See above, p. 176.
}
ceded to Rome by his grandfather, were massacred in cold blood. The Count Ælian, and the commanders of the legions who had conducted the gallant defence, were barbarously crucified. Many other Romans of high rank were subjected to the indignity of being manacled, and were dragged into Persia as slaves rather than as prisoners. \({ }^{1}\)

The campaign of A.D. 359 terminated with this dearly bought victory. The season was too far advanced for any fresh enterprise of importance; and Sapor was probably glad to give his army a rest after the toils and perils of the last three months. Accordingly he retired across the Tigris, without leaving (so far as appears) any garrisons in Mesopotamia, and began preparations for the campaign of a.d. 360 . Stores of all kinds were accumulated during the winter; and, when the spring came, the indefatigable monarch once more invaded the enemy's country, pouring into Mesopotamia an army even more numerous and better appointed than that which he had led against Amida in the preceding year. \({ }^{2}\) His first object now was to capture Singara, a town of some consequence, which was, however, defended by only two Roman legions and a certain number of native soldiers. After a vain attempt to persuade the garrison to a surrender, the attack was made in the usual way, chiefly by scaling parties with ladders, and by battering parties which shook the walls with the ram. The defenders kept the scalers at bay by a constant discharge of stones and darts from their

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Amm. Marc. xix. 9, sub init.
\({ }^{2}\) Gibbon conjectures that Sapor's
allies now deserted him (l.s.c.), and says' the spirit as well as the strength of the army with which he took the field was no longer
equal to the unbounded views of his ambition;' but Ammianus tells us that he crossed the Tigris in A.D. 360 'armis multipicicatis et viribus ' (xx. 6, ad init.).
}
artillery, arrows from their bows, and leaden builletst from their slings. They met the assaults of the ram by attempts to fire the wooden covering which protected it and those who worked it. For some days these efforts sufficed; but after a while the besiegers found a weak point in the defences of the place-a tower so recently built that the mortar in which the stones were laid was still moist, and which consequently crumbled mapidly before the blows of a strong and heary batter-ing-nitm, and in a short time fell to the ground. The Persinss poured in through the gap, and were at onee masters of the entire town, which ceesed to resist after the catastrophe. This casy victory allowed Sapor to exhibit the better side of his chanacter; he forbade the further sheedding of blood, asd ortered that as many as poeible of the garrison nnd citizens should be taken alive. Reviving a favourite policy of Oriental rulers from very remote times, \({ }^{\text {a }}\) he truasported these captives to the extreme castern parts of his empire, \({ }^{8}\) where they might in of the greateet mervice to him in defending his fremer agano the *'ythian and Inhane.

It in wer really surprising, though the historian of : a . war resardo it ar meding explamation. that mo a**up wa made to relicte simpara by the Romans. Tiu. siage wa-short: the place was considered strong: the nearot puint held by a powerful homan force was Soblo, which was at heart sixty mile distant from Sin2re The miphlimurhoxal of simp:ra was, moreover,

\footnotetext{
 \(\because!\)
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ill supplied with water; and a relieving army would probably have soon found itself in difficulties. Singara, on the verge of the desert, was always perilously situated. Rome valued it as an outpost from which her enemy might be watched, and which might advertise her of a sudden danger, but could not venture to undertake its defence in case of an attack in force, and was prepared to hear of its capture with equanimity.

From Singara, Sapor directed his march almost due northwards, and, leaving Nisibis unassailed upon his left, proceeded to attack the strong fort known indifferently as Phœnica or Bezabde. \({ }^{1}\) This was a position on the east bank of the Tigris, near the point where that river quits the mountains and debouches upon the plain ; \({ }^{2}\) though not on the site, \({ }^{8}\) it may be considered the representative of the modern Jezireh, which commands the passes from the low country into the Kurdish mountains. Bezabde was the chief city of the province, called after it Zabdicene, one of the five ceded by Narses and greatly coveted by his grandson. It was much valued by Rome, was fortified in places with a double wall, and was guarded by three legions and a large body of Kurdish archers. \({ }^{4}\) Sapor, having reconnoitred the place, and, with his usual hardihood, exposed himself to danger in doing so, sent a flag of truce to demand a surrender, joining with the messengers some prisoners of high rank taken at Singara, lest the enemy should open fire upon his envoys. The device was successful; but the garrison proved staunch, and determined on

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Amm. Marc. xx. 7. Compare \({ }^{\text {Bezabda) ; but the name Fynyk is }}\) ch. 11.
\({ }_{3}^{2}\) See above, p. 130.
\({ }^{3}\) Some geographers identify \(\mathrm{Be}-\) zabde with Jezireh (Dict. of Gk. and Roman Geography, sub voc.

BEZABDA) ; but the name Fynyk is
almost certain evidence of the real site. Fynyk is about ten miles from Jezireh to the north-west.

4 Amm. Marc. xx. 7 .
}
resisting to the last. Once more all the known resources of attack and defence were brought into play ; and affer a long siege, of which the most important incident was an attempt made by the bishop of the place to induce Sapor to withdraw, the wall was at last breached, the city taken, and its defenders indiscriminately wassacred. Regarding the position as one of first-rate importance, \&apor, who hatd destroyed Singura, carefally sepaired the defences of Beaabde, provisioned it abundantly, and garrisoned it with some of his best troops. He was well awire that the Romans would feel keenly the loes of so importint a post, and expected thut it would not be long before they made un effort so recover poseesion of it.

The winter was now appronching, but the Persim zowairch still kept the field. The cupture of Beazable was followed by that of many other lees impurtant strongholds? which offered little resistunce. At last, cowards the close of the year, an attack was made ubon a place called lirta, suid to have been a fortress of arat otrenth, and by some moderns \({ }^{3}\) identified with Tekrit, an imprortant city upon the Tigris between Monal and Buphdad. Here the career of the conqueror "an at lat arreLatasainin' to induce or compel a surrender ; and, after

\footnotetext{
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wasting the small remainder of the year, and suffering considerable loss, the Persian monarch reluctantly gave up the siege, and returned to his own country. \({ }^{1}\)

Meanwhile the movements of the Roman emperor had been slow and uncertain. Distracted between a jealous fear of his cousin Julian's proceedings in the West, and a desire of checking the advance of his rival Sapor in the East, he had left Constantinople in the early spring, \({ }^{2}\) but had journeyed leisurely through Cappadocia and Armenia Minor to Samosata, whence, after crossing the Euphrates, he had proceeded to Edessa, and there fixed himself. \({ }^{3}\) While in Cappadocia, he had summoned to his presence Arsaces, the tributary king of Armenia, had reminded him of his engagements, and had endeavoured to quicken his gratitude by bestowing on him liberal presents. \({ }^{4}\) At Edessa he employed himself during the whole of the summer in collecting troops and stores; nor was it till the autumnal equinox was past \({ }^{5}\) that he took the field, and, after weeping over the smoking ruins of Amida, marched to Bezabde, and, when the defenders rejected his overtures of peace, formed the siege of the place. Sapor was, we must suppose, now engaged before Virta, and it is probable that he thought Bezabde strong enough to defend itself. At any rate, he made no effort to afford it any relief; and the Roman emperor was allowed to employ all the resources at his disposal in reiterated assaults upon the walls. The defence, however, proved stronger than the attack. Time after time the bold sallies of the be-

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Amm. Marc. xx. 7, ad fin.
\({ }^{2}\) Ibid. xx. 8.
\({ }^{3}\) We find him at Cæsarea Mazaca about the middle of the year (ib. xx. 9), then at Melitina (Mlala-
(ib. xx. 11) ; finally at Edessa (ibid.).
\({ }^{4}\) Ibid. xx. 11, ad init.
s' Post equinctium egreditur tiyeh), Lacotina, and Samosata
}
sieged destroyed the Roman works. At last the rainy semon set in, and the low ground outaide the town became a glutinous and adhesive marsh. \({ }^{1}\) It wat no louger porable to contivue the giege: and the disappointed ernpenor reluctantly drew off his troops, recrosed the Euplirates, and retired into winter quarters al Antioch.

The successes of Bapor in the campaigns of A.D. 359 and 560 , his captures of Amida, Singara, and Bezabde. together with the unfortumate issue of the expedition maile by Constantius against the last-numed plass, had a tendency to shake the fidelity of the Roman vasmalkings, Arsaces \({ }^{2}\) of Armenia, and Meribsanes of Derial. Constantius, therefore, during the winter of A.D, \(360-1\), which he passed at Antioch, sent ennissaries to the evurte of these monarehs, und endeavoured to secure their fidelity by loading thetn with costly presents." IIs policy secms to have been so far succesful that no revolt of these kingdoms took place; they did not as
 sten. Their menarch seem to have simply watcherl - .en'- prepared to delare themelves distinetly on the w.hnin: vide wow an fortune should indine unmisdisitity bo one or the other combatant. Meanwhile : \(\cdot\). y mantamed the fiction of a momimal dependence nivalama:


It might have been expected that the year a.D. 361 would have been a turning-point in the war, and that, if Rome did not by a great effort assert herself and recover her prestige, the advance of Persia would have been marked and rapid. But the actual course of events was far different. Hesitation and diffidence characterise the movements of both parties to the contest, and the year is signalised by no important enterprise on the part of either monarch. Constantius reoccupiedEdessa, \({ }^{1}\) and had (we are told) \({ }^{2}\) some thoughts of renewing the siege of Bezabde; actually, however, he did not advance further, but contented himself with sending a part of his army to watch Sapor, giving them strict orders not to risk an engagement. \({ }^{8}\) Sapor, on his side, began the year with demonstrations which were taken to mean that he was about to pass the Euphrates; \({ }^{4}\) but in reality he never even brought his troops across the Tigris, or once set foot in Mesopotamia. After wasting weeks or months in a futile display of his armed strength upon the eastern bank of the river, and violently alarming the officers sent by Constantius to observe his movements, \({ }^{5}\) he suddenly, towards autumn, withdrew his troops, having attempted nothing, and quietly returned to his capital!

It is by no means difficult to understand the motives which actuated Constantius. He was, month after month, receiving intelligence from the West of steps taken by Julian which amounted to open rebellion, and challenged him to engage in civil war. \({ }^{6}\) So long as Sapor threatened invasion, he did not like to quit Me -

\footnotetext{
vicinity of the place. But the \(\left.\right|^{3}\) Ibid.
entire silence of Ammianus renders his narrative incredible.

4 Ibid. xxi. 7, ad init.
\({ }^{1}\) Amm. Marc. xxi. 7, ad fin.
\({ }^{2}\) Ibid. \(\mathbf{x x i} 13\).
\({ }^{5}\) Ibid. xxi. 13.
- See Gibbon (Decline and Fall, vol. iii. pp. 102-118).
}
sopotamin, lest he might appear to have sacrificed the interests of his country to his own private quarrels; but he must have been anxious to return to the seat of enpire from the first moment that intelligence reached him of Julian's assumption of the imperial name and dignity; and when Sopor's retreat was announced he naturally made all haste to reach his capital. Meanwhile the desire of keeping his arny intact caused him to refrain from uny movenent which involved the alightest risk of bringing on a battle, and, in fact, raduced him to inaction. So much is readily intelligible. But what at this time withheld Sapor, when he had so grand an opportunity of making an impresaion upon Rome-what parnilyed his arm when it might have struck with such effect-it is far frome easy to undentand, though perhaps not imposesible to conjecture. The historian of the war ascribes his abativence to a religious motive, telling us that the nuguries were not favourable for the Pessians crosing the Tigris, \({ }^{1}\) But there is no chere evidenee that the Persians of this period were the slatow of any ouch oupertition a that noted by An:minus. nor any probability that a monarch of saperi. fure of harater would have suffered his militarg pinc: : in affected ly omens. We must theref.re acrilk the conduc: of the Persian king to mome. rai.a ne: rownded by the historian-wne fuilure of b. whe or wan peril fom internal or external enemies whinh allay hatu away from the rome of his recent

\footnotetext{
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exploits, just at the time when his continued presence there was most important. Once before in his lifetime, an invasion of his eastern provinces had required his immediate presence, and allowed his adversary to quit Mesopotamia and march against Magnentius. \({ }^{1}\) It is not improbable that a fresh attack of the same or some other barbarians now again happened opportunely for the Romans, calling Sapor away, and thus enabling Constantius to turn his back upon the East, and set out for Europe in order to meet Julian.

The meeting, however, was not destined to take place. On his way from Antioch to Constantinople, the unfortunate Constantius, anxious and perhaps overfatigued, fell sick at Mopsucrene, in Cilicia, and died there, after a short illness, \({ }^{2}\) towards the close of A.D. 361. Julian the Apostate succeeded peacefully to the empire whereto he was about to assert his right by force of arms; and Sapor found that the war which he had provoked with Rome, in reliance upon his adversary's weakness and incapacity, had to be carried on with a prince of far greater natural powers and of much superior military training.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) See above, p. 105.
\({ }^{2}\) Amm. Marc. xxi. 15 ; Aurel. Vict. Epit. § 42. Some writers substitute Mopsuestia for Mopsu-
crene (Mos. Chor. iii. 12 ; Johann. Mal. ii. p. 14; Patkanian in the Journal Asiatique for 1860, p. 151).
}
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with an ardent desire to rival or eclipse the glorious deeds of those heroes of former times who had made themselves a name in history, he viewed the disturbed condition of the East at the time of his accession, not as a trouble, not as a drawback upon the delights of empire, but as a happy circumstance, a fortunate opportunity for distinguishing himself by some great achievement. Of all the Greeks, Alexander appeared to him the most illustrious; \({ }^{1}\) of all his predecessors on the imperial throne, Trajan and Marcus Aurelius were those whom he most wished to emulate. \({ }^{2}\) But all these princes had either led or sent \({ }^{8}\) expeditions into the far East, and had aimed at uniting in one the fairest provinces of Europe and Asia. Julian appears, from the first moment that he found himself peaceably established upon the throne, \({ }^{4}\) to have resolved on undertaking in person a great expedition against Sapor, with the object of avenging upon Persia the ravages and defeats of the last sixty jears, or at any rate of ob-taining such successes as might justify his assuming the title of 'Persicus.' \({ }^{5}\) Whether he really entertained any hope of rivalling Alexander, or supposed it possible that he should effect 'the final conquest of Persia,' \({ }^{6}\) may be doubted. Acquainted, as he must have been, \({ }^{7}\)

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) See his Casares, passim. But dition against the Goths, Julian compare the Orat. ad Themist., where the palm is assigned to Socrates over Alexander (Op. p. 264).
\({ }_{2}\) This appears from the position assigned to these two emperors in the 'Cæsars.'
\({ }^{3}\) The expedition of L. Verus (A.d. 162-164) was sent out by M. Aurelius. (See the Author's Sicth Monarchy, p. 325.)
\({ }^{4}\) Ammianus tells us that soon after his arrival at Constantinople, replied 'hostes quærere se meliores ' (xxii. 7)-an expression which clearly points at the Persians.
\({ }^{5}\) Ammianus says 'Parthicus'
(xxii. 12). But Julimn himself would scarcely have made this confusion.
- See Gibbon, Decline and Fall, vol. iii. p. 181.
\({ }^{7}\) Compare the Cesares, p. 324, C, where Alexander is made to ohserve that the Romans, in a war of 300 years, had not subdued the single province of Mesopotamia.
}
with the entire counse of Roman warfire in theme parts from the attack of Crasus to the last defeat of his own imtuedinte predecessor, he can scarcely have regarded the subjugation of Persin as an easy matter, or have expected to do much more than strike ternor into the 'barburians' of the East, or perhaps obtain from them the cossion of another province. The sensible officer, who, after accompanying him in his expedition, wrote the history of the campaign, regarded his actuating motiven is the delight that he took in war, and the desire of a new title. Confident in his own military salent, in his training, and in his power to insquire enthusinsto in an ammy, he no doubt looked to reap laurels sufficient to justify him in making his attack; but the wild echemes nscribed to him, the conquest of the Sassanian kingdom, and the subjugation of Hyreania and Inalia, \({ }^{3}\) are figments (probably) of the imagination of bis historians.

Julian entered Constantinople ou the 11th of DecenInr. A.b. 361: he quitted it towards the end of May, \({ }^{3}\) A.1. :Btiz, after remiding there len than six months. Inarine this perioxl, notwithetamding the various imporLus: mathere in which he was engaged, the purifying of tiae court, the depresoion of the Christians, the restorain, in and revivitioation of laganiom, he found time to form phans and make preparations for his intenderl etriern experlition, in which he was anxious to engage a. \(-\times n\) as pmible. Having desigmated for the war
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}
such troops as could be spared from the West, he committed them and their officers to the charge of two generals, carefully chosen, Victor, a Roman of distinction, and the Persian refugee, Prince Hormisdas, \({ }^{1}\) who conducted the legions without difficulty to Antioch. There Julian himself arrived in June or July, \({ }^{2}\) after having made a stately progress through Asia Minor; and it would seem that he would at once have marched against the enemy, had not his counsellors strongly urged the necessity of a short delay, \({ }^{3}\) during which the European troops might be rested, and adequate preparations made for the intended invasion. It was especially necessary to provide stores and ships, \({ }^{4}\) since the new emperor had resolved not to content himself with an ordinary campaign upon the frontier, but rather to imitate the examples of Trajan and Severus, who had carried the Roman eagles to the extreme south of Mesopotamia. \({ }^{5}\) Ships, accordingly, were collected, and probably built, \({ }^{6}\) during the winter of A.D. 362-3; prorisions were laid in; warlike stores, military engines, and the like accumulated; while the impatient monarch, galled by the wit and raillery of the gay Antiochenes, \({ }^{7}\) chafed at his compelled inaction, and longed to exchange the war of words in which he was engaged with his

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) See Zosimus, iii. 11; and, on the subject of Prince Hormisdas, compare above, p. 149.

2 Gibbon places his arrival in August (I)ecline and Fall, vol. iii. p. 181); but Tillemont argues strongly in favour of July (lisist. des Ennpereurs, tom. iv. p. 297, note vi. upon the reign of Julian). Clinton shows that he was certainly ut Antioch before August 1 ( \(F \cdot \boldsymbol{I}\). vol. i. p. 448). IIe concludes, as most probable, that he arrived at Antioch 'about Midsummer.'
\({ }^{3}\) Amm. Marc. xxii. 12.
- Zosim. iii. 12, ad init., and 13.
\({ }^{5}\) See the Author's Sirth Monarchy, pp, 311-4 and 3:39-344.
- Both Trajan and Severus lad had to build slips. (Jio Cass. lxviii. 20 ; lxxf. \({ }^{2}\) 9.) It seems scarcely possible that Julian should have collected the number that he did (at least 1,100 ) without builiting. (See Zosim. iii. 13; and Amm. Marc. xxiii. 3, ad fin.)
\({ }^{7}\) Amm. Marc. xxii. 14; Zosim. iii. 11 ; Libanius, Orat. x. p. 307, B.
}
subjects for the ruder contests of arms wherewith nee had tasde him more familiar.

It mast have been during the emperor's stay at Antioch that he received an embessy from the court of Pensia, commisaioned to sound his inclinations with regard to the conclasion of a pence. Sapor had serne. with some disquiet, the secptre of the lloman word sanmed by in enterprising and courageous youth, imured to warfare and ambisious of military glory. He was probally very well informed as to the general condition of the Roman State \({ }^{1}\) and the personal charneper of ite ndministrator: and the tiding which he received conceraing the intentions und prepanations of the new prince were such as caused him some appreliension, if not actual slarm. Euder tbese circumatances, be sent an embnsy with overtures, the exact anture of which is not known, but which, it is probable, tuok for sheir hasis the existing territorial limits of the two conintries, At least, we bear of no offer of surrender or
 fan : at. hat owh oflo bern mate, the loman writer
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no occasion for an exchange of thought between him and the Persian king by messengers, since he intended very shortly to treat with him in person.' Having received this rebuff, the envoys of Sapor took their departure, and conveyed to their sovereign the intelligence that he must prepare himself to resist a serious invasion.

About the same time various offers of assistance reached the Roman emperor from the independent or semi-independent princes and chieftains of the regions adjacent to Mesopotamia. \({ }^{1}\) Such overtures were sure to be made by the heads of the plundering desert tribes to any powerful invader, since it would be hoped that a share in the booty might be obtained without much participation in the danger. We are told that Julian promptly rejected these offers, grandly saying that it was for Rome rather to give aid to her allies than to receive assistance from them. \({ }^{2}\) It appears, however, that at least two exceptions were made to the general principle thus magniloquently asserted. Julian had taken into his service, ere he quitted Europe, a strong body of Gothic auxiliaries; \({ }^{3}\) and, while at Antioch, he sent to the Saracens, reminding them of their promise to lend him troops, and calling upon them to fulfil it. \({ }^{4}\) If the advance on Persia was to be made by the line of the Euphrates, an alliance with these agile sons of the desert was of first-rate importance, since the assistance which they could render as friends was considerable, and the injury which they could inflict as enemies was almost beyond calculation. It is among

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Amm. Marc. xxii. 2, ad init.
\({ }^{2}\) Ibid.: 'Principe respondente, Nequaquam decere adventiciis adjumentis rem vindicari Romanam, cujus opibus foreri conveniat ami-
gerit necessitas implorare.'
\({ }^{3}\) Ibid. xxiii. 2 ; Zosim. iii. 25. Tabari calls these auxiliaries Khazars (vol. ii. pp. 05-97).
\({ }^{4}\) Amm. Marc. xxiii. 5, ad init.; Julian, Ep. ad Liban. p. 401, D.
}
the faults of Julian in this campaign that he did not set more store by the Saracen alliance, and make grenter efforts to maintain it; we shall find that after a while he allowed the brave nomads to become disaffected, and to exchange their friendship with him for hostility.' Had be taken more care to attach them cordially to the side of Rome, it is quite possible that his expedition ruight have had a promperous issue.

There was another ally, whose services Julian rogarled himself as entitled not to request, but to command. Assaces, ling of Ammenin, though placed on his throne by Sapor, had (as we have seen) transfermed his ullegiance to Constantius, and voluntarily taken up the position of a Roman feodatory, \({ }^{3}\) Constuntius had of late suspected his fidelity ; but Arsuces had not ws yet, by any overt act, justified these saspicions, and Julan mems to have regarded him is an asured friened sum ally. Farly in a.b. 363 he addrewed a letter to the Armevian mowarch, requiring him to levy a con--id rable force, and hold himself in readiness to cxecute--uh indere a he would receive within a short time. \({ }^{3}\) The - \(\because\) le. addrew, and purport of this letter were apally ditateful to Araces, whose pride was out-rab-al, and whoue indulence was disturbed, by the call -h1, - mhdenly made upm him. His own devire wan fredatly tormain neveral ; he felt mo interet in the
 b.e was unler whitatione to beth of them ; and it was fir he alsamtare that they should remain evenly
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}
gious feeling; \({ }^{1}\) but, as one who kept up the profession of Christianity, he could not but regard with aversion the Apostate, who had given no obscure intimation of his intention to use his power to the utmost in order to sweep the Christian religion from the face of the earth. The disinclination of their monarch to subserve the designs of Julian was shared, or rather surpassed, by his people, the more educated portion of whom were strongly attached to the new faith and worship. \({ }^{2}\) If the great historian of Armenia is right in stating that Julian at this time offered an open insult to the Armenian religion, \({ }^{3}\) we must pronounce him strangely imprudent. The alliance of Armenia was always of the utmost importance to Rome in any attack upon the East. Julian seems to have gone out of his way to create offence in this quarter, \({ }^{4}\) where his interests required that he should exercise all his powers of conciliation.

The forces which the emperor regarded as at his disposal, and with which he expected to take the field, were the following. His own troops amounted to 83,000 or (according to another account) to 95,000 men. \({ }^{5}\) They consisted chiefly of Roman legionaries, horse and foot, but included a strong body of Gothic

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) According to the Armenian |heathen gods. It was pointed out historians, Arsaces was cruel and profligate. He put to death, without reason, his relations and eatraps, persecuted the ecclesiastics who reproved him, and established an asylum for criminals. (Mos. Chor. iii. 20-32; Faustus, iv. 13-50.)
\({ }^{2}\) Faustus, iii. 13.
\({ }^{3}\) Mos. Chor. iii. 13. Moses says that Julian required the Armenian monarch to hang up in the chancel of the metropolitan church a portrait, which he sent him, of himself, containing also 'representations of devils'-i.e. of the
}
suxiliaries. Armenia was expected to furnibh a considerable force, probmbly not lese than 20,000 men; \({ }^{1}\) and the light horse of the Saracens would, it was tBought, be tolembly numerows. Altogether, an army of, above a hundred thousnnd men was about to be launched on the devoted Pensia, which was believed unilikely to offer any effectial, if even any serious, revistance.
The impatience of Julian scarcely allowed him to swait the conclusion of the winter. With the fint breath of spring he put his forces in motion, \({ }^{9}\) and, quitting Antioch, marched with all speed to the Euplinates. Pusing Litarbi, and then Hierupolis, he crossent the ziver by a bridge of bouts in the vicinity of that place, and proceeded by Batme to the important city of Carrhue, \({ }^{8}\) once the home of Abrahnm. \({ }^{\text {. Here he hilteal }}\) for a few days and finally fixed his plans. It was by this time well known to the Romans that there were two, and two only, convenient roads whereby Suthern Mewnonamia was to be reached, one nlong the lime of the Mons Matin to the Tigris, and then aboz the bank of that stram, the other down the

\footnotetext{
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valley of the Euphrates to the great alluvial plain on the lower course of the rivers. Julian had, perhaps, hitherto doubted which line he should follow in person. \({ }^{1}\) The first had been preferred by Alexander and by Trajan, the second by the younger Cyrus, by Avidius Cassius, and by Severus. Both lines were fairly practicable; but that of the Tigris was circuitous, and its free employment was only possible under the condition of Armenia being certainly friendly. If Julian had cause to suspect, as it is probable that he had, the fidelity of the Armenians, he may have felt that there was one line only which he could with prudence pursue. He might send a subsidiary force by the doubtful route, which could advance to his aid if matters went favourably, or remain on the defensive if they assumed a threatening aspect; but his own grand attack must be by the other. Accordingly he divided his forces. Committing a body of troops, which is variously estimated at from 18,000 to \(30,000,{ }^{2}\) into the hands of Procopius, a connection of his own, and Sebastian, Duke of Egypt, with orders that they should proceed by way of the Mons Masius to Armenia, and, uniting themselves with the forces of Arsaces, invade Northern Media, ravage it, and then join him before Ctesiphon by the line of the Tigris, \({ }^{8}\) he reserved for himself and for his main army the shorter and more open route down the valley of the Euphrates. Leaving Carrhæ on the 26th of March, after about a week's

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Ammianus says that he had carefully provisioned the line of the Tigris in order to make the Persians think that it was the line which he intended to follow (xxiii, 3) ; but it is perhaps as probable that he wished to be able to pursue the Tigris line if circumstances proved favourable.
\({ }^{2}\) Zosimus says 18,000 (iii. 12); Sozomen (vi. 1) and Libanius (Orat. Funebr. p. 312. A) say 20,000 ;
Ammianus says 30,000 (l.s.c.).
\({ }^{2}\) See Amm. Marc. l.s.c. Zorimus regards the force as left merely for the protection of Romian Mesopotamia.
}
stay, he marched southward, at the head of 65,000 men, by Davana and along the course of the Belik, to Callinicus or Nicephorium, near the junction of the Belik with the Euphrates. Here the Saracen chiefs came and made their submission, and were graciously received by the emperor, to whom they presented a crown of gold. \({ }^{3}\) As the same time the fleet made its appearance, numbering at least 1,100 vessels, \({ }^{2}\) of which fifty were ahips of war, fifty prepared to serve as pontoons, and the remaining thousund transports laden with provisions, wenpons, and military engines.

From Callinicus the emperor marched along the course of the Buphrates to Circusium, or Circerium, \({ }^{\text {a }}\) at the junction of the Khabour with the Euphrates, arriving at this place carly in April. \({ }^{4}\) Thus far he had been manching through his own dominions, and had had no hostility to dread. Being now about to enter the enetuy's country, he made arrangements for the march which seem to have been extremely judicious. The cavalry was placed under the command of Arinthaus and I'rince Hormisdas, and was stationed at the extreme loft, with orders to advance on a line parallel with the 2r-neral coure of the river. Aome picked legions under the command of Nevitta formed the right wing, and, rostine of the Euphrater. maintained communication with the thert. Julian, with the main part of his trenops. owcupiad the space intermerliate between these two - xiremes. marching in a lexne column which from front :o, rear cobered a distaner of alxove nine miles. A fly-

\footnotetext{
1 Amm. Marr. Iar.
and is that giren by Tamimus: but
- Thae ie the coismate of AmAmmanus has ' 'ircumum (xxiii.
 i-f \(r\) esesderably exmed 1,181 1111. 1.3. ©i: and m ibo Nubian liexpraphs.
- I'rincipin menais Aprilia. (Amm. Narc. L.e.c.)
- I urcealez is ibe ordinary furm,
}
ing corps of fifteen hundred men acted as an avantguard under Count Lucilianus, and explored the country in advance, feeling on all sides for the enemy. The rear was covered by a detachment under Secundinus, Duke of Osrhoëne, Dagalaiphus, and Victor. \({ }^{1}\)

Having made his dispositions, and crossed the broad stream of the Khabour, on the 7th of April, by a bridge of boats, which he immediately broke up, \({ }^{2}\) Julian continued his advance along the course of the Euphrates, supported by his fleet, which was not allowed either to outstrip or to lag behind the army. \({ }^{3}\) The first halt was at Zaitha, \({ }^{4}\) famous as the scene of the murder of Gordian, whose tomb was in its vicinity. \({ }^{5}\) Here Julian encouraged his soldiers by an eloquent speech, \({ }^{6}\) in which he recounted the past successes of the Roman arms, and promised them an easy victory over their present adversary. He then, in a two days' march, reached Dura, \({ }^{7}\) a ruined city, destitute of inhabitants, on the banks of the river; from which a march of four days more brought him to Anathan, \({ }^{8}\) the modern Anah, a strong fortress on an island in the mid-stream, which

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Amm. Marc. xxiv. 1. Com- rate distinctly visible from Zaitha. pare Zosim. iii. 14.
\({ }^{2}\) Amm. Marc. xxiii. 5 : ' Pontem' avelli jussit, ne cui militum ab agminibus propriis revertendi fiducia remaneret.'

3 'Classis, licet per flumen ferebatur assiduis flexibus tortuosum, nec residere, nec precurrere sinebatur.' (lbid. xxvi. l.)
\({ }^{4}\) Called Zautha by Zosimus (iii. 14), perhaps the Asicha of Isidore (Mans. Parth. § 1).
5 Zosimus places the tomb at Dura, two days' march from Zaitha (Amm. Marc. xxiv. 1) ; but Ammianus, who accompanied the army, can scarcely have been mistaken in the fact that the tomb was at any
\({ }^{-}\)Gibbon supposes the speech to have been made as soon as the Khabour was crossed (Decline and Fal, vol. iii. p. 191) ; but Ammianus makes Zaitha the scene of it. In the course of it Julian used the expression: 'Gordianus, cujus monumentum nunc vidimus'(Amm. Marc. xxiii. 5).
7 'Emenso itinere bidui civitatem venimus Duram' (ib. xxir. 1).
- 'Dierum quatuor itinere levi peracto.' (Ibid.) Anathan was known to the Assyrians as Anat, to the Greeks of Augustus's time as Anatho (see Isid. Cbar. Mans. Parth. § 1). It is perhaps the 'Hena' of Lsaiah (xxxii. 13).
}
was held by a Persian garrison. An attempt to surprise the place by a might attack having friled, Julinus had recourse to persuasion, and by the representations of Prince Hormisdas induced its defenders to surreader the fort and place themselves at his mercy. It was, perhaps, to gall the Antiochenes with an indication of his victorious progress that he sent his prisoners under ecort into Syria, and setlled them in the territory of Chalcis, at nio great distance from the city of hiis aversion. Unwilling further to weaken his arny by detaching a garrison to hold his conquest, he committed Anathan to the flames before proceeding further down the river. \({ }^{3}\)

About eight miles below Anathus, another islmad and another fortres were held by the enemy. Thilutin is deseribed as stronger than Annthan, and indeed as shmest impregmable." Julinn felt that the could not attack it with any hope of success, and sherefore once more submitted to use pensuasion. But the girrison, fowline themedves secure, rejected his overtures; they would wait, they sid, and see which party was superior in the apprashing condlict, and would then attarh themalve th the virtors. Memwhile, if umolested lis the invader, they would mot interfere with his athoner. But would maintain a noutral attitude. Julian i.at t. d. dermine whether he would ant in the pirit of an Ais x.muler. and. rejerting with diadain all compro-
 whe: har he would take lower ground, aceept the offer samb. th him, and loc content to leate in his rear a cer-
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[Ci. \(\mathbf{X .}\)
tain number of unconquered fortresses. He decided that prudence required him to take the latter course, and left Thilutha unassailed. It is not surprising that, having admitted the assumption of a neutral position by one town, he was forced to extend the permission to others, \({ }^{1}\) and so to allow the Euphrates route to remain, practically, in the hands of the Persians.

A five days' march from Thilutha brought the army to a point opposite Diacira, or Hit, \({ }^{2}\) a town of ancient repute, \({ }^{8}\) and one which happened to be well provided with stores and provisions. Though the place lay on the right bank of the river, it was still exposed to attack, as the fleet could convey any number of troops from one shore to the other. Being considered untenable, it was deserted by the male inhabitants, who, however, left some of their women behind them. We obtain an unpleasant idea of the state of discipline which the philosophic emperor allowed to prevail, when we find that his soldiers, 'without remorse and without punishment, massacred these defenceless persons.' \({ }^{4}\) The historian of the war records this act without any appearance of shame, as if it were a usual occurrence, and no more important than the burning of the plundered city which followed. \({ }^{5}\)

From Hit the army pursued its march, through

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Ammianus mentions only one other, Achaiachala; but Zosimus speaks of érepn qpovivıa (1.s.c.).
\({ }^{2}\) This site is cortainly identified by the mention of bitumen springs in its neighbourhood (Zosim. iii. 15; Amm. Marc. xxiv. 2). There are no bitumen springs in this part of Mesopotamis except those of Hit.
\({ }^{3}\) Hit is thought to be mentioned under the name of Ist. in a hieroglyphical inscription set up by

Thnthmes III. about b.c. 1450. It is probably the Ahava of Ezra (viii. 15, 21).
© The words used are Gibbon's (Decline and Fall, vol. iii. p. 193). The fact is recorded both by Zosimus and Ammianus.
s 'Qua' (i.e. Diacira) 'incensa, cæsisque mulieribus paucis quæ repertæ sunt, Ozogardana occupavimus' (Amm. Marc. xxiv. 2).
}

Sitha and Megiat to Zaragardia or Ozognarlana, where the memory of Trajan's experdition still lingered, a certain pedestal or pulpit of stone being known to the native as 'Trajan's tribunnl.' Up to this time nothing hadd been scen or heard of any Persian opposing army ; \({ }^{2}\) one man only on the Roman side, so far as we hear, had been killed.' No systematic method of checking the adsance had been adopted; the corn wus everywhere found standing : forage wis pleatiful: and there were magazines of grain in the towns. No difficulties had delayed the invaders but such na Nature had interpooed to thwart them, as when a violent storm on one occasion shattered the tents, and on another as sudden swell of the Euphrates wreeked some of the corn truasports, and interrupted the right wing's line of march. \({ }^{4}\) But this plensant condition of things was not to continue. At Hit the rolling Asyriun plain bad come to an enkl, and the invading arny had eatered upon the low alluvium of Babylonis, \({ }^{5}\) a region of great fertility, interarten by numerns camals, which in some places were carriad the entire distance from the one river to the "ther." The change in the character of the country (thenaraged the lervians to make a change in their tac-

\footnotetext{


 th.e. When he aly in the unal fin.


 p verol rund the army : evory ii lirgl, calle this trant ienria






- Amin. Marc. exiv. a; Zuaim.

}
tics. Hitherto they had been absolutely passive; now at last they showed themselves, and commenced the active system of perpetual harassing warfare in which they were adepts. A surena, or general of the first rank, \({ }^{1}\) appeared in the field, at the head of a strong body of Persian horse, and accompanied by a sheikh of the Saracenic Arabs, \({ }^{2}\) known as Malik (or 'King') Rodosaces. Retreating as Julian advanced, but continually delaying his progress, hanging on the skirts of his army, cutting off his stragglers, and threatening every unsupported detachment, this active force changed all the conditions of the march, rendering it slow and painful, and sometimes stopping it altogether. We are told that on one occasion Prince Hormisdas narrowly escaped falling into the surena's hands. \({ }^{8}\) On another, the Persian force, having allowed the Roman vanguard to proceed unmolested, suddenly showed itself on the southern bank of one of the great canals connecting the Euphrates with the Tigris, and forbade the passage of Julian's main army. \({ }^{4}\) It was only after a day and a night's delay that the emperor, by detaching troops under Victor to make a long circuit, cross the canal far to the east, recall Lucilianus with the vanguard, and then attack the surena's troops in the rear, was able to

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) It has been argued by some that Surena is not a name of office, but a Persian family appellation. (St. Martin, Notes on Le Beau, vol. iii. p. 79 ; Patkanian in the Journal Asiatique for 1866, p. 130.) There was certainly a family called SurenPahlav at the close of the Parthian and beginning of the Neo-Persian period (Nos. Chor. ii. 65, 67). But we find the word surena in the classical writere before the time when the Suren-Pahlav family
is said to have originated. (See the historians of Crassus, passim.)
\({ }^{2}\) Gibbon calls him 'the re-' nowned emir of the tribe of Gassan' (vol. iii. p. 194). But it is questionable whether this tribe had settlements on the Euphrates. Moreover, the tribe name in Ammianus is not Gassan, but Assan.
\({ }^{3}\) Zosimus, iii. 15 ; Anmm. Marc. xxir. 2.:

4 Zosim. iii. 16.
}
wercome the resistance in his front, and carry his army acros the cutting.

Having in this way effected the passage, Julian continued his march along the Enphrates, and in a sbort time came to the city of Perisabor ' (Firuz-Shapur), the most isportant that he haid yet reached, and reckoned not much inferior to Chesiphon. \({ }^{2}\) As the inlabitants steadily refused all accommodation, and insulted Hormiedas who wal sent to treat with them, by the reproach that be was a deserter and a traitor, the emperor determined to form the siege of the place and see if he could tiot compel it to a surreader. Situated between the Euplantes and one of the aumerous canile derived from it, and further protected by a trench drawn acrosa from the cumal to the river. Perisator accupied an sort of ialasd, while at the same time it was completely surrounded with a double wall. The citadel, which lay towands the north, and overhung the Euphrates, was especially stroug ; and the garrison was brabe, numerous and full of confidence. The walls. l.ancor, comporal in part of brick laid in bitumen. wror. ., if of much erenght : and the Roman soldiers foul hethe difficulty ia dattoring with the ram one of \(\therefore\) :. . .tarr towers, and waking an entrance into the ;a،. liut the real etrughe now beran. The brave arseler- retreated into the citald, which was of im-



Romans in the town with an incessant shower of arrows, darts, and stones. The ordinary catapults and balister of the Romans were no match for such a storm descending from such a height; and it was plainly necessary, if the place was to be taken, to have recourse to some other device. Julian, therefore, who was never sparing of his own person, took the resolution, on the second day of the siege, of attempting to burst open one of the gates. Accompanied by a small band, who formed a roof over his head with their shields, and by a few sappers with their tools, he approached the gatetower, and made his men commence their operations. The doors, however, were found to be protected with iron, and the fastenings to be so strong that no immediate impression could be made; while the alarmed garrison, concentrating its attention on the threatened spot, kept up a furious discharge of missiles on their daring assailants. Prudence counselled retreat from the dangerous position which had been taken up; and the emperor, though he felt acutely the shame of having failed, \({ }^{1}\) retired. But his mind, fertile in resource, soon formed a new plan. He remembered that Demetrius Poliorcetes had acquired his surname by the invention and use of the 'Helepolis,' a moveable tower of vast height, which placed the assailants on a level with the defenders even of the loftiest ramparts. He at once ordered the construction of such a machine; and, the ability of his engineers being equal to the task, it rapidly grew before his eyes. The garrison saw its growth with feelings very opposite to those of their assailant; they felt that they could not resist the new creation, and anticipated its employment by a surrender. \({ }^{2}\) Julian

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) 'Evasit . . . verecundo rubore \({ }^{2}\) So Ammianus. Zosimus speaks suffusus.' (Amm. Marc. l.s.c.) of the terrible engine having been
}
agreed to spare their lives, and allowed them to withdraw and join their countrymen, each man taking with him a spure garment and a certain sum of money. The other stores contained within the walls fell to the conquerors, who found them to comprise a vast quantity of corn, arms, and other valuables. Julian distributed anong his troops whatever was likely to be servicenble; the remainder, of which he could make no use, wis either burned or thrown into the Eaphrates

The latitude of Clesiphon was now nearly reached, but Julinn still continued to descend the Euphrater, while the Persian cavalry made occasional dashes upou his extended line, und sometimes caused a him sensible lies. \({ }^{1}\) At length he came to the point where the Nahr-Malcha, or 'Royal river, the chief of the canals connecting the Euphrates with the Tigris, branched off from the more westeru stream, and man nearly due east to the vicinity of the capital. The canal was navigable by his ships, and he therefore at this point quitted the Euphratew, and direrted his march eastward along the coure of the cutting, following in the footsteps of Seve-ru- and nu doubt expecting, like him, to capture easily the areat metropolitan city. But his advance acrose the a.ek of land which here wparates the Tigris from the Faphrato \({ }^{2}\) was painful and difficult since the enemy la. 1 the country under water, and at every fatourable print dipputed his progres. Julian, however, still pressed firwarl, and advancel, though slowly. By felling the faime whith grew abundantly in this reyion, and formrag with them raft- -upported by inflated skins, he was

\footnotetext{
 L.A. 14 mime than about 10 miles a little
32.1 .

The dinsance arrime is bors muer than abrut lis miles a littlo b-1. Ihaloflon: in the latitude of Citesphona it io about 20 milen.
}
able to pass the inundated district, and to approach within about eleven miles of Ctesiphon. Here his further march was obstructed by a fortress, built (as it would seem) to defend the capital, and fortified with especial care. Ammianus calls this place Maogamalcha, \({ }^{1}\) while Zosimus gives it the name of Besuchis; \({ }^{2}\) but both agree that it was a large town, commanded by a strong citadel, and held by a brave and numerous garrison. Julian might perhaps have left it unassailed, as he had left already several towns upon his line of march; but a daring attempt made against himself by a portion of the garrison caused him to feel his honour concerned in taking the place; and the result was that he once more arrested his steps, and, sitting down before the walls, commenced a formal siege. All the usual arts of attack and defence were employed on either side for several days, the chief novel feature in the warfare being the use by the besieged of blazing balls of bitumen, \({ }^{8}\) which they shot from their lofty towers against the besiegers' works and persons. Julian, however, met this novelty by a device on his side which was uncommon; he continued openly to assault the walls and gates with his battering rams, but he secretly gave orders that the chief efforts of his men should be directed to the formation of a mine, \({ }^{4}\) which should be carried under both the walls that defended the place, and enable him to introduce suddenly a body of troops into the very heart of the city. His orders were successfully executed; and while a general attack upon the defences occupied the attention of the besieged, three corps \({ }^{5}\) introduced

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Amm. Marc. xxiv. 4
\({ }^{2}\) Zosim. iii. 20 ; p. 153.

 \(\pi \in \pi \cup \rho \omega \mu \dot{\text { в }} \boldsymbol{\nu}\)
- Liban. Orat. Funebr. p. 317, D;

Amm. Marc. xxiv. 4; Zosim. iii. 21 ; p. 155.
; The Mattiarii, the Laccinarii, and the Victores. (Zosim. iii. 22; p. 156.)
}
through the mine suddenly showed themselves in the town itself, and rendered further resistance hopeless. Maogamalcha, which a little before had boasted of being impregnable, and had laughed to scom the vain eflorts of the emperor, \({ }^{1}\) suddenly found itself taken by assault and undergoing the extremities of sack and pilhage. Julimn made no efforts to prevent a general missacre," and the entire population, without diatinction of age or sex, seems to have been put to the sword. \({ }^{4}\) The commandant of the fortress, though he was at fint spared, suffered death shortly after on a frivolous charge. Even a miserable remnant, which had conecaled itself in caves and cellans, was hunted out, smoke and fire being used to fonce the fugitives from their hidinge-places, or clee cause them to perish in the dirlssome dens by suffocation. \({ }^{5}\) Thus there was no extremity of savage warfare which was not usel, the fourth century anticipating some of the horrors which have mond disgmeed the nineteenth. \({ }^{6}\)

Sishing mow but the river Tigris intervened betwern Juli.nn and the great city of Ctesiphon, which was phanly the operial object of the experition. Ctesiphom, moded, was not to l'enia what it had been to larthia: hut still it might fuirly be looked upon as a prize of

\footnotetext{
IIthan. p. :17: II. 7.onim. l.ac.
? The N.phiot of Antioch endeercure l., de fond bie hero from tbe charge of crurity br taxing tbe adilere with dimiberlienor th ibe: gropral. indere ior. Fiwnodo. ; ilm. 1’i. bs: the narrationos of Ir:mianue add \(Z\) asemue cotradict t.in.
- Hig, -8ze dierrimion irl - aise pithe minilar memusce anopial

 Garr. l.e.e, l.es ir y..e. a!y apio werr geverally rppobatid.

ryiure (7amim. iii. 2: ; p 18: ).
- Nabiates wae accuatd of hai. ing defended Manpumalche to the lant. after having promiand to surrender it. 11. had alw called Hurminian a traitur. Fur thron crimes 1t, he was burued alire? 1.1 mm . Mari. xeir. 8.1

3 Ihid. xxir. 4. and fa.
The sitailar meanare arpiad
}
considerable importance. Of Parthia it had been the main, in later times perhaps the sole, capital; to Persia it was a secondary rather than a primary city, the ordinary residence of the court being Istakr, or Persepolis. Still the Persian kings seem occasionally to have resided at Ctesiphon; and among the secondary cities of the empire it undoubtedly held a high rank. In the neighbourhood were various royal hunting-seats, surrounded by shady gardens, and adorned with paintings or bas-reliefs ; \({ }^{1}\) while near them were parks, or ' paradises,' containing the game kept for the prince's sport, which included lions, wild boars, and bears of remarkable fierceness. \({ }^{2}\) As Julian advanced, these pleasaunces fell, one after another, into his hands, and were delivered over to the rude soldiery, who trampled the flowers and shrubs under foot, destroyed the wild beasts, and burned the residences. No serious resistance was as yet made by any Persian force to the progress of the Romans, who pressed steadily forward, occasionally losing a few men or a few baggage animals, \({ }^{3}\) but drawing daily nearer to the great city, and on their way spreading ruin and desolation over a most fertile district, from which they drew abundant supplies as they passed through it, while they left it behind them blackened, wasted, and almost without inhabitant. The Persians seem to have had orders not to make, as yet, any firm stand. One of the sons of Sapor was now at their head, but no change of tactics occurred. As Julian drew near, this prince indeed quitted the shelter

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Ammianus speaks of ' pictures' ('diversorium opacum et amœnum, gentiles picturas per omnes mdium partes ostendens, xxiv. 5). But the wall decoration of the Sas-
bas-reliefs.
2 'Urros (ut sunt Persici) ultra omnem rabiem sevientes.' (Amm. Marc. xxiv. 5, sub init.)
\({ }^{3}\) Zosim. xxiii. 24 ; Amm. Marc. sanians was ordinarily effected by l.s.c.
}
of Ctesiphon, and made a reconmaisance in force ; but when he fell in with the Roman advanced guard under Victor, and saw its strength, he declined an engagement, and retired without coming to blows. \({ }^{1}\)
Julian had now reached the western suburb of Ctesiphon, which had lost its old name of Seleucia and was known as Coché. \({ }^{\text {. The capture of this place would, }}\) perhaps, not have been difficult; but, as the broad and deep stream of the Tigris flowed between it and the main town, little would have been gained by the occujation. Julian felt that, to attack Clesiphon with succoss, he must, like Trajan and Severus, trausport his army to the left bank of the Tigris, and deliver his assault upon the defences that lay beyond that river. For the safe trunsport of his anny he trusted to his fleet, which he had therefore cuused to enter the NalirMalcha, and to accompany his troops thus far. But nt Coche he found that the Nahr-Malcha, instead of joining the Tigris, as he had expected, above Clesiphon, ran into it at some distance below.: To have pursued this line with buth theet and army would have carried him tux far into the enemy's country, have endangered hi- communications, and esperially have cut him off from the Armenian army under Procopius and Sebaytann. with which he was at this time lonking to effect a junction. To have ent the flect inte the Tigris below (ixtho, while the army oxcupied the right bank of the river almen it, would, in the firt place, have separated

\footnotetext{

 mue raile the ouburt thatham (iii. Wh hie diret and hie mode of mert-

 if a., ku: 18 w uld mem that 1 shiak, nubly approbeaded bas they bed, by thas uspe, growa into meansig.
cee.
}
the two, and would further have been useless, unless the fleet could force its way against the strong current through the whole length of the hostile city. In this difficulty Julian's book-knowledge was found of service. He had studied with care the campaigns of his predecessors in these regions, and recollected that one of them \({ }^{1}\) at any rate had made a cutting from the NahrMalcha, by which he had brought his fleet into the Tigris above Ctesiphon. If this work could be discovered, it might, he thought, in all probability be restored. Some of the country people were therefore seized, and, inquiry being made of them, the line of the canal was pointed out, and the place shown at which it had been derived from the Nahr-Malcha. Here the Persians had erected a strong dam, with sluices, by means of which a portion of the water could occasionally be turned into the Roman cutting. \({ }^{2}\) Julian had the cutting cleared out, and the dam torn down; whereupon the main portion of the stream rushed at once into the old channel, which rapidly filled, and was found to be navigable by the Roman vessels. The fleet was thus brought into the Tigris above Coché; and the army advancing with it encamped upon the right bank of the river.

The Persians now for the first time appeared in force. \({ }^{8}\) As Julian drew near the great stream, he perceived that his passage of it would not be unopposed.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Gibbon supposes Trajan to be |lxviii. 28.)
meant (Decline and Fall, vol. iii. p. 202) ; and so Zosimus (iii. 24). Ammianus mentions both Trajan and Severus (xxiv. 6, ad init.); but it seems clear from Dio that the former monarch at any rate conveyed his ships from the Euphrates to the Tigris, by means of and the Surena (supra, p. 208) had
\({ }^{2}\) The 'catarractes' of Ammianus ('avulsis catarractis undarum magnitudine classissecura. .. in alveum ejecta est Tigridis' l.s.c.), are clearly sluices, which can only have had this object.
\({ }^{3}\) The tronps under Rodosaces rollers, across the land. (Dio Case.
}

Along the left bank, which was at this point naturally higher than the right, and which wis further crowned by a wall built originally to fence in one of the rogal parke, \({ }^{2}\) could be seen the dense masses of the eneny's, horse and foot, stretching away to right and left, the former encased in glittering armour, \({ }^{2}\) the latter protected by hage wattled shields. \({ }^{3}\) Behind these troops were discernible the vast forms of elephasts, looking (says the historian) like moving mountains, \({ }^{4}\) and regarded by the legionaries with extreme dread. Julinn felt thats he could not ank his army to crows the stremm openly in the fice of a foo thus advantageously prosed. He therefore waited the approuch of night. When darknem had clowed in, he made his dispositions; divided his tleet into portions ; emberked a number of his troops: and, despite the disuasaions of his officens, \({ }^{4}\) gave the signal for the pasage to commence. Five ships, each of thems conveying eighty soldiers, led the way, and reached the opposite shore without accident. Here, hirweser, the enemy received them with a sharpy fire of burning darts, and the two foremost were sann in flamon- At the ominous sight the rest of the fleet waverefl, and might have refused to proceed further,
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morebant.' (Ibid.)
- 'liradientium collium apecie.' (Ibid. le.c.) C'ompare Libanius, \(p\). 3:4), 13: Aarizor rab jidur ... Moper oncr idecurror, dis ieur ippor i.u ntapior iderir cal pinanyor.
- Amminnue aare they all oppried him ('ducen comerati pumalm tien prohibere tentabact'). Idibanius eprake of ove in particular as remonotrating (p. 381, A: 的 \(+\boldsymbol{c}\)

- Couppare Zonim. iii. ye wicb Amm. Narc. sxit. U.
had not Julian, with admirable presence of mind, exclaimed aloud-' Our men have crossed and are masters of the bank-that fire is the signal which I bade them make if they were victorious.' Thus encouraged, the crews plied their oars with vigour, and impelled the remaining vessels rapidly across the stream. At the same time, some of the soldiers who had not been put on board, impatient to assist their comrades, plunged into the stream, and swam across supported by their shields. \({ }^{1}\) Though a stout resistance was offered by the Persians, it was found impossible to withstand the impetuosity of the Roman attack. Not only were the half-burned vessels saved, the flames extinguished, and the men on board rescued from their perilous position, but everywhere the Roman troops made good their landing, fought their way up the bank against a storm of missile weapons, and drew up in good order upon its summit. A pause probably now occurred, as the armies could not see each other in the darkness; but,' at dawn of day, \({ }^{2}\) Julian, having made a fresh arrangement of his troops, led them against the dense array of the enemy, and engaged in a hand-to-hand combat, which lasted from morning to midday, when it was terminated by the flight of the Persians. Their leaders, Tigranes, Narseus, and the Surena, \({ }^{3}\) are said \({ }^{4}\) to

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Ammianus alone (l.s.c.) men- \(\mid\) pause-that the battle recommenced tions this fact, which he compares with the swimming of the Rhone by Sertorius.
\({ }^{2}\) Ammianus makes the battle begin with the dawn and last all the day. Zosimus says it lasted from midnight to midday. We may best reconcile the two by supposing that the passage of the Tigris and the landing were at midnight-that then there was a
pauso-that the battle recommenced
at dawn-that at midday the Persians were beaten and took to flight-and that then the pursuit lasted almost to nightfall.
\({ }^{3}\) The names are uncertain. Instead of Tigranes and Narseus, Zosimus has Pigraxes and Anareus. Some MSS. of Ammianus have Pigranes.
- Zosim. iii. 25 : Tīs фvүĩs

}
have been the first to quit the field and take refuge within the defences of Ctesiphon. The example thus net was universally followed; and the entire Persians army, abandoning its camp and baggage, rushed is the wildest confusion across the plain to the nearest of the city gates, closely pursued by its active foe up to the very foot of the walls. The Romm writers assert that Ctesiphon might have been entered and taken, had not the general, Victor, who was wounded by a dart from a eatapult, recalled his men as they were about to rush in through the open gateway. \({ }^{1}\) It is perhaps doubtul whether sucsess would really have crowned such audacity. At any rate, the opportunity pussed-the runawuys entered the town-the gate cloed upon them ; and Cresiphon was safe unless it were reduced by the operations of a regular siege.

But the fruits of the victory were still considerable. The entire Persian army collected hitherto for the defence of Ctesiphon had been defeated by one-third of the Roman force under Julian. \({ }^{\text {. The vanquished had }}\) left 2.301 men dead upon the field, while the victors had lost no more than seventy-five.s A rich spoil had fallen :nto the hands of the Romans, who found in the atandoned camp couches and tables of massive silver, and on the bodies of the slain, both men and horver, a profu-ion of fold and silver ormaments, bevidee trappings and apparel of great magnificence. A weloone supply of frocioions was ale, furnished be the lands and houses

\footnotetext{
'Aram. Mare. xivir. 13: Kufua, 'Tbeer arre the numbere of Znaj-
 - The Bort wa formad in thire ayrmeo as to the l'erajana, but makeo
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- Zrum. Lae.
}
in the neighbourhood of Ctesiphon; and the troops passed from a state of privation to one of extreme abundance, so that it was feared lest they might suffer from excess. \({ }^{1}\)

Affairs had now reached a point when it was necessary to form a definite resolution as to what should be the further aim and course of the expedition. Hitherto all had indicated an intention on the part of Julian to occupy Ctesiphon, and thence dictate a peace. His long march, his toilsome canal-cutting, his orders to his second army, \({ }^{2}\) his crossing of the Tigris, his engagement with the Persians in the plain before Ctesiphon, were the natural steps conducting to such a result, and are explicable on one hypothesis and one hypothesis only. He must up to this time have designed to make himself master of the great city, which had been the goal of so many previous invasions, and had always fallen whenever Rome attacked it. But, having overcome all the obstacles in his path, and having it in his power at once to commence the siege, a sudden doubt appears to have assailed him as to the practicability of the undertaking. It can scarcely be supposed that the city was really stronger now than it had been under the Parthians; \({ }^{3}\) much less can it be argued that Julian's army was insufficient for the investment of such a place. It was probably the most powerful army with which the Romans had as yet invaded Southern Mesopotamia;

\footnotetext{
\({ }_{2}^{1}\) Eunapius, p. 68, ed. Niebuhr.
\({ }^{2}\) Supra, p. 200.
\({ }^{3}\) Ammianus speaks of Ctesiphon as 'situ ipso inexpugnabilis' (xxiv. 7, ad init.); but it occupied a piece of alluvial plain, and had been taken three times by the Romans. Gibbon says: ' It is not easy for us to conceive by what arts of fortifi-
cation a city thrice besieged and taken by the predecssors of Julian could be rendered impregnable against an army of 60,000 Romans ' (Decline and Fall, vol. iii. p. 205). I should doubt if any special pains had been taken by the Persians to strengthen the defences.
}
and it was amply provided with all the appurtenances of war. If Julina did not venture to attempt what Trajan and Avidins Cusius and Septimius Severus had achieved without difficulty, it must have been becruse the circunstances under which he would have bad to make the attack were different from those under which they had ventured and succeeded. And the difference-a most momentous one-was this. They besiegel and captured the phace after defeating the greatest force that Parthin could bring into the ficha against them. Julian found himself in front of Cteeiphon before he had crosed swords with the Persian king, or so much as set cyes on the grand army which Sapor was known to have collected. To have sat down before Ctesiphon under such circunstances would have been to expose himself to great peril; while he was istent upon the siege, he might at any time have been attacked by in relieving army under the Great King, have been placed between two fires, and compelled to enguge at "xtreme disadvantage. \({ }^{\text {a }}\) It was a considerathon of thix danger that inpelled the council of war, wherete he submitted the question, to pronounce the si. 2 , of ('torphon tax hazarlous an operation, and to dowale the emperor from attempting it.

But, if the city were not to be lesieged, what course condd with any prudence be adopted? It would have imon madneas to leave ('uxiphon unasailerd, and to preaf forward against Susn and Persepolis. It would hawe lawn futile to re:nain encamperl before the walls, without commencing a siege. The heate of summer
' That if wac the frar of attack of importunum nomentium id arfrem tapiri. armir which riuand sordi, gund ri civitas ajis ipeo in-

 conisaca qourusdan, laciou audas effore crablater,' La,c.)
had arrived, \({ }^{1}\) and the malaria of autumn was not far off. The stores brought by the fleet were exhausted; \({ }^{2}\) and there was a great risk in the army's depending wholly for its subsistence on the supplies that it might be able to obtain from the enemy's country. Julian and his advisers must have seen at a glance that if the Romans were not to attack Ctesiphon, they must retreat. And accordingly retreat seems to have been at once determined on. As a first step, the whole fleet, except some dozen vessels, \({ }^{8}\) was burned, since twelve was a sufficient number to serve as pontoons, and it was not worth the army's while to encumber itself with the remainder. They could only have been tracked up the strong stream of the Tigris by devoting to the work some 20,000 men ; \({ }^{4}\) thus greatly weakening the strength of the armed force, and at the same time hampering its movements. Julian, in sacrificing his ships, suffered simply a pecuniary loss-they could not possibly have been of any further service to him in the campaign.

Retreat being resolved upon, it only remained to determine what route should be followed, and on what portion of the Roman territory the march should be directed. The soldiers clamoured for a return by the way whereby they had come; \({ }^{5}\) but many valid objections to this course presented themselves to their commanders. The country along the line of the Euphrates had been exhausted of its stores by the troops in their

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) It was already the month of \(\mid\) pended mainly on the food which June (Clinton, F. R. vol. i. p. 456).
\({ }_{2}\) Libanius confesses the want of provisions (Orat. Frunebr. p. 320, C). Ammianus does not distinctly mention it; but his narrative shows that, from the time of the passage of the Tigris, Julian's army de-
it took from the enemy. (Amm. Marc. xxiv. 7.)
\({ }^{3}\) Twenty-two, according to Zosimus (iii. 26) ; but Ammianus twice gives the number as twelve.
\({ }^{-}\)Amm. Marc. xxiv. 7.
\({ }^{5}\) Ibid. xxiv. 8.
}
advnice; the fornge had been consumed, the towns and villnges desolated. There would be neither food nor shelter for the men along this route; the season was also unsuitable for it, since the Euphrates was in full flood, and the moist atmosphere would be sure to breed swarms of flies and mosquitoes. Julian saw that by far the best line of retreat was along the Tigris, which had bigher banks than the Euphrates, which was no longer in flool, \({ }^{1}\) and which ran through a truct that was highly productive and that had for many years not been visited by an enemy. The anny, therefore, wns ordered to commence its retreat through the country lying on the left bank of the Tigris, and to spread itself over the fertile region, in the hope of obtaining ample supplies. The march was understood to be directed on Cordyene (Kurdistan), a province now in the ponsession of Rome, a rich tract, and not more than about 250 miles distant from Ctesipbon. \({ }^{2}\)

Before, however, the retrent commenced, while Julian and hiv victorious army were still encamped in -ight of C'teiphom, the P'erian king, according to some witer. \({ }^{3}\) ent an embany propening terms of peace. Julian - wocenes are representel as having driven Sapor t. d-p.pir - the pride of his royalty was humbled in the durt; he texik his repates on the ground; and the arref and anxiety of his mind were expreseal by the
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- Iabanine. (Irat. Funebr. p. iml.
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}
disorder of his hair.' \({ }^{1}\) He would, it is suggested, have been willing ' to purchase, with one half of his kingdom, the safety of the remainder, and would have gladly subscribed himself, in a treaty of peace, the faithful and dependent ally of the Roman conqueror.' \({ }^{2}\) Such are the pleasing fictions wherewith the rhetorician of Antioch, faithful to the memory of his friend and master, consoled himself and his readers after Julian's death. It is difficult to decide whether there underlies them any substratum of truth. Neither Ammianus nor Zosimus makes the slightest allusion to any negotiations at all at this period; and it is thus open to doubt whether the entire story told by Libanuis is not the product of his imagination. But at any rate it is quite impossible that the Persian king can have made any abject offers of submission, or have been in a state of mind at all akin to despair. His great army, collected from all quarters, \({ }^{8}\) was intact; he had not yet condescended to take the field in person; he had lost no important town, and his adversary had tacitly confessed his inability to form the siege of a city which was far from being the greatest in the empire. If Sapor, therefore, really made at this time overtures of peace, it must have been either with the intention of amusing Julian, and increasing his difficulties by delaying his retreat, or because he thought that Julian's consciousness of his difficulties would induce him to offer terms which he might accept.

The retreat commenced on June 16. \({ }^{4}\) Scarcely were

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Gibbon, Decline and Fall, vol. iii. p. 208.
\({ }_{2}\) Íbid.
3 Tabari says it was gathered from all parts of Irak, Persia, and Khorassan (Chronique, vol. ii. p. 97).
}

Gibbon tells us that 'the satraps, as far as the comfines of India and Scythia, had been ordered to aosemble their troops' (vol. iii. p. 205).
*Amm. Marc. xxiv. 8. Some
the troops set in motion, when an ominous cloud of dust appeared on the southers horizon, which grew larger as the day advanced; and, though some suggested that the appearince was produced by a herd of wild nsees, and others ventured the comjecture that it was caused by the approach of a body of Julian's Saracenic allies, the eanperor himself was not deceived, but, underatanding that the Persians had set out in pursuib, he called in his strugglers, mased his troops, and pitched his camp in a strong position. \({ }^{1}\) Day-dawn showed that he had judged aright, for the earliest rays of the sun were reflected from the polished breastplates and cuirases of the Persians, who had drawn up at no great distance during the night. \({ }^{2}\) A combat followed in which the Penam and Saracenic horse attacked the Romana vigorously, and especially threatened the baggage, but were repulsed by the firmness and valour of the Roman foot. Julian was able to continue his retreat after a while, but found himself surrounded by enemies, some of whom, keeping in advance of his troops, or hanging upon his thanks, de-otroyed the corn and forage that his men mouch nerded; while others, pressing upon his rear, retarded his march, and caused him from time to

\footnotetext{
-rimer, As Tillemont (llia. des Fimporans tome ir. p. intsi and bothen I Ioviene and fall, vol. iii. connderations of time. proclude
 expeditso on the part of Julian purtant banting beren underaken ist ibe intenipproininceoff l'eroia, lotiveen the battle of the Tigrio With the ",b-ct of methug sapur and the commoncement of the m .

 \(t:-0\) :fatent by the tmatbery of bis liyalth, with the object of obteniopoctace. S. duubt tberr arr in ink privisi.ina, meerm to have bern Litericu. Corsiry if Sasianzen. aill that Julian really attempted in
 outherroung be land and we cease but cupp to memo luubdatwe twa the dory of the treacherven
}
time no inconsiderable losses. \({ }^{1}\) The retreat under these circumstances was slow ; the army had to be rested and recruited when it fell in with any accumulation of provisions; and the average progress made seems to have been not much more than ten miles a day. \({ }^{2}\) This tardy advance allowed the more slow-moving portion of the Persian army to close in upon the retiring Romans; and Julian soon found himself closely followed by dense masses of the enemy's troops, by the heavy cavalry ciad in steel panoplies, and armed with long spears, by large bodies of archers, and even by a powerful corps of elephants. \({ }^{8}\) This grand army was under the command of a general whom the Roman writers call Meranes, \({ }^{4}\) and of two sons of Sapor. It pressed heavily upon the Roman rearguard; and Julian, after a little while, found it necessary to stop his march, confront his pursuers, and offer them battle. The offer was accepted, and an engagement took place in a tract called Maranga. \({ }^{5}\) The enemy advanced in two lines-the first composed of the mailed horsemen and the archers intermixed, the second of the elephants. Julian prepared his army to receive the attack by disposing it in the form of a crescent, with the centre drawn back considerably ; but as the Persians advanced into the hollow space, he suddenly led his troops forward at speed, allowing the

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Zosimus, iii. 26-7; Amm Marc. l.s.c. ; Greg. Naz. p. 154, B.
\({ }^{2}\) The distance from Ctesiphon to Samarah, a little south of which Julian died, is, by the shortest route upon the eastern side of the Tigris, about 100 miles. The route followed was probably somewhat longer; and the march appears to have occupied exactly ten days.
\({ }^{3}\) Amm. Marc. xxv. 1.
\({ }^{4}\) Ibid. Some suppose Meranes
not to be a name, but (like Surena) a title. See Dr. W. Smith's note in his edition of Gibbon's Decline and Fall, vol. iii. p. 210, and compare Procop. De Bell. Pers. i. 13 ; p. 62.
s. 'Cum ad tractum Maranga nominatum omnis venisset exercitus.' (Amm. Marc. 1.s.c.) Zusimus the chauges 'tract called Maranga, into a 'village called Marônsa' (iii. 28).
}
archers scarcels time to discharge their arrows before he engagel them and the horse in close combat. A long and bloody struggle followed; but the Persinns were unaccustomed to hand-to-hand fighting und disLiked it; thry gradaally gave ground, and at hast broke up and fled, covering their retreat, however, with the clouls of arrows which they knew well how to discharge us they retired. The weight of their arms, and the fiery beat of the summer sun, prevented the Romans from carrying the pursuit very far. Julian recalled them quickly to the protection of the camp, and auspended his murch for some days ' while the wounded had their hurts attended to.
The Penian troops, having suffered heavily in tho thatte, made no attempt to storm the Roman cump. They were content to spread themselves on all sides, to detroy or earry off all the forage and provisions, and to muke the country, through which the Roman army most retire, a desert. Julinn's forces were alruady suffering w.v.rely from warcity of foox ; and the general want wa- but very slightly relieved by a distribution of the stures aet apart for the officers and for the meminero of the imperial household. Vider these circumbetano it is not surprising that Julian's firmness deered him. and that he logan to give way to melan-- ho! firertexlinge, and to see visions and onens which prometol diciter and death. In the silence of his twit. as her etudiad a faworite philowpher during the doal if whe he thought he saw the fenius of the Fatc. wht veded head and curnucopin, stealing away threuph the hangingy slowly and villy.: Sion afuerwast, when he had juet gone forth into the open air

\footnotetext{
I-mie. indutse destirata, dum ens quamue vulapri medetur rel

}
to perform averting sacrifices, the fall of a shooting star seemed to him a direct threat from Mars, with whom he had recently quarrelled. \({ }^{1}\) The soothsayers were consulted, and counselled abstinence from all military movement; but the exigencies of the situation caused their advice to be for once contemned. It was only by change of place that there was any chance of obtaining supplies of food; and ultimate extrication from the perils that surrounded the army depended on a steady persistence in retreat.

At dawn of day, \({ }^{2}\) therefore, on the memorable 26th of June, A.D. 363 , the tents were struck, and the Roman army continued its march across the wasted plain, having the Tigris at some little distance on its left, and some low hills upon its right. \({ }^{3}\) The enemy did not anywhere appear; and the troops advanced for a time without encountering opposition. But, as they drew near the skirts of the hills, not far from Samarah, suddenly an attack was made upon them. The rearguard found itself violently assailed; and when Julian hastened to its relief, news came that the van was also engaged with the enemy, and was already in difficulties. The active commander now hurried towards the front, and had accomplished half the distance, when the main Persian attack was delivered upon his right centre, \({ }^{\text {, }}\) and to his dismay he found himself entangled amid

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Amm. Marc. xxiv. 6, ad fin. On account of unpropitious onens Julian had sworn that he would never sacrifice to Mars again.
2 'Exorto jam die.' (Ibid. xxv. 2, ad fin.)
\({ }^{3}\) Ammianus calls them 'lofty hills' ('celsos colles'); but there are none such in the vicinity of Samarah.
- Ammianus is confused on this
point, in one place making it the right, in another the left wing that suffered (xxv. 3: 'sinistro cornu inclinato . . . exercitus cornu dextero defatigato'). I conceire that the entire attack was made from a line of low hills, perhape the embankment of an old canal, on Julian's right, and that it was therefore on this side that his army suffered its main losses.
}
the massers of heavy horse nad elephants, which had thrown his columns into confision. The suldenness of the encmy's appearance had prevented him from donning his complete armour; and as he fought without a treastplate, and with the aid of his light-armed troops restored the day, falling on the foe from bobind and atriking the backs and bougles of the borses and eleplants, the javelin of a horseman, affer grazing the Beals of his arm, lixed itself in his right side, penetrating through the ribs to the liver,' Julian, grasping the head of the weapon, attempted to draw it forth, but in rais-the sharp steel cut his fingers, and the pain and los of blood caused him to fall fainting from lis steed. His guards, who lad closed around binn, carefully mised him up, and conveged him to the camp, where the surgeons at once declared the wound morial. The sud news spread rapilly mongr the soldiery, and nerved them to despernte efforton-if they must lose their genemal, be chould, they determised, be avenged. Strikin: their shiclds with their spears, \({ }^{8}\) thes everywhere rushod upen the enemy with incredible ardour, carelo. whe ther they lived or died, and only secking to, inthet the greateot prosible lase on these opponed to them. But the Perians, who had regarded the day as theire, roosted strenuonsly, and mantaned the fight with ob-tinary till woming cloayl in and darkness put a stop to the chgripement. The lonem were large on Ineth oulos : the linam right wing had suffered greatly ;

\footnotetext{
- Iflaniug, Urat. Fiunder. PR pit dealt be ofen of the enemy, but Thst. Amm. Mare. sir. 3. It br a Cbriatian of Juliano army to cuncue what different accrunte itirat. Fimeder. p. 32d). But thin aro ales. if Juhationnund. \%ios- ta a mantrot calumpy.
 . Masta. Mart. lea... Hastae ad cula cuscirpano. matice ad vibuico
 plawe deciarse that the biuw was
}
its commander, Anatolius, master of the offices, was among the slain, and the prefect Sallust was with difficulty saved by an attendant. \({ }^{1}\) The Persians, too, lost their generals Meranes and Nohodares ; and with them no fewer than fifty satraps and great nobles are said to have perished. \({ }^{2}\) The rank and file no doubt suffered in proportion; and the Romans were perbaps justified in claiming that the balance of advantage upon the day rested with them.

But such advantage as they could reasonably assert was far more than counterbalanced by the loss of their commander, who died in his tent towards midnight on the day of the battle. \({ }^{8}\) Whatever we may think of the general character of Julian, or of the degree of his intellectual capacity, there can be no question as to his excellence as a soldier, or his ability as a commander in the field. If the expedition which he had led into Persia was to some extent rash-if his preparations for it had been insufficient, and his conduct of it not wholly faultless-if consequently he had brought the army of the East into a situation of great peril and difficultyyet candour requires us to acknowledge that of all the men collected in the Roman camp he was the fittest to have extricated the army from its embarrassments, and have conducted it, without serious disaster or loss of honour, into a position of safety. No one, like Julian, possessed the confidence of the troops; no one so combined experience in command with the personal activity and vigour that was needed under the circumstances. When the leaders met to consult about the appointment of a successor to the dead prince, it was at once appa-

\footnotetext{
 xxv. 3.
\({ }^{2}\) Amm. Marc. l.s.c.
}
rent how irreparable was their loss. The prefect Sallust, whose superior rank and length of service pointed him out for promotion to the vacant post, excused himself on nccount of his age and infirmities. \({ }^{1}\) The generals of the second grade-Arintheus, Victor, Nevitua, Dugalaiphus-had each their party among the soldiers, but were unacceptable to the army generally. None could claim any superior merit which might clearly place him above the rest; and a discord that might have led to open strife seemed impending, when a casual voice pronounced the name of Jovian, and, some applause following the suggeation, the rival genemis acquiesced in the choice; and this hitherto insignificant officer was suddenly inverted with the purple and saluted as 'Augustus' and 'Emperor.' \({ }^{2}\) Had there been any one really fit to take the command, such an appointment could not have been made; but, in the evident dearth of warlike genius, it wat thought best that one whose rank was civil rulher than militury \({ }^{5}\) thould be preferret. for the avodance of jealousies and contentions. A deserter carried the newestosapor, who wa- now not very far distint, and dewribed the new emperer to him as effeminate and shothful.4 A fresh mpaiale was given to the pursuit by the intelligence thu convercal: the army engaged in disputing the lioman refreat was reinforced by a strong bexly of oavalry: and sapor himself prened forward with all hewie. revelved to hurl his man force on the rear of the se:reatuy collumar.s

\footnotetext{
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It was with reluctance that Jovian, on the day of his elevation to the supreme power (June 27, A.d. 363), quitted the protection of the camp, \({ }^{1}\) and proceeded to conduct his army over the open plain, where the Persians were now collected in great force, prepared to dispute the ground with him inch by inch. Their horse and elephants again fell upon the right wing of the Romans, where the Jovians and Herculians were now posted, and, throwing those renowned corps \({ }^{2}\) into disorder, pressed on, driving them across the plain in headlong flight and slaying vast numbers of them. The corps would probably have been annihilated, had they not in their flight reached a hill occupied by the baggage train, which gallantly came to their aid, and, attacking the horse and elephants from higher ground, gained a signal success. \({ }^{8}\) The elephants, wounded by the javelins hurled down upon them from above, and maddened with the pain, turned upon their own side, and, roaring frightfully, \({ }^{4}\) carried confusion among the ranks of the horse, which broke up and fled. Many of the frantic animals were killed by their own riders or by the Persians on whom they were trampling, while others succumbed to the blows dealt them by the enemy. There was a frightful carnage, ending in the repulse of the Persians and the resumption of the Roman march. Shortly before night fell, Jovian and his army reached Samarah, \({ }^{5}\) then a fort of no great size upon the Tigris, \({ }^{6}\) and,

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Amm. Marc. xxv. 6, ad init.
\({ }^{2}\) The'Jovians'and 'Herculians' had been instituted by Diocletian, and received their names from the titles 'Jovius' and 'Herculius' assumed by that emperor and his son-in-law, Galerius.
\({ }^{3}\) Zosimus (iii. 30) is here fuller and more exact than Ammianus. His narrative has all the appearance
of truth.

\({ }^{5}\) Amm. Marc. xxv. 6: 'Prope confinia noctis, cum ad castellum Sumere nomine citis passibus tenderemus.' Zosimus seems to intend the same place by his ¿oinua to ©onipur, which, however, he makes the Romans pass early in the day.
\({ }^{6}\) Samarah became a flourishing
}
encamping in its ricinits, passel the hours of rest unmolested.
The retreat now continued for four days along the right bank of the Tipris,' the progress made each day being smalle \({ }^{3}\) sinee the eneny incessandy obstructed the march, pressing on the columns as they retired, but when they stopped driwing off, and declining an engagenent at close quarters. On one occasion they even attackent the Ronnun camp, and, ufter insulting the legions with their cries, forced their way through the preetorian gute, and had neurly penetrated to the royal tent, when they were met and defeated by the legionaries. The Sutricenic Arubs were especially tronblesome. Offended by the refual of fultian to continue their subsidies,' they had trunserned their services wholly to the other side, and parsued the Bomanas with a howetility that was sharpened by indignation ned resentmemt. It wne with diffienlty that the Roman army, at the clowe of the fourth day, renched Dum, a small place ugrom the Tigris, about eighteen miles north of Sa maral.s. Here a new idea mized the soldiers. As the I'rian fircee were masal chiefly on the left bank of
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the Tigris, and might find it difficult to transfer themselves to the other side, it seemed to the legionaries that they would escape half their difficulties if they could themselves cross the river, and place it between them and their foes. They had also a notion that on the west side of the stream the Roman frontier was not far distant, but might be reached by forced marches in a few days. \({ }^{1}\) They therefore begged Jovian to allow them to swim the stream. It was in vain that he and his officers opposed the project ; mutinous cries arose; and, to avoid worse evils, he was compelled to consent that five hundred Gauls and Sarmatians, known to be expert swimmers, should make the attempt. It succeeded beyond his hopes. The corps crossed at night, surprised the Persians who held the opposite bank, and established themselves in a safe position before the dawn of day: By this bold exploit the passage of the other troops, many of whom could not swim, was rendered feasible, and Jovian proceeded to collect timber, brushwood, and skins for the formation of large rafts on which he might transport the rest of his army. \({ }^{2}\)

These movements were seen with no small disquietude by the Persian king. The army which he had regarded as almost a certain prey seemed about to escape him. He knew that his troops could not pass the Tigris by swimming ; he had, it is probable, brought with him no boats, and the country about Dura could not supply many; to follow the Romans, if they crossed the stream, he must construct a bridge, and the con-

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Amm. Marc. xxp. 6: 'Fama \(\mid\) are represented frequently in the circumlata, fines haud procul limitum eese nostrorum.'
\({ }_{2}\) Ibid. Rafts of this description had been used on the Mesopotamian rivers from very early times. They
}
struction of a bridge was, to such unskilful engineers as the Penians, a work of time. Before it was finished the legions might be beyond his reach, and so the campaign would end, and he would have gained no advantage from it. Under these circumstances he determined to open negotiations with the Romans, and to see if he conld not extract from their fears some inpertant concessions. They were still in a position of great peril, eince they could not expect to embark and crose the stream without suffering tremendous loss from the enseny before whom they would be flying. Aud it was uncertain what perils they might not encounter beyond the river in traversing the two hundred miles that still segmrated them from Roman territory. The Saracenic allies of Persian were in force on the further side of the stream: \({ }^{2}\) and a portion of Supor's army might be conveyed acrom in time to hang on the rear of the legions and add largely to their difficulties. At any rate, it was worth while to make overtures and see what anewer would be returnel. If the idea of negotating were entertained at all, monething would be s:amed: for each mditional day of suffering and pribaton dimmished the Roman strength, and brought barer the moment of abolute and complete exhanstan. Merenter, a bridge might be at once commenced at \(a\) me hetle diviance. \({ }^{5}\) and might bx puohed forward.
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so that, if the negotiations failed, there should be no great delay in following the Romans across the river.

Such were probably the considerations \({ }^{1}\) which led Sapor to send as envoys to the Roman camp at Dura the Surena and another great noble, who announced that they came to offer terms of peace. \({ }^{2}\) The great king, they said, having respect to the mutability of human affairs, was desirous of dealing mercifully with the Romans, and would allow the escape of the remnant which was left of their army, if the Cæsar and his advisers accepted the conditions that he required. \({ }^{8}\) These conditions would be explained to any envoys whom Jovian might empower to discuss them with the Persian plenipotentiaries. The Roman emperor and his council gladly caught at the offer ; and two officers of high rank, the general Arinthæus and the prefect Sallust, were at once appointed to confer with Sapor's envoys, and ascertain the terms on which peace would be granted. They proved to be such as Roman pride felt to be almost intolerable; and great efforts were made to induce Sapor to be content with less. The negotiations lasted for four days; \({ }^{4}\) but the Persian monarch was inexorable; each day diminished his adversary's strength and bettered his own position ;

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) I have given the considerations which, it seems to me, must have weighed with Sapor. Ammianus represents him as impelled to desire peace: 1 , by the losses that he had sustained; 2 , by fear of what the Roman army might do if driven to desperation; and 3, by a generaldread of the Roman power and a special fear of the army of Mesopotamia under Procopius. He admits, however, that the successful passage of the river by the 500
circumstance which principally
moved him: 'Super omnia hebetarunt ejus anxiam mentem . . guingenti viri trangegressi tumidum flumen incolumes,' is. (Amm. Marc. xxv. 7.)
\({ }^{2}\) Ibid. l.s.c. ; Zosim. iii. 31.
- 'Humanorium respectureliquias exercitus redire sinere clementissimum regem. que jubet si impleverit cum primatibus Cæsar.' ( Amm . Marc. l.s.c.)
\({ }^{4}\) Ibid. .s.s.c.
}
there was no renson why he should mike any concerssion at all; and he seems, in fict, to have yieded nothing of his origimal demands, except points of such exceedingly slight moment that to insist ou them would have been folly. \({ }^{1}\)

The following were the terms of pence to which Jovisn ennsenterl. First, the five provinces east of the Tigris, which had been celed to Rome by Nanes, the grandfather of Supor, after his defeat by Galerius,? were to be given back to Persia, with their fortifinations, their inhabitantes, and all that they contuined of value. The Romans in the territory were, however, to be allowed to withdraw and join their countrymen. Secondly, three places in Eastern Mesopotamia, Nisibis, Sirgana, nod a fort called 'the Carsp of the Moons,' were to be surrendered, but with the condition that not only the Rommes, but the inhabiumte generilly, might retire ere the Persims teok posession, and carry with thens such of their effects as were movable.3 The surrember of there places neresarily involved that of the c. im: 1 y lo. than the withdrawal of Rome from any chaim 1.. dominion over the region between the Tigris and (1.. Khathour.4 Thirilly, all comeetion between Armetha and home wav the broken off: Arace was
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concluded for thirty years; \({ }^{1}\) oaths to observe it faithfully were interchanged; and hostages were given and received on either side, to be retained until the stipulations of the treaty were executed.

The Roman historian who exclaims that it would have been better to have fought ten battles than to have conceded a single one of these shameful terms, \({ }^{2}\) commands the sympathy of every reader, who cannot fail to recognise in his utterance the natural feeling of a patriot. And it is possible that Julian, had he lived, would have rejected so inglorious a peace, and have preferred to run all risks rather than sign it. ' But in that case there is every reason to believe that the army would have been absolutely destroyed, and a few stragglers only have returned to tell the tale of disaster. \({ }^{8}\) The alternative which Ammianus suggests-that Jovian, instead of negotiating, should have pushed on to Cordyene, which be might have reached in four days-is absurd; \({ }^{4}\) for Cordyene was at least a hundred and fifty miles distant from Dura, and, at the rate of retreat which Jovian had found possible (four and a half miles a day), would have been reached in three days over a mouth! The judgment of Eutropius, who, like Ammianus, shared in the expedition, is probably correctthat the peace, though disgraceful, was necessary. \({ }^{5}\) Unless Jovian was prepared to risk not only his own

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Amm. Marc. xxv. 7, ad fin.; and Fall, vol. iii. p. 219).

\section*{Zosim. iii. 31.}
\({ }^{2}\) 'Cum pugnari decies expediret, ne horum quidquam dederetur.' (Amm. Marc. xxv. 7.)
\({ }_{3}\) This point is well argued by Tillemont (Hist. des Empereurs, tom. iv. p. 583). It is slurred over by Gibibon, who blames Jovian, but leaves it doubtful what he would have had him do (Decline , pepigit.'
}

Life, but the lives of all his soldiers, it was essential that he sbould come to terms ; and the beat terms that he could obtain were those which he has been blamed fior accepting.

It is creditable to both parties that the peace, once made, was faithfully observed, all its stipulations being honestly and speedily executed. The Romans were allowed to pass the river without molestation from Supor's army, \({ }^{1}\) and, though they suffered somewhat from the Suracens when landing on the other side, \({ }^{2}\) were unpursued in their retreat, \({ }^{3}\) and were perhaps even, at first, supplied to some extent with provisions. Afterwards, no doubt, they endured for some days great privations; but a convoy with stores wns allowed to advance from Roman Mesopotamia into Persian territory, \({ }^{\text {b }}\) which met the famished soldiers at a Peminn military prot, called Ur or Adur," and relieved their mant pressing necessities. On the Romins side, the celled prosinces and sowns were quietly surrendered; offers on the prort of the inhabitants to hold their own against the Per-ian without Roman mid were refused ; the R, nan trenpe were withdrawn from the fortreswes: andtin. Armenianw were told that they must henceforth
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}
rely upon themselves, and not look to Rome for help or protection. Thus Jovian, though strongly urged to follow ancient precedent, \({ }^{1}\) and refuse to fulfil the engagements contracted under the pressure of imminent peril, stood firm, and honourably performed all the conditions of the treaty.

The second period of struggle between Rume and Persia had thus a termination exactly the reverse of the first. Rome ended the first period by a great victory and a great diplomatic success. \({ }^{2}\) At the close of the second she had to relinquish all her gains, and to draw back even behind the line which she occupied when hostilities first broke out. Nisibis, the great stronghold of Eastern Mesopotamia, had been in her possession ever since the time of Verus. \({ }^{3}\) Repeatedly attacked by Parthia and Persia, it had never fallen, and had come to be regarded as the bulwark of the Roman power in the East, and as carrying with it the dominion of Western Asia. \({ }^{4}\) A fatal blow was dealt to Roman prestige when a city held for near two hundred years, and one honoured with the name of 'colony,' was wrested from the empire and occupied by the most powerful of its adversaries. Not only Amida and

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) The reproach addressed by the Parthian chief to Crassus, 'You Romans are not very apt to remember your engagements ' (Plut. Crass. § 31), was well deserved, and is echoed by the general voice of history. It is eaddening to tind a modern writer and an Englishman approving the ordinary Roman practice, and suggesting that Jovian ought to have 'redeemed his pusillanimous behaviour by, a splendid act of patriotic perfidy, (Gibbon, Decline and Fall, vol. iii. p. 223!.
}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{2}\) See above, p. 135.
\({ }^{3}\) Zosimus maintains (iii. 32) that Rome never gave up Nisibis from the time of its capture by Lucullus (b.c. 68). And it may be true that she never relinquished it by treaty. But Nisibis and Mesopotamia generally were Parthian until the great expedition of Avidius Cassius (A.d. \(10 \overline{5}\) ).
4'Constabat orbem Eoum in ditionem putuisse trunsire Persidis, nisi hæc civitas habili situ et mœnium magnitudine restitisset.' (Amm. Marc. Xxv. 8.)
}

Carrhas, but Antioch itself, trembled at a loss which whs felt to lay open the whole castern frontier is attack, \({ }^{1}\) and which seemed ominouss of further retrogression. Although the fear genemally felt proved to be groundless, and the Koman possessions in the East were not, for 200 years, further curtailed by the Perians, jet Roman influence in Western Asia from this time steadily declined, and Persin came to be regarded us the first power in these regions. Much credit is due to Sapor II. for his entire conduct of the war with Constantius, Julian, and Jovian. He kuew when to attack and when to remain upon the defensive, when to press on the enemy and when to hold himself in reserve and let the cuessy follow his owa devices. He rightly conceived from the first the importance of Nisibis, and resolutely pernisted in his determination to nequire por resion of it, until at last he succeeded. When, is a.c. 337, he challenged Rome to a trial of strength, he anght have seemed nish ind presumptuouss. But the event juatifiel! him. In a war which lasted twenty-seven yars, he fought numerous pitehed battles wath the Lamons, and was never once defeated. He prowed himelf greatly superior as a general to Constantius and Josian, and not uncopual to Juhan. By a combination of conrape, perveverance, and promptacow, he broupht the entire content th a fatourable isoue, and restored I'enia, in a.b. :Bi:3, to a higher perition than that from whin ohe had devended two penerations earlier. If he i.nd dome monher mere than has already come under cur antie he would ath have amply dearved that epithet of ' (ireat which, by the fermeal conemt of historana, hav- Inen angheal to him. He was undoubtedly
among the greatest of the Sassanian monarchs, and may properly be placed above all his predecessors, and above all but one \({ }^{1}\) of those who succeeded him.
\({ }^{1}\) Chosroës Anushirwan, who reigned from A.D. 581 to A.D. 379.

\section*{CHAPTER XI.}





 Sou Cuina
 pulanto priè irse fodien

Gifyemaint Ancomian maname.
Axy. Mance exviii. 12.
Tres successful issue of Sapor's war with Julinn and Jovian resulted in no small degree from the atkitude which was assumed by Armepia soon nfter Julian commenced his inyasion. We have seen that the emperor, when he net out upon his expedition, rerarded Aronenia :a an ally, and in forming his plans placed considera!be deproblence on the contingent which he experted from Araura the Armenian monarch. \({ }^{1}\) It was his intention to attack Clesiphon with two separate ammies, acting upon twio converging lines. While he himself adoanced with his main force by way of the Euphrates valley and the Nahr-Makcha, he had arranged that his tw: \(:\) eneralo. I'rocopius and semotian, should unite the: ir trenpm with thove of the Armenian king, and, after ravagine a ferthe district of Media, make their way towarl. the great cite, through Asoria and Adialene, \({ }^{2}\) a: arg the lef bank of the Tigris. It was a bitter dis.
appointment to him when, on nearing Ctesiphon, he could see no signs and hear no tidings of the northern army, from which he had looked for effectual aid at this crisis of the campaign. \({ }^{1}\) We have now to consider how this failure came about, what circumstances induced that hesitation and delay on the part of Sebastian and Procopius which had at any rate a large share in frustrating Julian's plans and causing the ill-success of his expedition.

It appears that the Roman generals, in pursuance of the orders given them, marched across Northern Mesopotamia to the Armenian borders, and were there joined by an Armenian contingent which Arsaces sent to their assistance. \({ }^{2}\) The allies marched together into Media, and carried fire and sword through the fruitful district known as Chiliacomus, or 'the district of the Thousand Villages. \({ }^{8}\) They might easily have advanced further ; but the Armenians suddenly and without warning drew off and fell back towards their own country. According to Moses of Chorêné, their general, Zuræus, was actuated by a religious motive; it seemed to him monstrous that Armenia, a Christian country, should embrace the cause of an apostate, and he was prepared to risk offending his own sovereign rather than lend help to one whom he regarded as the enemy of his faith. \({ }^{4}\) The Roman generals, thus deserted by their allies, differed as to the proper course to pursue. While one was still desirous of descending the course of the Tigris, and making at least an attempt to effect a junction with Julian, the other forbade his soldiers to join in the

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Amm. Marc. xxiv. 7, ad fin.
\({ }^{2}\) Mns. Chor. Hist. Armen. iii. 15 ; Amm. Marc. xxv. 7.
\({ }_{3}\) This was part of Julian's
original plan. (See Amm. Marc. xxiii. 3.) That it was executed appears from the same writer (xxv.7).
- Mos. Chor. iii. 15.
}
march, and insisted on falling back and re-entering Mesopotamia. \({ }^{1}\) As usual in such cases, the difference of opinion resulted in a policy of inaction. The attempt to join Julian was given up; and the second anny, from which he had hoped so much, played no further part in the campaign of A.D. 563 .

We are told \({ }^{2}\) that Julian heard of the defection of the Armenians while he was still on his way to Ctexiphon, and immediately sent a letter to Arsaces, complaining of his general's conduct, and threatening to exact a heavy retribution on his return from the Persian war, if the offence of Zurwus were not visited at once with cundign punishment. Arsaces was greatly alarmed at the messuge ; and, though be made no effort to supply the shortcomings of his officer by leading or sending fresh troope to Julian's assistance, yet he hastened to acquit himself of complicity in the misoonduct of Zuntus by executing him, together with hie whole family. Having thus, as he supposed, secured himself apainst Julian's anger, he wok no further steps, but indulped his love of ease and his distaste for the Roman alliance by remaning wholly pasoive during the rest of the year.

But thouph the attitude taken by Armenia was thus, on the whole, fatourable to the P'ersians, and undoubtally contributed to Sapor's surcers, he was himself an far from satisfied with the conduct of Arsaces that he remolved at once to invade his country and endeavour to strip him of his crown. Ay lume had by the recent treaty reharguighed her protectorate over Armenia, and inound herelf ane to interfere in any quarrel between

\footnotetext{
1 Ijban (raef. Fimodr. p 301, 1). piuc and irbeatian. The peapre so ibeure, but appreas : Nrac C'bor. lac to meter wibe trumpe under fruco - Ibid
}
the Armenians and the Persians, an opportunity was afforded for bringing Armenia into subjection which an ambitious monarch like Supor was not likely to let slip. He had only to consider whether he would employ art or violence, or whether he would rather prefer a judicious admixture of the two. Adopting the last-named course as the most prudent, he proceeded to intrigue with a portion of the Armenian satraps, while he made armed incursions on the territories of others, and so harassed the country that after a while the satraps generally went over to his side, and represented to Arsaces that no course was open to him but to make his submission. Having brought matters to this point, Sapor had only further to persuade Arsaces to surrender himself, in order to obtain the province which he coveted, almost without striking a blow. He therefore addressed Arsaces a letter, which, according to the only writer who professes to give its terms, \({ }^{1}\) was expressed as follows :-
'Sapor, the offspring of Ormazd, comrade of the sun, king of kings, sends greeting to his dear brother, Arsaces, king of Armenia, whom he holds in affectionate remembrance. It has come to our knowledge that thou hast approved thyself our faithful friend, since not only didst thou decline to invade Persia with Cæsar, but when he took a contingent from thee thou didst send messengers and withdraw it. \({ }^{2}\) Moreover, we have not forgotten how thou actedst at the first, when thou didst prevent him from passing through thy territories, as he wished. Our soldiers, indeed, who quitted their

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Mos. Chor. iii. 17. Moses makes the letter to be addressed to Tiranus; but he ceased to reign A.D. 341.

Arsaces ordered his general to withdraw the troops, but, that he might not be compromised, made him pretend to act on his own authority. true ame thin that this that
}
post, sought to cart on thee the blame due to their own cowardice. But we have not listened to them: theis leader we punished with death, and to thy realm, I swear by Mithm, we have done no burt. Arrmge matters then so that thou mayest come to us with all speed, and consult with us concerning our common advantage. Then thou canst return home.'

Arsaces, on receiving this miskive, whatever suspicions he many have felt, saw no cuurse uqen to him but to ascept the invitation. He accordingly quitted Armenia and made his way to the court of Siapor, where he was inmediately seized and blinded. \({ }^{1}\) He was then fettered with chains of silver, according to a commou practice of the Persians with prisoners of distinction, \({ }^{2}\) and was placed in strict confinement in a place called 'the Cantle of Oblivion. \({ }^{\text {s }}\)

But the removal of their head did not at once produce the subanision of the people. A national party dechared itself under Pharandzem, the wife, apd Bab 1., Paral. the woll of Anaces, whe threw themselves mo. the otrong fortreo of Artengeasat (Ardakere), and
 - \(\cdot\) manthed the sere of this phace to two renequale Armename (ylace and Artahannes, while at the sume time he premeded to extend his influence leyond the limit-
 whicil was cimely commerted with Armenia, and for the na, ot pare fillowed it. firtunces.

\footnotetext{





is ist. Irmep H. P' i. S, p. 'h1.
- V.is:um catriat ararntese, Mine lbipl.e.c. Amm. Nars.


}

Iberia was at this time under the government of a king bearing the name of Sauromaces, who had received his investiture from Rome, and was consequently likely to uphold Roman interests. Sapor invaded Iberia, drove Sauromaces from his kingdom, and set up a new monarch in the person of a certain Aspacures, on whose brow he placed the coveted diadem. \({ }^{1}\) He then withdrew to his own country, leaving the complete subjection of Armenia to be accomplished by his officers, Cylaces and Artabannes, or, as the Armenian historians call them, Zig and Garen. \({ }^{2}\)

Cylaces and Artabannes commenced the siege of Artogerassa, and for a time pressed it with vigour, while they strongly urged the garrison to make their submission. But, having entered within the walls to negotiate, they were won over by the opposite side, and joined in planning a treacherous attack on the besieging force, which was surprised at night and compelled to retire. Para took advantage of their retreat to quit the town and throw himself on the protection of Valens, the Roman emperor, who permitted him to reside in regal state at Neocæsarea. Shortly afterwards, however, by the advice of Cylaces and Artabannes, he returned into Armenia, and was accepted by the patriotic party as their king, Rome secretly countenancing his proceedings. \({ }^{8}\) Under these circumstances the Persian monarch once more took the field, and, entering Armenia at the head of a large army, drove Para, with his counsellors Cylaces and Artabannes, to the mountains, renewed the siege of Artogerassa, and forced it to submit, captured the queen Pharandzem, together with the treasure of

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Amm. Marc. xxvii. 12.
\({ }^{2}\) Faustus, iv. 55.
reducitur in Armeniam.' (Amm.
- 'Per Terentium ducem Paral
}

Asaces, \({ }^{1}\) and finally induced Para to come to terns, and to send him the heads of the swo arch-traitors. The resistance of Armenia would probably now have censed, had Rome been content to see her old enemy so aggmendised, or felt ber hands absolutely tied by the terms of the treaty of Dura.

Hut the success of Sapor thus fir only brought him into greater difficulties. The Armenians and Iberians, who desired above all things liberty and independence, were always especially hostile to the power from which they felt that they had for the time being most to fear. As Christimn nations, they had also at this period an ailditional ground of sympathy with Rome, and of aversion from the Persinns, who were at once beathens and intolerant. \({ }^{3}\) The patriotic party is both countries was thus violently oppoced to the extublishment of Sapor's authority over them, and cared little for the srififices by which be sought to make it apperr that they still enjoyed freedom and nutonomy. Above all, Lhene. Ixing ruled by monarchs \({ }^{3}\) who had had no hand in making the diwraceful peace of a.d. 363, and who liad tow otrong feclung of homour or religions obligation in the matter of treaties reith ture therimes, was preparing here-If th fly in the face of her congements, and, rea:rdine hor own interet as her highest law, to inter-
 in Kirth-Wi-tern Avia.
Rumes fire nen interference was in Iberia. Iberia hat in thap mot hen exprealy maned in the treaty.

\footnotetext{
- Irmm. Marr. Ixtii. 1: : Fase Valentinian had been elected hie i.e. if \(\therefore\) i. V. ('bur. in. in
- Geratire.p. \(18^{\circ}\) sucromenf, and had anmeialed his brither Valrine in the empirn.
- Jajniciman asil Valena. J, rian T., Valeno had born maygned tho ta! !!a! in A.t. :vis. afler a roign gurerntuent uf the reniern pruriacea \(\leqslant\) Latis mure sban oifbe muathe.
}
and support might consequently be given to the expelled Sauromaces without any clear infraction of its conditions. The duke Terentius was ordered, therefore, towards the close of A.D. 370 , to enter Iberia with twelve legions and replace upon his throne the old Roman feudatory. \({ }^{1}\) Accordingly he invaded the country from Lazica, which bordered it upon the north, and found no difficulty in conquering it as far as the river Cyrus. On the Cyrus, however, he was met by Aspacures, the king of Sapor's choice, who made proposals for an accommodation. Representing himself as really wellinclined to Rome, and only prevented from declaring himself by the fact that Sapor held his son as a hostage, he asked Terentius' consent to a division of Iberia between himself and his rival, the tract north of the Cyrus being assigned to the Roman claimant, and that south of the river remaining under his own government. Terentius, to escape further trouble, consented to the arrangement; and the double kingdom was established. The northern and western portions of Iberia were made over to Sauromaces; the southern and eastern continued to be ruled by Aspacures.

When the Persian king received intelligence of these transactions, he was greatly excited. \({ }^{2}\) To him it appeared clear that by the spirit, if not by the letter, of the treaty of Dura, Rome had relinquished Iberia equally with Armenia; \({ }^{3}\) and he complained bitterly of the division which had been made of the Iberian territory,

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Amm. Marc. xxrii. 12: 'Sauromaces, pulsus . . . Hiberiæ regno, cum duodecim legionibus et Terentio remittitur.'
\({ }^{2}\) 'His percitus Sapor, pati se indigna clamans,' \&c. (Ibid. l.s.c.)
\({ }^{3}\) Sapor seems to have considered that, in a certain sense, Iberia was
included in Armenia. When Rome replaced Sauromaces upon the Iberian throne, he complained that ' the Armenias were assisted against the text of the treaty.' (Ibid.
l.s.c.) Rome, no doubt, contested this interpretation.
}
not only witbout his consent, but withous his knowledige. He was no doubt aware that Rome hud not really confined ber interference to the region with which she had some excuse for intermeddling, but had already secretly intervened in Armenia, and was intending further intervention. The count Arintheus bad been sent with an army to the Armenian frontier about the sume time that Terentitus had invaded Iberia, and huil received positive instructions to belp the Armenims if Sapor molested them. It was in vuin that the Persina monarch appealed to the terms of the trenty of Dura-Home dismissed his ambaseators with contetaph and unde no change in ber line of procedure. Upou this Sapor saw that war was unavoidable; ned accoriingly he wasted no more time in embassies, but enaploged himelf during the winter, which haid now begum, ins collecting as large a forcee ts he could, in part from his allies, in part from his own subjects, resulving to quke the fiedd in the spring, and to do his best to punish Liome for her faithlesones. \({ }^{1}\)

Li,me wh her part made ready to resist the invasion wheris be knew to be impending. A powerfularmy wa- -at th puard the Eant under count Trajan, and V.n!mar, (x-kime of the Alemanni: but so much rewa! : ior the terme of the recent treaty was still felt,
 -arefia bet wommence hovilitios, but to wat till an ata., \(k\) wa- made on them. They were not kept long in

 -atary andarehers. - upported by numerous auxiliaries \({ }^{3}\) ar.! atakent the limanm near a place called Vaga-
banta. The Roman commander gave his troops the order to retire ; and accordingly they fell back under a shower of Persian arrows, until, several having been wounded, they felt that they could with a good face declare that the rupture of the peace was the act of the Persians. The retreat was then exchanged for an advance, and after a brief engagement the Romans were victorious, and inflicted a severe loss upon their adversaries. \({ }^{1}\) But the success was not followed by results of any importance. Neither side seems to have been anxious for another general encounter; and the season for hostilities was occupied by a sort of guerilla warfare, in which the advantage rested alternately with the Persians and the Romans. \({ }^{2}\) At length, when the summer was ended, the commanders on either side entered into negotiations; and a truce was made which allowed Sapor to retire to Ctesiphon, and the Roman emperor, who was now personally directing the war, to go into winter quarters at Antioch. \({ }^{8}\)

After this the war languished for two or three years. \({ }^{4}\) Valens was wholly deficient in military genius, and was quite content if he could maintain a certain amount of Roman influence in Armenia and Iberia, while at the same time he protected the Roman frontier against Persian invasion. Sapor was advanced in years, and might naturally desire repose, having been almost constantly engaged in military expeditions since he reached the age of sixteen. Negotiations seem to have alternated

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) See Amm. Marc. xxx. 2: 'Sapor vero, post suorum pristinam cladem.'
\({ }_{2}\) 'Tentatis aliquoties levibus presliis, varioque finitis eventu.' (Ibid. xxix. 1.)
}
with hostlities \({ }^{1}\) during the interval between A.D. 371 and 376 ; but they resulted in nothing, until, in this lastnamed year, a peace was made, \({ }^{2}\) which gave tranquillity to the East during the remainder of the reign of Sapor.

The terms upon which this peace was concluded are obscure. It is perhape most probable that the two contracting powers agreed to abstain from further interforence with Iberia and Annenia, and to leave those countries to fullow their own inclimations. Amaenia suems by the native accounts to have gravitated towards Rome under these circumstances, \({ }^{2}\) and Iberia is likely to have followed her exumple. The tie of Christianity attuched these countries to the great power of the West ; and, except under compulsion, they were not likely at this time to tolerate the yoke of Persia for a day. When Jovias withdrew the Roman protections from them, they were foreed for a while to sulnnit to the power which they disliked; but no mooner did his -bwourn reworn his policy, and show themselves renty :" uphold the Armenians and Ilxerians against Prom, than they naturally reverted to the leman side. an! firmed an important support to the empire against \(\because\) Earem rival.

The death of Simer followed the peace of A.D. 37 f whin a fow batre. He died and. 379 or 350 , after ha: ing reined meventy years. It is curious that,
 - as:ag a more brilhant reign than any preceding

\footnotetext{

1 L mi:a iv. \(\because l\), ond imal. Comsia. Aism Vart iniai.

 1 wish, p. a'34, and Thirmae I Dimom. (thrim. fur 1mï, p. \&is) profor the date A.b. :
- Cisere plecre hio deach in \(A D\)
}
monarch, he neither left behind him any inscriptions, nor any sculptured memorials. The only material evidences that we possess of his reign are his coins, which are exceedingly numerous. According to Mordtmann, \({ }^{1}\) they may be divided into three classes, corresponding to three periods in his life. The earliest have on the reverse the fire-altar, with two priests, or guards, looking towards the altar, and with the flame rising from the altar in the usual way. The head on the obverse is archaic in type, and very much resembles that of Sapor I. The crown has attached to it, in many cases, that 'cheek-piece' which is otherwise confined to the first three monarchs of the line. These coins are the best from an artistic point of view ; they greatly resemble those of the first Sapor, but are distinguishable from them, first, by the guards looking towards the altar instead of away from it; and, secondly, by a greater profusion of pearls about the king's person. The coins of the second period lack the 'cheek-piece,' and have on the reverse the fire-altar without supporters; they are inferior as works of art to those of the first period, but much superior to those of the third. These last, which exhibit a marked degeneracy, \({ }^{2}\) are especially distinguished by having a human head in the middle of the flames that rise from the altar. Otherwise they much resemble in their emblems the early coins, only differing from them in being artistically inferior. The ordinary legends upon the coins are in no respect remarkable; \({ }^{3}\) but occasionally we find the monarch taking

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Zeitschrift d. deutsches morgen- \({ }^{3}\) They are commonly either länd. Gesellschaft, vol. viii. pp. 46-7. 'Mazdisn bag Shapuhri malkan.
\({ }^{2}\) M. Longpérier agrees with malka,' or 'Mazdisn bag Shapuhri Mordtmann on this point. (See malkian malka Airan ve Aniran.' his Médailles des Sassanides, p. 42.)
}
the new and expressive epithet of Toham, the Strong. \({ }^{31}\)

"Meritemens is the ZeltechriAs | tathing 'tetsones' which it found
 somentas equivalent of the Zend

\section*{CHAPTER XII.}

Short Reigns of Artaxerxes II. and Sapor III. Obscurity of their History. Their Relations with Armenia. Monument of Sapor III. at Takht-i-Bostan. Coins of Artaxerxes II. and Sapor III. Reign of Varahran IV. His Signets. His Dealings with Armenia. His Death.
 Synceriuts, Chronographia, p. 860, C.

The glorious reign of Sapor II., which carried the New Persian Empire to the highest point whereto it had yet attained, is followed by a time which offers to that remarkable reign a most complete contrast. Sapor had occupied the Persian throne for a space approaching nearly to three-quarters of a century; the reigns of his next three successors amounted to no more than twenty years in the aggregate. \({ }^{1}\) Sapor had been engaged in perpetual wars, had spread the terror of the Persian arms on all sides, and ruled more gloriously than any of his predecessors. The kings who followed him were pacific and unenterprising; they were almost unknown to their neighbours, \({ }^{2}\) and are among the least distinguished of the Sassanian monarchs. More especially does this character attach to the two

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) See the passage of Syncellus at the head of the chapter. Aga- Persian king by name after Sapor If thias agrees (iv. 26), as do Tabari The Roman writers do not seem (Chronique, vol. ii. pp. 102-3), even to know the name of the Maçoudi (Prairies d'Or, vol. ii. pp. prince who sent the embasey of 189-190) and the Modjniel-al- A.D. 384. (See Oros. vii. 34 ; Tewarikh. (See the Journal Asiatique for 1841, p. 513.) Pacat. Paneg. xxii. § 4 ; Socrat.
H. E. v. 12 ; \&c.)
}
immediate successors of Sapor II., viz. Artaxerxes II. and Sapor III. They reigned respectively four and five years; \({ }^{1}\) and their annals during this period are almost a blank. Artaxerxes II, who is called by some the brother of Sapor II., was more probably his son. \({ }^{2}\) He succeeded his father in A.D. 379 , and died at Otesiphon \({ }^{2}\) in A.D. 383. He left a chanacter for kindnes and amiability behind him, and is known to the Persians tas Nikoular,' or 'the Beneficent,' and to the Arabs as Al Djemil, 'the Virtuous,' According to the "Modj-mel-al-Tewarikh,' he took no taxes from his subjects during the four years of his reign, and thereby secured to himself their affection and gratitude. He seems to have received overtures from the Armenians soon after his accession, \({ }^{\text {" }}\) and for a time to have been acknowledged by the turbulent mountaineers as their sovereiga. After the murder of Bab, or Farn, the Romans had set up, as king over Armenia, a certais Varaztud (Pharasdates), a
' All the autborities mign four be wna succeeded by a brother. Add rrant to Artaserxen Il., except the to this that the cotine of Artaxerxe Madjoidud-Terankh. which givee - fi,up or tire, or iwelve ( Journ. fant. (., 1M1, p. 81:3). Some of the Armenian writers pive Sapor 111 do moro than tro yearn (latkasiar to the Journ. Aanat. for INetb, p. \(1: 3,1\)
- Araserice io mado to br Sapor's br iber br Arathiec (.0. : ©n), AlirLt od I //w. do imamidoo. p. \(31 \mathrm{~N}_{1}\),


 The Armenian wrioro alode mane Lim top tienth ise Nan C'bir. ias :1, ard compare l'ationian in Jome As. for laxi. p. lisi., The thotury if the unde in which topi ill beratam king roupra, \(p\). 1t:1, and the artat length of ble rist. alde it rery improbable that
II. bear the bead of a youngiab man.
- Modjinatal-T Texinikh, I.a.c.
- Ibid.
\({ }^{1}\) Mirkbond, Miar. deo Samanidere, p. 317, bote. Xalcolm ben, by miorake, tranoferred threo qualitiee to bio quecreeor ( IIid. of Itraid, rol. i. p \(11: 1\).
- The Armedian gyachroniamo are exceedingly doubuul; but, on the whole, it meene \(\omega\) we that the expulaion of Varastad by Nanuel tuuat bave happersed abrut fire yeara alter the deach of l'arm If that cirdt ircurred, at Ammianus 1sax. 11 placee it, in A.D. Sis. the revolutivo effected by Manuel ifanatme, 8.37 ) mued belorag to the year A.D. sis, which io the year of Artaserace acraciun, probably.
member of the Arsacid family, but no near relation of the recent monarchs, assigning at the same time the real direction of affairs to an Armenian noble named Moushegh, who belonged to the illustrious family of the Mamigonians. \({ }^{1}\) Moushegh ruled Armenia with vigour, but was suspected of maintaining over-friendly relations with the Roman emperor, Valens, and of designing to undermine and supplant his master. Varaztad, after a while, having been worked on by his counsellors, grew suspicious of him, and caused him to be executed at a banquet. \({ }^{2}\) This treachery roused the indignation of Moushegh's brother Manuel, who raised a rebellion against Varaztad, defeated him in open fight, and drove him from his kingdom. \({ }^{8}\) Manuel then brought forward the princess Zermanducht, widow of the late king Para, together with her two young sons, Arsaces and Valarsaces, and, surrounding all three with royal pomp, gave to the two princes the name of king, while he took care to retain in his own hands the real government of the country. Under these circumstances he naturally dreaded the hostility of the Roman emperor, who was not likely to see with patience a monarch, whom he had set upon the throne, deprived of his kingdom by a subject. To maintain the position which he had assumed, it was necessary that he should contract some important alliance; and the alliance always open to Armenia when she had quarrelled with Rome was with the Persians. It seems to have been soon after Artaxerxes II. succeeded his father, that Manuel sent an embassy to him, with letters and rich gifts, offering, in return for his protection, to acknowledge him as lord-paramount of Armenia, and promising him un-

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Faustus, v. 34. \(\quad{ }^{2}\) Ibid. c. \(35 . \quad{ }^{3}\) Ibid. c. 37.
}
shakable fidelity. \({ }^{\text {t }}\) The offer was, of course, received with extreme satisfaction: and terms were speelily arranged. Armenia was to pay a fixed tribute, to receive a gurrison of ten thousand Persians and to provide adequately for their support, to allow a Persian satrap to divide with Manuel the actual government of the country, and to furnish hin with all that was necesary for his court and table. On the other hand, Araces and Vilansaces, together (apparently) with their mother, Zermanducht, were to be ullowed the rogal title and honours; Armenia was to be protected in case of invasion; and Manuel was to be maintrined in his office of Spanapet or generalisimo of the Armenian forces.' We cannot say with certainty how long this arrangenent remained undisturbed; most probably, bowever, it did not contimee in force more than a few years. It was most likely while Artaxerses still ruled Pensin, that the rupture described by Faustus occurred. \({ }^{4}\) A certain Meroujan, an Annevian noble, jealous of the power and prosperity of Manuel, per--uaded him that the Persian commandant in Armenia wa almut to wize his person, and either to send him a priwner to Artaxerxes, or else to put him to death. Manucl. whe was so credulous as to believe the information. thu ught it necessary for his own safety to antacipate the devigens of his enemies, and, falling upon the ten thousand l'erians with the whole of the Armenian

\footnotetext{
('borind. iii. 40), the moolt of
Manuel, the joint reign of Armace
and Vialarmarre ( inge year, Mua Cbor. iii. 11 , and the oule reinn of A rascm frim bie bnitheri deailh to tho prartitivo of Armeaia itive jeara, II.a (bor. iii. 48).
- l.e beiween a.d. 390 and 1 n B4.
}
army, succeeded in putting them all to the sword, except their commander, whom he allowed to escape. \({ }^{1}\) War followed between Persia and Armenia with varied success, but on the whole Manuel had the advantage; he repulsed several Persian invasions, and maintained the independence and integrity of Armenia till his death, without calling in the aid of Rome. \({ }^{2}\) When, however, Manuel died, about A.D. 383, Armenian affairs fell into confusion; the Romans were summoned to give help to one party, the Persians to render assistance to the other; \({ }^{8}\) Armenia became once more the battle-ground between the two great powers, and it seemed as if the old contest, fraught with so many calamities, was to be at once renewed. But the circumstances of the time were such that neither Rome nor Persia now desired to reopen the contest. Persia was in the hands of weak and unwarlike sovereigns, and was perhaps already threatened by Scythic hordes upon the east. \({ }^{4}\) Rome was in the agonies of a struggle with the ever-increasing power of the Goths; and though, in the course of the years a.d. 379-382, the Great Theodosius had established peace in the tract under his rule, and delivered the central provinces of Macedonia and Thrace from the intolerable ravages of the barbaric invaders, \({ }^{5}\) yet the deliverance had been effected at the cost of introducing large bodies of Goths into the heart of the empire, \({ }^{6}\) while still along the northern frontier lay a threatening cloud, from which devastation and ruin might at any

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Frustus, v. 38.
\({ }^{2}\) Ibid. v. 39-43.
3 Ibid. vi. 1. Compare Mos. Chor. iii. 42.
\({ }^{4}\) Frastus, v. 37. The 'Knushans ' of this passage are probably Scythe or Tatars of the Oxianian
or Transoxianian country. (See
M. Vivien St. Martin's essay, entitled Les Huns Bluncs ou Ephthalites, pp. 48-52.)
\({ }^{5}\) Gibbon, Decline and Fall, vol. iii. pp. 346-350.
- Ibid. pp. 352-5.
}
time burst forth and overspread the provinces upon the Lower Danube. Thus both the Roman emperor and the Persian king were well disposed towards peace. An arrangement was consequently made, and in A.D. 384, tive years after he had ascended the throne, Theodarius gave audience in Constintinople \({ }^{1}\) to envays froun the court of Persepolis, and concluded with them a treaty whereby matters in Armenia were placed on a footing which fairly satisfied both sides, and the trunquillity of the East was assured. \({ }^{2}\) The ligh contracting powen agreed that Armenia should be partitioned between them. After detaching from the kingdoms various outlying districts, which could be conveniently absorbed into their own territories, they divided the reat of the country into two unequal portions. The stmaller of these, which comprised the more western districts, was placed under the protection of Rome, and was committed by Theodosius to the Arsaces who had been made king by Manuel, the son of the unfortunate Bab, or Para, and the gramdon of the Araces contemporary with Julian. The larger portion, which consiven of the rewions lying towards the east, passed under the -uatromety of I'ersia, and wats confided by Sapor III.. wh.. hal ancerded Artaxerxes II., to an Arsacid, mamed (homenes, a Christian, who was given the title of kin: and reveiverl in marriage at the same time one of Siperi, sinters. Such were the terms on which Rome athl Irabremptheir contention respecting Armenia

\footnotetext{
' ios the Itromerice of Idatiug latere writer is momewhat the fuller AOA Verrilinue. and compare and more exart of the two. Prom
 /If, 1: . (rem. vil is. and IAs. loonty sa:: 3 : \(:\) copiua Itr.tid. Juterimen. iil. 1) bee malter: but. at be wnir. a contury - The iernie of the irmetr arr and a balf after fauotile. we canstior Eith uticaenal arrird by M.ers 1.d. 1\%, acd fantun (ri. 1). The quite a diforent arrount of the Dilt omept bie namtire arninct that of the andies writer.
}
to a conclusion. Friendly relations were in this way established between the two crowns, which continued undisturbed for the long space of thirty-six years (a.d. 384-420). \({ }^{1}\)

Sapor III. appears to have succeeded his brother Artaxerxes in A.D. 383, the year before the conclusion of the treaty. It is uncertain whether Artaxerxes vacated the throne by death, or was deposed in consequence of cruelties whereof he was guilty towards the priests and nobles. Tabari and Maçoudi, who relate his deposition, \({ }^{2}\) are authors on whom much reliance cannot be placed; and the cruelties reported accord but ill with the epithets of 'the Peneficent' and 'the Virtuous,' assigned to this monarch by others. \({ }^{3}\) Perhaps it is most probable that he held the throne till his death, according to the statements of Agathias and Eutychius. \({ }^{4}\) Of Sapor III., his brother and successor, two facts only are recorded-his conclusion of the treaty with the Romans in B.c. 384 , and his war with the Arabs of the tribe of Yad, \({ }^{5}\) which must have followed shortly afterwards. It must have been in consequence of his contest with the latter, whom he attacked in their own country, that he received from his countrymen the appellation of 'the Warlike,' \({ }^{6}\) an appellation better deserved by either of the other monarchs who had borne the same name.

Sapor III. left behind him a sculptured memorial,

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Orosius, writing in A.D. 417, says: 'Ictum tunc foodus est, quo universus Oriens usque ad nunc tranquillissime fruitur.' (1.s.c.) The peace lasted only three years longer. (See Clinton, F. R. vol. i. p. 596 .)
\({ }^{2}\) Tabari, Chronique, ii. p. 102; Maçoudi, Prairies d'Or, ii. p. 189.
\({ }^{2}\) See above, p. 255.
\({ }^{4}\) Agath. iv. 26, ad init. ; Eutych. vol. i. p. 399: 'Regnavit pust ipsum in Persas filius ipsius Ardshir Saporis filius annos quatuor; dein mortuus est.'
\({ }^{5}\) Mnçoudi, vol. ii. p. 189.
\({ }^{6}\) Mirkhond, Histoire des Sas. sanides, p. 319.
}

\(\cdots\)

which is still to be seen in the vicinity of Kernanshah. It consists of two very similar figures, looking towards each other, and standing in an anched frume. On either side of the figares are inseriptions in the Old Pehlevi character, whereby we are enabled to identify the individuals represented with the second and the third Sapor. \({ }^{1}\) The inscriptions run thus:- Pathkeli zaní miasdim ahahia Stahpuhri, mallan malka Ailan ev Anilan, minuchilli min yasdan, bari masdim shahia Auhrmasdi, mallan malk Ailan we Anilan, minuchith min yasdun, napi shahia Narshehi mallan malkn: \({ }^{\text {t }}\) and - Pathelli masdim thastia Shatipuhri, mallimm milk a Ailan re Anilan, minuchitli min yasdan, buri masdimn ahahin Shatpudri, mathon malka Ailan re Anilam, minuechith min yasdan, napi shałia Auhrmasdi, mal. tan mallin,' They are, it will be seen, identical in form, with the exception that the aumes in the righthand inseription are 'Sapor, Hormiedas, Narses,' while thowe is the left-hand one are 'Sapor, Sapor, Horminla.: It hav leren suppereds that the right-hand tigure war areted by sapor II., and the other afterwart alded by sigmer III. ; but the unity of the whole
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sculpture, and its inclusion under a single arch, seem to indicate that it was set up by a single sovereign, and was the fruit of a single conception. If this be so, we must necessarily ascribe it to the later of the two monarchs commemorated, i.e. to Sapor III., who must be supposed to have possessed more than usual filial piety, since the commemoration of their predecessors upon the throne is very rare among the Sassanians.

The taste of the monument is questionable. An elaborate finish of all the details of the costume compensates but ill for a clumsiness of contour and a want of contrast and variety, which indicate a low condition of art, and compare unfavourably with the earlier performances of the Neo-Persian sculptors. It may be doubted whether, among all the reliefs of the Sassanians, there is one which is so entirely devoid of artistic merit as this coarse and dull production.

The coins of Sapor III. and his predecessor, Artaxerxes II., have little about them that is remarkable. Those of Artaxerxes bear a head which is surmounted with the usual inflated ball, and has the diadem, but is without a crown-a deficiency in which some see an indication that the prince thus represented was regent rather than monarch of Persia. \({ }^{1}\) The legends upon the coins are, however, in the usual style of royal epigraphs, running commonly \({ }^{2}\)-' Mazdisn bag Artahshetri malkan malka Airan ve Aniran,' or 'the Ormazd-worshipping divine Artaxerxes, king of the kings of Iran and Turan.' They are easily distinguishable from those of Arta-

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Mordtmann in the Zeitcohrift, vol. viii. p. 51. \({ }^{2}\) Ibid. pp. 51-2.
}
xerxes L, both by the profile, which is far lees marked, and by the fire-altar on the reverse, which has always two supportens, looking towards the altar. The coins of Bapor III. present some unusual types. On some of them the king has his hair bound with a simple diadem, without crown or cap of any kind. \({ }^{2}\) On others he wears a cap of a very peculiar character, which has been compared to a binette, \({ }^{2}\) but is really altogether sti generis. The cap is surmounted by the ordinary inflated ball, is ornamented with jewels, and is bound round at bottom with the usual diadem, The legend upon the obverse of Sappor's coins is of the customary chancter; but the reverse bears usually, besides the name of the king, the word atur, which has been sup-
 posed to stand for Aturia or Assyria ;' this explanation, however, is very doubtful. \({ }^{3}\)

The coins of both kings exhibit marks of decline, mprially on the reverse, where the drawing of the tipure that support the altar is very inferior to that which we observe on the coms of the kings from Sumer I. to 太upor II. The charactery on both obverse
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and reverse are also carelessly rendered, and can only with much difficulty be deciphered.

Sapor III. died a.D. 388, after reigning a little more than five years. \({ }^{1}\) He was a man of simple tastes, \({ }^{2}\) and is said to have been fond of exchanging the magnificence and dreary etiquette of the court for the freedom and ease of a life under tents. On an occasion when he was thus enjoying himself, it happened that one of those violent hurricanes, to which Persia is subject, arose, and, falling in full force on the royal encampment, blew down the tent wherein he was sitting. It happened unfortunately that the main tent-pole struck him, as it fell, in a vital part, and Sapor died from the blow. \({ }^{8}\) Such at least was the account given by those who had accompanied him, and generally believed by his subjects. There were not, however, wanting persons to whisper that the story was untrue-that the real cause of the catastrophe which had overtaken the unhappy monarch was a conspiracy of his nobles, or his guards, who had overthrown his tent purposely, and murdered him ere he could escape from them.

The successor of Sapor III. was Varahran IV., whom some authorities call his brother and others his son. \({ }^{4}\) This prince is known to the oriental writers as 'Varahran Kerman-shah,' or 'Varahran, king of Carmania.' Agathias tells us \({ }^{5}\) that during the lifetime of his father he was established as governor over Kerman

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Five years, according to Agathias (iv. 26) and Mirkhond (p. 319); four years and five months, according to Eutychius (vol. i. p. 472), Tabari (vol. ii. p. 102), and Maçoudi (vol. ii. p. 188).
\({ }^{2}\) Mirkhond (p. 320): 'Schapour, était un roid'une simplicité extrême.'
\({ }^{3}\) So Maçoudi (l.s.c.). Tabari assigns his death to a revolt of his troope; Mirkhond to accident, or to a conspiracy among his chief
}
officers (p. 319).
- Varahran is made the son of Sapor III. by Agathies (1.s.c.), the son of Sapor II. and brother of Sapor III. by Tabari and Mirkhond. Eutychius and Maçoudi leave the point doubtful. Patkanian (Journal Asiatique for 1866, p. 158), following Armenian authorities, mentions both views, but inclines to believe him Sapor III,'s brother.
\({ }^{5}\) Agathias, iv. 26; p. 136, C.
or Carmauin, and thus obtained the appellation which pertinaciously adhered to him. A curious relic of antiquity, fortunately preserved to modern times amid so much that has been lost, confirns this statement, It is the seal of Varahran before he ascended the Persian strone, and contains, besides his portrait,

beautifuly cut, an inscription, which is read as folLow, : '—— Vitrahran Kirman mallin, hari mazdisn bag ©hahpuliri mulkan millath Airan re . Iniran, minuchitri min yazdun,' or - Varahram, king of Kerman, won of the Wrmatal-worshipping divine Saper. king of the king of Iran and Tuman, heaven-dearended of the race of the pents. Another mal, Indonain: to him probably after lie had laronme monarrh of P'er. -ha. contains his full-length per-
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trait, \({ }^{1}\) and exhibits him as trampling under foot a prostrate figure, supposed to represent a Roman, \({ }^{2}\) by which it would appear that he claimed to have gained victories or advantages over Rome. It is not altogether easy to understand how this could have been. Not only do the Roman writers mention no war between the Romans and Persians at this time, but they expressly declare that the East remained in profound repose during the entire reign of Varahran, and that Rome and Persia continued to be friends. \({ }^{8}\) The difficulty may, however, be perhaps explained by a consideration of the condition of affairs in Armenia at this time; for in Armenia Rome and Persia had still conflicting interests, and, without having recourse to arms, triumphs might be obtained in this quarter by the one over the other.

On the division of Armenia between Arsaces and Chosroës, a really good understanding had been established, which had lasted for about six years. Arsaces had died two years after he became a Roman feudatory ; \({ }^{4}\) and, at his death, Rome had absorbed his territories into her empire, and placed the new province under the government of a count. \({ }^{5}\) No objection to the arrangement had been made by Persia, and the

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) This seal is without inscription,
ut is identified by the headdress, but is identified by the headdress, which is the sam
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\({ }_{3}^{3}\) Oros. vii. 34. Compare Mos. Chorên. Hist. Arm. iii. 51: 'Pax fuit inter Veramum (qui Cermanus appellatus est) et Arcadium.'

Mos. Chor. iii. 46.
\({ }^{4}\) Ibid. ; and compare Procop. \(D_{e}\) Fld. Justinian. iii. 1; p. 53, B: Tò
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}

Whole of Armenia had remnined for four years tranquil and without disturbance. But, about A.D. 390, Chosroes became dissatisfied with his position, and entered into relations with Rome which greatly displeased the Armenian monarch. \({ }^{1}\) Chosrots obtained from Theodosius his own appointment to the Armenian countship, and thus sueceeded in uniting both Roman and Persian Armenia under his goverument. Elated with this success, he proceeded further to venture on administrative acts which trenched, aciording to Persian views, on the rights of the lord paramount. \({ }^{2}\) Finally, when Varahran addressed to him a remonstrance, he replied in insulting terms, and, renouncing his authority, placed the whole Armenian kingdom under the suzerainty and protection of Romes. War between the two great powers must now have seemed imminent, und could tudeed only have been avoided by great moderation and self-restraint on the one side or the other. Under these circumstances it was Rome that druw back. Theorblosius derlined to receive the submission which cherries tenkerest, and refused to lift a finger in his defence. The unfortumate prince was forced to give hima.lf up to Varahran, who consigned him to the lavile of oblivion, and placesi his brother, VarahranSajrr. upon the Armenian throne.4 These events seem t, have fallen into the year a.d. 391, the third year of Varahram.' who may well have felt proud of them, and
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have thought that they formed a triumph over Rome which deserved to be commemorated.

The character of Varahran IV. is represented variously by the native authorities. According to some of them, his temper was mild, and his conduct irreproachable. \({ }^{1}\) Others say that he was a hard man, and so neglected the duties of his station that he would not even read the petitions or complaints which were addressed to him. \({ }^{2}\) It would seem that there must have been some ground for these latter representations, since it is generally agreed \({ }^{8}\) that the cause of his death was a revolt of his troops, who surrounded him and shot at him with arrows. One shaft, better directed than the rest, struck him in a vital part, and he fell and instantly expired. Thus perished, in a.d. 399, the third son of the Great Sapor, after a reign of eleven years.
deposition would fall into the year A.D. 389, the year after the accession of Varahran. But it is more probable that they date from the commencement of his sole reign, which was two years later, A.D. 386.
\({ }^{1}\) Mirkhond, Hist. des Sassanides,
p. 320.
\({ }^{2}\) Modjmel-al-Tevarikh, as translated by M. Mohl in the Journal Asiatique for 1841, p. 513.
\({ }^{3}\) Tabari, vol. ii. p. 103 ; Mirkhond, l.s.c. ; Malcolm, Hist. of Persia, vol. i. p. 113.
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Farcuras IV. was succeeded (a.d. 390) by his som, Izdikerti,' or Isdigend I.. \({ }^{2}\) whom the saldiess, though they had murdered his father,' permitted to ascend the throne without diffeculty. He is said, at his nccession, to have borne a good chanucter for prudence and moderation, \({ }^{4}\) a character which he sought to confirm by the utterance on various occasions of high-sounding maral mentiments. \({ }^{5}\) The general tenor of his reign was
'The name upon his cring is known Perxian monarcha, once
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peaceful ; \({ }^{1}\) and we may conclude therefore that he was of an unwarlike temper, since the circumstances of the time were such as would naturally have induced a prince of any military capacity to resume hostilities against the Romans. After the arrangement made with Rome by Sapor III. in A.D. 384, a terrible series of calamities had befallen the empire. \({ }^{2}\) Invasions of Ostrogoths and Franks signalised the years a.d. 386 and 388 ; in A.D. 387 the revolt of Maximus seriously endangered the western moiety of the Roman state; in the same year occurred an outburst of sedition at Antioch, which was followed shortly by the more dangerous sedition, and the terrible massacre of Thessalonica; Argobastes and Eugenius headed a rebellion in a.d. 392; Gildo the Moor detached Africa from the empire in A.D. 386 , and maintained a separate dominion on the southern shores of the Mediterranean for twelve years, from A.D. 386 to 398 ; in A.D. 395 the Gothic warriors within and without the Roman frontier took arms, and under the redoubtable Alaric threatened at once the East and the West, ravaged Greece, captured Corinth, Argos, and Sparta, and from the coasts of the Adriatic already marked for their prey the smiling fields of Italy. The rulers of the East and West, Arcadius and Honorius, were alike weak and unenterprising; and further, they were not even on good terms, nor was either likely to trouble himself very greatly about attacks upon the territories of the other. Isdigerd might have crossed

\footnotetext{
indicating a consciousness that there lay in his disposition the germs of evil, which the possession of supreme power would be likely to develope.

 (Procop. De Bell. Pers. i. 2). Oúdìra

 wir кai દip \(\nu\) aíos (Agath. iv. 26; p. 137, B).
\({ }_{2}\) See Tillemont, Hist. des Empereurs, tom. .v. pp. 104-6, 211-221; Gibbon, Decline and Fall, vol. iii. pp. 351-402 ; vol. iv. pp. 23-31.
}
the Euphrates, and overrun or conquered the A siatic provinces of the Eastern Empire, without causing Honorius a pang, or inducing him to stir from Milan. It is true that Western Rome possessed at this sime the rure treasure of a capable genenal ; but Stilicho was looked upon with fear and aversion by the emperor of the East,' and was moreover fully occupied with the defence of his own master's territories. Had Lsdigerd, on ascending the throne in A.D. 399 , unsheathed the sword and resumed the bold designs of his grandfather, Sapor II., he could scarcely have met with any serious or prolonged resistance. He would have found the East governed practically by the eunuch Eutropius, a plunderer and oppressor, universally hated and feared; \({ }^{2}\) he would have had opposed to him nothing bat distracted counsels and disonganised forces; Asin Minor was in possestion of the Ostrogoths, who, under the leadership of Tribigild, were raviging and destroying far and wide; \({ }^{\circ}\) the armies of the State were commanded by Gainns, the Guth, and Ler, the wool-comber, of whom the one was incompeunt, and the other unfaithful: ' there was nothing, upparently, that could have prevented him from overrunning Koman Armenia, Mesopotamia, and Syria, or even from cextending his ravages, or his dominion, to the shores of the Iftean. But the opportunity was either not eern, or was not regarded as having any attractions. Isligerd remained tranquil and at reat wi:hin the walls of his capital. Assuming as his special tite the 'harmeteristic epithet \({ }^{s}\) of 'Ramashtras,' 'the
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most quiet,' or 'the most firm,' he justified his assumption of it by a complete abstinence from all military expeditions.

When Isdigerd had reigned peaceably for the space of nine years, he is said to have received a compliment of an unusual character. Arcadius, the emperor of the East, finding his end approaching, and anxious to secure a protector for his son Theodosius, a boy of tender age, instead of committing him to the charge of his uncle Honorius, or selecting a guardian for him from among his own subjects, by a formal testamentary act, we are told, \({ }^{1}\) placed his child under the protection of the Persian monarch. He accompanied the appointment by a solemn appeal to the magnanimity of Isdigerd, whom he exhorted at some length to defend with all his force, and guide with his best wisdom, the young king and his kingdom. \({ }^{2}\) According to one writer, \({ }^{8}\) he further appended to this trust a valuable legacy-no less than a thousand pounds weight of pure gold, which he begged his Persian brother to accept as a token of his goodwill. When Arcadius died, and the testament was opened, information of its contents was sent to Isdigerd, who at once accepted the charge assigned to him, and addressed a letter to the Senate of Constantinople, \({ }^{4}\) in which he declared his determination to punish any attempt against his ward with the extremest severity. Unable to watch over his charge in person, he selected for his guide and instructor a learned eunuch of his
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court, by name Antiochus, and sent him to Constantinople, where for several years he was the young prince's constant companion. Even after his death or expulsion, \({ }^{\text {a }}\) which took place in consequence of the intrigues of Pulcheria, Theodosius's elder sister, the Persian monarch coutinued fuithful to his engagements. During the whole of his reign he not ouly remained at peace with the Romans, but avoided every act that they could have regrated as in the lenst degree unfriendly. \({ }^{3}\)

Such is the narrative which has come down to us on the authority of historimas, the earliest of whom wrote a century and a half after Arcidius's death.' Modern criticism has, in general, rejected the entire story, on this account, regarding the silence of the earlier writens as outweighing the positive statements of the later ones \({ }^{5}\) Is should, however, be borne in mind, first, that the earlier writers are few in number, \({ }^{5}\) and that their histories are very meagre and scanty; secondly, that the fact, if fact it were, was one not very palatable to Christians; and thirdly, that, us the resulter, so fir as Rome was concerned, were negative, the event might net have remed to be one of much importance, or that reviured antice. The character of Procopius, with
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whom the story originates, should also be taken into consideration, and the special credit allowed him by Agathias for careful and diligent research. \({ }^{1}\) It may be added that, one of the main points of the narrativethe position of Antiochus at Constantinople during the early years of Theodosius-is corroborated by the testimony of a contemporary, the bishop Synesius, \({ }^{2}\) who speaks of a man of this name, recently in the service of a Persian, \({ }^{8}\) as all-powerful with the Eastern emperor. It has been supposed by one writer \({ }^{4}\) that the whole story grew out of this fact ; but the basis scarcely seems to be sufficient; and it is perhaps most probable that Arcadius did really by his will commend his son to the kind consideration of the Persian monarch, and that that monarch in consequence sent him an adviser, though the formal character of the testamentary act, and the power and position of Antiochus at the court of Constantinople, may have been overstated. Theodosius no doubt owed his quiet possession of the throne rather to the good disposition towards him of his own subjects than to the protection of a foreigner; and Isdigerd refrained from all attack on the territories of the young prince, rather by reason of his own pacific temper than in consequence of the will of Arcadius.

The friendly relations established, under whatever circumstances, between Isdigerd and the Roman empire of the East, seem to have inclined the Persian monarch, during a portion of his reign, to take the Christians into his favour, and even to have induced him to contemplate seeking admission into the Church by the door of
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baptiom.' Antiochus, his representative at the coturt of Arcadius, openly wrote in favour of the persecuted sect \({ }^{2}\) and the encouragement received from this high quarter rapidly increased the number of professing Christians in the Persian territories. \({ }^{\text {s }}\).The sectaries, though oppressed, had long been allowed to have their bishops: and Isligerd is said to have listened with approval to the teaching of two of them, Murutha, bishop of Messpotamia, and Abdaiss, bishop of Ctesiphon. \({ }^{4}\) Consinced of the truth of Christianity, but unhappily an alien from its spirit, be commenced a penecution of the Magians and their most powerful adherents, \({ }^{4}\) which caused him to be held in detestation by his subjects, and has helped to attach to his name the epithets of 'Al-Khusha,' 'the Marsh,' and 'Al-Athim,' 'the Wicked.'s But the persecution did not continne long. The excessive acal of Ablaiss after a while provoked a reaction ; and Iddgerd, deserting the cause which he had for a time espoused, threw himself (with all the zeal of one who, after marly embracing truth, relapses into error) into the arme of the opporite party. Alshais had ventured io burn down the preat Fire-Temple of Cusiphon, and had then refuat to rebuild it.' Isdigerd authorised the Marian hierarchy w retaliate by a general destruction of the Chrivian churches throughout the Persian dominions, and by the arrest and punishment of all thow-
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who acknowledged themselves to believe the Gospel. \({ }^{1}\) A fearful slaughter of the Christians in Persia followed during five years; \({ }^{2}\) some, eager for the earthly glory and the heavenly rewards of martyrdom, were forward to proclaim themselves members of the obnoxious sect; others, less courageous or less inclined to self-assertion, sought rather to conceal their creed; but these latter were carefully sought out, both in the towns and in the country districts, \({ }^{8}\) and when convicted were relentlessly put to death. Nor was mere death regarded as enough. The victims were subjected, besides, to cruel sufferings of various kinds, \({ }^{4}\) and the greater number of them expired under torture. \({ }^{5}\) Thus Isdigerd alternately oppressed the two religious professions, to one or other of which belonged the great mass of his subjects; and, having in this way given both parties reason to hate him, earned and acquired a unanimity of execration which has but seldom been the lot of persecuting monarchs.

At the same time that Isdigerd allowed this violent persecution of the Christians in his own kingdom of Persia, he also sanctioned an attempt to extirpate Christianity in the dependent country of Armenia. Varahran-Sapor, the successor of Chosroës, had ruled that territory quietly and peaceably for twenty-one years. \({ }^{6}\) He died A.D. 412, leaving behind him a single son, Artases, who was at his father's death aged no
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more than ten years. Under these circumstances, Isaac, the Metropolitan of Armenia, proceeded to the court of Ctesiphon, and petitioned Isdigerd to replace on the Armenian throne the prince who had been deposed twenty-one years earlier, and who was still a prisoncr on parole \({ }^{2}\) is the 'Castle of Oblivion'-viz. Chasrois. Isligerd acoeded to the request ; and Choeroes was released from confinement and restored to the throne from which he had been expelled by Varahman IV. in A.D. 391 . He, however, survived his elevation only a year. Upon his decease, A.D. 413, Isdjgerd selected for the viceroyship, not an Arsacid, not even an Armenian, but his own suo, Bapor, whom be forced upons the reluctunt provincials, compelling then to acknowledge him as monarch (a.b, 413-414). Supor wue instructed to ingrotiate himself with the Armenian mobles, by inviting them to visit him, by feasting them, making them presents, holding friendly converse wills them, bunting with them ; and was bidden to use such intluence ar he might obtain to convert the chiefs from Christannty to Zoronatrianism. The young prince apfearv to have done his lxet ; but the Armenians were ohetinate, resited his blamdohments, and remained (lintians in spite of all his effort. He reigned \({ }^{3}\) from a.t. \(41+1+4\) s. at the end of which time, learning that the B.ether had fallen inte, ill heath, he quitted Armenia and re:urned to the l'erian court, in order to prese his - hame tw the -acreonion. Isliperl died anon afterwands'
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partisans had more strength, and the viceroy of Armenia was treacherously assassinated in the palace of his father. \({ }^{1}\) Armenia remained for three years in a state of anarchy; and it was not till Varahran V. had been for some time established upon the Persian throne that Artases was made viceroy, under the name of Artasiris or Artaxerxes. \({ }^{2}\)

The coins of Isdigerd I. are not remarkable as works of art; but they possess some features of interest. They are numerous, and appear to have been issued from various mints, \({ }^{8}\) but all bear a head of the same type. It is that of a middle-aged man, with a short beard and hair gathered behind the head in a cluster of curls. The distinguishing mark is the head-dress, which has the usual inflated ball above a fragment of the old mural crown, and further bears a crescent in front. The reverse has cons of ispiarrd i. the usual fire-altar with supporters, and is for the most part very rudely executed. \({ }^{4}\) The ordinary legend is, on the obverse, Mazdisn bag ramashtras Izdikerti, malkan malka Airan, or 'the Or-mazd-worshipping divine most peaceful Isdigerd, king of the kings of Iran;' and on the reverse, Ramashtras Izdikerti, 'the most peaceful Isdigerd.' In some cases, there is a second name, associated with that of the monarch, on the reverse, a name which reads either 'Ardashatri ' (Artaxerxes) \({ }^{5}\) or, 'Varahran.' \({ }^{6}\) It has been
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}
conjectured that, where the name of 'Artaxerxes' cocurs, the reference is to the founder of the empire : \({ }^{1}\) while it is admitted that the 'Varahrun ' intended is almost certainly Isligerd's son and successor. \({ }^{2}\) Varahran V., the 'Buliran-Gur' of the modern Persians. Perhaps a more reasonable account of the matter would be that Istigerd had originally a son Artaxerxes, whom he inended to make his successor, but that this son died or offended him, and that then he gave his place to Varahnun.

The character of Isdigerd is variously represented. According to the Oriental writers, he had by nature an excellent disposition, and at the time of hin accession was generally regarded as eminently age, prudent, and virtuous ; but his conduct after he became king disoppointed all the hopes that had been entertained of him. He wis violent, cruel, and pleasure-seeking; be broke all laws humans and divine; be plundered the rich, illused the poor, despised learning, left those who did him a wroice unrewarded, suspected everybody. \({ }^{\text {s }}\) He wamlerell continually about his vast empire, not to Wentr-tit hin subjects, but to make them all suffer equally.4 In curing contrast with these accounts is the picture drawn of him by the Western authors, who celebrate hi: magnanimity and his virtue., his peaceful temper, hin fathtul guardianship of Theerlosius, and even his exemplary piety.c A modern writer' has suggested
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that he was in fact a wise and tolerant prince, whose very mildness and indulgence offended the bigots of his own country, and caused them to represent his character in the most odious light, and do their utmost to blacken his memory. But this can scarcely be accepted as the true explanation of the discrepancy. It appears from the ecclesiastical historians \({ }^{1}\) that, whatever other good qualities Isdigerd may have possessed, tolerance at any rate was not among his virtues. Induced at one time by Christian bishops almost to embrace Christianity, he violently persecuted the professors of the old Persian religion. Alarmed at a later period by the excessive zeal of his Christian preceptors, and probably fearful of provoking rebellion among his Zoroastrian subjects, he turned round upon his late friends, and treated them with a cruelty even exceeding that previously exhibited towards their adversaries. It was probably this twofold persecution that, offending both professions, attached to Isdigerd in his own country the character of a harsh and bad monarch. Foreigners, who did not suffer from his caprices or his violence, might deem him magnanimous and a model of virtue. His own subjects with reason detested his rule, and branded his memory with the well-deserved epithet of Al-Athim, 'the Wicked.'

A curious tale is told as to the death of Isdigerd. He was still in the full vigour of manhood when one day a horse of rare beauty, without bridle or caparison, came of its own accord and stopped before the gate of his palace. The news was told to the king, who gave orders that the strange steed should be saddled and bridled, and prepared to mount it. But the animal
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reared and kicked, and would not allow anyone to come near, till the king himself approached, when the ereature totally changed its mood, appeared gentle and docile, stood perfectly still, and allowed both saddle and bridle to be put on. The crupper, however, needed some arrangement, and Isligerd in full contidence proceeded to complete his task, when suddenly the borse lashed out with one of hik hind legs, and dealt the unfortunate prince it blow which killed him on the spot. The animal then ket off at speed, disembartased itself of ite nccoutrements, and galloping away was never seen nay more \({ }^{i}\) The modera historian of Pensia compreses the tale into a single phrase, \({ }^{2}\) und tells us that 'Isligend died from the kick of a honse: ' but the Persians of the time regarded the occurrence as an answer to their prayers, and saw in the wild steed an angel sent by God. \({ }^{3}\)
 Llood. p.

\section*{CHAPTER XIV.}

Internal Troubles on the Death of Isdigerd I. Accession of Varahran V. His Persecution of the Christians. His War with Rome. His Relatioms with Armenia from A.D. 422 to A.D. 428. His Wars with the Scythic Tribes on his Eastern Frontier. His Strange Denth. His Coins. His Character.



Ir would seem that at the death of Isdigerd there was some difficulty as to the succession. Varahran, whom he had designated as his heir, \({ }^{1}\) appears to have been absent from the capital at the time; while another son, Sapor, who had held the Armenian throne from a.d. 414 to 418 , was present at the seat of government, and bent on pushing his claims. \({ }^{2}\) Varahran, if we may believe the Oriental writers, who are here unanimous, \({ }^{8}\) had been educated among the Arab tribes dependent on Persia, who now occupied the greater portion of Mesopotamia. His training had made him an Arab rather than a Persian ; and he was believed to have inherited the violence, the pride, and the cruelty of his father. \({ }^{4}\) His countrymen were therefore resolved that they would not allow him to be king. Neither were they inclined to admit the claims of Sapor, whose government of Armenia had not been particularly suc-
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cessful, \({ }^{1}\) and whose recent desertion of his proper post for the advancement of his own private intercosts was a crime against his country which deserved punishment rather than reward. Armenia had actually revolted as soon as he quitted it, had driven out the Persian garrison, \({ }^{\text {a }}\) and was a prey to rapine and disorder. We camot be surprised that, under these círcumstances, Sapor's machiantions and hopes were abruptly terminated, soon after his father's demise, by his own murder. The nobles sod chief Magi took afluirs into their own hands. \({ }^{8}\) Instead of senting for Vamhiran, or aswiting his arrival, they selected for king a descendant of Artaxerxes I. oaly remotely related to Iadigerd-a prince of the name of Chosrols-and formally placed him upon the throne. But Varahirut was not willing to cede his rights, Having persuaded the Arabs to embruce his cause, he marched upon Ctesiphon at the heend of a large force, and by some means or other, moat probably by the terror of his arms, \({ }^{\text {' }}\) previled upon Chometes, the nobles, and the Magi, to submit to him. The people readily
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acquiesced in the change of masters; Chosroës descended into a private station, and Varahran, son of Isdigerd, became king.

Varahran seems to have ascended the throne in A.D. \(420 .{ }^{1}\) He at once threw himself into the hands of the priestly party, and, resuming the persecution of the Christians which his father had carried on during his later years, showed himself, to one moiety of his subjects at any rate, as bloody and cruel as the late monarch. \({ }^{2}\) Tortures of various descriptions were employed; \({ }^{3}\) and so grievous was the pressure put upon the followers of Christ, that in a short time large numbers of the persecuted sect quitted the country, and placed themselves under the protection of the Romans. Varahran had to consider whether he would quietly allow the escape of these criminals, or would seek to enforce his will upon them at the risk of a rupture with Rome. He preferred the bolder line of conduct. His ambassadors were instructed to require the surrender of the refugees at the court of Constantinople; \({ }^{4}\) and when Theodosius, to his honour, indignantly rejected the demand, they had orders to protest against the emperor's decision, and to threaten him with their master's vengeance.

It happened that at the time there were some other outstanding disputes, which caused the relations of the two empires to be less amicable than was to be desired. The Persians had recently begun to work their gold
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mines, and had hired experienced persons from the Romans, whose services they found so valuable that when the period of the hiring was expired, they would not suffer the miners to quit Pensia and return to their homes. They are also said to have ill-used the Roman merchants who traded in the Persian territories, and to have actually robbed them of their merchandise,

These causes of complains were not, however, it would seem, brought forward by the Romans, who contented themselves with simply refusing the demand for the extradition of the Christian fugitives, and refrained from making atay counter-claims. But their moxdention was not appreciated ; and the Persian momarch, on learning that Rome would not restore the refugees, declared the peace to be at an end, and immedintely made prequarations for war. The Romans had, bowever, anticipated his dexision, and took the fich in force before the Pensians were ready. The command was entrusted to a general bearing the strange name of Arlaburius, \({ }^{2}\) who marched his troops through Armenia into the fertile province of Arzanene, \({ }^{8}\) and there defeated Sarser, the leader whom Varahran had ant against him. Proceeding to plunder Arzanene, Ariahurius suddenly heard that his advenary was alout tu enter the Roman province of Mesopotamia, which was denuderl of troops, and seemed to iuvite
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attack. Hastily concluding his raid, he passed from Arzanene into the threatened district, and was in time to prevent the invasion intended by Narses, who, when he found his designs forestalled, threw himself into the fortress of Nisibis, and there stood on the defensive. Ardaburius did not feel himself strong enough to invest the town; and for some time the two adversaries remained inactive, each watching the other. It was during this interval that (if we may credit Socrates) the Persian general sent a challenge to the Roman, inviting him to fix time and place for a trial of strength between the two armies. Ardaburius prudently declined the overture, remarking that the Romans were not accustomed to fight battles when their enemies wished, but when it suited themselves. Soon afterwards he found himself able to illustrate his meaning by his actions. Having carefully abstained from attacking Nisibis while his strength seemed to him insufficient, he suddenly, upon receiving large reinforcements from Theodosius, changed his tactics, and, invading Persian Mesopotamia, marched upon the stronghold held by Narses, and formally commenced its siege.

Hitherto Varahran, confident in his troops or his good fortune, had left the entire conduct of the military operations to his general ; but the danger of Nisibisthat dearly won and highly prized possession \({ }^{1}\)-seriously alarmed him, and made him resolve to take the field in person with all his forces. Enlisting on his side the services of his friends the Arabs, under their great sheikh, Al-Amundarus (Moundsir), \({ }^{2}\) and collecting to-

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) See above, pp. 235-238.
\({ }^{2}\) Moundsir was at the head of the Mesopotanian or Saracenic Arabs at this time, according to the Oriental writers (Tabari, vol. ii.
| pp. 110-116; Mirkhond, p. 328, who gives the name as Mondar, a form easily traceable in Al- \(A_{\text {mun- }}\) darws).
}
grether a strong body of elephunts, \({ }^{4}\) he advanced to the relief of the beleaguered town. Ardaburius drew of on his approach, burned his siege artillery, and retinal from before the place. Nisibis was preserved; but soon afterwards a disaster is said to have befalleu the Arabs, who, believing themselves about to be attacked by the Roman force, were seized with a sudden panic, and, rowhing in headlong tlight to the Euphrates (1), threw themaelves into its waters, encumbered with their clathes and arms, and there perished to the number of a hundred thousind. \({ }^{2}\)

The remaining circumstances of the war are not reLated by our authorities in chronolegical sequeace. But as it is certain that the war lasted only two years, \({ }^{\text {b }}\) and as the events above narrated certainly belong to the earlier portion of it, and seem sufficient for one campaign, we may perhaps be justified in assigning to the second year, A.D. 421, the other details recordedviz., the siege of Theodosiopolis, the combat between Arerbindus and Ardazanes, the second victory of Ardaburius, and the destruction of the remnant of the Arabs by Vitianus.

Theralosiopolis was a city built by the reigning emperor, Theodosius II., in the Roman portion of Armenia, near the sources of the Euphrates. 4 It wiss defended by strong walls, lofty towers, and a deep ditch.' Hidden channels conducted an unfailing sup-

\footnotetext{
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- The authority of Mnomen to the arreapth of Theodneiopolis ( Hive. Arm. Lac) io preformble to that of I'rocopide, who write a century later. P'rixupius makee the plice oid of anall marount in the tirme of Theodoive ( Dr AEC. Jmo sinian ili. 8).
}
ply of water into the heart of the place, and the public granaries were large and generally well stocked with provisions. \({ }^{1}\) This town, recently built for the defence of the Roman Armenia, was (it would seem) attacked in A.D. 421 by Varahran in person. \({ }^{2}\) He besieged it for above thirty days, and employed against it all the means of capture which were known to the military art of the period. But the defence was ably conducted by the bishop of the city, a certain Eunomius, who was resolved that, if he could prevent it, an infidel and persecuting monarch should never lord it over his see. Eunomius not merely animated the defenders, but took part personally in the defence, and even on one occasion discharged a stone from a balista with his own hand, and killed a prince who had not confined himself to his military duties, but had insulted the faith of the besieged. The death of this officer is said to have induced Varahran to retire, and not further molest Theodosiopolis. \({ }^{3}\)

While the fortified towns on either side thus maintained themselves against the attacks made on them, Theodosius, we are told, \({ }^{4}\) gave an independent command to the patrician, Procopius, and sent him at the head of a body of troops to oppose Varahran. The armies met, and were on the point of engaging when the Persian monarch made a proposition to decide the war, not by a general battle, but by a single combat. Procopius assented; and a warrior was selected on either side, the Persians choosing for their champion a certain Ardazanes, and the Romans 'Areobindus the Goth,' count of the ' Fœderati.' In the conflict which followed the Persian charged his adversary with his spear, but

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Mos. Chor. iii. 59.
\({ }^{3}\) Ibid.
\({ }^{2}\) Theodoret, H. E. y. 37. \(\mid\) Johann. Malal. xiv. p. 25. A.
}
the nimble Goth asoided the thrust by leaning to one side, after which he entangled Ardazanes in a net, and then despatched him with his sword. \({ }^{2}\) The result was accepted by Varahran as decisive of the war, and be disisted from any further hostilities. Areobintus \({ }^{2}\) reeeived the thanks of the emperor for his victory, and twelve years later was rewariled with the consulship.

But mearwhile, in other portions of the wide field over which the war was raging, Rome hud obtained melditional successes. Ardaburins, who probably still commanuled in Mesopotumia, had drawn the Persian force opposed to him into an ambuseade, and had dostroyed it, together with its seven gencralk" Vitianus, an officer of whom nothing more is known, had exterminated the remnant of the Arabs who were not drownerl in the Euphrates. \({ }^{4}\) The war had gone everywhere against the Persians; and it is not improbable that Varahran, before the close of A.D. 421, proposed terms of peace. \({ }^{5}\)

Peace, however, was not actually made till the next gear. Firly in a.b. 402, a Roman envor, by name Maximus, appared in the camp of Varahran, \({ }^{6}\) and, when taken into the premence of the great king, stated that he was empowered be the Roman generals to enter intu montiations, but had had no communication with the Roman emperor, who dwelt so far off that he had me: hand of the war, and was on powerful that, if he knew of it. he would regant it as a matter of small acweant. It is mot likely that Varahran was much im-

\footnotetext{
: Tli.e. dola:la are giren liy - llid.
J. an. Vaial celts but the citi- Biohn of Malala make Varah-




scmille. pular autforml as Theoderiopolia - suctal vis. 30.
}
pressed by these falsehoods; but he was tired of the war ; he had found that Rome could hold her own, and that he was not likely to gain anything by prolonging it; and he was in difficulties as to provisions, \({ }^{1}\) whereof his supply had run short. He was therefore well inclined to entertain Maximus's proposals favourably. The corps of the 'Immortals,' however, which was in his camp, took a different view, and entreated to be allowed an opportunity of attacking the Romans unawares, while they believed negotiations to be going on, considering that under such circumstances they would be certain of victory. Varahran, according to the Roman writer who is here our sole authority, \({ }^{2}\) consented. The Immortals made their attack, and the Romans were at first in some danger; but the unexpected arrival of a reinforcement saved them, and the Immortals were defeated and cut off to a man. After this, Varahran made peace with Rome through the instrumentality of Maximus, \({ }^{3}\) consenting, it would seem, not merely that Rome should harbour the Persian Christians, if she pleaser, but also that all persecution of Christians should henceforth cease throughout his own empire. \({ }^{4}\)

The formal conclusion of peace was accompanied, and perhaps helped forward, by the well-judging charity of an admirable prelate. Acacius, bishop of Amida, pitying the condition of the Persian prisoners whom the Romans had captured during their raid into Arzanene,

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Socrat. rii. 20.
\({ }^{2}\) Socrates. The destruction of the ' Immortals' is mentioned also by Thenphanes (p. 74, B), but vaguely and witbout any details.

3 The actual negotiator was, ac, cording to Socrates, Maximus only.

Others mention, as concerned in the negotiations, Helion, Anatolius, and Procopius. (See Theophan. p. 75, B ; Cedren. p. 341, D; Sidon. Apollin. IJaneg. Anthem. l. 75.)
\({ }^{4}\) Theophan. l.e.c. ; Socrat. H. E. vii. 21.
}
and were drigging ofl into slavery, interposed to save them; und, employing for the purpose all the gold and silver plate that he could find in the churches of his diocese, ransomed as many as seren thousand captives, supplied their immelinte wants with the utmost tenderncss, and sent them to Varihrin, \({ }^{1}\) who can scarcely have failed to be impresed by an act so unusual in ancient times. Our sceptical historian remarks, with more apparent sincerity than usual, that this act was salculated 'to inform the Persian king of the true spirit of the religion which he persecuted,' and that the tame of the doer might well 'have dignified the saintly calendur.' \({ }^{\prime 2}\) These remarks are just ; and it is certainly to be regreited that, among the many unknown or doubtful names of canonised Christians to which the Church has given her sunction, there in no mention made of Acacius of Amidn.

Varahran was perhaps the more disposed to conclude his war with Rome from the troobled condition of his "wn purtion of Armenia, which imperatively required has attention. Since the withdrawal from that region of his brother Sumer in A.D. 41s or 419, the country had had no king. It had fallen into a state of complete anarely and wretelealne an ; no taxes were collected ; the reath wore mat vafe; the strong roblend and oppreseal : b, Wark at their pleasure. Inase, the Armenian fatriath, and the wher bishope, had quitual their sees and taken refuge in Roman Armenia,' where they were

\footnotetext{
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atize tasbubatimima irimpina, per 1 Ibid. ui. 8i.
}
received favourably by the prefect of the East, Anatolius, who no doubt hoped by their aid to win over to his master the Persian division of the country. Varahran's attack on Theodosiopolis had been a counter movement, and had been designed to make the Romans tremble for their own possessions, and throw them back on the defensive. But the attack had failed; and on its failure the complete loss of Armenia probably seemed imminent. Varahran therefore hastened to make peace with Rome, and, having so done, proceeded to give his attention to Armenia, with the view of placing matters there on a satisfactory footing. Convinced that he could not retain Armenia unless with the good-will of the nobles, \({ }^{1}\) and believing them to be deeply attached to the royal stock of the Arsacids, he brought forward a prince of that noble house, named Artases, a son of Varahran-Sapor, and, investing him with the ensigns of royalty, made him take the illustrious name of Artaxerxes, and delivered into his hands the entire government of the country. These proceedings are assigned to the year a.d. \(422,{ }^{2}\) the year of the peace with Rome, and must have followed very shortly after the signature of the treaty.

It might have been expected that this arrangement would have satisfied the nobles of Armenia, and have given that unhappy country a prolonged period of repose. But the personal character of Artaxerxes was, unfortunately, bad ; the Armenian nobles were, perhaps, capricious; and after a trial of six years it was resolved that the rule of the Arsacid monarch could not be en-

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Mos. Chor. iii. 58: 'Rex Per- \(\mid{ }^{2}\) See St. Martin, Mémoires sur sarum Veramus, sine satrapis Armeniis repionem eam se tenere non l'Arménie, vol. i. p. 410 ; Notes to Le Beau's Bas-Empire, vol. vi. p. 32. posse intelligens, de pace egerat.'
}
dured, and that Varalsran should be requested to make Armenia a province of his empire, and to place it under the government of a Persian satrap. \({ }^{1}\) The movement was resisted with all his force by Isqac, the patriarch, who admitted the profligacy of Artaxerxes and deplored it, but held that the rule of a Christian, however \(\ln x\) he might be, was to be preferrod to that of a beathen, however virtuous.? The nobles, however, were determined; and the oppoition of Isaac had no other result than to involve him in the fall of his sovereign. Appeal was made to the Persian king; \({ }^{2}\) and Varahran, in solemn state, heari the charges made against Artaxerxes by his subjects, and listened to his reply to them. At the end be gave his decision. Artaxerxes was pronounced to have forfeited his crowB, and was deposed; his property was confiscated, and his person commitiend to safe custody. The monarchy was declared to be at an cend; and Persarmenia was delivered into the hands of a Persian goveruor. \({ }^{\text {a }}\) The patriarch Isuac was at the same time dewralded from his office and detained in Iersia as a primoner. It was not till some years later that he was releamed, allowed to return into Armenia, and tor roume, under certain restrictions, his episcopal functions:

The remami:y circomstancos of the reign of Varah-
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ran V. come to us wholly through the Oriental writers, amid whose exaggerations and fables it is very difficult to discern the truth. There can, however, be little doubt that it was during the reign of this prince that those terrible struggles commenced between the Persians and their neighbours upon the north-east which continued, from the early part of the fifth till the middle of the sixth century, to endanger the very existence of the empire. Various names are given to the people with whom Persia waged her wars during this period. They are called Turks, \({ }^{1}\) Huns, \({ }^{2}\) sometimes even Chinese; \({ }^{3}\) but these terms seem to be used in a vague way, as 'Scythian' was by the ancients; and the special ethnic designation of the people appears to be quite a different name from any of them. It is a name the Persian form of which is Haïthal or Haï\(a!h e ̂ l e h,{ }^{4}\) the Armenian Hephthagh, \({ }^{5}\) and the Greek 'Ephthalites,' or sometimes ' Nephthalites.' \({ }^{6}\) Different conjectures have been formed as to its origin; but none of them can be regarded as more than an ingenious theory. \({ }^{7}\) All that we know of the Ephthalites is, that

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Tabari, vol. ii. p. 119 ; Maçoudi, vol. ii. p. 190 ; Mirkhond, p. 335 ; Modimel-al-Tevarikh, p. 516.
\({ }^{2}\) Procop. De Bell. Pers. i. 3; Cosmas Indicopleust. in Montfaucon's Collectio nova Patrum, tom. ii. pp. 337-9 ; A bulpharag. Chronicon, tom. ii. p. 77 ; Elisée, p. 12.
\({ }^{3}\) Mirkhond calls the invader ' the Khacan of China' (p. 334), though he speaks of the army as composed of Turks.

4 Mirkhond, p. 343 ; Modjmel-alTencarikh, p. 517; Tabari, vol. ii. p. 128.
\({ }^{5}\) Mos. Chor. Geogr. Armen. § 92. I take this form from M. Vivien St. Martin, to whose little work on the Ephthalites (Les Huns Blancs
ou Ephthalites, Paris, 1849) I own myself much indebted. Whiston's translation gives the word as Hephthal [ii].
6 Both readings occur in the MSS. of Procopius. (See the note of Dindorf in the edition of Niebuhr, p. 15.) Theophanes has Nemficiirat only (Chronograph. pp. 105-6). Neofanitut is also the furm used by Agathias (iv. 27). Menander Protector has 'EqHa入irat (Frs. 9 and 18).
\({ }^{7}\) M. Vivien St. Martin seeks to identify the Ephthalites with the Yue-chi, one firm of whose name he believes to have been \(\mathrm{Yi}_{\text {-ta, or }} \mathrm{Fe}_{-}\) tha (Les Hens Blancs, pp. 37-69). Others, e.g. Deguignes, hare seen
}
they were established in force, during the fifth and sixth centuries of our em, in the regions east of the Caspian, especially in those beyomd the Oxus river, and that they were generally regarded as belonging to the Scythic or Finno-Turkic population, which, at any mate from a.c. 200 , hat become powerful in that region. They were called 'White Huns' by some of the Grecks: \({ }^{2}\) but it is admitted that they were quite distinct from the Huns who invaded Europe under Attila; \({ }^{2}\) and it may be donbted whether the term 'Hun' is more appropriate to them than that of Turk or even of Chinese. The deccription of their physical charneter and habits left us by Procopius, who wrote when they were at the beight of their power, is decidedly adverse to the view that they were really Ftuns. They were a lightcomplexioned race, whereas the Huns were decidedly spart ; \({ }^{5}\) they were not ill-looking, whereas the Huns were hideous; they were un agricnltural people, while the Huns were nomnds; they had good laww, and were coldrably well civilived, but the Huns were savages. It i- probable that they Indonger to the Thibetic or Turkioh ofock, which hav always been in advance of the Fimme, and has shown a greater aptitude for political oreanivation and onkial progreos.

Wi. are told that the war of Varahran V. with this [nepher commene with an invasion of his kingedom by theor Khawan, or Khan. \({ }^{4}\) who crowerl the ( Xew with an
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army of 25,000 (or, according to others, of 250,000 ) men, \({ }^{1}\) and carried fire and sword into some of the most fertile provinces of Persia. The rich oasis, known as Meru or Merv, the ancient Margiana, is especially mentioned as overrun by his troops, \({ }^{2}\) which are said by some to have crossed the Elburz range into Khorassan and to have proceeded westward as far as Rei, or Rhages. \({ }^{3}\) When news of the invasion reached the Persian court, the alarm felt was great; Varahran was pressed to assemble his forces at once and encounter the unknown enemy; he, however, professed complete indifference, said that the Almighty would preserve the empire, and that, for his own part, he was going to hunt in Azerbijan, \({ }^{4}\) or Media Atropatene. During his absence the government could be conducted by Narses, his brother. All Persia was now thrown into consternation; Varahran was believed to have lost his senses; and it was thought that the only prudent course was to despatch an embassy to the Khacan, and make an arrangement with him by which Persia should acknowledge his suzerainty and consent to pay him a tribute. \({ }^{5}\) Ambassadors accordingly were sent ; and the invaders, satisfied with the offer of submission, remained in the position which they had taken up, waiting for the tribute, and keeping slack guard, since they considered that they had nothing to fear. Varahran, however, was all the while preparing to fall upon them unawares. He had started for Azerbijan with a small body of

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) The moderate estimate of \(25,000 \mid{ }^{3}\) Ibid. p. 334. Compare Mais found in Mirlshond (p.334) and in the Rozut-ul-Suffa (Malcolm, vol. i. p. 117). Tabari (vol. ii. p. 119) and the Zeenut-al-Tewarikh have 250,000. çoudi, vol. ii. p. 190.
\({ }^{4}\) Tabari, vol. ii. p. 119 ; Modj-mel-al-Tewarikh, p. 516; Mirkhond, p. 334.
\({ }^{2}\) Mirkhond, pp. 334 and 336.
}
picked warriors: \({ }^{1}\) he had drawn some further strength from Armenia; \({ }^{2}\) he proceeded along the momtuin line through Taberistun, Hyrcania, and Nissa (Nishapur), \({ }^{\text {B }}\) marchung only by night, and carefully masking his movements. In this way he reached the neighbourhood of Mery unobserved. He then planned and executed a night attack on the insading army which was exupletely successful. Attacking his adrersaries suddenly and in the dark-alarning them, mureover, with strange noiver, \({ }^{4}\) and at the same time msalting them with the utmost vigour-he put to flight the entire Tatar army. The Khan himself was killed; \({ }^{3}\) and the flying host was pursued to the banks of the Oxus. The whole of the camp equipage fell into the hands of the sictors; and Khatoun, the wife of the greas Khun, was taken." The plunder was of cuormous value, and comprised the royal crowa with its rich secting of pearls.?

After this suocess, Vurahran, to complete his victory, sent one of his generals across the Oxts at the head of a large force, and falling upon the Tatars in their own country defeated them a second time with great -hather. \({ }^{\circ}\) The enemy then prayed for peace, which was pranted them by the victorious Varahran, who at
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Texarikh, p. 517).
\({ }^{2}\) Mac udi, vol ii. p. \(1 / \mathrm{NO}\) : Nirkhond. pasti.
- Tniari, rol. ii. p. \(1: 1\).
- According to Tabari (p. 1:(0)). the crown was ornamented with areral tiomesnds of prarto. Cionapare the pearl ornamentation of tho Vamnitina efinno upon the coins. repminlly there of Sapor 11.
- Trisiar. I.e.e.: Mutjunt-al-Tro rurikh, p. ili. The latter work - apromir callo thin an inonoinon of the country of Mryothilat (1.e. of the E.ptithatitea .
the same time erected a column to mark the boundary of his empire in this quarter, \({ }^{1}\) and appointing his brother Narses governor of Khorassan, ordered him to fix his residence at Balkh, and to prevent the Tatars from making incursions across the Oxus. \({ }^{2}\) It appears that these precautions were successful, for we hear nothing of any further hostilities in this quarter during the remainder of Varahran's reign.

The adventures of Varahran in India, and the enlargement of his dominions in that direction by the act of the Indian king, who is said to have voluntarily ceded to him Mekran and Scinde in return for his services against the Emperor of China, \({ }^{3}\) cannot be regarded as historical. Scarcely more so is the story that Persia had no musicians in his day, for which reason he applied to the Indian monarch, and obtained from him twelve thousand performers, who became the ancestors of the Lurs..

After a reign which is variously estimated at nineteen, twenty, twenty-one, and twenty-three years, \({ }^{5}\) Varahran died by a death which would have been thought incredible, had not a repetition of the disaster, on the traditional site, been witnessed by an English traveller in comparatively recent times. The Persian writers state that Varahran was engaged in the hunt of the wild ass, when his horse came suddenly upon a deep

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Modjmel-al-Tenoarikh, p. 517 ; Tabari, vol. ii. p. 120; Mirkhond, p. \({ }^{337}\).
\({ }^{2}\) Tabari, l.s.c.
\({ }^{3}\) Ibid. vol. ii. pp. 124-5. Compare Maçoudi, vol. ii. p. 191 ; Modj-mel-al-Tewarikh, p. 516; Mirkhond, pp. 337-340.
- Modjnel-al-Tenoarikh, p. 515.
\({ }^{5}\) Eutychius (vol. i. p. 80) says cighteen years and eleven months;
the Modjmel-al-Tevarikh mentions nineteen years, but prefers twentrthree (p. 514) ; Agathias (iv. 27), Theophanes (p. 71, D), and Abulpharagius (p. 91) say twenty ; Patkanian (Journ. Asiatique for 1866, p. 161) prefers twenty-one; Maçoudi (vol. ii. p. 190) and Tabari (vol. ii. p. 12B) agree with the Modjinel-al-Tevoarikih in giving the number as twenty-three.
}
pool, or spring of water, and either plunged into it, or threw his rider into it, with the result that Vambran sank and never reappeared. \({ }^{3}\) The tupposed scene of the incident is a valley between Ispalime and Shiraz. Here, in 1810, an English soldier lost his life through bothing in the spring traditionally declared to be that which proved fatal to Varahran. \({ }^{2}\) The coincidence has caused the general accoptance of a tale which would probably have been otherwise regaried as altogether romantic and mythical.

The coins of Varahran V. are chiefly nemarkable for their rude and course workmanalipand for the number of the mints from which they were issned. The mint-marks inelude Ctesiphon, Ecbatann, Ispahan, Arbela, Iedan, Nelusend, Asyria, Chuzistan, Molia, and Kerman, or Carmanins: The ondinary Jegend is, upon the abverse, Masdim bag Varuhran malla, or Masdian bag Farakran rasti malka, and on the reverse, 'Varuhran,' together with a mint-mark. The head-dres has the mumal erown in front and behind, but interpones lextween these two d.talnol fagments a crescent and a circle, emblems, a. doubs, of the sun and monn G.nt- The reverer hows the usual tiaroaltar, with puarlo, or attemdants. wathene it. The king', head apparar in the flame upon the attar.

Aowrding th the Oriental writers. Var.heral \(V\). was one of the lout of the samainin primen. He carefully
 a bamiarerd justice among his numernus subjects, remation arrear, of taxation. fate pendions to men of

\footnotetext{
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}
science and letters, encouraged agriculture, and was extremely liberal in the relief of poverty and distress. \({ }^{1}\) His faults were, that he was over-generous and overfond of amusement, especially of the chase. The nickname of ' Bahram-Gur,' by which he is known to the Orientals, marks this last-named predilection, transferring to him, as it does, the name of the animal which was the especial object of his pursuit. \({ }^{2}\) But he was almost equally fond of dancing and of games. \({ }^{3}\) Still it does not appear that his inclination for amusements rendered him neglectful of public affairs, or at all interfered with his administration of the State. Persia is said to have been in a most flourishing condition during his reign. \({ }^{4}\) He may not have gained all the successes that are ascribed to him; but he was undoubtedly an active prince, brave, energetic, and clear-sighted. He judiciously brought the Roman war to a close when a new and formidable enemy appeared on his north-eastern frontier ; he wisely got rid of the Armenian difficulty, which had been a stumbling-block in the way of his predecessors for two hundred years; he inflicted a check on the aggressive Tatars, which indisposed them to renew hostilities with Persia for a quarter of a century. It would seem that he did not much appreciate art ; \({ }^{5}\) but he encouraged learning, and did his best to advance science. \({ }^{6}\)

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Modjinel-al-Tewarikh, p. 515; \({ }^{4}\) Ibid. p. 333; Tabari, p. 118. Tabari, rol. ii. p. 118; Mirkhond, Pp. \({ }_{2}\) Th2-3; Maçoudi, vol. ii. p. 100. Persians gur or your. Eutychius, in speaking of Varahran V., writes the word jaur (vol. ii. pp. 80 and 83).
\({ }^{3}\) Mirkhond, p. 334. \({ }^{5}\) The sculptures which Ker Porter assigned to this prince (Travels, vol. i. pp. 533-540) have nothing that really connects them with him. In none of them is the head-dress of the king that which appears on the coins of Varahran V. appears Nirkhond, p. 332.
}

\section*{CHAPTER XV.}
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Tins snocessor of Varahran V. was his son, I sligerd the Socond, who ascended the Persian throne without oppreition in the year A.D. \(440{ }^{3}\) His first act was to declare war against Rome. The Roman forces were, it would seern, concentrated in the vicinity of Nisibis: \({ }^{3}\) asd Isligerd may have feared that they would make an attack upon the place. He therefore anticipated them, and invarded the empire with an army composed in part of his own suljects, but in part also of troops from the surrounding mations. Saracens. Tzami, Isau-rian- and Hums (Ephthalitew: ) served under his standard: \({ }^{3}\) and a sudden incur-ion was made into the Roman b.rriteny, fir which the imperial officers were wholly unprepared. A considerable impreosion would proBratly have leren promuced, had not the wather proved -xombang unpropitious. storms of rain and hail hamb:althe adrane of the lerian trexps, and allowed

\footnotetext{

 . A bal latahiref. , il vill bernath

 His: - ، onparion of Marcellinuo
}
the Roman generals a breathing space, during which they collected an army. \({ }^{1}\) But the Emperor Theodosius was anxious that the flames of war should not be relighted in this quarter; and his instructions to the prefect of the East, the Count Anatolius, \({ }^{2}\) were such as speedily led to the conclusion, first of a truce for a year, and then of a lasting treaty. Anatolius repaired as ambassador to the Persian camp, on foot and alone, so as to place himself completely in Isdigerd's power-an act which so impressed the latter that (we are told) he at once agreed to make peace on the terms which Anatolius suggested. \({ }^{3}\) The exact nature of these terms is not recorded; but they contained at least one unusual condition. The Romans and Persians agreed that neither party should construct any new fortified post in the vicinity of the other's territory-a loose phrase which was likely to be variously interpreted, and might easily lead to serious complications.

It is difficult to understand this sudden conclusion of peace by a young prince, evidently anxious to reap laurels, who in the first year of his reign had, at the head of a large army, invaded the dominions of a neighbour. The Roman account, that he invaded, that he was practically unopposed, and that then, out of politeness towards the prefect of the East, he voluntarily retired within his own frontier, 'having done nothing disagreeable, \({ }^{4}\) is as improbable a narrative as we often meet with, even in the pages of the Byzantine historians.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Theodoret, H. E. v. 37. The invasion is wrongly assigned by this writer to the reign of Varahran V., which was just ended.
\({ }_{2}\) Procop. De Bell. Pers. i. 2. Anatolius is also mentioned as concluding the peace by Marcellinus
(1.s.c.).
\({ }_{3}\) Procop. 1. 8. c. : T T \(\boldsymbol{v}\) عipipuly



}

Something has evidently been kept back. If Lsdigerd returned, as Procopius declares, without effecting anything, he must have been recalled by the occurrence of troubles in some other pert of his empire. \({ }^{1}\) But it is, perhaps, as likely that be retired, simply becanse he had effected the object with which he enguged in the war. It was a coustant practice of the Rommens to advance their frontier by building strong towns on or near a debatable border, which attructed to them the submission of the neighbouring district. The recens building of Theodosiopolis \({ }^{2}\) in the enstern putt of Roman Armenia had been an instance of this practice. It was perhaps being pursued elsewhere along the Persian border, and the invasion of Istigerd muy have been intended to clieck it. If so, the proviso of the treaty recorded by Procopitus would have afforded him the security which he required, and have rendered it unnecesary for him to continue the war any longer.

Ifis arms shortly afterwards found employment in austher quarter. The Tatars of the Tramosiamian regione were one more troublesome; and in order to check or present the incurvinas which they were :llways ready to make, if they were unmolented, Iodigerd undertow a hong war on his morth eanturn frontier, which he conducted with a reolution and pereverance not wery common in the East. Ia aving his vizier, Mihr Mareen, ti. reprew hit him at the seat of governnet, he transfirred h. - ww revidence to Xishapur, \({ }^{3}\) in the mounLim rasin tuetween the Persian and kharemian darer. and from that convenient port of obervation arentent the military operations against his active

\footnotetext{
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}
enemies, making a campaign against them regularly every year from a.d. 443 to 451 . In the year last mentioned he crossed the Oxus, and, attacking the Ephthalites in their own territory, obtained a complete success, driving the monarch from the cultivated portion of the country, and forcing him to take refuge in the desert. \({ }^{1}\) So complete was his victory that he seems to have been satisfied with the result, and, regarding the war as terminated, to have thought the time was come for taking in hand an arduous task, long contemplated, but not hitherto actually attempted.

This was no less a matter than the forcible conversion of Armenia to the faith of Zoroaster. It has been already noted \({ }^{2}\) that the religious differences whichfrom the time when the Armenians, anticipating Constantine, adopted as the religion of their state and nation the Christian faith (ab. a.d. 300)—separated the Armenians from the Persians, were a cause of weakness to the latter, more especially in their contests with Rome. Armenia was always, naturally, upon the Roman side, since a religious sympathy united it with the court of Constantinople, and an exactly opposite feeling tended to detach it from the court of Ctesiphon. The alienation would have been, comparatively speaking, unimportant, after the division of Armenia between the two powers, had that division been regarded by either party as final, or as precluding the formation of designs upon the territory which each had agreed should be held by the other. But there never yet had been a time when such designs had ceased to be entertained; and in the war which Isdigerd had waged with Theodosius at the beginning of his reign, Roman in-

\footnotetext{
\({ }_{2}^{1}\) Patkanian in the Journal Asiatique for 1860, p. 164.
\({ }^{2}\) Supra, p. 251.
}
trigues in Persarmenia had forced him to send an army inso that country. \({ }^{3}\) The Persians felt, and felt with reason, that so long as Armenia remained Christian and Pesia beld to the faith of Zoroaster, the relations of the two countries could never be really friendly : Persia would always have a trator in her own camp; and in any time of difficulty-especially in any difficulty with Rome-might look to see this portion of her territory go over to the enemy. We cannot be surprised if Persian statesmen were anxious to terminate so unsatisfactory a state of things, and cast about for a menns whereby Armenia might be won over, and made a real friend instead of a conccaled enemy.
The means which suggrested iteelf to Ieligerd as the simplest and mont natural, was, as above ofserved, the conversion of the Armenians to the Zaronstrian religion. In the early part of his reign, he entertained a bope of effecting his parpose by persumsion, and sent his vizier, Milhr-Nanes, into the country, with orders to use all provible pawceful meane-gifts, blandishments, promises, threat., removal of maili,munt chefs-to induce Armenia to coment to a change of religion. \({ }^{2}\) Mihr-Narses did hiv trest, but failed signally. He carried of the chief. of the Christian party, mot only from Armenia, but from Ineria and Albania, telling them that Indigerd required their services against the Tatars, and forced them with their followern to take part in the Eastern war.' He committed Armenia to the care of the Margrave. Vang.a native prince who was well inclined to the

\footnotetext{
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Persian cause, and gave him instructions to bring about the change of religion by a policy of conciliation. But the Armenians were obstinate. Neither threats, nor promises, nor persuasions had any effect. It was in vain that a manifesto was issued, painting the religion of Zoroaster in the brightest colours, and requiring all persons to conform to it. It was to no purpose that arrests were made, and punishments threatened. The Armenians declined to yield either to argument or to menace ; and no progress at all was made in the direction of the desired conversion.

In the year a.d. 450, the patriarch Joseph, by the general desire of the Armenians, held a great assembly, at which it was carried by acclamation, that the Armenians were Christians, and would continue such, whatever it might cost them. If it was hoped by this to induce Isdigerd to lay aside his proselytising schemes, the hope was a delusion. Isdigerd retaliated by summoning to his presence the principal chiefs, viz., Vasag, the Margrave ; \({ }^{1}\) the Sparapet, or commander-in-chief, Vartan, the Mamigonian; Vazten, prince of Iberia; Vatché, king of Albania, \&c.; and having got them into his power, threatened them with immediate death, unless they at once renounced Christianity and made profession of Zoroastrianism. The chiefs, not having the spirit of martyrs, unhappily yielded, and declared themselves converts; whereupon Isdigerd sent them back to their respective countries, with orders to force everywhere on their fellow-countrymen a similar change of religion.

Upon this, the Armenians and Iberians broke out in

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) The Armenian term is Marz- | pares 'Margrave' (Journ. Asiatique, pan, 'Protector of the Border,' 1866, p. 114). with which Patkanian well com-
}
open revolt. Vartan, the Mamigonian, repenting of his weakness, abjured his new creed, resumed the profession of Christianity, and made bis peace with Joseph, the patriarch. \({ }^{1}\) He then callexl the people to arms, and in a short time collected a force of a hundred thousand men. Three armies were formed, to act sephrately under different generals. One watched Azertijan, or Media Atropatene, whence it whs expected that their main attack would be made by the Persians ; another. under Vurtan, proceeded to the relief of Albania, where proceedings were going on similar to those which had driven Arneria into rebellion ; the third, under Visag. oecupied a central position in Armenia, and was intended to move wherever danger should threaten. \({ }^{2}\) An attempt wix at the same time made to induce the Ifoman emperor, Marcian, to espouse the cause of the relelk, and send troops to their assistance; but this sttempt was unsuccesafol. Marcinn had but recently ascended the throoe, \({ }^{3}\) and was, perhaps, scarcely fixed in his sat. He was adsanced in years, and naturally unenterprising. Moreover, the position of affairs in Wistern Furoge was such, that Marcian might expect at any moment to be attacked by an overwhelming forre of northern barbarians, crucl, warlike, and un--paring. Attila was in A.d. 4 .il at the height of his priwer: he had not yet been wonterl at Chatons: \({ }^{4}\) and the terrible Huns, whom he led, might in a few months detroy the Wiestern, and be ready to fall upon the

\footnotetext{
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Eastern empire. Armenia, consequently, was left to her own resources, and had to combat the Persians singlehanded. Even so, she might probably have succeeded, have maintained her Christianity, or even recorered her independence, had her people been of one mind, and had no defection from the national cause manifested itself. But Vasag, the Marzpan, had always been halfhearted in the quarrel; and, now that the crisis was come, he determined on going wholly over to the Persians. He was able to carry with him the army which he commanded; and thus Armenia was divided against itself: and the chance of victory was well-nigh lost before the struggle had begun. When the Persians took the field, they found half Armenia ranged upon their side; and, though a long and bloody contest followed, the end was certain from the beginning. After much desultory warfare, a great battle was fought in the sixteenth year of Isdigerd (a.d. 455 or 456 ), between the Christian Armenians on the one side, and the Persians, with their Armenian abettors, on the other. The Persians were victorious ; Vartan, and his brother, Hemaïag, were among the slain; and the patriotic party found that no further resistance was possible. \({ }^{1}\) The patriarch, Joseph, and the other bishops, were seized, carried off to Persia, and martyred. Zoroastrianism was enforced upon the Armenian nation. All accepted it, except a few, who either took refuge in the dominions of Rome, or fled to the mountain fastnesses of Kurdistan. \({ }^{2}\)

The resistance of Armenia was scarcely overborne, when war once more broke out in the East, and Isdigerd was forced to turn his attention to the defence of

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) St. Martin, Recherches sur ['Arménie, vol. i. p. 327. \({ }^{2}\) Ibid.
}
his frontier against the aggressive Ephthalites, who, after remaining quiet for three or four years, had again flown to arnss, had enossel the Oxus, and invaled Kharassan in force. \({ }^{\frac{1}{2} \text { On his first advunce, the Persian monarch }}\) was so fur successful, that the invading hordes seem to have retired, and left Persia to itself; but when Isdigerd, having resolved to retaliate, led his owa forces into the Ephthalise country, they took heart, resisted him, and, having tempted him into an ambuscude, suecooded in inflicting upon him a severe defeat. Isdigerd was forced to retire hastily within his own bordens, and to leave the honours of victory to his assulants, whose criumph must have encouraged then to continue year after year their destructive inroads into the northeastern provinces of the empire.

It was not long after the defeat which be suffered in this quarter, that Ivijgerd's migu came to an end. He died A.B. 457 , after having held the throne for seventeen or (arcoriling to some) for nineteen years." He was a prince of considerable ability, determination, and courage. That his subjects called him 'the Clement's is at tirst sight surprising, since clemency is certainly mot the virtue that any modern writer would think of a-w iating with his name. Stut we may assume from the appliation of the term, that, where religious conaherations did not come into play, he was fair and equitable, midd-tempered, and disinclined to harsh puniohments. L'nfortumately, experience tells us that
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natural mildness is no security against the acceptance of a bigot's creed ; and, when a policy of persecution has once been adopted, a Trajan or a Valerian will be as unsparing as a Maximin or a Galerius. Isdigerd was a bitter and successful persecutor of Christianity, which he-for a time at any rate-stamped out, both from his own proper dominions, and from the newlyacquired province of Armenia. He would have preferred less violent means; but, when they failed, he felt no scruples in employing the extremest and severest coercion. He was determined on uniformity; and uniformity he secured, but at the cost of crushing a people, and so alienating them as to make it certain that they would, on the first convenient occasion, throw off the Persian yoke altogether.

The coins of Isdigerd II. nearly resemble those of his father, Varahran V., differing only in the legend, and in the fact that the mural crown of Isdigerd is complete. \({ }^{1}\) The legend is remarkably short, being either Masdisn kadi Yezdikerti, or merely Kadi Yezdikerti-i.e. ' the OrCOIN OF ISDIGRRD II. - mazd-worshipping great Isdigerd;' or ' Isdigerd the Great.' The coins are not very numerous, and have three mint-marks only, which are interpreted to mean 'Khuzistan,' ' Ctesiphon,' and 'Nehavend.' \({ }^{2}\)

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) See Mordtmann in the Zeitschrift, vol. viii. pp. 70-1. Longperier has mistakenly assigned to Isdigerd I. two coins (Pl. viii., Nos.
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Os the death of Isdigerd II (A.D. 457), the throne was secied by his younger son, Hormisdas, who appears to have owid his elevation, in a great measure, to the partiality of his father. That monarch, preferring his younger wol alxowe his elder, had made the latter goveriner of the distant seistan, and had thus removed him far from the court, while he retained Hormisdas about hi* own permon.: The advantage thus secured to Horminlar chablet him when his father died to make him--If king; and l'erozes was furced, we are told, to fly the

1 The Armenian historiane make lliminian the elder, and l'rentere tbe !ounger mon I Pathanian in the Jocerinal inaligue for laxks, p. l(NO): base Iaban 1 t Arumagmo, vol. is. \(p\). 1:-1. Virthind IP. H: \% and the leroun wrisre penerally, declare the rierere to have bern the cem. Tbey fire dolasle which ouppurt
thrir view.
- inbari, l.ac. Mirkhond eaye that Ialigerd manarded Hurmiadan as better qualitied to porern than l'eruzea, aince be had moro owootnean madeoty, and intollipence, whermen in faviour of l'enozen wore only hio age and hio adraslages of perion ( Pp 34y-3).
country, and place himself under the protection of the Ephthalite monarch, who ruled in the valley of the Oxus, over Bactria, Tokaristan, Badakshan, and other neighbouring districts. \({ }^{1}\) This king, who bore the name of Khush-newâz, \({ }^{2}\) received him favourably, and though at first, out of fear for the power of Persia, he declined to lend him troops, was induced after a while to adopt a bolder policy: Hormisdas, despite his epithet of Ferzan, ' the Wise,' \({ }^{8}\) was soon at variance with his subjects, many of whom gathered about Perozes at the court which he was allowed to maintain in Taleqan, one of the Ephthalite cities. Supported by this body of refugees, and by an Ephthalite contingent, \({ }^{4}\) Perozes ventured to advance against his brother. His army, which was commanded by a certain Raham, or Ram, a noble of the Mihran family, attacked the forces of Hormisdas, defeated them, and made Hormisdas himself a prisoner. \({ }^{5}\) The troops of the defeated monarch, convinced by the logic of success, deserted their late leader's cause, and went over in a body to the conqueror. Perozes, after somewhat more than two years of exile, was acknowledged as king by the whole Persian people, and, quitting Taleqan, established himself at Ctesiphon, or Al Modain, which had now become the main seat of government. It is uncertain what became of Hormisdas. According to the Armenian writers, \({ }^{6}\) Raham, after defeating him, caused him to be put to death; but the native historian, Mirkhond, declares that, on the con-
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\({ }^{1}\) Tabari, vol. ii. p. 187.
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(ibid.)
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}
trary, Perozes forgave him for having disputed the succession, and amiably spared his life. \({ }^{1}\)

The civil war between the two brothers, sliort as it was, had lasted long enough to cost Persia a province. Vatché, king of Aghouank (Albania), \({ }^{2}\) took admantage of the time of disturbance to throw off his allegiance, and succeeded in making himself independent. \({ }^{8}\) It was the first object of Perozes, affer establishing himself upon the throne, to recover this valuable tersitory. He therefore made war upon Vatche, though that prince was the son of his sister, and with the help of his Ephthalite allies, and of a bouly of Alans whom he trook into his service, defented the rebellious Albanians and completely subjugated the revolted comutry.s

A time of proaperity now ensued. Perozes ruled with moderation and jusice \({ }^{5}\) He dismised his Ephthalite allies with presents that amply contented them," and lived for five yeuns in great peace and hooour. But is the seventh year \({ }^{7}\) from the death of his finther, the properrity of Persia was suddenly and grievously interrupted by a terrible drought, a calamity whereto Asia have in all atee been subject, and which often proluces the mont frightful consequences. The crops fail : the carth laromes parched and burnt up; smiling districts are changed into wildernessew; fountains and brooks reare to thow ; then the welly have no water; fimally
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even the great rivers are reduced to threads, and contain only the scantiest supply of the life-giving fluid in their channels. Famine under these circumstances of necessily sets in; the poor die by hundreds; even the rich have a difficulty in sustaining life by means of food imported from a distance. We are told \({ }^{1}\) that the drought in the reign of Perozes was such that at last there was not a drop of water either in the Tigris or the Oxus; all the sources and fountains, all the streams and brooks failed; vegetation altogether ceased; the beasts of the field and the fowls of the air perished; nowhere through the whole empire was a bird to be seen ; the wild animals, even the reptiles, disappeared altogether. The dreadful calamity lasted for seven years, \({ }^{2}\) and under ordinary circumstances the bulk of the population would have been swept off; but such were the wisdom and the beneficence of the Persian monarch, that during the entire duration of the scourge not a single person, or, according to another account, but one person, \({ }^{8}\) perished of hunger. Perozes began by issuing general orders that the rich should come to the relief of their poorer brethren; he required the governors of towns, and the head-men of villages, to see that food was supplied to those in need, and threatened that for each poor man in a town or village who died of want, he would put a rich man to death. At the end of two years, finding that the drought continued, he declined to take any revenue from his subjects, remitting taxes of all kinds, whether they were money imposts or contributions in kind. In the fourth year, not content

\footnotetext{
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with these measures, he went further; opened the treasury doors and made distributions of swoney from his own stores to those in need. At the same time lie imported corn from Greece, from India, from the valley of the Oxus, and from Abyssinia, obtaining by theses means such ample supplies that be was able to furnish an adequate sustenance to all his subjects \({ }^{1}\) The result was that not only did the famine cause no mortality among the poorer classes, but no one was even driven to quit the country in order to escape the pressure of the calamity.

Such is the account which is given by the Oriental authors of the terrible famine which they ascribe to the early part of the reign of Perozes. It is difficult, however, to suppose that the matter has not been very much exuggerated, since we find that, as early as A.b. 464-5, when the famine should have been at its height, Perozes had enterced upon a great war and was hotly engaged in it, his ambessadors at the same time being sent to the Greck court, not to ask supplies of food, but to request a subsidy on account of his military operations: The enemy which had provoked his hostility was the powerful nation of the Ephthalites, by whose aid he had as recently obtained the lersian crown. Anorling to a contemporary (ireck authority, more worthy of trun tham mon writers of his age and nation,' the origin of the war was a refusal on the part of the
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Ephthalites to make certain customary payments, which the Persians viewed in the light of a tribute. \({ }^{1}\) Perozes determined to enforce his just rights, and marched his troops against the defaulters with this object. But in his first operations he was unsuccessful, and after a time he thought it best to conclude the war, and content himself with taking a secret revenge upon his enemy, by means of an occult insult. He proposed to Khush-newâz to conclude a treaty of peace, and to strengthen the compact by adding to it a matrimonial alliance. Khush-newâz should take to wife one of his daughters, and thus unite the interests of the two reigning families. The proposal was accepted by the Ephthalite monarch; and he readily espoused the young lady who was sent to his court apparelled as became a daughter of Persia. In a little time, however, he found that he had been tricked: Perozes had not sent him his daughter, but one of his female slaves; \({ }^{2}\) and the royal race of the Ephthalite kings had been disgraced by a matrimonial union with a person of servile condition. Khush-newâz was justly indignant; but dissembled his feelings, and resolved to repay guile with guile. He wrote to Perozes that it was his intention to make war upon a neighbouring tribe, and that he wanted officers of experience to conduct the military operations. The Persian monarch, suspecting nothing, complied with the request, and sent three hundred of his chief officers to Khush-newâz, who immediately seized them, put some to death, and, mutilating the remainder, commanded them to return to their sovereign, and inform him that the king of the Ephthalites now

\footnotetext{
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\section*{Can. XVL] FIRST EPITRALITE EXPEDITIOX OP PREOZLS, 317}
felt that he had sufficiently avenged the trick of which he had been the victim. \({ }^{2}\) On receiving this messagre, Perozes renewed the war, advanced towards the Ephthalite country, and fixed his head-quarters in Hyreaain, at the city of Gurgan. \({ }^{2}\) He was accompanied by a Greek of the name of Eusebius, \({ }^{8}\) an ambassador from the Emperor Zeno, who took back to Constantinople the following account of the campaign.

When Perozes, having invaded the Ephthalite territory, fell in with the army of the enemy, the latter pretended to be scized with a panic, and at once took to flighe. The retreat was directed upon a portion of the mountain region, where a broad and goad road led into a spacious plaio, surrounded on all sides by wooded hills, steep and in places precipitous. Here the masy of the Ephthalite troops was cunningly concealed umid the folrage of the woods, while a amall number remaining visible, led the Pereims into the cul-de-sac, the whole arny unsuspectingly entering, and only learning their danger when they suw the road wheroby they had enterad borked up by the troops from the hills. The officer, then apprehenderl the true state of the case, and proreived that they had been cleverly entrapped; but non.. if them, it would seem, dared to inform the monarih that he had been dereived by a stratagem. Apphation way made to Eusebius, whose ambasadorial charater would protact him from an outbreak, and he wav rerpuetarl to let l'erozes know how he was situated,

\footnotetext{
1 Irior:sa l'anites, Fr. 33.
' 1 aiioud (ionpor by l'isecus (l.ar.)
not far irmon Aaterabad.
'tio P'rmopiun, Hall. Two. i. s. ast I'rimapius i fill. Itra i. \& I. I'riecue makes tbe patrician ConIthe. I lirivan lardama and the meantius ambenedor frum Zeno in
 anie :id vesp ruine of (iurgia is, and ilil: protably tiusebiue sisil esice in ibe raller of tbe fiur succeeded him.

}
and exhort him to endeavour to extricate himself by counsel rather than by a desperate act. Eusebius upon this employed the Oriental method of apologue, relating to Perozes how a lion in pursuit of a goat got himself into difficulties, from which all his strength could not enable him to make his escape. Perozes apprehended his meaning, understood the situation, and, desisting from the pursuit, prepared to give battle where he stood. But the Ephthalite monarch had no wish to push matters to extremities. Instead of falling on the Persians from every side, he sent an embassy to Perozes and offered to release him from his perilous situation, and allow him to return with all his troops to Persia, if he would swear a perpetual peace with the Ephthalites and do homage to himself as his lord and master, by prostration. Perozes felt that he had no choice but to accept these terms, hard as he might think them. Instructed by the Magi, he made the required prostration at the moment of sunrise, with his face turned to the east, and thought thus to escape the humiliation of abasing himself before a mortal by the mental reservation that the intention of his act was to adore the great Persian divinity. He then swore to the peace, and was allowed to return with his army intact into Persia. \({ }^{1}\)

It seems to have been soon after the conclusion of this disgraceful treaty \({ }^{2}\) that serious troubles once more

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Such is the account given by Procopius (1. s. c.). The Persian writers, Tabari (vol. ii. pp. 132136) and Mirkhond (pp. 348, 349), substitute a story in which the old myth of Zopyrus (Herod. iii. 154158 ) is reproduced with little altoration from the traditions of a thousand years earlier. According to this tale, Perozes was guided to his destruction in the desert of Merv
by an Ephthalite chief, who muti-
lated himself in order to deceive
the Persians and secure the suo-
cess of his own soverign.
2 The first Ephthalite war of
Perozes cannot have terminated
earlier than A.D. 469, since in A.D.
468 we hear of the Persians as
still having the advantage in the
struggle (Priscus, Fr. 41). The
troubles in Armenia, which led to
}
broke gut in Armenia. Perozes, following out the policy of his father, Iscligerd, \({ }^{2}\) incessantly persecuted the Christians of his northern provinces, especially those of Armenia, Georgia, and Albania. \({ }^{2}\) So severe were his measures, that vast numbers of the Armenians quitted their country, and placing themselves under the protection of the Greek Emperor, became his subjects, and entered into his scrvice. Armenia was governed by Persian officials, and by apostate natives who treated their Christian fellow-countrymen with extreme rudeness, insolence, and injustice. Their efforts were especially directed againss the few noble families who still clung to the faith of Christ, and had not chosens to expatriate themselves. Among thee the mont important was that of the Mamigonians, long celebrated in Armenian history, \({ }^{4}\) and at this time reckoned chief among the nobility. The renegacles sought to discredit this family with the Persians; and Vahan, son of Hemaiag, its bead, found himself compelled to visit, once and agrain, the court of Persia, in order to meet the charges of his enemies and counteract the effect of their calumnies. Succorful in vindicating himelf, and received inte high farour by l'erozes, he allowed the sunshine of properity to extort from him what he had guarded timaly aquast all the blasts of perserution-to please his awereign, he formally abjured the Christian faith, and profened himself a dimiple of Zornaster.' The

\footnotetext{
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triumph of the anti-Cbristian party seemed now secured; but exactly at this point a reaction set in. Vahan became a prey to remorse, returned secretly to his old creed, \({ }^{1}\) and longed for an opportunity of wiping out the shame of his apostasy by perilling his life for the Christian cause. The opportunity was not long in presenting itself. In A.D. 481 Perozes suffered a defeat at the hand of the barbarous Koushans, who held at this time the low Caspian tract extending from Asterabad to Derbend. Iberia at once revolted, slew its Zoroastrian king, Vazken, and placed a Christian, Vakhtang, upon the throne. The Persian governor of Armenia, having received orders to quell the Iberian rebellion, marched with all the troops that he could muster into the northern province, and left the Armenians free to follow their own devices. A rising immediately took place. Vahan at first endeavoured to check the movement, being doubtful of the power of Armenia to cope with Persia, and feeling sure that the aid of the Greek emperor could not be counted on. But' the popular enthusiasm overleaped all resistance; everywhere the Christian party rushed to arms, and swore to free itself; the Persians with their adherents fled the country; Artaxata, the capital, was besieged and taken; the Christians were completely victorious, and, having made themselves masters of all Persarmenia, proceeded to establish a national government, placing at their head as king, Sahag, the Bagratide, and appointing Vahan, the Mamigonian, to be Sparapet, or 'Commander-inChief.' \({ }^{2}\)

Intelligence of these events recalled the Persian governor, Ader-Veshnasp, from Iberia. Returning into

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Lazare Parbe, p. 9.
\({ }^{2}\) Ibid. pp. 10-14.
}
his province at the head of an army of no great size, composed of Atropatenians, Medes, and Cadusians, he wis encountered by Vasag, a brother of Vahan, on the river Araxes, with a small force, and was completely defeated and slain. \({ }^{1}\)

Thus ended the campaign of A.D. 481. In A.D. 482, the Persians made a vigorous attempt to recover their loat ground by sending two armies, one under AderNenseh against Armenia, and the other under Mihran \({ }^{2}\) into Iberin. Vahan met the army of Ader-Nerseh in the plain of Ardaz, engaged it, and defeated it after a sharp struggle, in which the king, Salang, particulariy distinguished himself. Mihran was opposed by Vakhtang, the Iberian king, who, however, soon found himself overnatched, and was forced to apply to Armenia for ussintance. The Armenians came to his nid in full foree; but their generusity was ill rewurded. Vakheang plotted to make his peace with Persia by treacherously betraying his allies into their enemies' hands; and the Armenisas, forced to fight at tremendous disadvantage, suffierel a severe defeat. Sahag, the king, and Vasag, one of the brothers of Vahan, were slain; Vahan hims.If wapend, but at the head of only a few followers, with whom he fled to the highland district of Dank, on the twirders of Rome and Iberia. Here he was 'hunted upon the mountains' by Mihran, and would probably have tren furced to succumb thecore the year was out, had not the l'enian general suddenly received a summone from his swercipn, who needed his aid agninst :in- hiu-hans of the low Cospian region. Mihran, (ompallent to obey this call, had to evacuate Armenia,

\footnotetext{
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and Vahan in a few weeks recovered possession of the whole country. \({ }^{1}\)

The year a.d. 483 now arrived, and another desperate attempt was made to crush the Armenian revolt. Early in the spring a Persian army invaded Armenia, under a general called Hazaravougd. Vahan allowed himself to be surprised, to be shut up in the city of Dovin, and to be there besieged. After a while he made his escape, and renewed the guerilla warfare in which he was an adept; but the Persians recovered most of the country, and he was himself, on more than one occasion, driven across the border and obliged to seek refuge in Roman Armenia, whither his adversary had no right to follow him. Even here, however, he was not safe. Hazaravougd, at the risk of a rupture with Rome, pursued his flying foe across the frontier ; \({ }^{2}\) and Vahan was for some time in the greatest danger. But the Persian system of constantly changing the commands of their chief officers saved him. Hazaravougd received orders from the court to deliver up Armenia to a newly appointed governor, named Sapor, \({ }^{8}\) and to direct his own efforts to the recovery of Iberia, which was still in insurrection. In this latter enterprise he was successful; Iberia submitted to him; and Vakhtang fled to Colchis. But in Armenia the substitution of Sapor for Hazaravougd led to disaster. After a vain attempt to procure the assassination of Vahan by two of his officers, whose wives were Roman prisoners, Sapor moved against him with a strong body of troops; \({ }^{4}\) but the

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Lazare Parbe, pp. 18-28.
\({ }^{2}\) Ibid. p. 31.
3 Ihid. p. 32.
- This expression must be understood relatively. Nothing is more remarkable in Lazare Parbe's account of this war than the small-
ness of the numbers which he represents as engaged on either side. Persian armies rarely exceed 5,000 men. Armenian are still smaller, and are generally counted by hundreds !
}
brave Mamigonian, falling upon his assailant unawares, defeated him with great loes, and dispersed his army. \({ }^{1}\) A second battle was fought with a similar result; and the Persian force, being demoralisel, hat to retreat; while Vahan, taking the offensive, establikhed himself in Dovin, and once more rallied to his side the great mase of the nation. \({ }^{2}\) Aflains were in this state, when sarddenly there arrived from the east intelligence of the most supreme importance, which produced a pause in the Armenian conflict and led to the placing of Armesinus aflairs on a new footing.

Perozes had, from the conclusion of his trenty with the Ephthalite monarch (ab. A.D. 470), been tormented with the feeling that he had suffered degradation and disgrace. \({ }^{3}\) He had, perhaps, plunged into the Armeatian and other wans ' in the bope of drowning the rocollection of his ahume, in his own mind as well as in the minds of others. But fortune had not greatly smileal on bim in these struggles; and atby credit that he obtained from them was quite insufficient to produce forfrefulness of his great disister. Hence, as time went on, he beatme more and more anxious to wipe out the m.mory of the past by a great and signal victory over his conquerorx He therefore atter ame years \({ }^{\text {b }}\) determaned to renew the war. It was in vain that the chief Mebeal oppered himself to this intention: \({ }^{6}\) it was in van that hiv other councellors moght to dissuade him,
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that his general, Babram, declared against the infraction of the treaty, \({ }^{1}\) and that the soldiers showed themselves reluctant to fight. Perozes had resolved, and was not to be turned from his resolution. He collected from all parts of the empire a veteran force, \({ }^{2}\) amounting, it is said \({ }^{8}\) ' to 100,000 men, and 500 elephants, placed the direction of affairs at the court in the hands of Balas (Palash), his son or brother, \({ }^{4}\) and then marched upon the north-eastern frontier, with the determination to attack and defeat the Ephthalites or perish in the attempt. According to some Oriental writers, \({ }^{5}\) he endeavoured to escape the charge of having falsified his engagements by a curious subterfuge. The exact terms of his oath to Khush-newaz, the Ephthalite king, had been that he would never march his forces past a certain pillar which that monarch had erected to mark the boundary line between the Persian and Ephthalite dominions. Perozes persuaded himself that he would sufficiently observe his engagement if he kept its letter; and accordingly he lowered the pillar, and placed it upon a number of cars, which were attached together and drawn by a train of fifty elephants, in front of his army. Thus, however deeply he invaded the Ephthalite country, he never 'passed beyand 'the pillar which he had sworn not to pass. In his own judgment he kept his vow, but not in that of his natural advisers. It is satisfactory to find that the Zoroastrian priesthood, speaking by the mouth of the chief Mobed, disclaimed and exposed the fallacy of this wretched casuistry. \({ }^{6}\)

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Patkanian, from the Armenian authorities, Journal Asiatique, 1868, p. 171.

2 'Une ammé aguerrie.' (Mirkbond in De Sacy's translation, p350.)
}

The Ephthalite monarch, on learning the intention of Perozes, prepared to meet his attack by stratagem. He had taken up his position in the plain near Balkh, and had there established his camp, resolved to awais: the coming of the eneny. During the interval be proceeded to dig a deep and broad trench \({ }^{1}\) in front of bis whole position, leaving only a space of some twenty or thirty yards, midway in the work, untouched. Having excavated the trench, he caused it to be filled with water, \({ }^{5}\) and covered carefully with boughs of trees, reeds, and earth, so as to be undistinguishable from the general surface of the plain on which he was encamped. On the arrival of the Persians in his front, he finst of all held a parley with Peruzes, in which, after reproaching him with his ingrutitude and breach of faith, he concluded by offering to renew the peace. Perozee acornfully refused; whereupon the Eqhinalite prince lang on the point of a lance the broken treaty," aiml, parading it in front of the Persian troope, exhorted them to avoid the vengeance which was sure to fall on the jerjured by deeerting their donomed monarch. Lixin this. half the army, we are told, \({ }^{4}\) retired ; and khushnow:ry proxectled to effert the destruction of the remainder by means of the plan which he had so carefully prepared leforehand. He sent a portion of his troops acre... the ditch, with orders to challenge the Persians t., an engapement, and, when the fight began, to tly hantly, and, returning within the ditch by the sound

\footnotetext{
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passage, unite themselves with the main army. The entire Persian host, as he expected, pursued the fugitives, and coming unawares upon the concealed trench plunged into it, was inextricably entangled, and easily destroyed. Perozes himself, several of his sons, \({ }^{1}\) and most of his army, perished. Firuz-docht, his daughter, the chief Mobed, and great numbers of the rank and file were made prisoners. A vast booty was taken. \({ }^{2}\) Khush-newaz did not tarnish the glory of his victory by any cruelties; he treated the captives tenderly, and caused search to be made for the body of Perozes, which was found and honourably interred.

Thus perished Perozes, after a reign of (probably) twenty-six years. \({ }^{8}\) He was undoubtedly a brave prince, and entitled to the epithet of Al Merdaneh, ' the Courageous,' which he received from his subjects. \({ }^{4}\) But his bravery, unfortunately, verged upon rashness, \({ }^{5}\) and was unaccompanied (so far as appears) by any other military quality. Perozes had neither the sagacity to form a good plan of campaign, nor the ability to conduct a battle. In all the wars wherein he was per-

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Thirty, according to Procopius, i. 4 (p. 19).
\({ }_{2}\) A magnificent pearl which Perozes wore as an earring, and an amulet which he carried as a bracelet, are particularly mentinned (Procop. i. 4; pp. 21-24; Tabari, ii. p. 142).

3 Tabari (l.s.c.) makes the exact length of his reign twenty-six years and five months. Mirshond says twenty-six years (p. 351); Eutychius (vol. i. p. 100 ; vol. ii. p. 127) twenty-seven; Maçoudi (vol. ii. p. 195) twenty-nine; Agathias (iv. 27) twenty-four. The 'twentyfour years of Agathias have perhaps come from a writer who assigned the first two years after
the death of Isdigerd II. to Hormisdas. The true chronology appears to be the following:-Isdigerd II. died early in A.D. 457. Both Perozes and Hormisdas claimed the throne and reckoned themselves kings from this time. Hormisdas succumbed in A.d. 459. Perozes was killed late in \(\Delta . D .483\), twentysix vears and five months after the death of his father, twenty-four years after the death (or dethronement) of Hormisdas.

4 Mirkhond, p. 351 ; Malcolm, History of Persia, vol. i. p. 130.
\({ }^{3}\) Compare Agathias (l.s.c.):-

 тоṽ \(\beta\) oulevupivou tù Upaoìvoz.
}
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sonally engaged he was unsuccessful, and the only triumphs which gilded his arms were gained by his generals. In his civil administration, on the contrary, he obtained a character for humanity and justice ; \({ }^{1}\) and, if the Oriental accounts of his proceedings during the great famine \({ }^{2}\) are to be regarded as trustworthy, we must admit that his wisdom and benevolence were such as are not commonly found in those who bear rule in the East. His conduct towards Khush-newuz has generally been regarded as the great blot upon his good fame ; \({ }^{3}\) and it is curtainly impossible to justify the paltry casuistry by which he endeavoured to reconcile his actions with his words at the time of his second inrasion. But his persistent hoatility towards the Ephthalites is far from inexcuable, and ite motive may have been patriotic rather than personal. He probably felt that the Ephthalite power was among thowe from which Persin had most to fear, and that it would have been weak in him to allow gratitude for a fuvour conferred upon himself to tie his hands in a matter where the interests of his country were vitally concerned. The Fphthalitee continued for nearly a century more to be anuong the most dangerous of her neighbours to Persia ; and it was only by frequent attacks upon them in their own homes that Persia could reasonably hope to warl off their ravages from her territory.

It in doubtful whether we poseress any coins of Hormimas III., the brother and predecesoor of I'erozes. Thome which are assigned to him by Mordtmann \({ }^{4}\) bear a name which has no reswmblance \(w\) his; and those

\footnotetext{
'Tabari, li. p. 129: Mirtbood, © Zovarhrift, rol. viii. p. il: p. 34: \(\quad\) vul. xil. P. 1!. Tbe name on thene

- Materim. vul. i. pp 120-150; Cbudar-Varda, or Chatar-Varda Gibesa, rul r. p. 85.
}
bearing the name of Ram, which Mr. Taylor considers to be coins of Hormisdas, \({ }^{1}\) cannot have been issued under his authority, since Ram was the guardian and general, not of Hormisdas, but of his brother. \({ }^{2}\) Perhaps the remarkable specimen figured


COD OF HORMISDAS III. (doubtful). by M. Longpérier in his valuable work, \({ }^{8}\) which shows a bull's head in place of the usual inflated ball, may really belong to this prince. The legend upon it is read without any doubt as Auhrimazd, or 'Hormisdas;' and in general character it is certainly Sassanian, \({ }^{4}\) and of about this period.
The coins of Perozes are undoubted, and are very numerous. They are distinguished generally by the addition to the ordinary crown of two wings, one in front of the crown, and the other behind it, \({ }^{5}\) and bear the legend, Kadi Piruzi, \({ }^{6}\) or Mazdisn Kadi Piruzi, i.e. 'King Perozes,' or 'the Ormazd-worshipping king Perozes.' The earring of the monarch is a triple pendant. \({ }^{7}\) On the reverse, besides the usual fire-altar and supporters, we see on either side of the altar-flame a

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Num. Chron. for 1873, No. \({ }^{\text {appears to me, without sufficient }}\) 51 (New Series), pp. 220-7.
\({ }^{2}\) See above, p. 312. Mr. Thomas speaks of Rum (or Raham) as 'the paternally nominated guardian and administrator' of Hormisdas (p. 226). But the authors whom he quotes, Elisee and Moyse de Kaghank, state exactly the reversothat he governed for Perozes, defeated Hormisdas, and put him to death.
:Médailles des Sassmides, pl. ix. fig. 1.
4 Mordtmann denies this (Zeitschrift, vol. viii. p. 71), but, as it
reason.
\({ }^{5}\) These wings, which were now first introduced, became the distinguishing feature of the later coinage from Chosroës II. downwards, and passed to the Arabs. Some coins of Perozes are without the wings (see Mordtmann in the Zeitschrift, vol. viii. No. 172; Longperier, Médailles, pl. ix. fig. 2).
ii Mordtmann, Zeitschrift, vol. viii. pp. 93 et seqq. On the meaning of kadi, compare Thomas in Num. Chron. for 1873, pp. 229-230.
\({ }^{7}\) Longperier, Médailles, p. 62.
}
star and a crescent. The legend here is M-prohably for malla, 'king'-or else Kadi, together with a mint-mark. The mints named are numerous, comprising (according to Mordunamn) \({ }^{1}\) Persepolis, Ispahan, Mhages, Nebavend, Darabgherd, Zadracurta, Nisas, Behistun, Chuzistan, Media, Kerman, and Azerbijan ; or (arcording to Mr. Thomas) \({ }^{2}\) Persepolis, Rashh, Nelasvend, Darabgherd, Baizn, Modninn, Merv, Shiz, Iran, Kerman, Yezd, and fifteen others. The general character of the coinnge is rude and course, the reverse of the coins showing especial signs of degradation.


Besides his coins, one other memorina of the reign of Perozes hns escapel the ravages of time. This is a cup or vise, of antique and elegant form, engraved with a hunting-seene, which has been thus described by a recent writer:-‘This cup, which comes from Liunia, has a diameter of thirty-one centimetren, and is shaped like a cwer without handes. At the lxotom there sumbs out in relief the figure of a monarch on horvitack, pursume at full speed various wihd animals; Infore him tly a wild boar and wild sow, tegether with their young, an ibex, an antelope, and a buffalo. Two other thares, an ilex. a bufialo, and an antelope are ntrewn on the ground, piereed with arrows. . . . The

\footnotetext{
Talorhriff, ril. viii. pp i3-in: much of the direnity in the above \(1 \cdot!1: 1\) p. 1:
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king has an aquiline nose, an eye which is very wide open, a short beard, horizontal moustaches of considerable length, the hair gathered behind the head in quite a small knot, and the ear ornamented with a double pendant, pear-shaped; the head of the monarch supports a crown, which is mural at the side and back, while it bears a crescent in front; two wings surmounting a globe within a crescent form the upper part of the head-dress. . . . On his right the king carries a short dagger and a quiver full of arrows, on his left a sword. . . . Firuz, who has the finger-guard of an archer on his right hand, is represented in the act of bending a large bow made of horn.' \({ }^{1}\) There would seem to be no doubt that the work thus described is rightly assigned to Perozes.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) See the Annales de I'Institut Archeologique for 1843, vol. xv. p. 105.
}
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Perinass was succeedell by a prioce whom the Greeks call Balas, the Arabs and later Persians Palash, but whose real name appents to have been Valakhesh or Volagases. Different accounts are given of his relationship to his predecessor, the native writers unanimonsly reprementing him as the son of Perozes and brother of hinkat.' whle the Greeks ${ }^{3}$ and the contemporary Armenam" deelare with one voice that he was Kobad's unci- and Perozas' brother. It seems on the whole mont prokable that the (ireeks and Armenians are neht: and we may suppoce that Perozes, having no son whin he could truet to take his place' when he quitted

[^100]his capital in order to take the management of the Ephthalite war, put the regency and the guardianship of his children into the hands of his brother, Volâkhesh, who thus, not unnaturally, became king when it was found that Perozes had fallen.

The first efforts of the new monarch were of necessity directed towards an arrangement with the Ephthalites, whose signal victory over Perozes had laid the north-eastern frontier of Persia open to their attack. Balas, we are told, ${ }^{1}$ employed on this service the arms and arts of an officer named Sukhra or Sufraï, who was at the time governor of Seistan. Sukhra collected an imposing force, and conducted it to the Ephthalite border, where he alarmed Khush-newaz by a display of his own skill with the bow. ${ }^{2}$ He then entered into negotiations and obtained the release of Firuz-docht, of the Grand Mobed, and of the other important prisoners, together with the restoration of a large portion of the captured booty, but was probably compelled to accept on the part of his sovereign some humiliating conditions. Procopius informs us that, in consequence of the defeat of Perozes, Persia became subject to the Ephthalites and paid them tribute for two years; ${ }^{3}$ and this is so probable a result, and one so likely to have been concealed by the native writers, that his authority must be regarded as outweighing the silence of Mirkhond and Tabari. Balas, we must suppose, consented to become an Ephthalite tributary, rather than renew the war which had proved fatal to his brother. If he

[^101]accopted this position, we can well understand that Khush-newaz would grant him the small concessions of which the Persian writers boast; while otherwise the restoration of the booty and the prisoners without a batle is quite inconceivable.

Secure, so long as he fulfilled his engagements, from any molestation in this quarter, Balas was able to turn his attention to the north-western portion of his domisions, and address himself to the difficult task of pacifying Armenia, and bringing to an end the troubles which had now for several years afflicted that unhuppy province. His first step was to nominate as Marzpan, or governor, of Armenia, a Persian who bore the name of Nikhor, a man eninent for justice and moderation. ${ }^{1}$ Nikhor, instend of attucking Vahan, who held ulmost the whole of the country, since the Persimn troops had been withlnuwn on the news of the death of Perozes. ${ }^{3}$ proposed to the Armenian prince that they should discues atricably the terms upon which his nation would be content to end the war and resume its old pxition of dependence upon Persia. Vahan expressed his willingness to terminate the struggle by an arrangement, and surgested the following as the terms on which he and his adherents would be willing to lay down their arms:
(1) The existing firr-altarw should be destroyed, and no otheres should be erectend in Annenia.
(2) The Armenians should be allowed the full and frev "xarciee of the Christian religion, and no Armemians ohould be in future tempted or bribed $w$ declare themativen diseiples of Zaruaster.

[^102](3) If converts were nevertheless made from Christianity to Zoroastrianism, places should not be given to them.
(4) The Persian king should in person, and not by deputy, administer the affairs of Armenia. ${ }^{1}$ Nikhor expressed himself favourable to the acceptance of these terms; and, after an exchange of hostages, Vahan visited his camp and made arrangements with him for the solemn ratification of peace on the aforesaid conditions. An edict of toleration was issued, and it was formally declared that ' every one should be at liberty to adhere to his own religion, and that no one should be driven to apostatise.' ${ }^{2}$ Upon these terms peace was concluded between Vahan and Nikhor, ${ }^{8}$ and it was only necessary that the Persian monarch should ratify the terms for them to become formally binding.

While matters were in this state, and the consent of Balas to the terms agreed upon had not yet been positively signified, an important revolution took place at the court of Persia. Zareh, a son of Perozes, preferred a claim to the crown, and was supported in his attempt by a considerable section of the people. ${ }^{4}$ A civil war followed; and among the officers employed to suppress it was Nikhor, the governor of Armenia. On his appointment he suggested to Vahan that it would lend great force to the Armenian claims, if under the existing circumstances the Armenians would furnish effective aid to Balas, and so enable him to suppress the rebellion. Vahan saw the im-

[^103]portance of the conjuncture, and immediately sent to Nikhor's nid a powerful body of cavalry under the command of his own nephew, Gregory. Zarels was defeated, mainly in consequence of the great valour and excellent conduct of the Armenian contingent. He fled to the mountains, but was pursued, and was very shortly afterwards made prisoner and slain. ${ }^{1}$

Soon after thir, Kobad, son of Perozes, regarding the crown as rightfully his, put forward a claim to it, but, meeting with no success, was compelled to ģuit Persia and throw himeelf upon the kind protection of the Ephithatites, who were always glad to count among their refugees a Fersian pretender. The Ephthalites, however, male no immodiate stir-it would seem that so long as Balus paid his tribute they were content, and felt no inclination to disturb what seemed to them a satisfactory arrangemenh.

The death of Zarch and the flight of Kobad left Balas at liverty to resume the work which their rebellions had interrupterl-the complete pacification of Arnerna. Knowing how much depended upon Vahan, he summoned him to his court, received him with the hiphent honours, lisuened attentively to his representations, and finally apreed to the terms which Vahan had formulated. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ At the same time he replaced Nikhor by a governor named Antegan, a worthy succeswor, - mild, prudent, and equitable;' ${ }^{4}$ and, to show his contidence in the Mamigonian prince, appointerl him to the high office of Commander-in-Chief, or 'sparapet.' This arnangement did not, however, last long. Antegan, after ruling Armenia for a few monthe, represenued $\omega$

[^104]his royal master that it would be the wisest course to entrust Vahan with the government, ${ }^{1}$ that the same head which had conceived the terms of the pacification might watch over and ensure their execution. Antegan's recommendation approved itself to the Persian monarch, who proceeded to recall his self-denying councillor, and to install Vahan in the vacant office. The post of Sparapet was assigned to Vart, Vahan's brother. Christianity was then formally re-established as the State religion of Armenia; the fire-altars were destroyed; the churches reclaimed and purified; the hierarchy restored to its former position and powers. A reconversion of almost the whole nation to the Christian faith was the immediate result; the apostate Armenians recanted their errors, and abjured Zoroastrianism; Armenia, and with it Iberia, were pacified; ${ }^{2}$ and the two provinces which had been so long a cause of weakness to Persia grew rapidly into main sources of her strength and prosperity.

The new arrangement had not been long completed when Balas died (A.D. 487). It is agreed on all hands that he held the throne for no more than four years, ${ }^{8}$ and generally allowed that he died peaceably by a natural death. ${ }^{4}$ He was a wise and just prince, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ mild in his temper, ${ }^{6}$

[^105]averse to military enterprises, ${ }^{1}$ and inclined to expect better neaulss from pacific arrangements than from wars and expeditions. His internal administration of the empire gave general satisfaction to his subjects; he protected and relieved the poor, extended cultivation. nat punished governors who allowed any men in their province to fall into indigence. ${ }^{2}$ His prudence and moderation are especially conspicuous in his arrangement of the Armenian dificulty, whereby he healed a chronic sore that had long drained the rescrurces of his country. His submissjon to pay tritsute to the Ephthalites may be thought to indicate a want of counge or of patriotism; but there are times when the purchave of a pence is a necessity; and it is not clear that Balas was minded to bear the obligation imposed on him a moment longer than whe necesary. The writers who record the fact that Persia submitted for a kime to pay a tribute limit the interval during which the obligation beld to a comple of years. ${ }^{3}$ It would seem, therefore, that Balas, who reigned four years, must, a year at least before his demise, have shaken off the Ephthalite yoke and ceaseyl to make any acknowledgment of dependence. Probably it was owing to the new attitude assumed by him, that the Ephthalites, after refu-ing to give Kobad any material support for the epare of three years, adopted a new policy in the year of halas' death (a.d. 457), and lent the pretender a force' with which he was about to attack his uncle whon news reached him that attack was needleny, since Balas was dead and his own claim to the succession

[^106]undisputed. Balas nominated no successor upon his death-bed, thus giving in his last moments an additional proof of that moderation and love of peace which had characterised his reign.

Coins, which possess several points of interest, are assigned to Balas by the best authorities. ${ }^{1}$ They bear on the obverse the head of the king with the usual mural crown surmounted by a crescent and inflated ball. The beard is short and curled. The hair falls behind the head, also in curls. The earring, wherewith the ear is ornamented, has a double pendant. Flames issue from the left shoulder, an exceptional peculiarity in the Sassanian series, but one which is found also among the Indo-Scythian kings with whom Balas was so closely connected. The full legend upon the coins appears to be Hur Kadi Valakûshi, 'Volagases, the Fire King.' The reverse exhibits the usual fire-altar, but with the king's head in the flames, and with the star and crescent on either side, as introduced by Perozes. It bears commonly the legend, Valakâshi, with a mint-mark. The mints employed are those of Iran, Kerman, Ispahan, Nisa, Ledan, Shiz, Zadracarta, and one or two others.

[^107]
## CHAPTER XVIII.



 itipeso if in the Armenimos. Forolit of Anownis under Tahen, weoves. fot Noled ywale Gamernl Nolerlion in Itraig, and Depseatiom of




Cmeners, 8: 236, B, C.
Wars Kobad fled to the Ephthalitea on the failure of his attempt to seize the crown, be was received, we are told, ${ }^{3}$ with open arms ; but no material aid was given to him for the space of three years. However, in the fourth year of his exile, a change came over the Ephthalite poliry, and he returned to his capital at the head of an army, with which Khush-newaz had furnished him. The change is reasonably connected with the withholding of his tribute by Balas: ${ }^{2}$ and it is difficult tosupgoe that hobad, when he accepted Ephthalite aid. did not pledge himself to resume the subordinate preition which his uncle had been content to hold for two years. It eerms cortain that he was accompranied t. his rapital by an Ephthalite contingent, ${ }^{8}$ wheh he richly rewariled lx.fore dismissing it. Owing his throne (1, the ad thus afforided him, he can scarcely have refurl to make the exproted acknowlodpment. Distinct

[^108]evidence on the point is wanting; but there can be little doubt that for some years Kobad held the Persian throne on the condition of paying tribute to Khushnewaz, and recognising him as his lord paramount.

During the early portion of his first reign, which extended from A.D. 487 to 498 , we are told that he entrusted the entire administration of affairs to Sukhra, or Sufraï, ${ }^{1}$ who had been the chief minister of his uncle. Sufrai's son, Zer-Mihr, had faithfully adhered to him throughout the whole period of his exile; ${ }^{2}$ and Kobad did not regard it as a crime that the father had opposed his ambition, and thrown the weight of his authority into the scale against him. He recognised fidelity as a quality that deserved reward, and was sufficiently magnanimous to forgive an opposition that had sprung from a virtuous motive, and, moreover, had not succeeded. Sufraï accordingly governed Persia for some years; the army obeyed him, and the civil administration was completely in his hands. Under these circumstances it is not surprising that Kobad after a while grew jealous of his subordinate, and was anxious to strip him of the quasi-regal authority which he exercised and assert his own right to direct affairs. But, alone, he felt unequal to such a task. He therefore called in the assistance of an officer who bore the name of Sapor, and had a command in the district of Rhages. ${ }^{8}$ Sapor undertook to rid his sovereign of the incubus whereof he complained, and, with the tacit sanction of the monarch, he contrived to fasten a quarrel on Sufraï, which he pushed to such an extremity that, at the end of it, he dragged the minister from the royal

[^109]apartment to a prison, had hims heavily ironed, and in a few days caused him to be put to death. Shpor, upon this, took the place previonsly ocupied by Sufrai; he was recognised at once us Prime Minister, and Sipehbed, or commander-in-chief of the troops. ${ }^{1}$ Kobad, content to have vindicated his royal power by the remomal of Sufral, concurled to the second favourite as much as he had allowed to the first, and once more suffered the management of affairs to pass wholly into the hands of a subject.

The only war in which Persia secms to have been engaged during the first reign of Kobad was one with the Khazars, This important people, now heard of for the fint time in Persian history, appears to have ncenpied, in the reign of Kobad, the stepper country betwoen the Wolga and the Don, ${ }^{2}$ whence they made minla through the prases of the Caucasus into the fertide provinces of Theria, Albania, and Armenia. Whether they were Turks, as is generally believed, ${ }^{3}$ or Circansians, as has been ingrenounly argued by a living writer,* is doubtul: but we cannot be mistaken in requrding :hem as at this time a race of fieree and terrible barba-nian-, nomadi. in their habite, ruthless in their wars, -ruel and unciviiisel in their customs, a fearful curse to the reqions wheh they overran and desolated. We -hall mert with them apain, more than once, in the later history, and shall have to trace to their hostility

[^110]some of the worst disasters that befel the Persian arms. On this occasion it is remarkable that they were repulsed with apparent ease. Kobad marched against their Khan in person, at the head of a hundred thousand men, defeated him in a battle, destroyed the greater portion of his army, and returned to his capital with an enormous booty. ${ }^{1}$ To check their incursions, he is said to have built on the Armenian frontier a town called Amid, ${ }^{2}$ by which we are probably to understand, not the ancient Amida (or Diarbekr), but a second city of the name, further to the east and also further to the north, on the border line which separated Armenia from Iberia.

The triumphant return of Kobad from his Khazar war might have seemed likely to secure him a long and prosperous reign; but at the moment when fortune appeared most to smile upon him, an insidious evil, which had been gradually but secretly sapping the vitals of his empire, made itself apparent, and, drawing the monarch within the sphere of its influence, involved him speedily in difficulties which led to the loss of his crown. Mazdak, a native of Persepolis, ${ }^{3}$ or, according to others, of Nishapur, in Khorassan, ${ }^{4}$ and an Archimagus, or High Priest of the Zoroastrian religion, announced himself, early in the reign of Kobad, as a reformer of Zoroastrianism, and began to make proselytes to the new doctrines which he declared himself commissioned to unfold. All men, he said, were, by God's providence, born equal-none brought into the world any property, or any natural right to possess more than another.

[^111]Property and marriage were mere buman inventions, contrary to the will of God, which required an equal division of the good thinge of this world among all, and forbade the appropriation of particular women by individual men. In communities based upon property and marriage, men might lawfully vindicate their natural rights by tuking their fair share of the good things wrongfully approprinted by their fellows. Adultery, incest, theft, were not really crimes, but necesary steps towards re-cstablishing the laws of nature in such societies. ${ }^{1}$ To these communistic views, which seem to have been the original speculations of his own mind, the Magian reformer added tenets borrowed from the Prahuins or from some otber Oriental ascetics, such as the sacredness of animal life, the neceneity of abstaining from animal food, other than milk, cheese, or egke, the propricty of simplicity in apparel, and the need of abstemiousonss and devotion. ${ }^{3}$ He thus presented the spectacle of an enthusiast who preached a dixetrine of laxity and self-indulgence, not from any bate or eelfi-h motive, but simply from a conviction of ite truth.s We learn without surprise that the doctrines of the new teacher were embracel with ardour by larpe clases among the Persians, by the young of all ramk. by the lovers of pleasure, by the great bulk of the lower orders.' But it naturally moves our wonder

[^112]that among the proselytes to the new religion was the king. Kobad, who had nothing to gain from embracing a creed which levelled him with his subjects, and was scarcely compatible with the continuance of monarchical rule, must have been sincere in his profession; and we inquire with interest, what were the circumstances which enabled Mazdak to attach to his cause so important and so unlikely a convert.

The explanation wherewith we are furnished by our authorities is, that Mazdak claimed to authenticate his mission by the possession and exhibition of miraculous powers. In order to impose on the weak mind of Kobad, he arranged and carried into act an elaborate and clever imposture. ${ }^{1}$ He excavated a cave below the fire-altar, on which he was in the habit of offering, and contrived to pass a tube from the cavern to the upper surface of the altar, where the sacred flame was maintained perpetually. Having then placed a confederate in the cavern, he invited the attendance of Kobad, and in his presence appeared to hold converse with the fire itself, which the Persians viewed as the symbol and embodiment of divinity. The king accepted the miracle as an absolute proof of the divine authority of the new teacher, and became thenceforth his zealous adherent and follower.

It may be readily imagined that the conversion of the monarch to such a creed was, under a despotic government, the prelude to disorders which soon became intolerable. Not content with establishing community of property and of women among themselves, the sectaries claimed the right to plunder the rich at their pleasure, and to carry off for the gratification of their

[^113]own passions the intmates of the most illustrious harems. In vain did the Mobeds declare that the new religion was false, was monstrous, ought not to be tolerated for an hour. The followers of Mazalak had the sapport of the monarch, and this protection secured them complete impunity. Each day they grew bolder and more numerous. Persin became too narrow a field for their ambition, and they insisted on spreading their doctrines into the neighbouring countries. We find traces of the acceptanes of their views in the distant West; ${ }^{2}$ and the bistorions of Armenia relate that in that unhappy country they so presed their religion upon the people that an insurrection broke out, ${ }^{8}$ and Persia was in danger of losing, by intolerance, ove of her most valued deprendencies. Vahan, the Mamigonian, who had been superseded in his office by a fresh Marzpan, bent on forcing the Armeninns to adopt the new creed, once more put himself forward as his country's champion, took arms in defence of the Christian faith, and endeavoured to induce the Greek (mineror. Anastaius, to accept the sovercignty of Persarne nia, bogether with the duty of protecting it againet it. late mater. Fear of the consequences, if he prowoked the hortility of Persia, caused Anastasius to hesitate : and things might have gone hardly with the unfortunate Armenians. ${ }^{4}$ had not affairs in I'enia itedf come about this time to a crisis.

The Moberls and the principal noblew had in vain

[^114]protested against the spread of the new religion and the patronage lent it by the Court. At length appeal was made to the chief Mobed, and he was requested to devise a remedy for the existing evils, which were generally felt to have passed the limits of endurance. The chief Mobed decided that, under the circumstances of the time, no remedy could be effectual but the deposition of the head of the State, through whose culpable connivance the disorders had attained their height. ${ }^{1}$ His decision was received with general acquiescence. The Persian nobles agreed with absolute unanimity to depose Kobad, and to place upon the throne another member of the royal house. Their choice fell upon Zamasp, ${ }^{2}$ a brother of Kobad, who was noted for his love of justice and for the mildness of his disposition. ${ }^{8}$ The necessary arrangements having been made, they broke out into universal insurrection, arrested Kobad, and committed him to safe custody in the ' Castle of Oblivion,' ${ }^{4}$ proclaimed Zamasp, and crowned him king with all the usual formalities.

An attempt was then made to deal the new religion a fatal blow by the seizure and execution of the heresiarch, Mazdak. But here the counter-revolution failed. Mazdak was seized indeed and imprisoned; but his followers rose at once, broke open his prison doors, and set him at liberty. The government felt itself too weak to insist on its intended policy of coercion.

[^115]Mazdak was allowed to live in retirement unmolested, and to increase the number of his disciples.

The reign of Zamasp appears to have lastel from A.D. 498 to A.b. 501 , or between two and three years. ${ }^{3}$ He was urged by the arny to put Kobad to death, ${ }^{2}$ but hesitated to adopt so extreme a course, and preferred retaining his rival as a prisoner. The 'Castle of Oblivion ' was regariled as a place of safe custody ; but the ex-king contrived in a ehort time to put a cheat on his guards ${ }^{3}$ and effect his cseape from condinement. Like other claimants of the Penimn throne, he at once took refuge with the Ephthalites, and sought to persuade the Great Khan to embrace his cause and place an arny at his disposal. The Khan showed himself more than orfinarily complaisant. He can searcely have sympathised with the religious leanings of his supplimat; but he remembered that he had placed hirm upoin the throne, and had found him a frithful fendatory and a quiet neighbour. He therefore received him with

[^116]every mark of honour, betrothed him to one of his own daughters, ${ }^{1}$ and lent him an army of 30,000 men. ${ }^{2}$ With this force Kobad returned to Persia, and offered battle to Zamasp. Zamasp declined the conflict. He had not succeeded in making himself popular with his subjects, and knew that a large party desired the return of his brother. ${ }^{3}$ It is probable that he did not greatly desire a throne. At any rate, when his brother reached the neighbourhood of the capital, at the head of the 30,000 Ephthalites and of a strong body of Persian adherents, Zamasp determined upon submis-
 sion. He vacated the throne in favour of Kobad, without risking the chance of a battle, and descended voluntarily into a private station. ${ }^{4}$ Different stories are told of his treatment by the restored monarch. According to Procopius, ${ }^{3}$ he was blinded after a cruel method long established among the Persians; but Mirkhond declares that he was pardoned, and even received from his brother marked signs of affection and favour. ${ }^{6}$

The coins of Zamasp have the usual inflated ball and mural crown, but with a crescent

[^117]in place of the front limb of the crown. ${ }^{1}$ The ends of the diadem appear over the two sboulders. On cither side of the head there is a star, and over either shoulder a crescent. Outside the encircling ring, or "pearl border, we see, almost for the first time, three stars with crescents. The reverse bears the usual fire-altar, with a star and crescent on either बide of the flame. The legend is extremely brief, being eisher Zanaw or Bay Zanasp, i.e. 'Zamaspes,' or 'the divine Zamuspes.'

[^118]
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The second reign of Kobad covered a period of thirty years, ${ }^{1}$ extending from A.D. 501 to A.D. $531 .^{2}$ He was contemporary, during this space, with the Roman emperors Anastasius, Justin, and Justinian, with Theodoric, king of Italy, with Cassiodorus, Symmachus, Boëthius, Procopius, and Belisarius. The Oriental writers tell us but little of this portion of his history. Their silence, however, is fortunately compensated by the unusual copiousness of the Byzantines, who deliver, at considerable length, the entire series of transactions in which Kobad was engaged with the Constantinopolitan emperors, and furnish some interesting notices of other

[^119]matters which occupied him. Procopius especially, the eminent rhetorician and secretary of Belisarius, who wus born about the time of Kobad's restoration to the Persian throne, ${ }^{1}$ and became secretary to the great general fuur years before Kobad's death, ${ }^{2}$ is ample in lis details of the chief occurrences, and deserves a confidence which the Byzantines cau rarely claim, from being at once a contemporary and a man of remarknble intelligence. 'His facty,' as Gibbon well observes,' 'are collected from the personal experience and free conversation of a soldier, a stateman, and a traveller: his style continually aspires, and often attains, to the merit of strength and elegance; his reflections, more especially in the apeeches, which he too frequently inserts, contain a rich fund of political knowledge; and the historim, excited by the generous ambition of pleasing and instructing posterity, appenrs to disdain the prejudices of the people and the flattery of courts.

The first question which Kobad had so decile, when, by the voluntary cession of his brother, Zamasp, he remounterl his throne, was the attitude which he should aveume towardy Mazdak and his followers. By openly favouring the new religion and encouraging the disordero of its votaries, he had wo disgusted the more powerful clanses of his subjects that he had lost his crown and inen forced to become a fugitive in a foreign country. He was not prepared to affront this danger a econd time. Still, his athachment to the new dactrine wa tue batien: he held the views propounded $w$ be true, and was not ahhamed to confes himelf an unwaterm: atherent of the communistic prophet.' He

[^120]contrived, however, to reconcile his belief with his interests by separating the individual from the king. As a man, he held the views of Mazdak; but, as a king, he let it be known that he did not intend to maintain or support the sectaries in any extreme or violent measures. The result was that the new doctrine languished; Mazdak escaped perseecution and continued to propagate his views; but, practically, the progress of the new opinions was checked; they had ceased to command royal advocacy, and had consequently ceased to endanger the State; they still fermented among the masses, and might cause trouble in the future ; but for the present they were the harmless speculations of a certain number of enthusiasts who did not venture any more to carry their theories into practice.

Kobad had not enjoyed the throne for more than a year before his relations with the great empire on his western frontier became troubled, and, after some futile negotiations, hostilities once more broke out. It appears that among the terms of the peace concluded in A.D. 442 between Isdigerd II. and the younger Theodosius, ${ }^{1}$ the Romans had undertaken to pay annually a certain sum of money as a contribution towards the expenses of a fortified post which the two powers undertook to maintain in the pass of Derbend, ${ }^{2}$ between the last spurs of the Caucasus and the Caspian. This fortress, known as Juroipach or Biraparach, ${ }^{3}$ commanded the usual

[^121]passage by which the horles of the north were accustomed to issue from their vast arid steppes upon the rich and populous regions of the south for the purpose of plundering raids, if not of actual conquests. Their incursions threatened almost equally Roman and Persian territory, ${ }^{2}$ and it was fett that the two mations were alike interested in preventing then. The original agreement was that both parties should contribute equally, alike to the building and to the maintuining of the fortress ; but the Romans were so occupied in other wars that the entire burden actunlly fell upon the Persinns. These latter, as was antural, made from time to time demands upon the Romans for the payment of their share of the expenses; ${ }^{2}$ but it seems that these eflorts were ineffectual, and the debt accumulated. It was under these circumstances that Kobad, finding himself in want of money to reward adequately bis Ephethalite aliies, ${ }^{5}$ sent an embasesy to Anastusius, the Roman emperor, with a peremptary demand for a remittance. The reply of Anastasius was a refusal. According tu one authority ${ }^{4}$ he declined absolutely to make any payment ; according to another, he expressed his willinguess $t$ ) lend his Persian brother a sum of money on receiving the customary acknowledgment,

$\Delta \Delta$
but refused an advance on any other terms. Such a response was a simple repudiation of obligations voluntarily contracted, and could scarcely fail to rouse the indignation of the Persian monarch. If he learned further that the real cause of the refusal was a desire to embroil. Persia with the Ephthalites, and to advance the interests of Rome by leading her enemies to waste each other's strength in an internecine conflict, ${ }^{1}$ he may have admired the cunning of his rival, but can scarcely have felt the more amicably disposed towards him.

The natural result followed. Kobad at once declared war. The two empires had now been uninterruptedly at peace for sixty, and, with the exception of a single campaign (that of A.D. 441), for eighty years. They had ceased to feel that respect for each other's arms and valour which experience gives, and which is the best preservative against wanton hostilities. Kobad was confident in his strength, since he was able to bring into the field, besides the entire force of Persia, a large Ephthalite contingent, and also a number of Arabs. Anastasius, perhaps, scarcely thought that Persia would go to war on account of a pecuniary claim which she had allowed to be disregarded for above half a century. The resolve of Kobad evidently took him by surprise; but he had gone too far to recede. The Roman pride would not allow him to yield to a display of force what he had refused when demanded peacefully; and he was thus compelled to maintain by arms the position which he had assumed without anticipating its consequences.

The war began by a sudden inroad of the host of

[^122]Persia into Roman Armenia, ${ }^{1}$ where Theodosiopolis was still the chief stronghold and the main sapport of the Roman power. ${ }^{2}$ Unprepared for resistance, this city was surrendered after a short sigge by its commandant, Constantine, after which the greater part of Armenia was overrun and navagel. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ From Armenia Kobad conducted his arnay into Northera Mesopotamia, and formed the siege of Amida about the cornmencement of the winter,* The great strength of Arnida has been already noticed in this volume. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Kobad found it ungarrisoned, and only protected by a small force, cantoned in ite neighbourhood, under the philosopher, Alypins. ${ }^{6}$ But the resolution of the townsmen, and particularly of the monks, was great ; and a most strenuous resistnoce met all his efforts to take the place. At first his hope was to effeet a breach in the defences by means of the ram; but the besinged employel the customary means of destroying bis engines, and, where these failed, the strength and thickneas of the walls was found to be such that no serious impression could be made on them by the Perian battering truin. It was necessary to have recourse to some other device; and Kobad proceeded to erect a mound in the immediate neighbourhood of the wall, with a view of dominating the town, driving the defenders from the battlements, and then taking the place by escalade. He raisel an immense work ; tut it was undernined by the enemy, and at last fell in with a terrible crawh, involving hundreds in its ruin." It in said that after this failure kobad dexpaired of suc-

[^123]cess, and determined to draw off his army; but the taunts and insults of the besieged, or confidence in the prophecies of the Magi, who saw an omen of victory in the grossest of all the insults, caused him to change his intention and still continue the siege. His perseverance was soon afterwards rewarded. A soldier discovered in the wall the outlet of a drain or sewer imperfectly blocked up with rubble, and, removing this during the night, found himself able to pass through the wall into the town. He communicated his discovery to Kobad, who took his measures accordingly. Sending, the next night, a few picked men through the drain, to seize the nearest tower, which happened to be slackly guarded by some sleepy monks, who the day before had been keeping festival, ${ }^{1}$ he brought the bulk of his troops with scaling ladders to the adjoining portion of the wall, and by his presence, exhortations, and threats, ${ }^{2}$ compelled them to force their way into the place. The inhabitants resisted strenuously, but were overpowered by numbers, and the carnage in the streets was great. At last an aged priest, shocked at the indiscriminate massacre, made bold to address the monarch himself and tell him that it was no kingly act to slaughter captives. 'Why, then, did you elect to fight?' said the angry prince. 'It was God's doing,' replied the priest, astutely; ' He willed that thou shouldest owe thy conquest of Amida, not to our weakness, but to thy own valour.' The flattery pleased Kobad, and induced him to stop the effusion of blood; ${ }^{3}$

[^124]but the sack was allowed to continue ; the whole town was pillaged; ${ }^{1}$ and the bulk of the inhabitants were carried off as slaves. ${ }^{2}$

The siege of Amida lasted eighty days, ${ }^{3}$ and the year A.D. 503 hind commenced before it was over. ${ }^{6}$ A nastnsins, on learning the danger of his frontier town, immediately desputched to its aid a considerable force, which he placed under four commanders ${ }^{5}$-Areobindus, the grandson of the Gothic officer of the same name who distinguished bimself in the Persian war of Theodosius; ${ }^{6}$ Celer, captain of the imperial guard: Patricius, the Phrygian; and Hypatius, one of his own nephews. The army, collectively, is suid to have been more numerous than any that Rome had ever brought into the field agginst the Perainus; ${ }^{7}$ but is was weakened by the disided command, and it was moreover broken up into detachments which acted imdepesselently of each other. It advent also wae tardy. Not only did it arrive too late to save Amida, but it is no way interfered with the after-movements of Kobad, who, leaving a small farrix't to maintain his new conquest, carried off the whole of his rich booty to his city of Nisibis, and placed the bulk of his troxps in a geord position upon hiv own fronticr." When Arcobindus, at the head of

[^125]the first division, reached Amida and heard that the Persians had fallen back, he declined the comparatively inglorious work of a siege, and pressed forward, anxious to carry the war into Persian territory. He seems actually to have crossed the border and invaded the district of Arzanene, ${ }^{1}$ when news reached him that Kobad was marching upon him with all his troops, whereupon he instantly fled, and threw himself into Constantia, leaving his camp and stores to be taken by the enemy. Meanwhile another division of the Roman army, under Patricius and Hypatius, had followed in the steps of Areobindus, and meeting with the advanceguard of Kobad, which consisted of eight hundred Ephthalites, had destroyed it almost to a man. ${ }^{2}$ Ignorant, however, of the near presence of the main Persian army, this body of troops allowed itself soon afterwards to be surprised on the banks of a stream, while some of the men were bathing and others were taking their breakfast, and was completely cut to pieces by Kobad, scarcely any but the generals escaping. ${ }^{3}$

Thus far success had been wholly on the side of the Persians; and if circumstances had permitted Kobad to remain at the seat of war and continue to direct the operations of his troops in person, there is every reason to believe that he would have gained still greater advantages. The Roman generals were incompetent; they were at variance among themselves; and they were unable to control the troops under their command. The soldiers were insubordinate, without confidence in their officers, and inclined to grumble at such an unwonted hardship as a campaign prolonged into the

[^126]winter. ${ }^{1}$ Thus all the conditions of the war were in favour of Persia. But, unfortunately for Kobud, it happened that, at the moment when his proopects were the farieser, ${ }^{2}$ a danger in amother quirter demanded his presence, and required him to lenve the conduct of the Homan war to others. An Ephrhalite invasion called him to the defence of his north-castern fruntier before the yeur A.D. 503 was over,' and from this time the operations in Mesopotamia were directed, not by the king in person, but by his generals. A change is at once apparent. In A.D. 504, Celer invaded Arzanene, destroyed a number of forts, and rawaged the whole province with fire and sword.' Thence marching southward, he threatened Nisibis, which is said to have been within a little of yielding itself.' Towirds winter Patricius and Hypatius took heart, and, collecting an arny, commenced the siege of Amidn, which they mitempted to storm on severnl occasions, bot without suecess.' After a while they turned the siege into a blowade, entrappect the commander of the Persian parrion, Glones, by a stratagem,' and reduced the defombers of the place to such distress that it would have leren impossible to hold out much longer. It seems to have been when matters were at this point, that an
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ambassador of high rank ${ }^{1}$ arrived from Kobad, empowered to conclude a peace, and instructed to declare his master's willingness to surrender all his conquests, including Amida, on the payment of a considerable sum of money. The Roman generals, regarding Amida as impregnable, and not aware of the exhaustion of its stores, gladly consented. They handed over to the Persians a thousand pounds' weight of gold, and received in exchange the captured city and territory. ${ }^{2}$ A treaty was signed by which the contracting powers undertook to remain at peace and respect each other's dominions for the space of seven years. ${ }^{8}$ No definite arrangement seems to have been made with respect to the yearly payment on account of the fortress, Biraparach, the demand for which had occasioned the war. This claim remained in abeyance, to be pressed or neglected, as Persia might consider her interests to require.

The Ephthalite war, which compelled Kobad to make peace with Anastasius, appears to have occupied him uninterruptedly for ten years. ${ }^{4}$ During its continuance Rome took advantage of her rival's difficulties to continue the system (introduced under the younger Theodosius ${ }^{5}$ ) of augmenting her own power, and crippling that of Persia, by establishing strongly fortified posts

[^127]upon ber border in the immediate vicinity of Persian territory. Not sontent with restoring Theodosiopolis and greatly strengthening its defences, ${ }^{1}$ Anastasina erected an entirely new fortress at Dams, ${ }^{2}$ on the southern skirts of the Mons Masias, within twelve miles of Nisibis, at the edge of the great Mesopotamian plain. This place was not a mere fort, but a city ; it contained churches, baths, porticoes, large granaries, and extenave cisterns. It constitused a standing menace to Pensia ; ${ }^{4}$ and its erection was in direct violation of the treaty made by Theodosius with Isligend IL. ${ }^{5}$ which was regarded as still in foree by both nations.

We cannot be surprised that Kobad, when his Ephthalite war whs over, made formal complnint at Constantinople (ab. A.D, 517) of the infraction of the treaty. ${ }^{4}$ Annstasius was unable to deny the charge. He endensound at first to meet it by a mixture of bluter with profesaions of friendship; but when this method did not appear effectual, he had recourse to an argument whereof the Persinns on mont occasions acknowledged the force. By the expenditure of a large sum of money he cither corrupted the ambassadors of Kobad, or made them honestly doubt whether the sum paid would not satisfy their master.:

In a.b. ©18, Anastasius died, and the imperial authority was assumed by the C'aptain of the Guard, the ' Incian peramin,' ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Justin. With him Kobad very -horly contered into negotiations. He had not, it is

[^128]clear, accepted the pecuniary sacrifice of Anastasius as a complete satisfaction. He felt that he had many grounds of quarrel with the Romans. There was the old matter of the annual payment due on account of the fortress of Biraparach; there was the recent strengthening of Theodosiopolis, and building of Daras; there was moreover an interference of Rome at this time in the region about the Caucasus which was very galling to Persia and was naturally resented by her monarch. One of the first proceedings of Justin after he ascended the throne was to send an embassy with rich gifts to the court of a certain Hunnic chief of these parts, called Ziligdes or Zilgibis, ${ }^{1}$ and to conclude a treaty with him by which the Hun bound himself to assist the Romans against the Persians. Soon afterwards a Lazic prince, named Tzath, whose country was a Persian dependency, instead of seeking inauguration from Kobad, proceeded on the death of his father ${ }^{2}$ to the court of Constantinople, and expressed his wish to become a Christian, and to hold his crown as one of Rome's vassal monarchs. Justin gave this person a warm welcome, had him baptized, married him to a Roman lady of rank, and sent him back to Lazica adorned with a diadem and robes that sufficiently indicated his dependent position. ${ }^{8}$ The friendly relations established between Rome and Persia by the treaty of a.d. 505 were, under these circumstances, greatly disturbed, and on both sides it would seem that war was expected to

[^129]break out. ${ }^{t}$ But neither Justin nor Kobad was desirous of a rupture. Both were advanced in years, ${ }^{2}$ and both had domestic troubles to occupy them. Kobad was at this time especially auxious about the succession. He bad four sons, ${ }^{8}$ Kaüses, Zames, Phehasursas, and Chosroies, of whom Kaises was the eldest. This prince, however, did not please him. His affections were tixerd on his fourth son, Chosrois, and he had no object more at lieart than to secure the crown for this finvourite chind. The Romas writers tell is $^{4}$ that instend of resenting the proceedings of Justin in the years A.D. $520-522$, Kobsud made the strange propood to him about this time that he should adopt Chomrotes, in order that that privec might have the aid of the Romans againat his countryuen, if his right of succestion should be disputed. It is, no doubt, difficult to believe that suck a proposition should have been made; bot the circumstuntial mamer in which Procogius, writing not forty years after, relates the matter, renders it almost imponible for us to reject the story as a pure fabrication. There must have been some foundation for it. In the negotiations between Justin and Kobad during the early years of the former, the idea of Rome pledging herelf to acknowledge Chosroies as his father's succesor must have been brought forward. The proposal, whatever its exact terms, led however to no result. hame derlined to do as hobad desired; ${ }^{b}$ and thus

[^130]another ground of estrangement was added to those which had previously made the renewal of the Roman war a mere question of time.

It is probable that the rupture would have occurred earlier than it did had not Persia about the year a.d. 523 become once more the scene of religious discord and conspiracy. The followers of Mazdak had been hitherto protected by Kobad, and had lived in peace and multiplied throughout all the provinces of the empire. ${ }^{1}$ Content with the toleration which they enjoyed, they had for above twenty years created no disturbance, and their name had almost disappeared from the records of history. But as time went on they began to feel that their position was insecure. ${ }^{2}$ Their happiness, their very safety, depended upon a single life; and as Kobad advanced in years they grew to dread more and more the prospect which his death would open. Among his sons there was but one who had embraced their doctrine; and this prince, Phthasuarsas, had but little chance of being chosen to be his father's successor. Kaöses enjoyed the claim of natural right; Chosroës was his father's favourite; Zames had the respect and good wishes of the great mass of the people; ${ }^{8}$ Phthasuarsas was disliked by the Magi, ${ }^{4}$ and, if the choice lay with them, was certain to be passed over. The sectaries therefore determined not to wait the natural course of events, but to shape them to their own

[^131]purposer. They promised Phthasuassas to olitain by their prayers his father's abdication and his own appointment to succoed him, and asked him to pledge himself to establish their religion as that of the Sute when he became king. The prince consented; and the Mazdakites proceeded to arrange their plans, when, unfortunately for them, Kolnad discovered, or suspected, that a scheme was on foot to deprive him of his crown. Whether the designs of the sectaries were really treasonable or not is uncertain; but whatever they were, an oriental monarch was not likely to view thenin with fasour. In the East it is an offence even to speculate on the death of the king; and Kohad saw in the intrigue which had been set on foot a criminal and dangerous conspiracy. He determined at once to crush the movement. Inviting the Mazdakites to a soletnn ussembly, at which he was to confer the royal dignity on Phtbssuannas, he cnused his army to surround the unarmed multitude and masacre the entire number, ${ }^{1}$

Relieved from this peril, Kobad would at once have declaret war against Justin, and have marched an anny into Roman territory, had not troubles broken out in Iberia, which made it necessary for him to stand on the defensive. ${ }^{2}$ Adopting the inwlerant policy s) frequently pursued, and generally with such ill results, by the l'ersian kings, Kobal had commanded Gurgenes, the Iberian monarch, to renounce Christianity and profess the Zoroastrian religion. Especially he had required that the Iberian custom of burying the dead should be relinquished, and that the Persian practice of exposing corpes to be devoured by dogs

[^132]and birds of prey ${ }^{1}$ should supersede the Christian rite of sepulture. Gurgenes was too deeply attached to his faith to entertain these propositions for a moment. He at once shook off the Persian yoke, and, declaring himself a vassal of Rome, obtained a promise from Justin that he would never desert the Iberian cause. Rome, however, was not prepared to send her own armies into this distant and inhospitable region; her hope was to obtain aid from the Tatars of the Crimea, ${ }^{2}$ and to play off these barbarians against the forces wherewith Kobad might be expected shortly to vindicate his authority. An attempt to engage the Crimeans generally in this service was made, but it was not successful. A small force was enrolled and sent to the assistance of Gurgenes. But now the Persians took the field in strength. A large army was sent into Iberia by Kobad, under a general named Boës. Gurgenes saw resistance to be impossible. He therefore fled the country, and threw himself into Lazica, where the difficult nature of the ground, the favour of the natives, and the assistance of the Romans enabled him to maintain himself. Iberia, however, was lost, and passed once more under the Persians, who even penetrated into Lazic territory and occupied some forts which commanded the passes between Lazica and Iberia. ${ }^{8}$

Rome, on her part, endeavoured to retaliate (A.D. 526) by invading Persarmenia and Mesopotamia. The campaign is remarkable as that in which the greatest general of the age, the renowned and unfortunate Belisarius, first held a command and thus commenced

[^133]the work of learning by expenience the duties of a military leader. Hitherto a mere guardsman, and still quite a youth, ${ }^{2}$ trammelled moreover by association with a colleague, he did not on this occasion reap any laurels. A Persian force under two generuls, Narses and Aratius, defonded Persarmenia, and, enyaging the Romans under Sittus and Belisarius, succeeded in defeating them. At the same time, Licelarius, a Thracian in the Romun tervice, made an incursion into the tract about Nisibis, but grew alarmed without canse and beat a speedy retreat. Hercupon Justin reculled him as incompetent, and the further conduct of the war in Mesopotamis was entrusted to Belisarius, who took up his head-quarters at Daras.

The year a.d. 527 seems to have been one in which nothing of importance was attempted on cither side. At Constantinople the Fmperor Justis had fallen into ill health, and, after associating his nephew Justininn on the lat of April, had departed this life on the lst of August. ${ }^{2}$ About the same time ${ }^{8}$ Kobad found his strength insufficient for active warfare, and put the command of his armies into the hands of his sons. The struggle continued in Lazica, but with no decisive result.4 At Daras, Belisarius, apparently, stood on the defensive. It was not till a.d. 528 had set in that he reaumerl operations in the open field, and prepared once more to measure his strength against that of Persia.

If.lisurius was stirred from his repose by an order from crourt. Desirous of carrying further the policy of

[^134]gaining ground by means of fortified posts, ${ }^{1}$ Justinian, who had recently restored and strengthened the frontier city of Martyropolis, ${ }^{2}$ on the Nymphius, sent instructions to Belisarius, early in A.D. 528, to the effect that he was to build a new fort at a place called Mindon, on the Persian border, a little to the left of Nisibis. ${ }^{3}$ The work was commenced, but the Persians would not allow it to proceed. An army which numbered 30,000 men, commanded by Xerxes, ${ }^{4}$ son of Kobad, and Perozes, the Mihran, ${ }^{5}$ attacked the Roman workmen; and when Belisarius, reinforced by fresh troops from Syria and Phœnicia, ventured an engagement, he was completely defeated and forced to seek safety in flight. The attempted fortification was, upon this, razed to the ground; and the Mihran returned, with numerous prisoners of importance, into Persia. ${ }^{6}$

It is creditable to Justinian that he did not allow the ill-success of his lieutenant to lead to his recall or disgrace. On the contrary, he chose exactly the time of his greatest depression to give him the title of 'General of the East.' 7 Belisarius upon this assembled at Daras an imposing force, composed of Romans and allies, the latter being chiefly Massagetæ. The entire number amounted to 25,000 men ; ${ }^{8}$ and with this army he would probably have assumed the offensive, had not the Persian general of the last campaign, Perozes the Mihran, ${ }^{9}$ again appeared in the field, at the head of

[^135]40,000 Persians, ${ }^{2}$ and declared his intention of besieging and taking Dinis. With the insolence of an Oriental he sent is message to Bclisarius, nequiring him to have his bath prepared for the mornow, as after taking the town be would need that kind of refneshtents. ${ }^{2}$ Belistrius contented himself, in reply, with drawing out his troops in front of Dams in a position earefully prepared befurchand, where both his centre and his flanks would be protected by a deep ditch, outside of which there would be room to aet for his cavilry. Perozes, having reconnoitrod the position, hesitated to attack it without a greater advantige of numbers, and ent hastily to Nisithis for 10,000 more soldien, whild he allowed the dny to pass without anything more serions thas a demonstration of his cavalry aguinst the Rooman leff, and some insiguificunt single combasa, ${ }^{3}$

The next marning his reinforcement arrived; ${ }^{4}$ and after some exchange of mosuges with Belisarius, ${ }^{6}$

I'menp. IV. I' p. 37, A. other, and ambemadurs bad come and
? lhid p. Ai. l'. wernatnogreat diatance, empowered
${ }^{3}$ _-u the narrative of I'ncopius on reconcile our differences-ambas-


- l'rurip i 14. ad inios.
- Therflicuming were the lettern which peacell iniwoen the iwo beatoro. il wre thas triant l'ricupiua. Iblimaniun write. It in adimitiond by all them wher hare erea the otusilarat chatr of wiadorin, that pare to animel which excelo all otbre Whorefore, if a man be a deoturter if preie. be will cause eril $n$ if inty to seigbbunting nas., Be. kut ala, bu hie uwn kith and inn . Ind be truls is the lowit erorral - th. piriome bimerlf cagable of bnneing prace cut of was. Bus thous. When it ane and l'eroin wern - o tbe trat .if terise. didot fi,tre upun Le a was luf which itherm wan bir mos - n. oime umir propocilivekinge wern pecentily dappead wwarde earh rius balc practiond upon which
which led to no result, he commenced active operations. Placing his infantry in the centre, and his horse upon either wing, as the Romans had likewise done, and arranging his infantry so that one half should from time to time relieve the other, ${ }^{1}$ he assaulted the Roman line with a storm of darts and arrows. The Romans replied with their missile weapons; but the Persians had the advantage of numbers; they were protected by huge wattled shields; and they were more accustomed to this style of warfare than their adversaries. Still the Romans held out; but it was a relief to them when the missile weapons were exhausted on both sides, and a closer fight began along the whole line with swords and spears. After a while the Roman left was in difficulties. Here the Cadiseni (Cadusians?) under Pituazes routed their opponents, and were pursuing them hastily when the Massagetic horse, commanded by Sunicas and Aigan, and three hundred Heruli under a chief called Pharas, charged them on their right flank, and at once threw them into disorder.
we have been compelled to take up arms; therefore, my Roman friends, you may be sure that you will have to meet the Persians in battle. Our resolution is taken either to compel you to do us justice, or else to hold our present position till death or old age disable us.' Belisarius made the following rejoinder :-'It is wrong, most excellent Mirrbanes, to indulge in vain boasting, and wrong, moreover, to tax one's neighbours with crimes to which they are strangers. We said with truth that Rufinus was near at hand, and had brought with him terms of peace - you yourself will not be able to deny this much longer. If, however, you are bent on fighting, we shall meet you confidently in the belief
that God is on our side. We hare conciliated His favour by the frirness of our proceedings, while your arrogance and rejection of the conditions of peace which we offered must have offended Him. To mark the justice of our cause, we shall attach to our standards, ere we engage, the documents which we huve exchanged recently.' Perozes answered to this: 'We too believe that we have not begun this war without the sanction of our own gods; under their protection we shall attack you; and we trust that their aid will enable us to take Daras to-morrow. Have my bath and my breakfast in readiness for me within the walls.' (See Procop. B. P. i. 14 ; pp. 38-9.'
${ }^{1}$ Procop. p. 40, D.

Three thousand fell, and the rest were driven back upons their main body, which still continued to fight bravely. The Romans did not pash their advantage, but were satistied to reoceupy the ground from which thoy had been driven. ${ }^{1}$

Scarcely was the battle re-established in thit quarter when the Romans found themselves in still greatar dilficulties upon their right. Here Penozes had determined to deliver his main attack. The corps of $/ \mathrm{m}$ mortals, which be had kept in reserve, and such troops ns he could spare from his centre, wene secretly massed upon his own leff, ${ }^{2}$ and charged the Raman right with such fury that it was broken and began a hasty retreat, The Persians pursued in a long column, and were carrying all hefire them, when once more an impetuons flank charge of the barburian cavalry, which now formed an important eloment in the Boman armies, changed the face of affairs, and indeed deriden the fortune of the day. The Persinn column was actually cut in two ly the Maragetic horse ; those who had advancel the furthest were completely separated from their frienls, and were at once surrounded and slain. Among them was the standard-hearer of Baresmanes, who commandeyl the l'ervian left. The fall of this man inrraand the gerneral confusion. In vain did the lervian column, wheked in its adrance, attempt an orderly retreat. The liomans asaulteal it in front and on both thatk- and a terrible carmage en-ued. The crowning dianter was the death of Baresmanes, who was slain by Sunirar, the Mand-Goth : whereupon the whole Per--ian army broke and thed without offering any further


[^136]'Immortals.' The slaughter would have been still greater, had not Belisarius and his lieutenant, Hermogenes, with wise caution restrained the Roman troops and recalled them quickly from the pursuit of the enemy, content with the success which they had achieved. It was so long since a Roman army had defeated a Persian one in the open field, that the victory had an extraordinary value, and it would have been foolish to risk a reverse in the attempt to give it greater completeness. ${ }^{1}$

While these events took place in Mesopotamia, the Persian arms were also unsuccessful in the Armenian highlands, whither Kobad had sent a second army to act offensively against Rome, under the conduct of a certain Mermeröes. The Roman commanders in this region were Sittas, the former colleague of Belisarius, ${ }^{2}$ and Dorotheus, a general of experience. Their troops did not amount to more than half the number of the enemy, ${ }^{3}$ yet they contrived to inflict on the Persians two defeats, one in their own territory, the other in Roman Armenia. The superiority thus exhibited by the Romans encouraged desertions to their side; and in some instances the deserters were able to carry over with them to their new friends small portions of Persian territory. ${ }^{4}$

In the year a.D. 531 , after a vain attempt at negotiating terms of peace with Rome, ${ }^{5}$ the Persians made an

[^137]effort to recoser their laurels by carrying the war into a new quarter und effecting a new conbimation Alamandarus, sheikh of the Surweenic Arabs, had long been a bitter enemy of the Romans, and from hissafe retreat is the desert had been accuntomed for fifty years to ravage, almost at his will, the eastern provinces of the empire! Two yens previonaly be had sarried fire and sword through the regions of Upper Syria, liad burmel the sulurbs of Chalcis, and tireatened the forman capital of the East, the rich and luxurious Antioch. He owed, it would seem, some sort of allegiance to Percin, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ although practically he was independent, and made his expeditions when and where he pleasel. However, in A.D. 531 , he put himself at the disposal of Persin, propores a joint expedition, and suggested a new plan of campaign. -Mesopontanian and Corhoenes' he snid, 'on which the Persinis were necustomed to smake their altarks, conld better resist thens than almost any other part of the Roman territory. In there provinees were the strongest of the Roman citie- fortified according to the latest rules of art. and phentifully supplied with every appliance of defollone wartare. There, tax, were the beot and bancot of the Roman tronp, and an army more mumerens than home had ever emplosed against l'er--at Infere. It would ine mont perilous tor risk an - bonniter on this groumd. Iat lersia, however, invalle the comery leyond the Euphrates, and she wombled hat few whocleo. In that region there were we trome fortrinas, nor was there any army

[^138]worth mention. Antioch itself, the richest and most populous city of the Roman East, was without a garrison, and, if it were suddenly assaulted, could probably be taken. The incursion might be made, Antioch sacked, and the booty carried off into Persian territory before the Romans in Mesopotamia received intelligence of what was happening.' Kobad listened with approval, and determined to adopt the bold course suggested to him. He levied a force of 15,000 cavalry, ${ }^{1}$ and, placing it under the command of a general named Azarethes, ${ }^{2}$ desired him to take Alamandarus for his guide and make a joint expedition with him across the Euphrates. It was understood that the great object of the expedition was the capture of Antioch.

The allied army crossed the Euphrates below Circesium, ${ }^{8}$ and ascended the right bank of the river till they neared the latitude of Antioch, when they struck westward and reached Gabbula ${ }^{4}$ (the modern Jabul), on the north shore of the salt lake now known as the Sabakhah. ${ }^{5}$ Here they learned to their surprise that the movement, which they had intended to be wholly

[^139]unknown to the Romans, had come to the ears of Belinasins, ${ }^{1}$ who had at ouce quitted Daras, and proceseled by forced marches to the defence of Syria, into which he had thrown himself with an army of 20,000 men? Romans, Isaurians. Lycaonians, ${ }^{3}$ and Arals. His troops were already interposed between the Persians and their longed for prey, Belisarius having fixed his hearl-quarters at Chalcis, ${ }^{4}$ half a degree to the west of Gabbula, and fwenty-five miles nearer to Antioch. Thus baulked of their purposes, and despuring of any greater success than they had alrealy achieved, the allies become auxious to return to Persia with thu plunder of the Syriau towus and villuges which they had sacked on their advance. Belisarius wis quite content that they should carry off their spoil, and would have considered it a sufficient victory to have fristrated the expedition without striking a blow." Wut his anny wis otherwise minded; they were eager for battle, and hoped doubtless to strip the flying foe of his rich booty. Belivarins was at last forced, against his Incture julpment, to indulge their desires and allow an rhesement, which was fought on the banks of the Euphrates, matly opprite Callinicus. ${ }^{6}$ Here the complut of the Roman tronge in action corresponded but ill th their anxiety for a conflict. The infantry indeed -roml firm. motwithetading that they fought fasting: ${ }^{7}$ but the Saraceni- Arabo, of whom a portion were on

[^140]the Roman side, and the Isaurian and Lycaonian horse, who had been among the most eager for the fray, offered scarcely any resistance; and, the right wing of the Romans being left exposed by their flight, Belisarius was compelled to make his troops turn their faces to the enemy, and their backs to the Euphrates, and in this position, where defeat would have been ruin, to meet and resist all the assaults of the foe until the shades of evening fell, and he was able to transport his troops in boats across the river. The honours of victory rested with the Persians, but they had gained no substantial advantage ; and when Azarethes returned to his master he was not unjustly reproached with having sacrificed many lives for no appreciable result. ${ }^{1}$ The raid into Syria had failed of its chief object; and Belisarius, though defeated, had returned, with the main strength of his army intact, into Mesopotamia.

The battle of Callinicus was fought on Easter Eve, April 19. Azarethes probably reached Ctesiphon and made his report to Kobad towards the end of the month. Dissatisfied with what Azarethes had achieved, and feeling that the season was not too far advanced for a second campaign, Kobad despatched an army, under three chiefs, into Mesopotamia, where Sittas was now the principal commander on the Roman side, as Belisarius had been hastily summoned to Byzantium in order to be employed against the Vandals in Africa. This force found no one to resist it in the open field, and was therefore able to invade Sophêné and lay siege to the Roman fortress of Martyropolis. ${ }^{2}$ Martyropolis was ill provisioned, and its walls were out of repair. The Persians must soon have taken it, had not
${ }_{2}^{1}$ Procop. p. 56, D.
${ }^{2}$ Ibid. p. 62, C. Compare Jo. Malal. xviii. p. 73, A, B.

Sittas contrived to sprend requors of a diversion which the Huns were abont to make ns Ronian allies. Fear of being caught between two fires paralysed the Persian commanders ; and bufore events undeceived them, news arrived in the cump that Kolad was dead, and that a new prince sat upon the throne. Unider these circumstunces, Chanaratiges, the chief of the Persian commanders, yielded to representations made by Situas, that peace would now probubly be made between the contending powers, and withdrew his urmy into Pensian territory. ${ }^{3}$

Kobad bad, in fact, been seized with paralysin on the Sth of September, ${ }^{3}$ mid, after an illnes which lasted only five days, had expired. Before dying, he had vommunicated to his chief minister, Melockes, his earnest destre that Cloorves should suceeed him upon the throne, and, ateting under the advice of Mebonles, hand formally left the crown to him by a will duly exectesl.s He is said by a comtemporiry to have been dighty-two Yare old at lir doath, an age very veldom attained by an Griental monarch. His lomg life was more than wallly wentful, and he cannot be denied the praise of antinty. prowerance, fertility of resource, and general military capurity. But he was cruel and fickle: he dogracel his ministern and his generals on insufficient arrunds: he alloweyl himelf, from considerations of puling. to smother his religions convictions: and he rokein oubjenting l'eria to the horrow of a civil war, in ..riber th pratify a favouritiom which, howeser justitind hy the event, weme to have rented on no worthy mane: Chererem was preferre⿻l on account of his


[^141]loved wife, ${ }^{1}$ rather than for any good qualities; and inherited the kingdom, not so much because he had shown any capacity to govern as because he was his father's darling.

The coins of Kotad are, as might be expected from the length of his reign, very numerous. In their general appearance they resemble those of Zamasp, but do not exhibit quite so many stars and crescents. The legend on the obverse is either 'Kavát' or 'Kavát afzui,' i.e. 'Kobad,' or 'May Kobad be increased.' ${ }^{2}$ The reverse shows the regnal year, which ranges from eleven to forty-three, ${ }^{8}$ together with a mint-mark. The mint-marks, which are nearly forty in number, comprise almost all those of Perozes, together with about thirteen others. ${ }^{4}$

cuin of kobad.

[^142]
## CHAPTER XX.

Accmion of Chomoze 1. (Anmationama). Congirncy to delhrowe him cruched. Giencral Seewrity of his Gounnumont. Hite comctule Preco wieh Rome, A.d. Brs. Tiorme of the Prace. Camoes echich lat to ito Ruppure. Firct Romam Wior of Chouruti, A.D. 840-644. Sacuma Howem Wier, A.D. B49-857. Eaclern Wrave Cwnyet of Aralia
 of Itroarmomia. Third Roman W'er, A.D. 672-579. Deeth of Cheories.



Tue accession of Chosrotis was not altogether undisputed. Kuises, the eldest of the sons of Kobad, reganding himself as entitled to the crown by right of birth, assumed the insigmia of rovalty on the death of hi- fithor and daimul to he arknowledged as mothar fi.' But Metundec, the (irand Vizier, interpomend w:l the anothon of a comtitutional axiom, that mo .6., i.en the rowh of taking the Persian crown until it w.r :a-pund th him be the anembly of the mobles." K....... wher themeht he mieht count on the gowniwill

 W.:. i.-. handerin forwand the formal tertament of
 m......- 1: t. the moblo, exhertent them to acrept as h.a. : t. bate prine denguated ly a brave and one-


[^143]the claims of Kaöses and of at least one other son of Kobad ${ }^{1}$ were set aside; and, in accordance with his father's will, Chosroës was proclaimed lawful monarch of Persia.

But a party among the nobles were dissatisfied with the decision to which the majority had come. They dreaded the restlessness, ${ }^{2}$ and probably feared the cruelty, of Chosroës. It might have been expected that they would have espoused the cause of the disappointed Kaöses, which had a solid basis of legality to rest upon; but, apparently, the personal character of Kaöses was unsatisfactory, or, at any rate, there was another prince whose qualities conciliated more regard and aroused more enthusiasm. Zames, the second son of Kobad, had distinguished himself repeatedly in the field, ${ }^{3}$ and was the idol of a considerable section of the nation, who had long desired that he should govern them. Unfortunately, however, he possessed a disqualification fatal in the eyes of Orientals; he had, by disease or mischance, lost one of his eyes, and this physical blemish made it impossible that he should occupy the Persian throne. ${ }^{4}$ Under these circumstances an ingenious plan was hit upon. In order to combine respect for law and usage with the practical advantage of being governed by the man of their choice, the discontented nobles conceived the idea of conferring the crown on a son of Zames, a boy named after his grandfather Kobad, on whose behalf Zames would naturally be regent. ${ }^{5}$ Zames readily came into

[^144]the plot; several of his brothers, and, what is most strange, Chorrois' maternal uncle, the Aspebed, sulp jrorted him ; the conspinary seemed nearly sure of success, when, by some aceident, it was discuverel, and the occupant of the throne took prompt and effectual measures to crush it. Zames, Kac̈eses, and all the wher sons of Kobad were seized by order of Chorrois, and, theyther with their entire male oftppring, were condemined to death. ${ }^{1}$ The Aspebeel, and the other aobles fuund to have been uccessnry to the conspirncy, were, at the same time, executed. One prince alone, the infended puppet-ling, Kobud, escaped, thronght the compusaion of the Persian who had churge of him, and, after passing many years in concealment, bicaume a refugce at the Court of Constantinople, where he was kindly treated by Justitrium. ${ }^{7}$

When Chusrots had by these means secured himself ugninst the chims of pretenders, he proceeded to anploy equal severity in represing the disordens, praishing the crimes, and compelling the abjeet submission of hir -ubierts. The heresiarch Mazdak, who had escaped the prerecution instituted in his later gears by hobad, and the sect of the Mazdakites. which, despite that prrawution, was still strong and vigorous, were the tiret to experimene the oppreswive weight of his resentwacht: and the corpers of a humdral thousand martyrs hackering upen gibbect proved the determination of the new monarch to make his will law, whatever the converuences. In a similar spirit, the hesitation of M.trali- th olvey instantanemuly an order sent him by :he king wan puai-hed capitally, and with circum-

[^145]the claims of Kaüses and of at least one oth. Kobad ${ }^{1}$ were set aside; and, in accordance father's will, Chosroës was proclaimed lawfiul . of Persia.

But a party among the nobles were dissati: the decision to which the majority had con: dreaded the restlessness, ${ }^{2}$ and probably : cruelty, of Chosroies. It might have bee. that they would have espoused the cause , appointed Kä̈ses, which had a solid basis: to rest upon; but, apparently, the personai of Kaioses was unsatisfactory, or, at any ratt. another prince whose qualities conciliated $u$. and aroused more enthusiasm. Zames, the of Kobad, had distinguished himself repert: field, ${ }^{3}$ and was the idol of a considerable a. nation, who had long desired that he sh them. Unfortunately, however, he pos: qualification fatal in the eyes of Orientals: disease or mischance, lost one of his ey physical blemish made it impossible tha! occupy the Persian throne. ${ }^{4}$ Under th" stances an ingenious plan was hit upon. combine respect for law and usage with $t$ advantage of being governed by the m: choice, the discontented nobles conceived conferring the crown on a son of Zames, a after his graudfather Kobad, on whose ha. would naturally be regent. ${ }^{5}$ Zames readil)

[^146]
stances of peculiar harshness, ${ }^{1}$ by the stern prince, who did not allow gratitude for old benefits to affect the judgments which he passed on recent offences. Nor did signal services in the field avail to save Chanaranges, the nobleman who preserved the young Kobad, from his master's vengeance. The conqueror of twelve nations, betrayed by an unworthy son. was treacherously entrapped and put to death on account of a single humane act which had in no way harmed or endangered the jealous monarch. ${ }^{2}$

The fame of Chosroës rests especially on his military exploits and successes. On first ascending the throne, he seems, however, to have distrusted his capacity for war; and it was with much readiness that he accepted the overtures for peace made by Justinian, who was anxious to bring the Eastern war to a close, in order that he might employ the talents of Belisarius in the reduction of Africa and Italy. A truce was made between Persia and Rome ${ }^{3}$ early in A.D. 532; and the truce was followed after a short interval by a treatyknown as 'the endless peace' 4 -whereby Rome and Persia made up their differences and arranged to be friends on the following conditions:-(1) Rome was to pay over to Persia the sum of eleven thousand pounds of gold, or about half a million of our money, as her contribution towards the maintenance of the Caucasian defences, the actual defence being undertaken by Persia; (2) Daras was to remain a fortified

[^147]prost, but was not to be made the Roman bead-quarters in Mesopotamin, which were to be fixed at Constantia ; ( 3 ) the district of Pharangium and the caale of Bolon, which Rome had recently taken from Persia, were to be restored, and Persia on hor part was to surrender the forts which she had captured in Lazica; (4) Rome and Pensia were to be eternal frietuls and allien, and were to. aid each other whenever required with supplies of men and money. ${ }^{1}$ Thus was cerminuted the thirty years' war, which, cummencing in A.D. 502 by the atzack of Kobad on Anastasius, ${ }^{2}$ wne brought to a close in A.D. 592, and ratified by Justininu in the year following,

When Chosrois consented to sulestitute close relations of amity with Rome for the herediary enmity which had been the normal policy of his house, he probably expected that no very striking or remarkable resules would follow. He supposed that the barbarian neighbours of the expirv on the north and on the west woild give her arms sufficient employment. and that the Kalance of power in Eastern Europe and Western Asia would remain much as lefore. But in these expertation he was displpointed. Justinian no somer found his cantern frontior serure than he directed the whole force of the empire upon his enemies in the regrions of the wort, and in the course of half a dozen years (a.d. 3.3:3-:3:0), by the aid of his great general, Ikelisarius, he deatroved the kingedom of the Vandals in the ngrion almot C'arthare and lumis, sublued the Moxors. ${ }^{\text {and }}$ breneht to its lant gavp the pwer of the (ontogoths in

[^148]Italy. ${ }^{1}$ The territorial extent of his kingdom was nearly doubled by these victories; his resources were vastly increased; the prestige of his arms was enormously raised; veteran armies had been formed which despised danger, and only desired to be led against fresh enemies; and officers had been trained capable of conducting operations of every kind, and confident, under all circumstances, of success. It must have been with feelings of dissatisfaction and alarm not easily to be dissembled that the Great King heard of his brother's long series of victories and conquests, ${ }^{2}$ each step in which constituted a fresh danger to Persia by aggrandising the power whom she had chiefly to fear. At first his annoyance found a vent in insolent demands for a share of the Roman spoils, which Justinian thought it prudert to humour ; ${ }^{8}$ but, as time went on, and the tide of victory flowed more and more strongly in one direction, he became less and less able to contain himself, and more and more determined to renounce his treaty with Rome and renew the old struggle for supremacy. His own inclination, a sufficiently strong motive in itself, was seconded and intensified by applications made to him from without on the part of those who had especial reasons for dreading the advance of Rome, and for expecting to be among her next victims. Witiges, the Ostrogoth king of Italy, and Bassaces, an Armenian chief, were the most important of these applicants. Embassies from these opposite quarters ${ }^{4}$ reached Chosroës in the same

[^149]year, A.D. 339 , and unged him for his own secirity to declare war against Justininn before it was too lnte. 'Justinian,' the ambessadors said, 'nimed at universal empire. His aspirations had for a while been kept in check by Persil, and by Persia alone, the sole power in the world that he feared. Since the "endless peace" was made, he had felt himself free to give full vent to his ambitious greed, had commenced a course of aggression upon all the other conterminous mations, and had spread war and confusion on all sides. He had deatroyed the kingdom of the Vandala in Africn, conquered the Moors, deceivel the Goths of Italy by professions of friendship, and then fallens upon them with all his forces, violated the rights of Armenia and driven it to rebellion, enslaved the Taani and the Lazi, seized the Greek city of Bosporus, and the " Lale of Falms" on the shores of the Red Sea, solicited the allinnce of barbarous Huns and Ethiopians, striven to sow discord between the Pensinn monarch and his vamals, ${ }^{1}$ and in every part of the world ahown himself equally grasping and restless. What would be the conequence if Persia continued to hold aloof? Simply that all the other nations would in turn be destroyed, and she would find herself face to face with their deatroyer, and would enjoy the poor satisfaction of being devoured last. But did she fear to be reproacherl with breaking the treaty and forfeiting her plealgal word? Rume had alrcady broken it by her intripues with the Huns, the Ethiopians, and the Sanacens: and Persia would therefore be free frow

[^150]reproach if she treated the peace as no longer existing. The treaty-breaker is not he who first draws the sword, but he who sets the example of seeking the other's hurt. Or did Persia fear the result of declaring war? Such fear was unreasonable, for Rome had neither troops nor generals to oppose to a sudden Persian attack. Sittas was dead; ${ }^{1}$ Belisarius and the best of the Roman forces were in Italy. If Justinian recalled Belisarius, it was not certain that he would obey; and, in the worst case, it would be in favour of Persia that the Goths of Italy, and the Armenians who for centuries had been subjects of Rome, were now ready to make common cause with her.' Thus urged, the Persian king determined on openly declaring war and making an attack in force on the eastern provinces of the empire.

The scene of contest in the wars between Rome and Persia had been usually either Mesopotamia or Armenia. On rare occasions only had the traditional policy been departed from, and attempts made to penetrate into the richer parts of the Roman East, and to inflict serious injury on the empire by carrying fire and sword into peaceful and settled provinces. Kobad, however, had in his later years ventured to introduce a new system, and had sent troops across the Euphrates into Syria ${ }^{2}$ in the hope of ravaging that fertile region and capturing its wealthy metropolis, Antioch. This example Chosroës now determined to follow. Crossing the great stream in the lower portion of its course, he led his troops up its right bank, past Circesium, Zenobia, ${ }^{3}$ and Callinicus,

[^151]to Suronn, ${ }^{1}$ a Roman town on the west aide of the river. As thin small phace ventured to resist him, Chosrois, bent upon terrifying the other towns into submission, resolved to take a signal revenge. Though the garrison, after losing their commandant, made overtures for a surrender, he iusisted on entering forcibly at one of the gates, and thea, upon the strength of this violent entrance, procieded to treat the city as one taken by storm, pillaged the houses, mossacred a large portion of the inhabitants, enslaved the others, and in conclusion set the place on fire and burned it to the ground. ${ }^{\text {? }}$ It was perhaps in a fit of remurse, though possibly only under the influence of greed, ${ }^{5}$ that shortly afterwurds he allowed the neighbouring bishop of Sergiopolis to ransom thew unfortunte captives, Iwelve thousand in number, for the modest stm of two hundred pounds of gold.

From Suron the iavading amy advanced to Hionapolis, ' without encountering the enemy, who did not dare to make any resintance in the open field, but sought the protection of walls and strongholds. The defences of Hierapolis were in tolerable order; its garrison was fairly strong : and the Great King therefore prudently rivilved to allow the citizens $\omega$ ransom themselves and their city at a molerate price. Two thousand pounds of silver was the amount fixed upon; and this sum was pmid without any complaint by the Hierapolites. Plunder, mot conquest was already distinctly set before the invalier's mind as his aim ; and it is said that he even offereyl at this period to evaruate the Roman territory

[^152]altogether upon receiving a thousand pounds of gold. ${ }^{1}$ But the Romans were not yet brought so low as to purchase a peace; it was thought that Antioch and the other important towns might successfully defy the Persian arms, and hoped that Justinian would soon send into the field an army strong enough to cope with that of his adversary. The terms, therefore, which Chosroës offered by the mouth of Megas, bishop of Berhœa, were rejected; the Antiochenes were exhorted to remain firm; Ephraim, the bishop, was denounced to the authorities for counselling submission; and it was determined to make no pacific arrangement, but to allow Chosroës to do his worst. ${ }^{2}$ The Persian, on his side, was not slack or remiss. No sooner had he received the ransom of Hierapolis than he advanced upon Berhœa (now Aleppo), which he reached in four days. ${ }^{8}$ Observing that the defences were weak, he here demanded twice the ransom that he had accepted from the Hierapolites, and was only induced to forego the claim by the tears and entreaties of the good bishop, who convinced him at length that the Berhœans could not pay so large a sum, and induced him to accept the half of it. A few more days' march brought him from Aleppo to the outskirts of Antioch ; and after an interval of nearly three centuries ${ }^{4}$ the 'Queen of the East,' the richest and most magnificent of Oriental cities, was once more invested by Persian troops and threatened by a Sassanian monarch.

A great calamity had fallen upon Antioch only fourteen years previously. The entire town had been ruined by a succession of terrible earthquakes, which commenced in October, A.D. 525, and terminated in August

[^153]of the ensuing year. ${ }^{2}$ All for a time was havoe and disorder. A landalip had covered a portion of the city, ${ }^{2}$ and in the remainder almost every bouse was overhirown. But the liberality of Justinian, ${ }^{8}$ the equirit of the inhabitunts, and the efforts of the governor, ${ }^{4}$ had efficed these disanters ; and the city, when the Pensians appeared before is, was in most respects grouler and more magnificent than ever. The defences were, however, it would seem, imperfect. The citadel especinlly, which was on the high ground south of the city, had been constructed with small attention to the ruler of engineering art, and was dominated by a height at a little listance, which ought to have been included within the walls. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Nor was this deficiency compensated by any strength in the garrison, or any weight of anthority or talent amoug those with whom rested the command. Justiminn had originally sent his nephew, Germanus, to conduct the defence of the Syrian cupital, while Buzes, an officer who had guined some supute in the Arnenian war, ${ }^{\text {² }}$ was entrusted with the general protertion of the East until Belisarius should arrive from Italy; ${ }^{8}$ but Germanus, after a brief stay, withdruw from Antioch into Cilicia,' and Buzes disappeared without anyone knowing whither he had betaken him-w-lf. ${ }^{10}$ Antioch was left almost without a garrison; and

[^154]had not Theoctistus and Molatzes, two officers who commanded in the Lebanon, come to the rescue and brought with them a body of six thousand disciplined troops, ${ }^{1}$ it is scarcely possible that any resistance should have been made. As it was, the resistance was brief and ineffectual. Chosroës at once discerned the weak point in the defences, and, having given a general order to the less trusty of his troops to make attacks upon the lower town in various places, himself with the flower of the army undertook the assault upon the citadel. Here the commanding position so unaccountably left outside the walls, enabled the Persians to engage the defenders almost on a level, and their superior skill in the use of missile weapons soon brought the garrison into difficulties. The assailants, however, might perhaps still have been repulsed, had not an unlucky accident supervened, which, creating a panic, put it in the power of the Persians by a bold movement to enter the place. The Romans, cramped for room upon the walls, had extemporised some wooden stages between the towers, which they hung outside by means of ropes. It happened that, in the crush and tumult, one of these stages gave way; the ropes broke, and the beams fell with a crash to the earth, carrying with them a number of the defenders. The noise made by the fall was great, and produced a general impression that the wall itself had been broken down; the towers and battlements were at once deserted; the Roman soldiers rushed to the gates and began to quit the town; while the Persians took advantage of the panic to advance their scaling ladders, to mount the walls, and to make themselves masters of

[^155]the citadel. ${ }^{1}$ Thus Antioch was Laken. The prudence of Chosroès was shown in his quietly allowing the armed force to withdraw; his resolve to trample down all resistance appeared in his slaughter of the Antiochene youth, who with a noble recklersness continued the conflict after the soldiers had fled; his wish to inspire terror far and wide made him deliver the entire city, with few exceptions, to the flames ; ${ }^{2}$ while his avarice caused him to plunder the churches, and to claim as his own the works of art, the marbles, bronzes, tablets, and pictures, with which the Queen of the Roman East was at this time abundantly provided. But, while thus gratifying his most powerful passions, he did not lose sight of the opportunity to conclude an advantageous peace. Justinime's ambusadors had long been pressing him to come to terms with their master. He now consented to declare the conditions on which he wns ready to make preace and withdraw his arny. Rome must pay him, as as indennity for the cost of the war, the sum of five thousand pounds of gold, and must also contract to make a further payment of five hundrex pounds of gold annually, not as a tribute, but as a fair contribution towards the expense of maintaining the ('aspian Gates and keeping out the Huns.' If himtages were given him, he would consent to abstain from further acts of hostility while Justinian was conoulted on these proposals, and would even begin at once ti. withlraw his army. The ambassadons readily agreed (1) there urms, and it was undentood that a truce

[^156]would be observed until Justinian's answer should be delivered to Chosroës.

But the Great King, in thus formulating the terms on which he would be content to make peace, did not intend to tie his own hands, or to allow the Syrian cities before which he had not yet appeared to be quit of him without the payment of ransom. After visiting Seleucia, the port of Antioch at the mouth of the Orontes, bathing in the blue waters of the Mediterranean, and offering sacrifice to the (setting?) sun upon the shore, ${ }^{1}$ he announced his intention of proceeding to Apameia, a city on the middle Orontes, which was celebrated for its wealth, and particularly for its possession of a fragment of the 'true cross,' enshrined in a case which the pious zeal of the faithful had enriched with gold and jewels of extraordinary value. ${ }^{2}$ Received peacefully into the city by the submissive inhabitants, instead of fixing their ransom at a definite sum, he demanded and obtained all the valuables of the sacred treasury, ${ }^{8}$ including the precious relic which the Apamæans regarded as the most important of their possessions. As, however, it was the case, and not its contents, that he coveted, while he carried off the former, he readily restored the latter to the prayers of the bishop and inhabitants. ${ }^{4}$

[^157]From Apameia Chosrois returned to Antioch, and after witnessing the games of the amphitheatre and securing victory to the !reen champion because Justinian preferred the blue, ${ }^{1}$ he set out at last on his return to Persia, taking care to visit, upon his way to the Euphrates, the city of Chalcis. ${ }^{2}$ the only important place in Sorthern Syria that had hithertu cesajued him. The (halcidians were required not only to ransom themsilves by a sum of money, but to give up the Chosroeis the Laman soldiers whe garrisoned their town. By a perjury that may well be forgiven them, they avoided the more impurtant conaression, but they had to satisfy the avario. of the conqueror by the payment of two hundret pusuds of gold. The Persian host then continued it-march, and reaching the Fuphrates at Obhane, in the berphimourlomel of Barbalissus. ${ }^{3}$ croseed by a bridge of Inats- in threr days. The object of Chosrenes in thus Abaping his return lone of march was to continue in Lemmon M.anntamia the course which he had adopted in
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resolved upon its siege. The city was defended by two walls, an outer one of moderate strength, and an inner one sixty feet high, with towers at intervals, whose height was a hundred feet. Chosroës, having invested the place, endeavoured to penetrate within the defences by means of a mine; but, his design having been betrayed, the Romans met him with a counter-mine, and completely foiled his enterprise. Unwilling to spend any more time on the siege, the Persian monarch upon this desisted from his attempt, and accepted the contribution of a thousand pounds of silver as a sufficient redemption for the great fortress. ${ }^{1}$

Such is the account of the matter given to us by Procopius, who is our only extant authority for the details of this war. But the account is violently improbable. It represents Chosroës as openly flying in the face of a treaty the moment that he had concluded it, and as departing in a single instance from the general tenor of his proceedings in all other cases. In view of the great improbability of suoh a course of action, it is perhaps allowable to suppose that Procopius has been for once carried away by partisanship, and that the real difference between the case of Daras and the other towns consisted in this, that Daras alone refused to pay its ransom, and Chosroës had, in consequence, to resort to hostilities in order to enforce it.

Still, no doubt, the whole conduct of Chosroës in enforcing ransoms from the towns after the conclusion of the truce was open to serious question, and Justinian was quite justified in treating his proceedings as a violation of his recent engagements. It is not unlikely that, even without any such excuse, he would shortly

[^158]have renewed the atruggle, since the return of Belisarius in triumph from the ltalian war had placed at his service for employment in the Fast a general from whose abilities much was naturally expected. As it was, Justinius wus uble, on receiving intelligence of the fines levied on Apameia, Chalcis, Edessa, Constantinn, and Duns, and of the hoatile acts committed against the last-numed place, with great show of reason and justice, to renounce the recently concluded pence, and to throw on the ill faith of Chosrois the blume of the rupture. ${ }^{\text {I }}$

The Persiun prinee seems to have paid but litule heed to the denunciation. He pused the wintar in building and beautifying a Pervian Antioch ${ }^{3}$ in the neighlourbood of Cusiphon, assigning it as a residence to his Syrian captives, for whose use he constructed public bathe and a spacious hippodrome, where the entertainments familiar to them from their youth were reproduced by Syrian artists. ${ }^{2}$.The new city was exempt from the jurisdintion of Persan satraps, and was made directly dependent upon the king, who supplied it with corn gratuitususly, and allowed it to become an inviolable asylum for all such Greek slaves as should take shelter in it, and be acknowledged as their kinsmen by any of the inhabitants. A model of Greek civilisation was thus brought into close contact with the l'enian court, which could amuse itself with the contranta, if it did not learn much from the comparison, of Eurיpran and Asiatic manners and modes of thought.

The campaign of a.d. 340 was followed by one of a

[^159]very different character in A.D. 541. An unexpected offer suddenly made to the Persiap king drew him from his capital, together with the bulk of his troops, to one of the remotest portions of the Persian territory, and allowed the Romans, instead of standing on their defence, to assume an aggressive attitude in Mesopotamia, and even to retaliate the invasion which the year before Chosroës had conducted into the heart of their empire. The hostile operations of a.d. 541 had thus two distinct and far-distant scenes; in the one set the Persians, in the other the Romans, took the offensive; the two wars, for such they in reality were, scarcely affected one another; and it will therefore be convenient to keep the accounts of them distinct and separate. To commence with
I. The Lazic War.-Lazica had been a dependency of Rome from the time when Tzath, upon his conversion to Christianity, professed himself the vassal of Justin, ${ }^{1}$ and received the insignia of royalty from his new patron (A.d. 522). The terms of the connection had been at the first honourable to the weaker nation, which paid no tribute, admitted no Roman garrison, and was troubled by no Roman governor. ${ }^{2}$ As time went on, however, the Romans gradually encroached upon the rights of their dependants; they seized and fortified a strong post, called Petra, upon the coast, ${ }^{8}$ appointed a commandant who claimed an authority as great as that of the Lazic king, and established a commercial monopoly which pressed with great severity upon the poorer classes of the Lazi. ${ }^{4}$ Under these circumstances, the nation

[^160]determined on revole; and in the winter of A.D. 540-1 lazic ambassadons visited she court of Persin, exposed the grievances of their countrymen, and besought Chosrois to accept their submission, and extend to them the protection of his government ${ }^{1}$. The province was distant, and possewed few attractions; whatever the tales told of its ancient wealth, or glories, or trade, ${ }^{2}$ in the time of Choarois is was poor and unproductive. dependent on its neighbours for some of the necessaries and all the conveniences of life, and capable of exporting nothing but timber, slaves, and skins." It might have been expected, under such circumstansen, that the burden of the protactorate would have beon refused; but there was an advantage, appanent or real, in the position of the cosuntry, discovered by the angucity of Chosrois or suggested to him by the interested seal of the envoys, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ which made its posession seem to the Pensian king a matter of the highest importance, and induced him to secept the offer made him withous a moment's delay. Lazica, the uncient Colchis and the moxlern Mingrelia and Imeritia, bordered upon the Black Liat, which the Persian dominions did not as yet wurh. Once in posscssion of this tract, Chosroès conceived that he might launch a fleet upon the Euxine,

[^161]command its commerce, threaten or ravage its shores, and even sail against Constantinople and besiege the Roman emperor in his capital. The Persian king, therefore, acceded to the request of the envoys, and, pretending to be called into Iberia by a threatened invasion of the Huns, ${ }^{1}$ led a large army to the Lazic border, was conducted into the heart of the country by the envoys, received the submission of Gubazes, the king, and then, pressing on to the coast, formed the siege of Petra, where the Roman forces were collected. ${ }^{2}$ Petra offered a stout resistance, and repulsed more than one Persian assault; but it was impossible for the small garrison to cope with the numbers, the engineering skill, and the ardour of the assailants. After the loss of their commandant, Johannes, and the fall of one of the principal towers, the soldiers capitulated ; Petra was made over to the Persians, who restored and strengthened its defences, and Lazica became for the time a Persian province.
II. The War in Mesopotamia.-Belisarius, on reaching the eastern frontier, fixed his head-quarters at Daras, ${ }^{8}$ and, tinding that the Persians had no intention of invading Syria or Roman Mesopotamia, resolved to lead his troops into the enemy's territory. As his forces were weak in numbers, ill-armed, and ill-supplied, he could scarcely hope to accomplish any great enterprise; but it was important to recover the Roman prestige after the occurrences of the preceding year, and to show that Rome was willing to encounter in the open field any force that the Persians could bring against her. He therefore crossed the frontier and advanced in the direction of Nisibis, ${ }^{4}$ less with the intention of attacking

[^162]the town than of distinctly offering batte to the troopa collected within it. His schetne succeerled; a small force, which he threw out in advance, drew the enemy from the walls; and their punsut of this detachment brought them into contact with the main army of Belisarius, which repulsed them and sent them flying into the town. ${ }^{1}$ Having thus extablished his superiority in the field, the Boman general, though be could not attack Nisibis with any prospect of success, was able to adopt other oflensive mensures. He advanced in person a day's march beyond Nisibis, and captured the fort of Sisauruoon. ${ }^{2}$ Eight humdred Persian cavalry of the first class were unde prisoners, and sent by Belisarius to Byzantium, whence they were desputched by Justiniats to Italy, where they ecrved ugainst the Goths. Arechas, the chief of the Saracens who fought on the side of Rosie, was sent still further in advance. The ordens given bin were to cross the Tigris into Asyris, and begin to navage it, but to return within a short tume to the camp, and bring a report of the strength of the Persians beyond the river. If the report was favuurable. Belisarius intended to quit Mesopotamia, and take the whole Roman force with him into Assyria. Hi- plans, however, were frustrated by the selfish Arab, who, wishing to obtain the whole Assyrian spoil for himelf, dismissed his Roman troops, proceeded to plunder the rich province on his own account, and sent Ih.livirius no inkelligence of what he was doing. After wating at Sisauramin till the heats of summer had decimatal his army, the Roman general was compelled to retreat by the discontent of the soldiery and the reprearntations of his principal officers. He withdrew his

[^163]forces within the Roman frontier without molestation from the enemy, and was shortly afterwards summoned to Constantinople to confer on the state of affairs with the emperor. ${ }^{1}$

The military operations of the next year (a.d. 542) were comparatively unimportant. Chosroës collected a large army, and, repeating the movement of a.d. 540, made his appearance in Commagene early in the year, ${ }^{2}$ intending to press forward through Syria into Palestine, ${ }^{8}$ and hoping to make himself master of the sacred treasures which he knew to be accumulated in the Holy City of Jerusalem. He found the provincial commanders, Buzes and Justus, despondent and unenterprising, disinclined to meet him in the field, and content to remain shut up within the walls of Hierapolis. Had these been his only opponents, thecampaign would probably have proved a success; but, at the first news of his invasion, Justinian despatched Belisarius to the East for the second time, and this able general, by his arts or by his reputation, succeeded in arresting the steps of Chosroës and frustrating his expedition. Belisarius took up his head-quarters at Europus, on the Euphrates, a little to the south of Zeugma, and, spreading his troops on both banks of the river, appeared both to protect the Roman province and to threaten the return of the enemy. Chosroës having sent an emissary to the Roman camp under the pretence of negotiating, but really to act the part of a spy, ${ }^{4}$ was so impressed (if we may believe Procopius) by the

[^164]accounts which he received of the ability of the general and the warlike qualities of his soldiers, that he gave up the idea of advancing further, and was content to retire through Roman Mesopotamia into his own territories. He is said even to have made a convention, that he would commit no hostile act as he paseed through the Roman province; but if 80 , he did not keep the engagement. The city of Callinicus lay in his way; its defences were undergoing repairs, and there was actually a gap in one place where the old wall had been pulled down and the new one had not yet been built. The Persian king could not resist the temptation of seizing this cany prey; he entered the undefended town, enslaved all whom he found in it, and then razed the place to the ground. ${ }^{\text {t }}$

Surh is the account which the Byzantine historian gives of the third campaign of Chosrois against the homans, and of the motive and manner of his retreat. Without taxing him with falsehood, we may suspect that, fur the elloritication of his favourite hero, he has keph hack a pertion of the truth. The retreat of Chosrin. mayl be: as riball with much probability to the .riane of an ether danger, more formidable than Belia: an, which exarely at this time made its appearance in the c.ountry where:, he wav hateming. It was in ti.w anmur of ab ity that the plasice broke out ar 1r: orum. : and opreal from that centre rapidy into t... :- et in Beyp and alen into Palentine. Chowrois
 Ho . Si then ulumately wape it : but he might hope to .... - . and it wendid chearly have lnen the hoight of imis: : a.... : have carried out his intention of invading
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Palestine when the plague was known to be raging there.

The fourth year of the Roman war (A.D. 543) opened with a movement of the Persian troops towards the Armenian frontier, ${ }^{1}$ consequent upon the desertion of the Persian cause by the Roman Armenians in the course of the winter. ${ }^{2}$ Chosroës in person once more led the attack, and proceeded as far as Azerbijan ; but, the pestilence breaking out in his army, he hastily retreated, ${ }^{8}$ after some futile attempts at negotiation with the Roman officers opposed to him. Belisarius had this year been sent to Italy, and the Roman army of the East, amounting to thirty thousand men, ${ }^{4}$ was commanded by as many as fifteen generals, almost of equal rank, among whom there was little concert or agreement. Induced to take the offensive by the retirement of the Persian king, these incapable officers invaded Persarmenia with all their troops, and proceeded to plunder its rich plains and fertile valleys. Encountering suddenly and unexpectedly the Persian general, Nabedes, who, with a small force, was strongly posted at a village called Anglon, ${ }^{5}$ they were compelled to engage at disadvantage; their troops, entangled in difficult ground, found themselves attacked in their rear by an ambush; Narses, the bravest of them, fell; and, a general panic seizing the entire multitude, they fled in the extremest disorder, casting away their arms, and pressing their horses till they sank and expired. ${ }^{6}$ The Persians pursued, but with caution, and the carnage was not so great as might have been expected ; but vast

[^165]numbers of the disarmed fugitives were overtaken and made prisoners by the eneny ; mil the arme, animals, and camp equipment which fell into the hands of the Pereians amply oumpensited nil previous lusese, and left Persarmemia the richer for the inroud,

The ravages of the peatilence having censed, Chosrows, in the following year (a.D. 344 ), again murched westward in person, ind laid siege to the city of Elesan. ${ }^{1}$ It would scent thas he had now resolved not to the content with plundering raids, but to attempt at any rate the pernament conquest of some portion of the Roman territory. Filewan and Daras were the two towns on which the Romans possession of Western Mesopotamia at this time mainly depended. As the pasing of Nisibis, in A.D. Bas, from Roman into Persian hands, ${ }^{2}$ had given to Persin a secure hold on the essters portion of the country between the rivers, mo the occupation of Eilesa and Daras, could it have been effected, would have carried with it dominion over she more weetern regions. The Roman frontier would in this way have been thrown back to the Euphrates. ('howrens must be understood as aiming at this grand realt in the sicge which he so pertinaciously pressed, and which File wio gallantly rewisted, during the summer of a b. itt. The elalorate account which Procopiun piven of the siegre ${ }^{3}$ may be due to a sense of its improtance. Chowrois tried, not force only, but every art known to the engineering science of the period; he repeated his asviulte day after day; he allowed the defonter nor repore: yet he was compelled at last to own himelf lxitfled by the valour of the small Roman farrimon and the apirit of the native inhabitantes, 0

[^166]' Sre abure, p O3tis.
$-2$
burn his works, and to return home. The five hundred pounds of gold ${ }^{1}$ which he extorted at last from Martinus, the commandant of the place, may have been a salve to his wounded pride; but it was a poor set-off against the loss of men, of stores, and of prestige, which he had incurred by his enterprise.

It was, perhaps, his repulse from the walls of Edessa that induced Chosroës, in A.D. 545 , seriously to entertain the proposals for an arrangement which were made to him by the ambassadors of Justinian. Throughout the war there had been continual negotiations; but hitherto the Persian king had trifled with his antagonist, and had amused himself with discussing terms of accommodation without any serious purpose. Now at last, after five years of incessant hostilities, in which he had gained much glory but little profit, he seems to have desired a breathing-space. Justinian's envoys visited him at Ctesiphon, ${ }^{2}$ and set forth their master's desire to conclude a regular peace. Chosroës professed to think that the way for a final arrangement would be best prepared by the conclusion, in the first instance, of a truce. He proposed, in lieu of a peace, a cessation of hostilities for five years, during the course of which the causes of quarrel between the two nations might be considered, and a good understanding established. It shows the weakness of the Empire, that Justinian not only accepted this proposal, but was content to pay for the boon granted him. Chosroës received as the price of the five years' truce the services of a Greek physician and two thousand pounds of gold. ${ }^{8}$
The five years' truce seems to have been observed with better faith by the Persian than by the Roman

[^167]monarch. Alamundarus indeed, though a Persian vassal, regarded himself as entitled, despite the truce, to pursuc his quarrel with his natural enemy, Arethas, ${ }^{1}$ who acknowledged the suzerainty of Rome; but Chosroies is not even accused of instigating his proceedings ; and the war between the vassals was carried on without dragging either of the two lords-paramount intw its vortex. Thus far, then, neither side had any cause of complaint against the other. If we were bound $w$ accept the Roman story of a project formed by Chosruis for the surprise and seizure of Daras, ${ }^{2}$ we should have to admit that circumstances rather than his own will saved the Persian monarch from the guilt of being the first to break the agreement. But the tale told by Prucopius is improbable; ${ }^{3}$ and the Roman belief of it can have rested at best only upon suspicion. Chowruis, it is allowed, committed no hostile act ; and it may well be doubued whether he really entertained the denign aseribed to him. At any rate, the design wa- int exwuted, bir even attempten : and the peace "at- thue tue broken on his part. It was reserved for Li, n:u in the fiurth gear of the truere (a.b. it!!) ex-
 an', allanare mad monting them a landy of eight thouash men to holp them againe the l'ersians.4

Wey onm atter their submisenion to lersia, the lazi

[^168]had repented of their rash and hasty action. They found that they had gained nothing, while in some respects they had lost, by their change of masters. The general system of the Persian administration was as arbitrary and oppressive as the Roman. If the commercial monopoly, whereof they so bitterly complained, had been swept away, commerce itself had gone with it, and they could neither find a market for their own products, nor obtain the commodities which they required. ${ }^{1}$ The Persian manners and customs introduced into their country, if not imposed upon themselves, were detestable to the Lazi, who were zealous and devout Christians, and possessed by the spirit of intolerance. ${ }^{2}$ Chosroës, after holding the territory for a few years, became convinced that Persia could not retain it unless the disaffected population were removed and replaced by faithful subjects. He designed therefore, we are told, to deport the entire Lazic nation, and to plant the territory with colonies of Persians and others, on whose fidelity he could place full reliance. ${ }^{3}$ As a preliminary step, he suggested to his lieutenant in Lazica that he should contrive the assassination of Gubazes, the Lazic king, in whom he saw an obstacle to his project. Phabrizus, however, failed in his attempt to execute this commission ; ${ }^{4}$ and his failure naturally produced the immediate revolt of the province, which threw itself once more into the

[^169]arms of Rome, and. despite the existing treaty with the P'ersian- Was taken hy Justinian under his protection.

The lazie war, which commenced in consequence of this ant of Justinian's, continued ahost without in-tormis-ion for nine vears from a.b. ift! to isio. Its detail-are related at areat lempth by lrocopius and Agathisis.' who viow the strughe as one which vitally conereral the intereat of their comery. Acrordag to thom. 1 horron:, wan In ont upon hodiding Laziea in order (1. cometruet at the mouth of the Ihasis a great naval -iation and atrenal, from which his theres might issue f., command the commerce or ravage the shores of the Biawh Si:a.: There is un doubt that the country was rhinaraty titted tor such a purpore. The mil is for He ment part riehly fertike ${ }^{3}$ the hills are everywhere










centre of the Persian power, Petra. This place, which was strongly situated on a craggy rock projecting into the sea, had been carefully fortified by Justinian ${ }^{1}$ before Lazica passed into the possession of Chosroës, and had since received important additions to its defences at the hands of the Persians. ${ }^{2}$ It was sufficiently provisioned, ${ }^{8}$ and was defended by a body of fifteen hundred men. ${ }^{4}$ Dagisthæus, the Roman commander, besieged it with his entire force of eight thousand men, and succeeded by his constant attacks in reducing the garrison to little more than a fourth of its original number. Baffled in one attempt to effect a breach by means of a mine, he had contrived to construct another, and might have withdrawn his props, destroyed the wall, and entered the place, had he not conceived the idea of bargaining with the emperor for a specific reward in case he effected the capture. ${ }^{5}$ Whilst he waited for his messenger to bring a reply, the Persian general, Mermeroës, forced the passes from Iberia into Lazica, and descended the valley of the Phasis with an army of 30,000 men. ${ }^{6}$ Dagisthæus in alarm withdrew, and Petra was relieved and revictualled. The walls were.repaired hastily with sandbags, ${ }^{7}$ and the further defence was entrusted to a fresh garrison of 3,000 picked soldiers. ${ }^{8}$ Mermeroës then,

[^170]finding it difficult to obtain supplite for his large army, retired into Persarmenia, leaving only five thousand Persians in the country besides the garrison of Petra. This small force was soon afterwards surprised by the combined Romans and Lazi, who completely defeated it, destroying or making prisoners almost the entire number. ${ }^{1}$

In the ensuing year, A.D. 550, the Pervians took the field under a fresh general, Chorianes, ${ }^{2}$ who brought with him a considerable army, composed of Persians and Alans. The allied Romans and Lazi, under Dagisthous and Gubazes, gave battle to this new foe on the banks of the Hippis (the Tschenikal?) ; and though the Lazi, who had insisted on taking the lead and fighting separately, were at the first encounter routed by the l'enian horse, yet in the end Roman discipline and stublxornness triumphed. Their solid line of footmen, bristling with spears, offered an impervious barrier to the cavalry of the enemy, which did not clare to chatere but had rewoure to wolleys of missiles. The
 fir at wher on sumething like ceren terms, the superior
 Incer protertion which their shidh pave to the Buroprathe, untal at late be a stroke of fortume, lame obs-tata-il the vietory. A chance arrow killed (horianer. anit has army inetanty flend. There was a short struggle $\therefore$ : top l'oratin camp: hut the Romans and Iatzi cap: .: l it. Mont of the lensiana were here prit to the




[^171]Bessas, ${ }^{1}$ and the siege of Petra was recommenced. The strength of the place had been considerably increased since the former attack upon it. A new wall of great height and solidity had been built upon a framework of wood in the place which Dagisthæus had so nearly breached; the Roman mines had been filled up with gravel ; ${ }^{2}$ arms, offensive and defensive, had been collected in extraordinary abundance; a stock of flour and of salted meat had been laid in sufficient to support the garrison of 3,000 men for five years; and a store of vinegar, and of the pulse from which it was made, had likewise been accumulated. ${ }^{8}$ The Roman general began by attempting to repeat the device of his predecessor, attacking the defences in the same place and by the same means; but, just as his mine was completed, the new wall with its framework of wood sank quietly into the excavation, without suffering any disturbance of its parts, while enough of it still remained above the surface to offer an effectual bar to the assailants. ${ }^{4}$ It seemed hopeless to recommence the mine in this place, and elsewhere the natare of the ground made mining impossible ; some other mode of attack had therefore to be adopted, or the siege must have been abandoned. Rome generally took towns by the battering-ram ; but the engines in use were of such heavy construction that they could not be dragged up an ascent like that upon which Petra stood. Bessas was in extreme perplexity, when some Hunnic allies, who happened to be in his camp, suggested a mode of constructing a ram, as effective as the ordinary one, which should nevertheless be so light that it could be carried on the shoulders of forty men. ${ }^{5}$ Three such

[^172]machines were quickly made; and under their blows the wall would soon have given way, had not the defenders employed against them the terrible agency of fire, showering upon them from the walls lighted casks of sulphur, bitumen, and naphtha, which last was known to the Greeks of Colchis as 'Medea's oil.' ${ }^{1}$ Cucertain of succeeding in this attack, the Roman general gallautly led a scaling party to another portion of the walls, and, mounting at the head of his men, attempted $\omega$ make good his footing on the battlements. ${ }^{2}$ Thrown headlong to the ground, but undeterred by his fall, he was about to repeat his attempt, when he found it needless. Almoot simultaneously, his troups had in two other places penetrated into the town. One buusd had obtained an entrance by scaling the rocks in a place supposed $t w$ be inaccessible $;^{2}$ a serond owed its succeas to a combination of accidents. Fint, it had huppenel that a gap had shown itself in the pioce of the wall which sank into the Roman mine, and a whent trupule had anowd between the aswilants athl defomber at this place. Thon, while this fight wis serite on, the fire which the Persians were using
 of wand bown back upen themelver, and the wonden thuture from which they thusht had inen ignited.
 is mana'... It , ieght of the contharation, the ler. -it:- whor rame in the gap had hout heort, and had
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it into Petra. Thus fell the great Lazic fortress, after a resistance which is among the most memorable in history. Of the three thousand defenders, seven hundred had been killed in the siege; one thousund and seventy were destroyed in the last assault. Only seven hundred and thirty were made prisoners; and of these no fewer than seven hundred and twelve were found to be wounded. The remaining five hundred threw themselves into the citadel, and there resisted to the last extremity, refusing all terms of capitulation, and maintaining themselves against an overwhelming force, until at last by sword and fire they perished to a man. ${ }^{1}$

The siege of Petra was prolonged far into the winter, and the year A.D. 551 had begun ere the resistance ceased. ${ }^{2}$ Could the gallant defenders have maintained themselves for a few more weeks, they might not improbably have triumphed. Mermeroës, the Persian commander of two years previously, ${ }^{8}$ took the field with the commencement of spring, and, at the head of a large body of cavalry, supported by eight elephants, ${ }^{4}$ began his march to the coast, hoping to relieve the beleaguered garrison. Unfortunately he was too late. On his march he heard of the capture of Petra, and of its complete destruction by Bessas, ${ }^{5}$ who feared lest the Persians should again occupy the dangerous post. Mermeroës had no difficulty in establishing Persian rule through almost the whole of Lazica. The Romans did not dare to meet him in the field. ${ }^{6}$ Archæopolis, in-

[^173]小.enl. repulated his attank: but no other important. phare in the antire country remained subjert the the Empire. Gubazes atal his followers had to hide them-
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lingness of Chosroës to conclude on these terms a fresh truce for five years, to take effect from the delivery of the money. With regard to the extent of country whereto the truce should apply, he agreed to an express limitation of its range-the settled provinces of both empires should be protected by it, but Lazica and the country of the Saracens should be excluded from its operation. ${ }^{1}$ Justinian consented to these terms, despite the opposition of many of his subjects, who thought that Rome degraded herself by her repeated payments of money to Persia, and accepted a position little better than that of a Persian tributary. ${ }^{2}$

Thus the peace of a.D. 551 did nothing towards ending the Lazic war, which, after languishing through the whole of a.d. 552, burst out again with renewed vigour in the spring of A.D. 553. Mermeroës in that year advanced from Kutaïs against Telephis, ${ }^{3}$ a strong fort in the possession of Rome, expelled the commandant, Martinus, by a stratagem, pressed forward against the combined Roman forces, which fled before him from Ollaria, ${ }^{4}$ and finally drove them to the coast and cooped them up in 'the Island, ${ }^{5}$ a small tract near the mouth of the Phasis between that stream and the Docônus. On his return, he was able to reinforce a garrison which he had established at Onoguris in the immediate neighbourhood of Archæopolis, as a means of annoying and weakening that important station. ${ }^{6}$ He may naturally have hoped in one or two more

[^174]campaigns to have driven the last Roman out of the country and to have attached Lazica permanently to the empire of the great king.
Unluckily, however, for Persia, the fatigues which the gallant veteran had undergone in the campaign of A.D. 553 proved more than his aged frame could endure, and he had scarcely reached Kutais when be was seized with a fatal malady, to which he succumbed in the course of the winter. ${ }^{1}$ Chosroës appointed as his successor a certain Nachoragan, who is said to have been a general of repute, ${ }^{2}$ but who proved himself quite unequal to the position which he was called upon to fill, and in the course of two years ruined the Persian cause in Lazica. The failure was the more signal from the fact that exactly at the time of his appointment circumstances occurred which seriously shook the Roman influence over the Lazi, and opened a prospret to Persia transcending aught that she could reasonably have hoperl. This was nothing less than a mint uriou quarrel lixtwern Gubazes, the Lazir king. and orme of the principal homan commaders-a

 wapacty of the Roman chicfo. hat made complaint of tio in to Ju-timian: ${ }^{3}$ they hand retaliated by acousing ham of meditatime derertion, and had obtamed the
 varoble if he offirel rexistance. Armend with this monalare they contrived in a litthe time to fasten a fiarrel dijnon him: and, when he derdinest to do as the.y repurrel. they drew their swonds upon him and
slew him. ${ }^{1}$ The Lazic nation was, naturally enough, alienated by this outrage, and manifested an inclination to throw itself absolutely into the arms of Persia. ${ }^{2}$ The Romans, dispirited at the attitude of their allies, and at variance among themselves, could for some months after Gubazes' death have offered but little resistance to an enterprising enemy. So demoralised were they that an army of 50,000 is said to have fled in dismay when attacked by a force of Persians less than a twelfth of their number, ${ }^{3}$ and to have allowed their camp to be captured and plundered. During this critical time Nachoragan remained inactive in Iberia, and contented himself with sending messengers into Lazica to announce his near approach and to animate and encourage his party. ${ }^{4}$ The result was such as might have been expected. The Lazi, finding that Persia made no effort to take advantage of their abstention, and that Rome despite of it maintained possession of the greater portion of their country, came to the conclusion that it would be unwise to desert their natural allies on account of a single outrage, however monstrous, and agreed to renew their close alliance with Rome on condition that the murderers of Gubazes should be punished, and his brother, Tzathes, appointed king in his place. ${ }^{5}$ Justinian readily gave his consent ${ }^{6}{ }^{6}$ and the year a.d. 555 saw the quarrel ended, and the Lazi once more heartily in accord with their Roman protectors.

It was when affairs were in this state, and he had exactly missed his opportunity, that Nachoragan took the field, and, advancing from Iberia into the region

[^175]about Kutais with an army amounting to 60,000 men, ${ }^{3}$ made preparations for carrying on the war with vigour. He was opposed by Martinus, Justin, and Babns, the two former of whom with the bulk of the Roman forces occupied the region on the lower Phasis, known as 'the Island,' while Babas held the more central position of Archaoopolis. ${ }^{2}$ Nachoragna, after losing about 2,000 of his best troops in the vicinity of this last-named place, ${ }^{3}$ reaolved to challenge the Romans to a decisise encounter by attucking the important post of Phasis at the mouth of the river. With some skill he succeeded in presing the Roman cump on the island, und in establishing himself in the phain directly south of Phasis before the Romas generals guessed his purpose ${ }^{\text {a }}$. They, however, were able by a quirk movement to throw thenselves into the town, and the struggle became one between fairly balanced forces, and was conducted with great obstinacy. The town was defended on the south by an outer palisade, a broad ditch protected by sharp stakes and full of water, and an inner bulwark of considerable height but constructed wholly of woox. ${ }^{5}$ The Phasis guarded it in the north; and here a loman fleet was stationed which lent its aid to the defenders at the two extremitiou of their line. The gards of the ships were manned with widicre, and boats were hung from them containing -lugerv, archers, and even workers of catapults, who delivered their weapons from an elevation exceeding that of the tuwere. But Nachoragan had the advanta, w, "f numbers: his men scon succeceded in filling up
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part of the ditch; ${ }^{1}$ and the wooden bulwark could scarcely have long resisted his attacks, if the contest had continued to be wholly one of brute strength. But the Roman commander, Martinus, finding himself inferior in force, brought finesse and stratagem to his aid. Pretending to receive intelligence of the sudden arrival of a fresh Roman army from Byzantium, he contrived that the report should reach Nachoragan and thereby cause him to divide his troops, and send half of them to meet the supposed reinforcements. ${ }^{2}$ Then, when the Persian general nevertheless renewed his assault, Martinus sent secretly 5,000 men under Justin to a short distance from Phasis ; ${ }^{8}$ and this detachment, appearing suddenly when the contest was going on at the wall, was naturally taken for the newly arrived army, and caused a general panic. The Persians, one and all, took to flight; a general sally was made by the Romans in Phasis; a rout and a carnage followed, which completely disheartened the Persian leader, and led him to give up his enterprise. ${ }^{4}$ Having lost nearly one-fourth of his army, ${ }^{5}$ Nachoragan drew off to Kutaïs, and shortly afterwards, leaving the command of the Persians in Lazica to Vaphrizes, retired to winter quarters in Iberia. ${ }^{6}$

The failure of Nachoragan, following closely upon the decision of the Lazi to maintain their alliance with Rome in spite of the murder of Gubazes, seems to have convinced the Persian monarch that, in endeavouring

[^176]to annex Lazica, he had engaged in a hopeless enterprise, and that it would be the most prudent and judicious course to yield to the inevitable, and gradually withdraw from a position which was untenable. Having meted out to Nachoragan the punishment usually assigned to unsuccessful commanders in Persia, ${ }^{1}$ he sent an ambassador to Byzantium ${ }^{2}$ in the spring of a.D. 556, and commenced negotiations which he intended to be serious. Diplomacy seems, to have been as averse in the days of Chosrois as in our own to an undignified rapidity of proceeding. Hence, though there could be little to debute where both parties were substantially at one, the negotiations begun in May A.d. 556 were not concluded till after the commencensent of the folluwing yeur. ${ }^{8}$ A complete suspension of hostilities was then agreed upon, to extend to Lazica no lees than to the other dominions of the two monarchs. ${ }^{6}$ In Iaxica each party was to keep what it posecsed, territory, citicy, and castles. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ As this joint occupation was warcoly suitable for a permanent arrangement, it was prosidell that the two lelligerents should. during the continuance of the truce, proseed to settle the terms It which a lanting pace might tre extablished. ${ }^{6}$

An inters of of tive goan elapeed before the happy
 athxans. wa- arcomplished.' lt is uncertain how
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are some grounds for believing that he was engaged in the series of Oriental wars ${ }^{1}$ whereof we shall have to speak presently. Success appears to have crowned his arms wherever he directed them; but he remained undazzled by his victories, and still retained the spirit of moderation which had led him in A.D. 557 to conclude the general truce. He was even prepared, after five years of consideration, to go further in the line of pacific policy on which he had then entered, and, in order to secure the continuance of his good relations with Rome, was willing to relinquish all claim to the sovereignty of Lazica. Under these circumstances, ambassadors of the highest rank, representing the two powers, met on the frontier between Daras and Nisibis, proclaimed the power and explained the motives of their respective sovereigns, and after a lengthy conference formulated a treaty of peace. The terms, which are given at length by a writer of the succeeding generation, ${ }^{2}$ may be briefly expressed as follows: ${ }^{3}$-(1) The Persians were to withdraw from Lazica, to give up all claim to it, and to hand over its possession to the Romans; (2) they were in return to receive from Rome an annual sum of 30,000 pieces of gold, the amount due for the first seven years being paid in advance; ${ }^{4}$ (3) the Christians in Persia were guaranteed the full and free exercise of their religion, but were forbidden to make converts from the disciples of Zoroaster;

[^177](4) commercial intercourse was to be allowed between the two empires, but the merchants were restricted to the use of certain roads and certain emporia; (5) diplomatic intercourse was to be wholly free, and the goods of ambassadors were to be exempt from duty; (6) Daras was to continue a fortified town, but no new fortresses were to be built upon the frontier by either nation, and Daras itself was not to be made the headquarters of the Prefect of the East, or to be held by an unnecessarily large garrison; (7) all disputes arising between the two nations were to be determined by courts of arbitration: (8) the allies of the two nations were to be included in the treaty, and to participate in its benefits and obligations; (9) Persia was to undertake the sole charge of maintaining the Caspian Gates against the Huns and Alans; (10) the peace was made for a period of fifty years.

It has been held that by this treaty Justinian consented $\omega$ become a tributary of the Persian Empire: ${ }^{1}$ and undoubteally it was pexvible for Oriental vanity to repreernt the arrangement made in this light. ${ }^{2}$ But the mallion and a half, which lume undertoxk to pay In the course of the next fity yean, might well be wowed ly the homans as an outlay for which they rewerad an ample return in the cension to them of the I'reath part of Jaciaa, and in the termination of their
 the 1 :aphian diatere. If there was any real danger of there raculta following from the l'ersian oxcupation of

[^178]Lazica which both nations anticipated, ${ }^{1}$ the sum must be considered to have been one of the best investments ever made by a State. Even if we believe the dangers apprehended to have been visionary, yet it cannot be viewed as an exorbitant price to have paid for a considerable tract of fertile country, a number of strong fortresses, and the redemption of an obligation which could not with honour be disowned.

To Chosroës the advantage secured by the treaty was similar to that which Rome had obtained ${ }^{2}$ by the peace of A.D. 532. Being no longer under any necessity of employing his forces against the Romans in the north-west, he found himself free to act with greatly increased effect against his enemies in the east and in the south. Already, in the interval between the conclusion of the general truce and of the fifty years' peace, he had, as it seems, invaded the territories of the Ephthalites, ${ }^{8}$ and, with the help of the Great Khan of the Turks, inflicted upon this people, so long one of Persia's most formidable enemies, a severe defeat. According to Tabari, he actually slew the Ephthalite monarch, ravaged his territory, and pillaged his treasures. ${ }^{4}$ About the same time he had also had a war with the Khazars, had overrun their country, wasted it with fire and sword, and massacred thousands of the inhabitants. ${ }^{5}$ He now entertained designs against Arabia and perhaps India, countries on which he could not hope to make an impression without earnest and concentrated effort. It was doubtless with the view of

[^179]extending his influence into these quarters that the Persian monarch evacuated Lazica, and bound his country to maintain peace with Rome for the next half-century.

The position of aflairs in Arabia was at the time abnormal and intereating. For the most part that vast but sterile region has been the home of almost countless tribes, living independently of one another, each under its own sheikh or chief, in wild and unrestruined freedom. ${ }^{1}$ Native princes have seldom obtained any widely extended dominion over the scattered population ; and foreign powers have still more rurely exercised authority for any considerable period over the freedom-loving descendants of Ishmael. But towards the beginning of the sixth century of our era the Abyssinians of Axum, a Christian people, 'raised' far ' above the ordinary level of African barbarism' 2 by their religion and by their constant intercourse with liome, succeeded in attaching to their empire a large portion of the Happy Arabia, and ruled it at first from their African capital, but afterwards by means of a worey, whome dependence on the Negus of Abys--nata was little more than mominal. Abraha, an Abys--min of high rank. ${ }^{3}$ lxing deputeal by the Negus to r. . .atabii-h the authority of Abyesinia over the Yemen wholn it war shaken by a preat revolt, made himself min:!r of the country, invumed the crown, extablisherl Diswmian in all the chief citien, built numerous

[^180]churches, especially one of great beauty at Sana, ${ }^{1}$ and at his death left the kingdom to his eldest son, Yaksoum. ${ }^{2}$ An important Christian state was thus established in the Great Peninsula ; and it was natural that Justinian should see with satisfaction, and Chosroës with some alarm, the growth of a power in this quarter which was sure to side with Rome and against Persia, if their rivalry should extend into these parts. Justinian had hailed with pleasure the original Abyssinian conquest, and had entered into amicable relations with both the Axumites and their colonists in the Yemen. ${ }^{8}$ Chosroës now resolved upon a counter movement. He would employ the quiet secured to him by the peace of a.d. 562 in a great attack upon the Abyssinian power in Arabia. He would drive the audacious Africans from the soil of Asia, and would earn the eternal gratitude of the numerous tribes of the desert. He would extend Persian influence to the shores of the Arabian Gulf, and so confront the Romans along the whole line of their eastern boundary. He would destroy the point d'appui which Rome had acquired in South-western Asia, and so at once diminish her power and augment the strength and glory of Persia.

The interference of Chosroës in the affairs of a country so distant as Western Arabia involved considerable difficulties; but his expedition was facilitated by an application which he received from a native of the district in question. Saif, the son of Dsu-Yezm, descended from the race of the old Homerite kings whom the Abyssinians had conquered, grew up at the court of Abraha in the belief that that prince, who had married

[^181]his mother, was not his step-father, but his father. ${ }^{1}$ Undeceived by an insult which Masrouq, the true son of Abraha and successor of Yaksoum, offered him, ${ }^{2}$ Saif became a refugee at the court of Choaroeis, and importuned the Great King to embrace his quarrel and reinstate him on the throne of his fathers. He represented the Homerite population of Yemen as groaning under the yoke of their oppressors and only waiting for an opportunity to rise in revolt and shake it off. A few thousand Persian troops, enough to form the nucleus of an army, would suffice; they might be sent by sea to the port of Aden, near the mouth of the Arabian Gulf, where the Homerites would join them in large numbers; the combined forces might then engage in combat with the Abyisinians, and destroy them or drive them from the land. Chosrois took the advice tendered him, so far at any rate as to make his expedition by sea. His ships were assembled in the Persian Gulf; a certain number of Persian troops ${ }^{8}$ were embarked on board them: and the flotilla proceceded, under the conduct of Sint, tirst the mouth of the (iulf, and then along the wuthern co:av of Arabia to Aden. ${ }^{4}$ Encouraged by the ir preander, the Homeritea rowe aquinst their foreign "ipheners: a war followed. of which the particulars habe lown dioligured by romance: ${ }^{s}$ but the result is
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undoubted-the Abyssinian strangers were driven from the soil of Arabia; the native race recovered its supremacy; and Saif, the descendant of the old Homerite kings, was established, as the vassal or viceroy of Chosroës, on the throne of his ancestors. ${ }^{1}$ This arrangement, however, was not lasting. Saïf, after a short reign, was murdered by his body-guard ; ${ }^{2}$ and Chosroës then conferred the government of Yemen upon a Persian officer, who seems to have borne the usual title of Marzpan, ${ }^{3}$ and to have been in no way distinguished above other rulers of provinces. Thus the Homerites in the end gained nothing by their revolt but a change of masters. They may, however, have regarded the change as one worth making, since it gave them the mild sway of a tolerant heathen in lieu of the persecuting rule of Christian bigots.

According to some writers, ${ }^{4}$ Chosroës also, in his later years, sent an expedition by sea against some portion of Hindustan, and received a cession of territory from an Indian monarch. But the country of the monarch is too remote for belief, and the ceded provinces seem to have belonged to Persia previously. ${ }^{5}$ It is therefore, perhaps, most probable that friendly intercourse has been exaggerated into conquest, and the reception of presents from an Indian potentate ${ }^{6}$ metamorphosed into the gain of territory. Some authorities

[^182]do not assign to Choarois any Indian dominion; ${ }^{1}$ and it is at least doubtful whether he made auy expedition in this direction.

A war, however, appears certainly to have occupied Choanoes about this period on his north-eastern frontier. The Turks had recently been advancing in strength and drawing nearer to the confines of Persia. They had extended their dominion over the great Ephthalite kingdom, partly by force of arms, ${ }^{2}$ partly through the treachery of Katulphus, an Ephthalite chieftain; ${ }^{8}$ they had received the submision of the Sogdians, and probably of other tribes of the Transoxianian region, previously held in subjection by the Ephthafites; and they aspired to be acknowledged as a great power, the sccond, if not the first, in this part of Asia. It was perhaps rather with the view of picking a quarrel than in the hope of any valunble pacific result, that, about the close of A.D. 367, Dizabul, the Turkish Khan, sent ambassudors to Chosrois' with proposuls for the establishment of free commercial intercourse between the Turks and Persians, and even for the conclusion of a treaty of friendship and alliance between the two nations. Chosrois susperted the motive for the orerture, but was afraid opr$\times$ nly to reject it. He desired to discourage intercourse In'tween his own nation and the Turks, but could devise no. inetter moxde of effecting his purpose than by burning the Turkish merchandise offered to him after he

[^183]had bought it, and by poisoning the ambassadors and giving out that they had fallen victims to the climate. His conduct exasperated the Turkish Khan, and created a deep and bitter hostility between the Turks and Persians. ${ }^{1}$ It was at once resolved to send an embassy to Constantinople and offer to the Greek emperor the friendship which Chosroës had scorned. The embassy reached the Byzantine court early in A.D. 568, and was graciously received by Justin, the nephew of Justinian, who had succeeded his uncle on the imperial throne between three and four years previously. A treaty of alliance was made between the two nations; and a Roman embassy, empowered to ratify it, visited the Turkish court in the Altai mountains ${ }^{2}$ during the course of the next year (A.D. 569), and drew closer the bonds of friendship between the high contracting powers.

But meanwhile Dizabul, confident in his own strength, had determined on an expedition into Persia. The Roman ambassador, Zemarchus, accompanied him on a portion of his march, ${ }^{8}$ and witnessed his insulting treatment of a Persian envoy, sent by Chosroës to meet him and deprecate his attack. Beyond this point exact information fails us; but we may suspect that this is the expedition commemorated by Mirkhond, ${ }^{4}$ wherein the Great Khan, having invaded the Persian territory in force, made himself master of Shash, Ferghana, Samar-

[^184]kand, Bokhara, Kesh, and Nesf, but, hearing that Hormisdas, son of Chosroës, was advancing against him at the head of a numerous army, suddenly fled, evacuating all the country that he had occupied, and retiring to the most distant portion of Turkestan. At any rate the expedition cannot have had any great success; for shortly afterwards (A.D. 371) we find Turkish ambassadors once more visiting the Byzantine court, ${ }^{1}$ and entreating Justin to renounce the fifty years' peace and unite with them in a grand attack upon the common enemy, which, if assaulted simultaneously on either side, might (they argued) be almost certainly crushed. Justin gave the ambaseadors no definite reply, but renewed the alliance with Dizabul, and took seriously intu consideration the question whether he should not yield to the representations made to him, and renew the war which Justinian had terminated nine years previously.

There were many circumstances which unged him towards a rupture. The payments to lo made under the fifty yan' peane had in his eyes the appearance of a tritu:a remberell by kome to l'ensia, which war, he thousht, an intolerable disgrace. ${ }^{2}$ A subsidy, not very de:malar. which Justinian had allowed the saracenic Ara!, unt!er liorian rule, he had alrendy dimeontinued: ${ }^{3}$ and hamilhios hat, in consatuetwe alrealy commenced Inetwen the Prorian and the Roman saracens. The ara...... of Choorin- in Wertern Arabia had at once
 'atarear, ata important ally in the great (lhriwtian king-

[^185]dom of Abyssinia．The Turks of Central Asia had sought his friendship and offered to combine their attacks with his，if he would consent to go to war．${ }^{1}$ Moreover，there was once more discontent and even re－ bellion in Armenia，where the proselytising zeal of the Persian governors had again driven the natives to take up arms and raise the standard of independence．${ }^{2}$ Above all，the Great King，who had warred with such success for twenty years against his uncle，was now in advanced age，${ }^{8}$ and seemed to have given signs of feebleness， inasmuch as in his recent expeditions he had individu－ ally taken no part，but had entrusted the command of his troops to others．${ }^{4}$ Under these circumstances， Justin，in the year a．d．572，determined to renounce the peace made ten years earlier with the Persians， and to recommence the old struggle．Accordingly he at once dismissed the Persian envoy，Sebocthes，with contempt，refused wholly to make the stipulated pay－ ment，proclaimed his intention of receiving the Arme－ nian insurgents under his protection，and bade Chosroës lay a finger on them at his peril．${ }^{5}$ He then appointed

[^186]Marcian to the prefecture of the East, ${ }^{2}$ and gave him the conduct of the war which was now inevitable.

No sooner did the Persian monarch find his kingdom scriously menaced than, despite his advanced age, he immediately took the field in person. Giving the command of a flying column of 6,000 men to Adarman, ${ }^{3}$ a skilful general, he marched himself against the Romans, who under Marcian " had defented a Persian force, and were besieging Nisibis, forced them to mise the siege, and, presing forward us they retired, compelled them to seek shelter within the walls of Daras, ${ }^{4}$ which he procepded to invest with his main amny. Meanwhile Adarman, at the head of the troops entrusted to him, crossed the Euphraters near Circesium, and, having entered Syria, carried fire and sword far and wide over that fertile province. . Requised from Autioch, where, however, he burnt the suburls of the town, be invided Colesyria, took and destroyed Apamea, and then, recrussing the great river, rejoined Chormen before Daras. The renowned fortress made a brave defence. For above five months it resisted, ${ }^{7}$ without obtaining any relief, the entire force of Chosrois, who is said ${ }^{8}$ to have

[^187]besieged it with 40,000 horse and 100,000 foot. At last, on the approach of winter, it could no longer hold out; enclosed within lines of circumvallation, and deprived of water by the diversion of its streams into new channels, ${ }^{1}$ it found itself reduced to extremity, and forced to submit towards the close of A.D. 573. Thus the great Roman fortress in these parts was lost in the first year of the renewed war ; and Justin, alarmed at his own temerity, and recognising his weakness, felt it necessary to retire from the conduct of affairs, and deliver the reins of empire to stronger hands. He chose as his coadjutor and successor the Count Tiberius, a Thracian by birth, who had long stood high in his confidence; and this prince, in conjunction with the Empress Sophia, now took the direction of the war. ${ }^{2}$

The first need was to obtain a breathing-space. The Persian king having given an opening for negotiations, ${ }^{8}$ advantage was taken of it by the joint rulers ${ }^{4}$ to send an envoy, furnished with an autograph letter from the empress, and well provided with the best persuasives of peace, who was to suggest an armistice for a year, during which a satisfactory arrangement of the whole quarrel might be agreed upon. Tiberius thought that within this space he might collect an army sufficiently powerful to re-establish the superiority of the Roman

[^188]arms in the east; Chosroës believed himself strong enough to defeat any force that Rome could now bring into the field. ${ }^{1}$ A truce for a year was therefore concluded, at the cost to Rome of 45,000 aurei ; ${ }^{2}$ and immense efforts were at once made by Tiberius to levy troxps from his more distant provinces, or hire them from the lands beyond his borders. An arny of 1:0),000 men was, it is said, collected from the banks of the Danube and the Rhine, from Scythia, Pannonia, Mawia, Illyricum, and Isauria; ${ }^{8}$ a general of repute, Ju-tinian, the smon of Germanus, was selected to command them; and the whole force was concentrated upnon the eantern frontier; ${ }^{4}$ but, after all these preparations, the (iavar's heart failed him, and, instead of offiring battle to the enemy, Tilerius sent a second -mblovy to the l'ersian head-quarturn, early in a.b. intis, and brought an extension of the truce. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The Romans desirnd a short term of pater only, but wivhed for a 2.theral a-pronsion of hemilities between the nations: -






peace for three years, but that Armenia should be exempt from its operation. ${ }^{1}$ Rome was to pay to Persia, during the continuance of the truce, the sum of 30,000 aurei annually. ${ }^{2}$

No sooner was the peace concluded than Chosroës put himself at the head of his army, and, entering Armenia Proper, proceeded to crush the revolt, and to re-establish the Persian authority throughout the entire region. ${ }^{8}$ No resistance was offered to him; and he was able, before the close of the year, to carry his arms into the Roman territory of Armenia Minor, and even to threaten Cappadocia. Here Justinian opposed his progress; and in a partial engagement, Kurs (or Cursus), a leader of Scythians in the Roman service, obtained an advantage over the Persian rear-guard, captured the camp and the baggage, but did not succeed in doing any serious damage. ${ }^{4}$ Chosroës soon afterwards revenged himself by surprising and destroying a Roman camp during the night; he then took and burnt the city of Melitene (Malatiyeh); after which, as winter was approaching, he retired across the Euphrates, and returned into his own country. Hereupon Justinian seems to have invaded Persian Armenia, and to have enriched his troops with its plunder; according to some writers, he even penetrated as far as the Caspian Sea, and embarked upon its waters ; ${ }^{5}$ he continued on Persian soil

[^189]during the whole of the winter, and it was not till the spring came that he re-entered loman territory (A.D. 376 ). ${ }^{3}$

The campaign of A.D. 576 is somewhat obscure. The Romans seets to huve gained oertain advantages in Northern Armenia and Iberia, ${ }^{3}$ while Choeroits on his part carried the war once more into Armenia Minor, and luid siege to Theorlosiopolis, which, however, be was unable to take. Negotiations were upon this resumed, and had progresed favourably to a certain point, when newn arrived of a great disuster to the Roman arms in Armenin, which changed the face of sflairs and caused the Persian negotiators to break up the conference. Tanchosro, a Pensinn general, had completely defeated the Roman army under Justinian. ${ }^{6}$ Armenia had returnel to its allegiance. There scemed every reason to believe that more was to be gained by arms than by diplomacy, and that, when the three yearr' peace had run out, the Great King might renew the general war with a prospoct of obtaining important succesaes.

There are no military events which can be referred to the year a.d. 577 . The Romans and Persians amused earh other with alternate embassies during its course, anll with negotiations that were not intended to have any result. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ The two monarche made vast preparations: and with the spring of A.D. 5 it hostilities recommenced. (homens is accuserl of having anticipated the expiration of the truce by a period of forty days ; ${ }^{*}$ but it is

[^190]more probable that he and the Romans estimated the date of its expiration differently. However this was, it is certain that his generals, Mebodes and Sapoës, took the field in early spring with 20,000 horse, ${ }^{1}$ and entering the Roman Armenia laid waste the country, at the same time threatening Constantina and Theodosiopolis. ${ }^{2}$ Simultaneously Tamchosro, ${ }^{8}$ quitting Persarmenia, marched westward and plundered the country about Amida (Diarbekr). The Roman commander Maurice, who had succeeded Justinian, possessed considerable military ability. On this occasion, instead of following the ordinary plan of simply standing on the defensive and endeavouring to repulse the invaders, he took the bolder course of making a counter movement. Entering Persarmenia, which he found denuded of troops, he carried all before him, destroying the forts, and plundering the country. ${ }^{4}$ Though the summer heats brought on him an attack of fever, he continued without pause his destructive march; invaded and occupied Arzanene, with its stronghold, Aphumôn, carried off the population to the number of 10,090 , and, pressing forwards from Arzanene into Eastern Mesopotamia, took Singara, and carried fire and sword over the entire region as far as the Tigris. He even ventured to throw a body of skirmishers across the river into Cordyêné (Kurdistan); and these ravagers, who were commanded by Kurs, the Scythian, spread devastation over a district where no Roman soldier had set

[^191]foot since its cession by Jovian. ${ }^{2}$ Agrthias tells us that Chosrotes wis at the time enjoying his summer villeggiotura in the Kurdish hills, and saw from his residence the smoke of the hamlets which the Roman troops had fired. ${ }^{3}$ He hastily fled from the danger, and shat himself up within the walls of Ctesiphon, where he was soon afterwards seized with the illness which brought his life to a close.
Meanwhile Kurs, unconscious probably of the prize that had been so near his grasp, recrosed the Tigris with his booty and rejoined Marice, who on the approach of winter withdrew into Roman territory, evacuating all his conquests excepting Arzanene. The dull time of winter was, as usual, spent in negotiations; and it was thought that a peace might have been concluded had Choerots lived. Tiberius was ansious to recover Daras, and was willing to withdraw the Roman forces wholly from Pensarmenia and Tberin, and to surrender Arzanene and Aphumôn, if Dans were restored to him. ${ }^{\text {b }}$. He would probably have been content even to pay in addition a sum of money. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Chosrixis might perhape have accepted these terms; but while the envors empowered to propose them were on their way $w$ his court, carly ${ }^{7}$ in the year a.d. sis? the aful monarch died in his palace at Ctesiphon after a reign of forty-cight years. ${ }^{8}$

[^192]
## CHAPTER XXI.

> Administration of Persia under Chosroës I. Foulfold Division of the Empire. Careful Surveillance of those entrusted with Power. Severe Punishment of Abuse of Trust. New System of Taxation introduced. Correction of Abuses connected with the Military Service. Encouragement of Agriculture and Marriage. Relief of Poverty. Care for Travellers. Encouragement of Learning. Practice of Toleration within certain Limits. Domestic Life of Chosroẽ. His Wives. Revolt and Death of his Son, Nushizad. Coins of Chosroës. Estimate of his Character.

A general consensus of the Oriental writers ${ }^{1}$ marks the reign of the first Chosroës as a period not only of great military activity, but also of improved domestic administration. Chosroës found the empire in a disordered and ill-regulated condition, taxation arranged on a bad system, the people oppressed by unjust and tyrannical governors, the military service a prey to the most scandalous abuses, religious fanaticism rampant, class at variance with class, extortion and wrong winked at, crime unpunished, agriculture languishing, and the masses throughout almost the whole of the country sullen and discontented. It was his resolve from the first ${ }^{2}$ to carry out a series of reforms-to secure the administration of even-handed justice, to put the

[^193]finances on a better footing, to encourage agricultare, to relieve the poor and the distressed, to root out the abuses that destroyed the efficiency of the army, and to excise the gangrene of fanaticism which was eating into the heart of the nation. How he effected the lastnamed object by his wholesale destruction of the followers of Mazdak, has been already related ;'but it appeared unadvisable to interrupt the military history of the reign by combining with it any nocount of the numerous other reforms which he aceomplished. It remains therefore to consider them in this place, aince they are certainly not the lenst remarkable among the many achievements of this great monarch.

Persis, until the time of Anushirwan, had been divided into a multitude of provisces, the atraps or governons of which held their office directly under the crown. It was difficult for the monarch to exencise a sufficient superintendence over so large a number of rulens, many of them remote from the court, and all uniterl by a common interest. Chosroes conceived the plan of forming four great governments, and entrusting them to four persons in whom he had confidence, whoe duty it should be to watch the conduct of the provincial satraps, to control them, direct them, or riport their misconduct to the crown. The four great fovernments were those of the east, the north, the wuth, and the west. The cast comprisel Khorassan, Scivtan, and Kirman; the north, Armenia, Azerbijan, Ghalan, Koum, and Isfuhan; the south, Fars and Ahwaz: the west, Irak, or Babylonia, Assyria, and Monjonamia:

It wit wit the intention of the monarch, however,

[^194]to put a blind trust in his instruments. He made personal progresses through his empire from time to time, visiting each province in turn and inquiring into the condition of the inhabitants. ${ }^{1}$ He employed continually an army of inspectors and spies, who reported to him from all quarters the sufferings or complaints of the oppressed, and the neglects or misdoings of those in authority. ${ }^{2}$ On the occurrence of any specially suspicious circumstance, he appointed extraordinary commissions of inquiry, which, armed with all the power of the crown, proceeded to the suspected quarter, took evidence, and made a careful report of whatever wrongs or malpractices they discovered. ${ }^{8}$

When guilt was brought home to incriminated persons or parties, the punishment with which they were visited was swift and signal. We have seen how harsh were the sentences passed by Chosroës upon those whose offences attacked his own person or dignity. ${ }^{4}$ An equal severity appears in his judgments, where there was no question of his own wrongs, but only of the interests of his subjects. ${ }^{5}$ On one occasion he is said to have executed no fewer than eighty collectors of taxes on the report of a commission charging them with extortion. ${ }^{6}$

Among the principal reforms which Chosroës is said to have introduced was his fresh arrangement of the taxation. Hitherto all lands had paid to the state a certain proportion of their produce, a proportion

[^195]which varied, according to the estimated richness of the soil, from a $u \cdot n$th to one-half. ${ }^{1}$ The eflect was to discourage all improved cultivation, since it was quite possible that the whole profit of any increased outlay miscit te absorbed by the state, and also to cramp and cherk the liberty of the cultivators in various ways, since the proxluce could not be touched until the revenue official made his appearance and carricd off the share of the crop which he had a right to take. ${ }^{2}$ Chowrexio resolved to substitute a land-tax for the proprortionate prayments in kind, and thus at once to set the cultivator at liberty with respect to harvesting his 'ropin and to allow him the entire advantage of any ampronented promaction which might be werered by brit.rer methonls of farming his land. His tax consisted in part of a money payment, in part of a payment in kind: bat lxith payments were fixed and invariable, -ath manoure of pround being rattel in the kings lun's at one dirhotm and one measure of the proxluce. ${ }^{3}$








pressed somewhat hardly upon the poorer and less productive soils ; but it was an immense improvement upon the previously existing practice, which had all the disadvantages of the modern tithe system, aggravated by the high rates exacted ${ }^{1}$ and by the certainty that, in any disputed case, the subject would have had a poor chance of establishing his right against the crown. It is not surprising that the Caliphs, when they conquered Persia, maintained unaltered the land system of Chosroës ${ }^{2}$ which they found established, regarding it as, if not perfect, at any rate not readily admitting of much improvement.
Besides the tax upon arable lands, of which we have hitherto spoken, Chosroës introduced into Persia various other imposts. The fruit trees were everywhere counted, and a small payment required for each. ${ }^{8}$ The personalty of the citizens was valued, and a graduated property-tax established, which, however, in the case of the most opulent, did not exceed the moderate sum of forty-eight dirhems ${ }^{4}$ (about twenty-seven shillings). A poll-tax was required of Jews and Christians, ${ }^{5}$ whereof we do not know the amount. From all these burdens liberal exemptions were made on account of age and sex; no female paid anything; ${ }^{6}$ and males

[^196]above fifty years of age or under twenty were also free of charge. Due notice was given to each individual of the sum for which he was linble, by the publication in each province, town, and village, of a tax table, in which each citizen or alien could see ugainst his name the amount about to be claimed of bim, with the ground upon which it was regarded as due. ${ }^{1}$ Payment had to be made by instalmenta, three times ench year, at the end of every four months.?

In order to prevent the unfair extortion, which in the ancient world was always, with reason or without, charged upon collectons of revenue, Choarois, by the advice of the Grand Mobel, authorised the Magian priesta everywhere to exurcise a supervision over the receivens of taxes, and to hinder them from exacting more than their due. ${ }^{\text {I }}$ The priests were only too happy to discharge this popular function; and extortion suast have beopme nure under a system which compriaed so efficient a safeguard.

Another change ascribed to Chosroes is a reform of the administration of the army. Under the system previouly existing, Chosrois found that the resources of the state were lavishly wasted, and the result was a military force inefficient and badly accoutred. No serurity was taken that the soldiers posesed their proper erpuipments or could dischagge the duties appropriate t. their sweveral grades. Persons came before the paymastur, claiming the wages of a cavalry soldier, who pros-anal no horm: and had never even learned to ride. sime, who calleal themselver soldiers, had no knowlender of the use of any weapon at all; others claimed for hipher prules of the service than those whereto

[^197]they really belonged; those who drew the pay of cuirassiers were destitute of a coat of mail; those who professed themselves archers were utterly incompetent to draw the bow. The established rates of pay varied between a hundred dirhems a year and four thousand, and persons entitled to the lowest rate often received an amount not much short of the highest. ${ }^{1}$ The evil was not only that the treasury was robbed by unfair claims and unfounded pretences, but that artifice and false seeming were encouraged, while at the same time the army was brought into such a condition that no dependence could be placed upon it. If the number who actually served corresponded to that upon the rolls, which is uncertain, ${ }^{2}$ at any rate all the superior arms of the service fell below their nominal strength, and the lower grades were crowded with men who were only soldiers in name.

As a remedy against these evils, Chosroës appointed a single paymaster-general, and insisted on his carefully inspecting and reviewing each body of troops before he allowed it to draw its pay. ${ }^{3}$. Each man was to appear before him fully equipped and to show his proficiency with his weapon or weapons; horse soldiers were to bring their horses, and to exhibit their mastery over the animals by putting them through their paces, mounting and dismounting, and performing the other usual exercises. If any clumsiness were noted, or any deficiency in the equipment, the pay was to be withheld until the defect observed had been made good. Special care was to be taken that no one drew the pay

[^198]of a class superior to that whereto he really belongedof an archer, for instance, when he was in truth a common soldier, or of a trooper when he served not in the horse, but in the foot.

A curious anecdote is related in connection with these military reforms. When Babek, the new paymaster, wis about to hold his first review, he isaced an order that all pensons belonging to the army then present in the capital should appear before him on a certain day. The troops came; but Babek dismised them, on the ground that a certain person whose premence was indiopensable had not made his appearance, Another day was appointed, with the same rosult, except that Babek on this occasion plainly intimated that it was the king whom be expected to attend. Opon this Chosrois, when a third summons was issued, thok care to be present, and came fully equipped, as he thought for battle. But the critical eye of the reviewing officer detected an omission, which he refused to overlook-the king had neglected to bring with him two extra bow-strings. Chosrois was required to go back to his palace and remedy the defect, after which he was allowed to pase muster, and then summoned to reveive his pars. Habek affected to consider seriously what the pay of the commander-in-chief ought to be, and deriderl that it ought to exceed that of any other perwin in the arms. He then, in the sight of all, prewhted the king with four thousand and one dirhems, which (homens reveiverl and carried home.' Thus two impurtant principles were thought to be eatablishedthat in. defirt of epluipment whatooever should be "rerlinikid in any officer, however high his rank, and

[^199]that none should draw from the treasury a larger amount of pay than 4,000 dirhems (112l. of our money).

The encouragement of agriculture was an essential element in the system of Zoroaster; ${ }^{1}$ and Chosroës, in devoting his attention to it, was at once performing a religious duty and increasing the resources of the state. It was his earnest desire to bring into cultivation all the soil which was capable of it; and with this object he not only issued edicts commanding the reclamation of waste lands, but advanced from the treasury the price of the necessary seed-corn, implements, and beasts to all poor persons willing to carry out his orders. ${ }^{2}$ Other poor persons, especially the infirm and those disabled by bodily defect, were relieved from his privy purse; mendicancy was forbidden, and idleness made an offence. ${ }^{8}$ The lands forfeited by the followers of Mazdak were distributed to necessitous cultivators. ${ }^{4}$ The water system was carefully attended to ; river and torrent courses were cleared of obstructions and straightened ; ${ }^{5}$ the superfluous water of the rainy season was stored, and meted out with a wise economy to those who tilled the soil, in the spring and summer. ${ }^{6}$

The prosperity of a country depends in part upon the laborious industry of the inhabitants, in part upon their numbers. Chosroës regarded Persia as insufficiently peopled, and made efforts to increase the population by encouraging and indeed compelling marriage. ${ }^{7}$ All marriageable females were required to provide themselves with husbands; if they neglected this duty,

[^200]the govermment interfered, and united them to unmarried men of their own class. The pill was gilt to these latter be the adsance of a sufficient dowry from the publice treasury, and by the proepect that, if children resulted from the union, their eduration and extablishment in lite-wold be undertaken by the state. Another methoul of inereaing the pepulatom, adopted by Chosrenes to a certain extent, was the settement within his own territories of the captiver whom he carried off from firerign comutries in the course of his miliary experlitions. The most notorious instance of this pelicy was the (irrek erthement, known as Rumia (lione),
 I.D. ifll), in the near virinity of ('tasiphon.'
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The result was that the court of Chosroës was visited by numbers of Europeans, who were hospitably treated, and invited, or even pressed, to prolong their visits.

To the proofs of wisdom and enlightenment here enumerated Chosroës added another, which is more surprising than any of them. He studied philosophy, and was a patron of science and learning. Very early in his reign he gave a refuge at his court to a body of seven Greek sages whom a persecuting edict, issued by Justinian, had induced to quit their country and take up their abode on Persian soil. ${ }^{1}$ Among the refugees was the erudite Damascius, whose work De Principiis is well known, and has recently been found to exhibit an intimate acquaintance with some of the most obscure of the Oriental religions. ${ }^{2}$ Another of the exiles was the eclectic philosopher Simplicius, 'the most acute and judicious of the interpreters of Aristotle.' ${ }^{8}$ Chosroës gave the band of philosophers a hospitable reception, entertained them at his table, and was unwilling that they should leave his court. ${ }^{4}$ They found him acquainted with the writings of Aristotle and Plato, whose works he had caused to be translated into the Persian tongue. ${ }^{5}$ If he was not able to enter very deeply into the dialectical and metaphysical subtleties which characterise alike the Platonic Dialogues and the Aristote-

[^201]lian treatises, at any rate he was ready to discuses with them such questions as the origin of the world, its destructibility or indestructibility, and the derivation of all things from one First Cause or from more. ${ }^{1}$ Later in his reign, another Greek, a sophist named Uranius, acquired his especial favour, ${ }^{2}$ became his instructor in the learning of his country, and was presented by him with a large sum of money. Further, Chosoés maintained at his court, for the space of a year, the Greek physician, Tribunus, and offered him any reward that he pleased at his departure. He also instituted at (iondi-Supor, in the vicinity of Susa, a sort of medical shool, which berame by degrees a university, wherein philomophy, rhetoric, and poetry were also studied. ${ }^{4}$ Sor was it Greek learning alone which attracted his notice and his patronage. Under his fostering care the history and jurisprudence of his native Persia were made special objects of study ; the laws and maxims of the first Artaxerxen, the founder of the monarchy, wore calleal forth from the obecurity which had rested oft them tir atees, were republivhed and derlared to the athenoritative ; ${ }^{3}$ while at the same time the annals of the munarchy were collecterl and arrangevl, and a
 it in probable formed the lxasis of the great work of Fird.un-1.5 fiven the distant hand of Hindustan was

[^202]explored in the search after varied knowledge, and contributed to the learning and civilisation of the time the fables of Bidpai ${ }^{1}$ and the game of chess. ${ }^{2}$

Though a fierce persecutor of the deluded followers of Mazdak, ${ }^{3}$ Chosroës admitted and practised, to some extent, the principles of toleration. On becoming king, he laid it down as a rule of his government that the actions of men alone, and not their thoughts, were subject to his authority. ${ }^{4}$ He was therefore bound not to persecute opinion; and we may suppose that in his proceedings against the Mazdakites he intended to punish their crimes rather than their tenets. Towards the Christians, who abounded in his empire, ${ }^{5}$ he certainly showed himself, upon the whole, mild and moderate. He married a Christian wife, and allowed her to retain her religion. ${ }^{6}$ When one of his sons became a Christian, the only punishment which he inflicted on him was to confine him to the palace. ${ }^{7}$ He augmented the number of the Christians in his dominions by the colonies which he brought in from abroad. He allowed to his Christian subjects the free exercise of their religion, permitted them to build churches, elect bishops, and conduct services at their pleasure, and even suffered them to bury their dead, ${ }^{8}$ though such pollution of the

Firdausi, published by the Oriental Translation Fund, Preface, p. xi.; and compare Bunsen, Philosophy of History, vol. iii. p. 120.)
${ }^{1}$ On the fables of Bidpai or Pilpay, see Gibbon, l.s.c., with the note of Dean Milman.
${ }^{2}$ Mirkhond, p. 376; Maçoudi, vol. ii. p. 203. D'Herbelot speaks of the introduction of another game, which be calls a kind of draughts or trictrac. (Bibliotheque Orientale, vol. iv. p. 486.)
${ }^{3}$ Supra, p. 381.

4 Mirkhond, p. 360.
See Menand. Prot. Fr. 36; and compare Asseman, Bibl. Or. vol. i. p. 205 ; vol. ii. p. 410; \&c. ${ }^{6}$ Mirkhond, p. 367. Was this wife the Euphemia whom, according to Procopius (B. P. ii. 5), he carried off from Surôn and married?

7 Ibid. p. 368.
${ }^{8}$ Menand. Prot. Fr. 11 ; p. 213. It must be admitted, however, that this toleration was not the free act of Chosroës, but a concession which he made in a treaty.
earth was accounted sacrilegious by the Zoroastrians. No unworthy compliances with the eatablished cult were required of them. Proselytism, however, was not allowed; and all Christian sects were perhaps not viewed with equal favour. Chosroës, at any rate, is accused of persecuting the Cutholics and the Monophysites, and compelling them to join the Nestorians, who formed the prexlominant sect in his dominions. ${ }^{1}$ Conformity, however, in things outward, is compatible with a wide diversity of opinion; and Chosroies, while hedisliked differences of practice, seems certainly to have encouraged, at lexast in his earlier years, a freedom of discussion in religious matters which must have tended to shake the herelitary faith of his subjects. ${ }^{2}$ He also gave on one orcasion a very remarkable indication of liberal and whernant views. When he made his first peace with Rome, ${ }^{2}$ the article on which he insisted the mowt was one whereby the free profession of their known opinions and tencts in their own country was meurel to the mevell firwian suges who had found at his court, in their hour of nemel, a refuge from $\mathrm{p}^{\text {nemenell }}$ tion. ${ }^{4}$

In his domuatic relations ('horenkis was unfortunate. Witi, hin chiof wife, indered, the danghter of the (ireat Ki...n of the Turks. he mema lo have lived always on - . . Il int terms : and it was his love for her which in-
 bir has sucoumer on the throne. But the wife who
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stood next in his favour displeased him by her persistent refusal to renounce the religion of Christ and adopt that of her husband in its stead ; ${ }^{1}$ and the quarrel between them must have been aggravated by the conduct of their child, Nushizad, who, when he came to years of discretion, deliberately preferred the faith of his mother to that of his father and of the nation. ${ }^{2}$ With this choice Chosroës was naturally offended; but he restrained his anger within moderate limits, and was content to punish the young prince by forbidding him to quit the precincts of the palace. ${ }^{8}$ Unhappy results followed. Nushizad in his confinement heard a rumour that his father, who had started for the Syrian war, was struck with sickness, was not likely to recover, was clead. It seemed to him a golden opportunity, of which he would be foolish not to make the most. He accordingly quitted his prison, spread the report of his father's death, seized the state treasure, and scattered it with a liberal hand among the troops left in the capital, summoned the Christians throughout the empire to his aid, assumed the title and state of king, was acknowledged by the whole of the southern province, and thought himself strong enough to take the offensive and attempt the subjugation of Irak. ${ }^{4}$ Here, however, he was met by Phabrizus ${ }^{5}$ (Firuz? ), one of his father's generals, who completely defeated his army in a pitched battle. According to one account, Nushizad fell in the

[^203]thick of the fight, mortally wounded by a chasee arrow. ${ }^{1}$ According to another, he was mmle prisoner, and carried to Chuarois, who, instead of pumishing him with death, destroyed his hopes of reigning by isflicting ou him a cruel disfigurament."

The coins of Chorrole are very numerous, and offer one or two novel und curions types. The most remarkable have on the obverse the head of the king, presenting the full face, and surmounted by a manal crown with a low caps. The beand is close, and the hair arranged in mames on cither side. There are two stary above the crown, and two crewents, one over either shoulder, with a stur and crescent on the dreas in fromt of each shoulder. The king wears a neeklace, from which hang three pendants. On the reverve thew roins have a full-length figure of the king, standing to the front, with his two hands resting on the hils of his strughts sword, and its proint placed between his feet. The crown worn resembles that on the obverse; and there is a star and ceresent on either side of the head.


The luend on the obverse is Khusludi afoun, 'May

' Mirkheril. p. 3:1. Hillesbrlot, vol. ii. IM. Iriii. Na. 10); by loap-
 $\therefore$ : $a 1.11$. ( CWhaction, ed. Ihorn, HL Exiv. Na
' (' 120 of the iype hare baen 45). The eapraviag in tbe tast

$l u d i$, with the regnal year; on the right, a longer legend which has not yet been satisfactorily interpreted. ${ }^{1}$

The more ordinary type on the coins of Chosroës I. is one differing but little from those of his father, Kobad, and his son, Hormazd IV. The obverse has the king's head in profile, and the reverse the usual fire-altar and supporters. The distinguishing mark of these coins is, in addition to the legend, that they have three simple crescents in the margin of the obverse, instead of three crescents with stars.

cont or chosrozis .
A relic of Chosroës has come down to us, which is of great beauty. This is a cup composed of a number of small disks of coloured glass, united by a gold setting, and having at the bottom a crystal, engraved with a figure of the monarch. As late as 1638 it was believed that the disks of glass were jacynths, garnets, and emeralds, while the stone which forms the base was thought to be a white sapphirc. The original owner of so rare a drinking-vessel could (it was supposed) only be Solomon; ${ }^{2}$ and the figure at the bottom was accordingly supposed to represent the Jewish king. Archæologists are now agreed that the engraving on the gem, which exactly resembles the figure upon the

[^204]prouliar roins alnove dessrilnel, repressents Chowrois Anushirwan, and is of his age. There is no sufficient re:ach to doubt but that the rup itself is one out of which he was acreustomeal to drink.
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interests were at stake, he steadily refused to make use of his unlimited power for the oppression of individuals. ${ }^{1}$ It is unlikely that Anushirwan was distinguished as ' the Just' without a reason ; and we may safely conclude from his acknowledged title that his subjects found his rule more fair and equitable than that of any previous monarch.

That the administration of Chosroës was wise, and that Persia prospered under his government, is generally admitted. His vigilance, his activity, his care for the poor, his efforts to prevent or check oppression, are notorious, and cannot be gainsaid. Nor can it be doubted that he was brave, hardy, temperate, prudent, and liberal. Whether he possessed the softer virtues, compassion, kindliness, a tender and loving heart, is perhaps open to question. He seems, however, to have been a good husband and a good father, not easily offended, and not over-severe when offence was given him. ${ }^{2}$ His early severities ${ }^{3}$ against his brothers and their followers may be regarded as caused by the
pearing before the king, he returned to the palace, and, resuming his old duties, waited on the guests at the royal table. While thus employed, he took an opportunity of secreting a plate of solid gold about his person, after which, quitting the guest-chamber, he disappeared altogether. Chosroës, who had seen the whole transaction, took no notice, and, when the plate whe missed, merely said: 'The man who took it will not bring it back, and the man who saw him will not tell.' A year later, the attendant appeared once more on the same day; whereupon the king called him aside and said:
' Is the first plate all gone that you, have come again to get another?

The culprit owned his guilt and implored forgiveness, which he obtained. Chosroës not only pardoned him, but took him back into his service. (Mirkhond, pp. 382-3.)
${ }^{1}$ Chosroës was told that one of his subjects surpassed him in wealth; and he replied that he saw no harm in the circumstance (Mirkhond, p. 384). He wished to clear a space before his palace; but an old lady who owned one of the houses which occupied the ground would not part with her property. Chosroës cleared the rest of the space, and allowed her house to stand (ibid. p. 383).
${ }_{2}{ }^{2}$ Mirkhond, pp. 368-370.
${ }^{3}$ See above, p. 381.
advice of others, and perhaps as justified by state policy. In his later life, when he was his own master, he was content to chastise rebellion more mildly.
Intellectually, there is no reason to believe that Choorois roee very high above the ordinary Oriental level. The Persians, and even many Greeks, in his own day, exalted him above measure, as capable of apprehending the most subtle arguments and the deepest problems of philooophy ; ${ }^{1}$ but the eatimate of Agathias ${ }^{\text { }}$ is probably more just, and this reduces him to a stamdard about which there is nothing surprising. It is to his credit that although engaged in almost perpetual wars, and burdened moreover with the administration of a mighty empire, he had a mind large ruough to entertain the consideration also of intellectual problems, and to enjoy and take part in their discussion; but it could scarcely be expected that, with his numerous other employments, he should really sound to their utmost depths the profundities of Greek thonipht, or underntand the sperulative differelties which - paratarl the various meheols one from another. So douth hi- knowlodger was superticial, and there may h.ase |ne.n ortentation in the parme which he made of :: : 'hat we muet not deny him the praise of a quick ar:is. intellect, and a widh of vire rarely found in an 1 rionial.
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was in peace, he was greater in war. Engaged for nearly fifty years in almost uninterrupted contests, he triumphed in every quarter, and scarcely experienced a reverse. Victorious over the Romans, the Abyssinians, the Ephthalites, and the Turks, he extended the limits of his empire on all sides, pacified the discontented Armenia, crushed internal revolt, frustrated the most threatening combinations, and established Persia in a position which she had scarcely occupied since the days of Darius Hystaspis. Personally engaged in above a score of fights, by the admission of his enemies he was never defeated but once; ${ }^{1}$ and there are circumstances which make it probable that this single check was of slight importance. ${ }^{2}$ The one real failure that can be laid to his charge was in another quarter, and involved no military, but only a political blunder. In recoiling from the difficulties of the Lazic war, ${ }^{3}$ Chosroès had not to deplore any disgrace to his arms, but simply to acknowledge that he had misunderstood the temper of the Lazic people. In depreciation of his military talents it may be said that he was never opposed to any great general. With Belisarius it would certainly seem that he never actually crossed swords; but Justinian and Maurice (afterwards emperor), to whom he was opposed in his later years, were no contemptible antagonists. It may further be remarked that the collapse of Persia in her struggle with Rome, ${ }^{4}$ as soon as Chosroës was in his grave, is a tolerably decisive indication that she owed her long career of victory under his guidance to his possession of uncommon military ability.

[^205]
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At the death of Chosrois the crown was assumed without dispute or difficulty by his son, Hormazd, who is known to the Greek and Latin writers as Homnisdas IV. Hormatad was the ehdet, or prorhape the only, mon
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[^206]exceeded his own, would pursue his policy, maintain his officers in power, and endeavour in all respects to govern as he had governed. ${ }^{1}$ When the mobeds attempted to persuade him to confine his favour to Zoroastrians and persecute such of his subjects as were Jews or Christians, he rejected their advice with the remark ${ }^{2}$ that, as in an extensive territory there were sure to be varieties of soil, so it was fitting that a great empire should embrace men of various opinions and manners. In his progresses from one part of his empire to another he allowed of no injury being done to the lands or gardens along the route, and punished severely all who infringed his orders. ${ }^{8}$ According to some, ${ }^{4}$ his good dispositions lasted only during the time that he enjoyed the counsel and support of Abu-zurd-mihir, one of the best advisers of his father; but when this venerated sage was compelled by the infirmities of age to quit his court, he fell under other influences, and soon degenerated into the cruel tyrant which, according to all the authorities, ${ }^{5}$ he showed himself in his later years.

Meanwhile, however, he was engaged in important wars, particularly with the Roman emperors Tiberius and Maurice, who, now that the great Chosroës was dead, pressed upon Persia with augmented force, in the confident hope of recovering their lost laurels. On the first intelligence of the great king's death, Tiberius had endeavoured to negotiate a peace with his successor, and had offered to relinquish all claim on Armenia, and to exchange Arzanene with its strong fortress, Aphumôn,

[^207]for Daras ; but Hormisdas had ahsolutaly rejected his proposals, declared that he would surrender nothing, fand deelined to make peace on any other terms than the resumption by Rome of her old system of paying an aunual sulsidy. ${ }^{3}$ The wur consequently contiuned; and Mamrice, who still held the command, procentesl, in the summer of A.D. 579, wo take the offonsive and invade the Pessian territory. He sent a forme acroses the Tigris under Romanns, Theodoric, and Martin, whirh ravaged Kurdistan, and perhaps pemetrated into Medin, ${ }^{2}$ nowbere encountering any large boxly of the enemy, but carrying all lefone them and destroying the harvent at their pleasure. In the next year, A.D. 580 , he formed a more ambitious project. Having guined aver, as he thought, Ahmundarus, the leader of the Samoent dopendent on Persia, and collected a fleet to carry his stones, he marched from Circesium down the courso of the Euphrates, intending to carry the war into Southern Mesopotamin, ${ }^{\text {B }}$ and perhups hoping to eapture Cirsiphon. He expected to take the Persians unawares, and may not unnaturally have looked to gain an important success: but, unhappily for his plans, Alamundarus proved tramberous. The Persian king was informed of his enemy's march, and steps were at once taken to render it aloortive. Adarman was sent, at the head of a large anny, into Roman Mesopotamia, where he threatened the important city of Callinicus in Maurice's rear. That general darial alvance no further. (In the contrary, he felt constrained to fall lawk, to give up his wheme, burn his tleet, and return hastily within the liuman frontier. On his arrival, he engaged Adar-

[^208]man near the city which he was attacking, defeated him, and drove him back into Persia. ${ }^{1}$

In the ensuing spring, after another vain attempt at negotiation, ${ }^{2}$ the offensive was taken by the Persians, who, early in a.D. 581, crossed the frontier under Tamchosro, and attacked the Roman city of Constantia, or Constantina. ${ }^{3}$ Maurice hastened to its relief; and a great battle was fought in the immediate vicinity of the city, wherein the Persians were completely defeated, and their commander lost his life. ${ }^{4}$ Further advantages might have been gained; but the prospect of the succession drew Maurice to Constantinople, where Tiberius, stricken with a mortal disease, received him with open arms, gave his daughter and the state into his care, and, dying soon after, left him the legacy of the empire, -which he administered with success for above twenty years. ${ }^{5}$

On quitting the East, Maurice devolved his command upon an officer who bore the very common name of Johannes, but was distinguished further by the epithet of Mustacon, on account of his abundant moustache. ${ }^{6}$ This seems to have been a bad appointment. Mustacon was unequal to the position. He gave the Persians battle at the conjunction of the Nymphius with the Tigris, but was defeated with considerable loss, partly through the misconduct of one of his captains. He then laid siege to Arbas, ${ }^{7}$ a strong fort on the Per-

[^209]sian side of the Nymphius, while the main body of the Persians were attacking Aphumon in the neighbouring district of Arzanenc. The garrison of Arbas made signals of distress, which speedily brought the Persian army to their aid; a second battle was fought at Arbas, and Mustacon was again defeated, and forced to retire across the Nymphius into Homan territory.' His incapacity was now rendered so clearly evident, that Maurice recalled him, and gave the command of the army of the Fast to a new general, Philippicua, his brother-in-law. ${ }^{2}$

The first and second campaigns of Philippicus, in the years A.D. 584 and 585, were of the moot commonplace character. He avoided any general engagement, and contented himself with plundering inroads into the Persian territory on either side of the Upper Tigris, ocrasionally suffering considerably from want of water and provisions. ${ }^{2}$ The Persians on their part undertook no opreations of importance until late in A.D. 385, when l'hilippicus had fallen sick. They then made attempts upn Monoxartum and Martyropolis, wheh were un-urcesoful, roulting only in the burning of a chureh and a monaterery near the latter town." Neither ond. aremarl capable of making any rerious impremoion upen the other: and arly the next year negutiations we.e rommad.' which. howerer, reoulted in mothing.
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a miraculous picture of our Lord, ${ }^{1}$ which no human hand had painted. Hanging on the rear of the fugitives, he pursued them to Daras, which declined to receive within its walls an army that had so disgraced itself. ${ }^{2}$ The Persian commander withdrew his troops further inland; and Philippicus, believing that he had now no enemy to fear, proceeded to invade Arzanene, to besiege the stronghold of Chlomarôn, ${ }^{8}$ and at the same time to throw forward troops into the more eastern parts of the country. He expected them to be unopposed; but the Persian general, having rallied his force and augmented it by fresh recruits, had returned towards the frontier, and, hearing of the danger of Arzanene, had flown to its defence. Philippicus was taken by surprise, compelled to raise the siege of Chlomarôn, and to fall back in disorder. The Persians pressed on his retreat, crossed the Nymphius after him, and did not desist from the pursuit until the imperial general threw himself with his shattered army into the strong fortress of Amida. ${ }^{4}$ Disgusted and discredited by his ill-success, Philippicus gave over the active prosecution of the war to Heraclius, and, remaining at head-quarters, contented himself with a general supervision.

Heraclius, on receiving his appointment, is said to have at once assumed the offensive, and to have led an army, consisting chiefly or entirely of infantry, ${ }^{5}$ into Persian territory, which devastated the country on both sides of the Tigris, and rejoined Philippicus, without having suffered any disaster, before the winter. Philippicus was encouraged by the success of his lieutenant

[^210]to continue him in command for another year ; but, through prudence or jealousy, he was induced to entrust a portion only of the troops to his care, while he assigned to others the supreme authority over no less than one-third of the Roman army. The result was, as might have been expected, inglorious for Rome. During a.d. 587 the two divisions acted separately in different quarters; and, at the end of the year, neither could boast of any greater success than the reduction, in each case, of a single fortress. ${ }^{1}$ Philippicus, however, scems to have been satisfied; and at the approach of winter he withdrew from the East altogether, learing Heruclius as his representative, and returned to Constantinople.
During the earlier portion of the year A.D. 388 the mutinous temper of the Roman army rendered it imponsible that any military operations should be undertaken. ${ }^{2}$ Encouraged by the disorganisation of their cnemies, the Persians crossed the frontier, and threat-otu- 11 lim-tantina, which was however saved by Ger: manu-3 Iater in the year, the mutinous spirit having
 L:-m.un- inte Aramene. Here the Persian general, Mirisa, unt them, and drove them from the province: Co: winhwing up his surven ux) andently, he received
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[^211]Roman army, made themselves masters of Martyropolis. ${ }^{1}$ It was in vain that Philippicus twice besieged the place; he was unable to make any impression upon it, and after a time desisted from the attempt. On the second occasion the garrison was strongly reinforced by the Persians under Mebodes and Aphraates, who, after defeating Philippicus in a pitched battle, threw a large body of troops into the town. Philippicus was upon this deprived of his office, and replaced by Comentiolus, with Heraclius as second in command. ${ }^{2}$ The new leaders, instead of engaging in the tedious work of a siege, determined on re-establishing the Roman prestige by a bold counter-attack. They invaded the Persian territory in force, ravaged the country about Nisibis, and brought Aphraates to a pitched battle at Sisarbanôn, near that city. Victory seemed at first to incline to the Persians; Comentiolus was defeated and fled; but Heraclius restored the battle, and ended by defeating the whole Persian army, and driving it from the field, with the loss of its commander, who was slain in the thick of the fight. ${ }^{8}$ The next day the Persian camp was taken, and a rich booty fell into the hands of the conquerors, ${ }^{4}$ besides a number of standards. The remnant of the defeated army found a refuge within the walls of Nisibis. Later in the year Comentiolus recovered to some extent his tarnished laurels by the siege and capture of Arbas, ${ }^{5}$ whose strong situation in the immediate vicinity of Martyro-

[^212]polis rendered the position of the Persian garrison in that city insecure, if not abmolutely untenable.

Such was the condition of affirs in the western provinces of the Persian Empire, when a sudden danger arove in the east, which had strange and most important consequences. According to the Oriental writers, Hormiedas had from a just monarch gradually become a tyrant; under the plea of protecting the poor had grievously oppressed the rich ; through jealousy or fear had put to death no fewer than thirteen thousand of the upper classce, ${ }^{1}$ and had thus completely alienated all the more powerful part of the nation. Aware of his unpopularity, the surrounding tribes and peoples commenced a suries of aggressions, plundered the frontier provinces, defeated the detachments sent against them under commanders who were disaffected, and everywhere brought the empire into the greateat danger. The Arabs ${ }^{2}$ crosed the Euphrates and spread themwelves over Mesopotamia; the Khazars incaded Armenia and Azorbijan : rumour suid that the Greek (min-lor had taken the field and was advancing on the onde of Syria, at the head of so,000) mon: ${ }^{2}$ alowe all. 1: was quite certain that the (ireat khan of the Thath-had put hiv hordes in motion, had passed the Wan- with a comuthe... hoet.' (xerupied Balkh and Herat. and was threateming to protetrate into the very heart of I'rata. The perile us character of the crisis is perhaps

exaggerated; ${ }^{1}$ but there can be little doubt that the advance of the Turks constituted a real danger. Hormisdas, however, did not even now quit the capital, or adventure his own person. He selected from among his generals a certain Varahran or Bahram, ${ }^{2}$ a leader of great courage and experience, who had distinguished himself in the wars of Anushirwan, ${ }^{8}$ and, placing all the resources of the empire at his disposal, assigned to him the entire conduct of the Turkish struggle. Bahram is said to have contented himself with a small force of picked men, ${ }^{4}$ veterans between forty and fifty years of age, ${ }^{5}$ to have marched with them upon Balkh, contended with the Great Khan in several partial engagements, ${ }^{6}$ and at last entirely defeated him in a great battle, wherein the Khan lost his life. ${ }^{7}$ This victory was soon followed by another over the Khan's son, who was made prisoner and sent to Hormisdas. ${ }^{8}$ An enormous booty was at the same time despatched to the court ; ${ }^{9}$ and Bahram himself was about to return, when he received his master's orders to carry his arms into another quarter.

[^213]
## pàu and sometimes Raóáunr. <br> ${ }^{3}$ Theophylact. Sim. iii. 18 ; Tabari, ii. p. 252. <br> - The ' twelve thousand ' of Mir-

 khond (p. 394), Tabari (p. 256), and Macoudi (p. 213) seems very improbably sunall: but their statement that quality rather than number was considered, may be accepted.${ }^{5}$ Mirkhond, 1.s.c.
${ }^{6}$ Ibid.
${ }^{7}$ Tabari, ii. p. 26s ; Maçoudi, ii. p. 213.
${ }^{8}$ Tahari, ii. pp. 264-5; Mirkhond, p. 394 ; Mą̧oudi, ii. p. 213.

- According to some writers, the booty was conveyed on the backs of 250,000 camels! (Mirkhond, 1.s.c.)

It is supposed by some that, while the Turkish hordes were menacing Persia upon the north-east, a Roman army, intended to act in concert with them, ${ }^{1}$ was sent by Maurice into Albania, which proceeded to threaten the common enemy in the north-weet. But the Byzantine writers know of no alliance at this time between the Romans and Turks; nor do they tell of any offensive movement undertaken by Rome in aid of the Turkish invasion, or even simultaneously with it. According to them, the war in this quarter, which certainly broke out in a.d. 389, was provoked by Hormislas himself, who, immediately after his Turkish viciories, sent Bahram with an army to invade Colchis and Suania, ${ }^{2}$ or in other words to resume the Lazic war, from which A nushirwan had desisted ${ }^{8}$ twenty-seren years previously. Bahram found the province unguardel, and was able to ravage it at his will; but a Roman force axon gathered to its defence, and, after some mancuvres, a pitched battle was fought on the Araxes, in which the P'enian general suffered a defeat. The military reoultes of the cherk were insigniticant; but it lest th : an internal revolution. Hormimalas had grown jeabine of his tex) sucoresful licutenant, and was ghad of an 'ipprotunty to insult him.s So monorer did he hear of B.hram's defe at than he sent off: mesenger he the camp ":ipnothe Araxers, whe depriverl the general of his commatul, and prevented to him, on the part of his master,

[^214]a distaff, some cotton, and a complete set of women's garments. ${ }^{1}$ Stung to madness by the undeserved insult, Bahram retorted with a letter, wherein he addressed Hormisdas, not as the son, but as the daughter of Chosroës. ${ }^{2}$ Shortly afterwards, upon the arrival of a second messenger from the court, with orders to bring the recalcitrant commander home in chains, Bahram openly revolted, caused the envoy to be trampled upon by an elephant, ${ }^{8}$ and either by simply putting before the soldiers his services and his wrongs, ${ }^{4}$ or by misrepresenting to them the intentions of Hormisdas towards themselves, induced his whole army with one accord to embrace his cause.

The news of the great general's revolt was received with acclamations by the provinces. The army of Mesopotamia, collected at Nisibis, made common cause with that of Albania : and the united force, advancing on the capital by way of Assyria, took up a position upon the Upper Zab river. ${ }^{5}$ Hormisdas sent a general, Pherochanes, to meet and engage the rebels; but the emissaries of Bahram seduced his troops from their allegiance; Pherochanes was murdered; ${ }^{6}$ and the insurgent army, augmented by the force sent to oppose it, drew daily nearer to Ctesiphon. Meanwhile Hormisdas, distracted between hate and fear, suspecting every one,

[^215]The Byzantines say that Bahram pretended to have received intelligence that Hormisdas was about to diminish the soldiers' pay, and to punish them for having allowed themselves to be defeated on the Araxes (Theophylact. Sim. iii. 18, ad fin.; Theophan. Chronograph. p. $222, \mathrm{~B})$.
${ }^{5}$ Theophylact. Sim. iv. 2.
${ }^{6}$ Ibid. iv. 3.
trusting no one, confined himself within the walls of the capital, where he continued to exercise the severitics which had lost him the affections of his subjects. Accorling to some, he suspected his son, Choserois, of cullusion with the enemy, and drove him into banishments ${ }^{1}$ imprisoning at the same time his own brothers-in-law, Bindoets and Bostam, ${ }^{2}$ who would be likely, he thought, to give their support to their nephew. These violent measures precipitatad the evils which he feared ; a general revolt broke out in the palace; Bostam and Hindois, released from prison, put themselves at the head of the malcontente, and, rushing into the presencechamber, drayged the tyrat from his throne, stripped him of the diadem, and comsnitted him to the dangeon from which they had themsel res escaped. The Byzantine historians believed ${ }^{3}$ that, after this, Hornisdus was permitted to plend his cause before an asembly of Pensian nobles, to glorify his own reign, vituperate his eldest son, Chosrols, and express his willingness to abdicate in favour of annther son, who had never offended him. They supposed that this ill-judged oration had sealed the fate of the youth recommended and of his mother, who were cut to pieces before the fallen monarch's

[^216]
eyes, while at the same time the rage of the assembly was vented in part upon Hormisdas himself, who was blinded, to make his restoration impossible. But a judicious critic will doubt the likelihood of rebels, committed as were Bindoës and Bostam, consenting to allow such an appeal as is described by Theophylact; and a perusal of the speeches assigned to the occasion will certainly not diminish his scepticism. ${ }^{1}$ The probability would seem to be that Hormisdas was blinded as soon as committed to prison, and that shortly afterwards he suffered the general fate of deposed sovereigns, being assassinated in his place of confinement. ${ }^{2}$

The deposition of Hormisdas was followed almost immediately by the proclamation of his eldest son, Chosroës, the prince known in history as 'Eberwiz' or ' Parviz,' the last great Persian monarch. The rebels at Ctesiphon had perhaps acted from first to last with his cognisance : at any rate, they calculated on his pardoning proceedings which had given him actual possession of a throne whereto, without their aid, he might never have succeeded. They accordingly declared him king of Persia withcut binding him by conditions, and without negotiating with Bahram, who was still in arms and at no great distance.

Before passing to the consideration of the eventful reign with which we shall now have to occupy ourselves, a glance at the personal character of the deceased monarch will perhaps be expected by the reader. Hormuzd is pronounced by the concurrent voice of the

[^217]Greeks and the Orientuls one of the wont priuces that ever ruled over Persia. ${ }^{1}$ The fuir promive of his early years was quickly clouded over; and during the greater portion of his reign he was a jealons and capricions tyrumt, influenced by unworthy favourites, and stimulated to ever-increasing weveriticas by his fears. Eminence of whatsouver kind roused his suspicions; and smong his victims were included, besides the noble and the great, a large number of philosophers and men of science. ${ }^{2}$ His tratment of Bahram was at once a folly and a crime-an art of black ingratitude, and a mash step, whereof he had not counted the consequences. To his other vices he added those of indolence and effeminacy. From the time that he became king, nothing could drag him from the soft life of the palace ; in no single instance did be take the field, either against his country's enemies or his own. Miverable we was his cad, we cuns scarcely deens him worthy of our pity, since there never lived a man whuse misfortunes were more truly brought on him by his own conduct.

The coins of Hormisdas IV. are in no respect remarkable. The head seems modelled on that of Chos-rin-s, his father, but is younger. The field of the coin within the border is somewhat unduly crowded with star and creswents. Stars and erescents also occur outside the borier, replacing the simple crescents of Chosruin, ${ }^{2}$ and reproducing the combined stars and crescents of Tammanp." The legend on the obverse is Auhramazdi , !i:ud, or sometimes Auhramazi ajzun; ${ }^{b}$ on the reverse

[^218]are commonly found, besides the usual fire-altar and supporters, a regnal year and a mint-mark. The regnal years range from one to thirteen; ${ }^{1}$ the number of the mint-marks is about thirty. ${ }^{2}$

con or hormisdas iv.
${ }^{1}$ Thomas in the Numismatic $\mid$ vol. viii. pp. 100-110; vol. xii. Chronicle for 1873, p. 236. pp. 27-32.
${ }^{2}$ Mordtmann in the Zeitschrift,

## CHAPTER XXIII.

Accuaion of Chowris II. (Einvaiz). Balorem rojecto hin Tarone Contas botwen Chowroie and Belrem. Flighe of Choervie. Ethert Raign
 Throwe iy Chowrie. Caine of Balram.



Tue position of Chosroës II. on his accession was one of great difficulty. Whether actually guilty of parricide or not, ${ }^{1}$ he was at any rate suspected by the greater part of his subjects of complicity in his father's murder. A rebel, who was the greatest Persian general of the time, at the head of a veteran army, stood arrayed arainet his authority. Ite had no established Character to tall back upon, mo merits to plead, nothing in fant to urge on his thelalf hut that he was the edleat -rit of hiv father, the legitimate representative of the atherent line of the samanide. A revolution had placed ham on the throme in a haty and irregular manner : ano is it clear that he had veotured on the usual formatity of a-king the ornernt of the general anembly of
 i.:an oh every ade: but the anot prosolng danger of all.

[^219]that which required to be immediately met and confronted, was the threatening attitude of Bahram, who had advanced from Adiabêné to Holwan, ${ }^{1}$ and occupied a strong position not a hundred and fifty miles from the capital. Unless Bahram could be conciliated or defeated, the young king could not hope to maintain himself in power, or feel that he had any firm grasp of the sceptre.

Under these circumstances, he took the resolution to try first the method of conciliation. There seemed to be a fair opening for such a course. It was not he, but his father, who had given the offence which drove Bahram into rebellion, and almost forced him to vindicate his manhood by challenging his detractor to a trial of strength. Bahram could have no personal ground of quarrel with him. Indeed that general had at the first, if we may believe the Oriental writers, ${ }^{2}$ proclaimed Chosroës as king, and given out that he took up arms in order to place him upon the throne. It was thought, moreover, that the rebel might feel himself sufficiently avenged by the death of his enemy, and might be favourably disposed towards those who had first blinded Hormisdas and then despatched him by the bowstring. ${ }^{8}$ Chosroës therefore composed a letter in which he invited Bahram to his court, and offered him the second place in the kingdom, if he would come in and make his submission. The message was accompanied by rich presents, and by an offer that if the terms proposed were accepted they should be confirmed by oath. ${ }^{4}$

[^220]The reply of Bahram was as follows:-Bahram, friend of the gods, conqueror, illustrious, enemy of tyrants, satrap of satraps, general of the Persian host, wise, apt for command. god-fearing, without reproach, noble, fortunate, successful, venerable, thrifty, provident, gentle, humane, to Chosroès the son of Hormisdas (sends greeting). I have received the letter which you wrute with such little wisdom, but have rejected the presents which you sent with such excessive boldness. It had been better that you should have abstained from sending either, more especially considering the irregularity of your appointment, and the fact that the noble and rexpectable took no part in the vote, which was carried by the disorderly and low-born. If theu it is your wish to escape your father's fate, strip off the diadem which you have assumed and deposit it in some holy place, quit the palace, and restore to their prisons the criminals whom you have set at liberty, ${ }^{1}$ and whom you had no right to release until they had undergone trial for their crimes. When you have done all this, c.inn. hither. and I will give you the government of a prosi:are. It. well adviarl, and so farewell. lilse, be -ur. y.un will prorivh like your father.' Sis inmolent a monse might well have provokeal the goung prince to - Hu- hate at or atme unworthy show of temper. It 1- :. the crovlt of chomenes that he restrained him$\cdots$ at and aron made another attempt to terminate the



[^221]him as his friend. He complimented him on his courage, and felicitated him on his excellent health. 'There were certain expressions,' he said, 'in the letter that he had received, which he was sure did not speak his friend's real feelings. The amanuensis had evidently drunk more wine than he ought, and, being half asleep when he wrote, had put down things that were foolish and indeed monstrous. But he was not disturbed by them. He must decline, however, to send back to their prisons those whom he had released, since favours granted by royalty could not with propriety be withdrawn; and he must protest that in the ceremony of his coronation all due formalities had been observed. As for stripping himself of his diadem, he was so far from contemplating it, that he looked forward rather to extending his dominion over new worlds. As Bahram had invited him, he would certainly pay him a visit; but he would be obliged to come as a king, and if his persuasions did not produce submission he would have to compel it by force of arms. He hoped that Bahrain would be wise in time, and would consent to be his friend and helper.'

This second overture produced no reply ; and it became tolerably evident that the quarrel could only be decided by the arbitrament of battle. Chosroës accordingly put himself at the head of such troops as he could collect, ${ }^{1}$ and marched against his antagonist, whom he found encamped on the Holwan river. ${ }^{2}$ The place was

[^222]favourable for an engagement; but Chosroes had no confidence in his soldiers. He sought a personal interview with Bahram, and renewed his offers of pardon and favour ; but the conference only led to mutual recriminations, ${ }^{1}$ and at its close both sides appealed to arms. During six days the two armies merely skirmished, since Chosroés bent all his efforts towards avoiding a general engagement; but on the seventh day Buhram surprised him by an attack after night had fallen, ${ }^{2}$ threw his troops into confusion, and then, by a skilful appeal to their feelings, induced them to deest their leader and come over to his side. Choaroies was forced to fly. He fell back on Ctesiphon; ${ }^{8}$ but despairing of making a successful defence, with the few troops that remained faithful to him, against the overwhelming force which Bahram had at his disposel, he resolved to evacuate the capital, to quit Persia, and to throw himself on the generosity of some one of his neiphbours. It is said that his choice was long undeturmined between the Turks, the Arabs, the Khazars of the C'iucausian region, and the Romams4 According to some writern, after leaving C'tesiphon, with his wives and chikiren, his two uncles, and an ensort of thirty men. F 'h. laid his reins on his horse's nerk, and left it to the instinet of the animal to determine in what directon her should ther: The supacious beat toxk the way w the Euphrites; and Chowrowe, finding himself

[^223]on its banks, crossed the river, and, following up its course, ${ }^{1}$ reached with much difficulty the well-known Roman station of Circesium. ${ }^{2}$ He was not unmolested in his retreat. Bahram no sooner heard of his flight than he sent off a body of 4,000 horse, with orders to pursue and capture the fugitive. ${ }^{8}$ They would have succeeded, had not Bindoës devoted himself on behalf of his nephew, and, by tricking the officer in command, ${ }^{4}$ enabled Chosroës to place such a distance between himself and his pursuers that the chase had to be given up, and the detachment to return, with no more valuable capture than Bindoës, to Ctesiphon.

Chosroës was received with all honour by Probus, the governor of Circesium, ${ }^{5}$ who the next day communicated intelligence of what had happened to Comentiolus, Prefect of the East, then resident at Hierapolis. At the same time he sent to Comentiolus a letter which Chosroës had addressed to Maurice, imploring his aid against his enemies. Comentiolus approved what had been done, despatched a courier to bear the royal missive to Constantinople, and shortly afterwards, by the direction of the court, invited the illustrious refugee to remove to Hierapolis, ${ }^{6}$ and there take up his abode, till his cause should be determined by the emperor. Meanwhile, at Constantinople, after the letter of Chosroës had

[^224]been read, a serious debste arose as to what was fittest to be done. ${ }^{1}$ While some urged with much show of reason that it was for the interest of the empire that the civil war shoukl be prolonged, that Persia should be allowed to waste her strength and exhaust her resources in the contest, at the end of which it would be easy to conquer her, there were others whose views were less selfish or more far-sightel. The prospect of uniting the East and West into a single monarchy, which had been brought to the test of experiment by Alexander and had failexl, did not present itself in a very tempting lipht to these minds. They doubted the ability of the declining empire to sway at once the sceptre of Europe and of Asia. They feared that if the appeal of Chosrois were rujected, the East would simply fall into anarchy, and the way would perhaps be prepared for some new power to rise up, more formidable than the kingdom of the Sassunida. The inclination of Maurice, whor liked to think himeelf magnanimous, ${ }^{2}$ coincided with the view, of there permens: their counsels were arop:od : and the reply was made to (honruen, that the Lomona emperor acroptend him as his guest and som, ${ }^{3}$
 fin: a. .if the rempire to rewower his throme. At the


[^225]and releasing the Persian prisoners in confinement at Constantinople, ${ }^{1}$ bade them accompany the envoys of Chosroës and resume the service of their master. Soon afterwards more substantial tokens of the Imperial friendship made their appearance. An army of 70,000 men $^{2}$ arrived under Narses; and a subsidy was advanced by the Imperial treasury, amounting (according to one writer) ${ }^{3}$ to above two millions sterling.

But this valuable support to his cause was no free gift of a generous friend; on the contrary, it had to be purchased by great sacrifices. Chosroës had perhaps at first hoped that aid would be given him gratuitously, and had even regarded the cession of a single city as one that he might avoid making. ${ }^{4}$ But he learnt by degrees that nothing was to be got from Rome without paying for it; and it was only by ceding Persarmenia and Eastern Mesopotamia, with its strong towns of Martyropolis and Daras, ${ }^{5}$ that he obtained the men and money that were requisite.

Meanwhile Bahram, having occupied Ctesiphon, had proclaimed himself king, ${ }^{6}$ and sent out messengers on all sides to acquaint the provinces with the change of rulers. The news was received without enthusiasm, but with a general acquiescence ; and, had Maurice re-

[^226]jected the application of Chosrois it is probable that the usurper might have enjoyed a long and quite rejgn. As soon, however, as it came to he known that the Greek emperor had esproused the cause of his rival, Mabram found himself in difficulties: conspiracy arose is his own court, and had to be suppresed by executions ; ${ }^{1}$ murnsurs were heard in some of the more distant prosincea : Armenia openly revolted and declared for Chosrois: ${ }^{3}$ and it soon appeared that in placee the fidelity of the Persian troopis was doubtful. This was especially the case is Mesopotamis, ${ }^{3}$ which would hase to bear the brunt of the attack when the Romans advancel. Bahram therefore thought it neceseary, though it wis now the depals of winter, wo streogthen him hold on the wavering province, and aent out two defnchmense, under commanders upos whom he could rely, to occupy respectively Amacho and Nisibis, the two strougholds of greatest importance in the suspected rugion. Mir-admin suoceeded in entering and occuping Anatho.' Zadesprates was less fortunate; before he reacherl the neightuourhood of Nisibis, the garrison which held that place had deserted the cause of the uaurp.r and given in its adhesion to Chosroës; and, wholl he appruached to reconnoitre, he was made the victim of a stratagem and killed by an officer named Romas.: Mir-aduris did not long survive him ; the trengm which he had introduced into Anatho caught the contagion of revolt, rose up aguinst him, slew him, and rent his head to Chosrexis."

[^227]181

The spring was now approaching, ${ }^{1}$ and the time for military operations on a grand scale drew near. Chosroës, besides his supporters in Mesopotamia, Roman and Persian, had a second army in Azerbijan, raised by his uncles Bindoës and Bostam, ${ }^{2}$ which was strengthened by an Armenian contingent. ${ }^{8}$ The plan of campaign involved the co-operation of these two forces. With this object Chosroës proceeded, early in the spring, from Hierapolis to Constantina, ${ }^{4}$ from Constantina to Daras, ${ }^{5}$ and thence by way of Ammodion ${ }^{6}$ to the Tigris, across which he sent a detachment, probably in the neighbourhood of Mosul. This force fell in with Bryzacius, who commanded in these parts for Bahram, and surprising him in the first watch of the night, defeated his army and took Bryzacius himself prisoner. The sequel, which Theophylact appears to relate from the information of an eye-witness, furnishes a remarkable evidence of the barbarity of the times. Those who captured Bryzacius cut off his nose and his ears, and in this condition sent him to Chosroës. The Persian prince was overjoyed at the success, which no doubt he accepted as a good omen; he at once led his whole army across the river, and having encamped for the night at a place called Dinabadôn, entertained the chief Persian and Roman nobles at a banquet. When the festivity was at its height, the unfortunate prisoner

[^228]was brought in loaded with fetters, and wis made sport of by the guests for a time, after which, at a signal from the king, the guards plunged their sworls into his body, and despatcheed bim in the sight of the feasters. Having annused his grests with this delertable interlude, the amiatile monarch concluded the whole by anointing them with perfumed ointment, crowning them with flowers, and bidting them drink to his success in the war. 'The gueats,' saye Theophylach, 'returned to their tents, delighted with the completencess of their entertsinment, and told their friends how handesmely they had been treated, but the crown of all (they said) was the epinode of Pryzacius: '

Chinsties next day aidvanced across the Greater Zab, anid, after marching four days, reached Alexatudriana, ${ }^{2}$ a position probubly not fir from Arbelin, affer which, in two days more, be arrived at Chnethas. ${ }^{3}$ which was a district upon the Zab Aofal, or Lamer Zatb river. Here he found himself in the immediate vicinity of Bahram, who had taken up his junition on the Iasmer \%ab, with the intention probably of blocking the route up its valley, ${ }^{4}$ by which he expectend that the Armenian army would endeavour to effict a junction with the army of Chosorosas Here the two forree watched each other for some days, and varinus mancuures were executed, which it is imposible to fillow, eince Theophylact, our only authority, is not a gexal military historian. The result, however, is crram. Bahran was out-mancuured by Choarois

[^229]and his Roman allies; the fords of the Zab were seized ; and, after five days of marching and countermarching, the longed-for junction took place. ${ }^{1}$ Chosroës had the satisfaction of embracing his uncles Bindoës and Bostam, and of securing such a reinforcement as gave him a great superiority in numbers over his antagonist. ${ }^{2}$

About the same time he received intelligence of another most important success. Before quitting Daras, he had despatched Mebodes, at the head of a small body of Romans, ${ }^{8}$ to create a diversion on the Mesopotamian side of the Tigris by a demonstration from Singara against Seleucia and Ctesiphon. He can hardly have expected to do more than distract his enemy and perhaps make him divide his forces. Bahram, however, was either indifferent as to the fate of the capital, or determined not to weaken the small army, which was all that he could muster, and on which his whole dependence was placed. He left Seleucia and Ctesiphon to their fate. Mebodes and his small force marched southward without meeting an enemy, obtained possession of Seleucia without a blow after the withdrawal of the garrison, received the unconditional surrender of Ctesiphon, made themselves masters of the royal palace and treasures, proclaimed Chosroës king, and sent to him in his camp the most precious emblems of the Persian sovereignty. ${ }^{4}$ Thus, before engaging with his antagonist, Chosroës recovered his capital and found his

[^230]authority once more recognised in the seat of government.

The great contest had, however, to be decided, not by the loss and gain of cities, nor by the fickle mood of a populace, but by trial of arms in the open field. Bahram was not of a temper to surrender his sovereignty unless compelled by defeat. He was one of the greatest generals of the age, ${ }^{1}$ and, though compelled to fight under every disadvantage, greatly outnumbered by the enemy, and with troops that were to a large extent disafferted, he was bent on resisting to the utmont, and doing his best to maintain his own rights. He mrems to have fought two pitched battles with the combined Romans and Persians, ${ }^{2}$ and not to have succumsed until treachery and dessertion disheartened him and ruined his cause. The first battle was in the plain country of Adiabine;, at the f(x)t of the Zagros range. Here the opponing asmies were drawn out in the open tichld, cach dividesl into a centre and two wings. In the army of Chorexis the lematus were in the middle, on the right the P'ersians, and the Armenians on the

 When the conthe largan the Lamats chargerl with
 her was ohiane.el tw setreat to the fint of the hills, and fine up a pait:on on their shepr. Here the Romans




[^231]complete confusion ; and the battle would infallibly have been lost, had not Narses come to their aid, and with his steady and solid battalions protected their retreat and restored the fight. Yet the day terminated with a feeling on both sides that Bahram had on the whole had the advantage in the engagement; the king de facto congratulated himself; the king de jure had to bear the insulting pity of his allies, and the reproaches of his own countrymen for occasioning them such a disaster. ${ }^{1}$

But though Bahram might feel that the glory of the day was his, he was not elated by his success, nor rendered blind to the difficulties of bis position. Fighting with his back to the mountains, he was liable, if he suffered defeat, to be entangled in their defiles and lose his entire force. Moreover, now that Ctesiphon was no longer his, he had neither resources nor point d'appui in the low country, and by falling back he would at once be approaching nearer to the main source of his own supplies, which was the country about Rei, ${ }^{2}$ south of the Caspian, and drawing his enemies to a greater distance from the sources of theirs. He may even have thought there was a chance of his being unpursued if he retired, since the Romans might not like to venture into the mountain region, and Chosroës might be impatient to make a triumphal entry into his capital. Accordingly, the use which Bahram made of his victory was quietly to evacuate his camp, to leave the low plain region, rapidly pass the mountains, and take up his quarters in the fertile

[^232]upland beyond them, the district where the Lesser Zab rises, mouth of Lake Crumiyeh.

If he had hoped that his enemies would not pursue him, Pahram was disappointed. Chowroies himmelf, and the whole of the mixed army which supported his caune, mon followerl on his fentiteps, and pressing forward to ('anzaca, ${ }^{1}$ or shiz, ${ }^{2}$ near which he had pitehed his camp. offered him battle for the second time. Bahram derlined the officr, and retreated to a position on the halarathos, where, however, after a sheort time, he w:s fioned to come to an engagement. He had rewived. it would rem, a reinforvement of elephants from the provinoo lnordering on India, ${ }^{3}$ and hoped for - mae :nduatage from the emphoyent of this new arm. If. had prothap augmented his forres. ${ }^{4}$ though it must In. doubtal whether he really on this acravions outmumin.rel his antaponist. At any rate, the time eremed to hate come when he must abide the ineve of hi- appal warme and meure or lime his crown by a






left, and transferring himself to this part of the field, made an impression on the Roman right. But Narses brought up supports to their aid, and checked the retreat, which had already begun, and which might soon have become general. Hereupon Bahram suddenly fell upon the Roman centre and endeavoured to break it and drive it from the field; but Narses was again a match for him, and met his assault without flinching, after which, charging in his turn, he threw the Persian centre into confusion. Seeing this, the wings also broke, and a general flight began, ${ }^{1}$ whereupon 6,000 of Bahram's troops deserted, and, drawing aside, allowed themselves to be captured. ${ }^{2}$ The retreat then became a rout. Bahram himself fled with 4,000 men. ${ }^{3}$ His camp, with all its rich furniture, and his wives and children, were taken. ${ }^{4}$ The elephant corps still held out and fought valiantly; but it was surrounded and forced to surrender. ${ }^{5}$ The battle was utterly lost; and the unfortunate chief, feeling that all hope was gone, gave the reins to his horse and fled for his life. Chosroës sent ten thousand men in pursuit, ${ }^{6}$ under Bostam, his uncle; and this detachment overtook the fugitives, but was repulsed ${ }^{7}$ and returned. Bahram continued his flight, and, passing through Rei and Damaghan, ${ }^{8}$ reached the Oxus and placed himself under the protection of the Turks. Chosroës, having dismissed his Roman allies,

[^233]- Theophylact. Sim. v. 11, ad init.
${ }^{5}$ Ibid.
${ }^{6}$ Eight hundred, according to Tabari (l.s.c.) ; but the ten thousand of Theophylact ( $\mathrm{p} .134, \mathrm{~B}$ ) is more probable.
${ }^{7}$ So Tabari (l.s.c.). Theophylact says nothing of the repulse.
${ }^{8}$ Tabari, ii. p. 297.
re-entered Otesiphon after a year's absence, and for the second time took his place upon the throue of his ancestors.

The coins of Bhhram possess a peculiar interost. While there is no numismatic evidence which confirms the statement that be struck moneg in the name of the younger Chosrolis, there are extant three types of his coins, two of which appene to belong to the time before he seated himself upos the throne, while onethe last-belonges to the perind of his actunl sovereignty. ${ }^{1}$ In his pre-regnal coins, he copied the devices of the last suvereign of his name who had ruled over Persia. ${ }^{2}$ He adopted the mural crown in a decided form, omitted the stars and crescents, and placed his own head amid the flames of the fire-altar. His legends were either Varahom Chub, ' Bahram of the Mace, ${ }^{2}$ or Farahrm, malkan malks, masdimm, bagi, ramasheri', 'Balaram, king of kings, Oruazed-wonshipping, divine, peaceful.'


Matit coty no vamanimat $n$.

[^234]The later coins follow closely the type of his predecessor, Hormisdas IV., differing only in the legend, which is, on the obverse, Varahrân afzun, or ' Varahran, (may he be) greater; and on the reverse the regnal year, with a mint-mark. The regnal year is uniformly ' one;' the mint-marks are Zadracarta, Iran, and Nihach, an unknown locality.


## CHAPTER XXIV.

> Second Reign of Chosroës II. (Eberviz). His Rule at first Unpopular. His Treatment of his Uncles, Bindoès and Bostam. His vindictive Proceedings against Bahram. His supposed Leaning towards Christianity. His Wives, Shirin and Kurdiyeh. His early Wars. His Relations with the Emperor Maurice. His Attitude towards Phocas. Great War of Chosroës woith Phocrs, A.D. 603-010. War continued with Heraclius. Immense Successes of Chosruës, A.D. 611-020. Aggressive taken by Heraclius, A.D. 622. His Camprigns in Persian Territory, A.D. 622-628. Murder of Chosroës. His Character. His Coins.

- Regnum ergó occuparit Cesra, flius Hormozi, qui Aperwiz cognominatus est, annos triginta novem.'-Eutrchios, Anmales, rol. ii. p. 207.

The second reign of Chosroës II., who is commonly knowno as Chosroës Eberwiz or Parwiz ${ }^{1}$, lasted little short of thirty-seven years ${ }^{2}$-from the summer of a.d. 591 to the February of A.D. 628. Externally considered, it is the most remarkable reign in the entire Sassanian series, embracing as it does the extremes of elevation and depression. Never at any other time did the Neo-Persian kingdom extend itself so far, or so distinguish itself by military achievements, as in the twenty years intervening between A.D. 602 and A.D.

[^235]622. Seldom was it brought so low as in the years immediately anterior and immediately subsequent to this space, in the earlier and in the later portions of the reign whose central period was so glorious.

Victorious by the help of Rome, Chosroës began his second reign amid the scarcely-disguised hostility of his subjects. So greatly did he mistrust their sentiments towards him, that he begged and obtained of Maurice the support of a Roman body-guard, ${ }^{1}$ to whom he committed the custody of his person. To the odium always attaching in the minds of a spirited people to the ruler whose yoke is imposed upon them by a foreign power, he added further the stain of a crime which is happily rare at all times, and of which (according to the general belief of his subjects) no Persian monarch had ever previously been guilty. It was in vain that he protested his innocence : the popular belief held him an accomplice in his father's murder, and branded the young prince with the horrible name of 'parricide.'
It was no doubt mainly in the hope of purging himself from this imputation, that after putting to death the subordinate instruments ${ }^{2}$ by whom his father's life had been actually taken, he went on to institute proceedings against the chief contrivers of the outrage-the two uncles who had ordered, and probably witnessed, the exccution. So long as the success of his arms was doubtful, he had been happy to avail himself of their support, and to employ their talents in the struggle against his enemies. At one moment

[^236]in his fight he had owed his life to the self-devotion of Bindoës ; ${ }^{1}$ and both the brothers had merited well of him by the efforts which they had made to bring Armenia over to his cause, and to levy a powerful army for him in that region. ${ }^{2}$ But to clear his own character it was necessary that he should forget the ties both of blowl and gratitucle, that he should sink the kinsman in the sovereign, and the debtor in the stern avenger of blood. Accordingly, he seized Bindixi:, who resided at the court, and had him drowned in the Tigris.s To Bostam, whom he had appointed fovernor of Rei and Khorassan, ${ }^{4}$ he sent an order of rec:all, and woukd undoubtedly have executed him, had he olveyed: but Bustam, suspecting his intentions, deremend it the wisest course to revolt, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ and proclaim hiinself inclependent monarch of the north country. Here he established himeelf in authority for some time, and is even said to have enlarged his territory at the exprense of some of the border chieftains ; ${ }^{6}$ but the whereace of his mephew purand him unrelentingly. and rere hong acomplished his destruction. Acoording t., the In-at authority: the instrument employed was line ami, wite, the sinter of Bahram, whom Chouren-s t:d:ar..| to murder her hu-hand by a promine to make i.a: the parturer of hiv lned.
latr:pu-s not very dis-imilar in their character had

[^237]been previously employed to remove Bahram, whom the Persian monarch had not ceased to fear, notwithstanding that he was a fugitive and an exile. The Khan of the Turks had received him with honour on the occasion of his flight, and, according to some authors, ${ }^{1}$ had given him his daughter in marriage. Chosroës lived in dread of the day when the great general might reappear in Persia, at the head of the Turkish hordes, and challenge him to renew the latelyterminated contest. ${ }^{2}$ He therefore sent an envoy into Turkestan, well supplied with rich gifts, whose instructions were to procure by some means or other the death of Bahram. Having sounded the Khan upon the business and met with a rebuff, the envoy addressed himself to the Khatûn, the Khan's wife, and by liberal presents induced her to come into his views. A slave was easily found who undertook to carry out his mistress's wishes, and Bahram was despatched the same day by means of a poisoned dagger. ${ }^{8}$

It is painful to find that one thus ungrateful to his friends and relentless to his enemies made, to a certain extent, profession of Christianity. Little as his heart can have been penetrated by its spirit, Chosroës seems certainly, in the earlier part of his reign, to have given occasion for the suspicion, which his subjects are said to have entertained, ${ }^{4}$ that he designed to change his religion, and confess himself a convert to the creed of the Greeks. During the period of his exile, he was, it would seem, impressed by what he saw and heard of the Christian worship and faith; he learnt to feel or

[^238]profess a high veneration for the Firgin; ${ }^{1}$ and he allopted the practice, common at the time, of addressing his prayers and vows to the saints and martyrs, who were practically the principal objects of the Oriental Christians' devotions. Sergius, a martyr held in high repute by the Christians of ()srhoinei aud Mesopotimia, was alopted by the supenstitious prince as a sort of patron-saint : and it became his habit, in circumstances of difli ulty, to vow emme gift or other to the shrine of St. Srpius at Arrgiopolis, ${ }^{2}$ in case of the event correepondin!: th his wishes. Two occisions are recoriled where, 'in ornding his gift, he accompanied it with a letter -xplaining the circumstances of his vow and its fultilment: : and even the letten themedves have come down
 cribn- the suecerse of his amms on a particular oxcasion to. the intluence of his melfechoren patron: in the other. her credits him with having proxuresi by his privers the prenamey of sim (.Wirin), the mont beautiful and



Christian, and that in marrying her Chosroës had contravened the laws of his country, which forbade the king to have a Christian wife. ${ }^{1}$ Her influence over him was considerable, ${ }^{2}$ and she is said to have been allowed to build numerous churches and monasteries in and about Ctesiphon. ${ }^{8}$ When she died, Chosroës called in the aid of sculpture to perpetuate her image, and sent her statue to the Roman Emperor, to the Turkish Khan, and to various other potentates. ${ }^{4}$

Chosroës is said to have maintained an enormous seraglio ; ${ }^{5}$ but of these secondary wives, none is known to us even by name, except Kurdiyeh, the sister of Bahram and widow of Bostam, whom she murdered at Chosroës's suggestion. ${ }^{6}$

During the earlier portion of his reign Chosroës seems to have been engaged in but few wars, and those of no great importance. According to the Armenian writers, ${ }^{7}$ he formed a design of depopulating that part of Armenia which he had not ceded to the Romans, by making a general levy of all the males, and marching them off to the East, to fight against the Ephthalites; but the design did not prosper, since the Armenians carried all before them, and under their native leader, Smbat, the Bagratunian, conquered Hyrcania and Tabaristan, defeated repeatedly the Koushans and the Ephthalites, and even engaged with success the Great

[^239]Khan of the Turks, who came to the support of his vassals at the head of an army consisting of 300.000 men. By the valour and conduct of Smbat, the Persian dominion was re-established in the north-enstern naountain region, from Mount Demuvend to the Hindu Kusb; the Koushans, Turks, and Ephthalites were held in cheek; and the tide of barbarism, which had threntened to submerge the empire on this side, was effectually rewisted and rolled back.

With Rome Chomods maintained for elaven years the mast friendly and cordial relations. Whatever humiliation he may have felt when he aceepted the terms on which alone Matarice was willing to render him aid, having once agreed to them, he stifled all regrets, made no attempt to evade his obligations, ${ }^{1}$ sbatained from every endeavour to undo by intrigue what he had done, unvillingly indeecd, but yet with his eyer open. Once only during the eleven years did a monentary cloud arive between him and his Wenefat tor. In the gear a.d. $\mathbf{6 0 0}$ some of the Saracenic trilm- dependent on Rome made an incursion acroses the Buphraten into l'ersian territory, ravaged it far and wide, and returned with their benty into the desert. ${ }^{2}$ (lhemrin- wav justly offended, and might fairly have arnoiderivi that a civeu belli had ariwen ; but he allowed hime. If $t$ in $\mathrm{I} \cdot$ pacition by the representations of Maurice's anvor, (iwnge, and consemted not to break the peace on acrount of wo small a matter. George chained the annomin ar a tribute to his own amiable qualities: but it in probable that the Persian monareh acted rather on arrumin of perecral policy than from any persound prathertion.

[^240]Two years later, the virtuous but perhaps over-rigid Maurice was deposed and murdered by the centurion, Phocas, who, on the strength of his popularity with the army, boldly usurped the throne. ${ }^{1}$ Chosroës heard with indignation of the execution of his ally and friend, of the insults offered to his remains, ${ }^{2}$ and of the assassination of his numerous sons, ${ }^{8}$ and of his brother. ${ }^{4}$ One son, he heard, had been sent off by Maurice to implore aid from the Persians; ${ }^{5}$ he had been overtaken and put to death by the emissaries of the usurper ; ${ }^{6}$ but rumour, always busy where royal personages are concerned, asserted that he lived, that he had escaped his pursuers, and had reached Ctesiphon. Chosroës was too much interested in the acceptance of the rumour to deny it ; he gave out that Theodosius was at his court, and notified that it was his intention to assert his right to the succession. ${ }^{7}$ When, five months after his coronation, Phocas sent an envoy to announce his occupation of the throne, and selected the actual murderer of Maurice to fill the post, Chosroës determined on an open rupture. He seized Lilius, the envoy, threw him into prison, ${ }^{8}$ announced his intention of avenging his deceased benefactor, and openly declared war against Rome.

[^241]The war burst out the next year (a.d. 603). On the Roman side there was disagreement, and even civil war; for Narses, who had held high command in the Fast ever since he restored Chosroisy to the throne of his ancestors, on hearing of the death of Maurice, took up arms against Phocas, and, throwing himself into Ederea, defied the forces of the usurper. ${ }^{1}$ Germanus, who commanded at Jarms, was a general of small capacity, and found himself quite unable to make head, either :yainst Narses in Flessa, or against Chowroxis. who led his trongs in person into Mesopotamia. Jbefeatad lig ('horerniss in a battle near Inras, in which herevive.el a mortal wound, (Germanns withlrew to Constantia, where he died eleven days afterwards. 2 A certain larntius, a cunuch, tenk his plare, but was equally un-ucrooful. Choerreis defeateal him at Arxamús, and tonk a great portion of his army prisoners: ${ }^{3}$ whereupon he was revalled by lhowas, and a third leader, Domentandue, a nephew of the emperor, was appointed to the -amamal. An:aine him the l'reian monareh though:


 : ...


[^242]loss was a severe blow to the Roman prestige, and was followed in the next year by a long series of calamities. Chosroës took Tur-abdin, Hesen-Cephas, Mardin, Ca-pher-tuta, and Amida. ${ }^{1}$ Two years afterwards, A.D. 607 , he captured Harran (Carrhæ ${ }^{2}$ ), Ras-el-ain (Resaina ${ }^{3}$ ), and Edessa, the capital of Osrhoêné, after which he pressed forward to the Euphrates, crossed with his army into Syria, and fell with fury on the Roman cities west of the river. Mabog or Hierapolis, Kenneserin, and Berhœa (now Aleppo), were invested and taken ${ }^{4}$ in the course of one or at most two campaigns; while at the same time (a.d. 609) a second Persian army, under a general whose name is unknown, after operating in Armenia, and taking Satala and Theodosiopolis, ${ }^{5}$ invaded Cappadocia and threatened the great city of Cæsarea Mazaca, which was the chief Roman stronghold in these parts. Bands of marauders wasted the open country, carrying terror through the fertile districts of Phrygia and Galatia, which had known nothing of the horrors of war for centuries, and were rich with the accumulated products of industry. According to Theophanes, ${ }^{6}$ some of the ravagers even penetrated as far as Chalcedon, on the opposite side of the straits from Constantinople; but this is probably the anticipation of an event belonging to a later time. ${ }^{7}$ No movements of importance are assigned to A.D. 610 ; but in the May of the next year the Persians once more crossed the Euphrates, completely defeated and destroyed the Roman army which protected Syria, and sacked the two great cities of Apameia and Antioch. ${ }^{8}$

[^243][^244]Meantime a change had occurred at Constantinople. The double revolt of Heraclius, prefect of Egypt, and Gregory, his lieutenant, had brought the reign of the brutal and incapable Phocas to an end, and placed upon the imperial throne a youth of promise, innocent of the blood of Maurice, and well inclined to avenge it. ${ }^{1}$ Chosroës had to consider whether he should adhere to his original statement, that he took up arms to punish the murderer of his friend and benefactor, and consequently desist from further hootilities now that Phocas wis dead, or whether, throwing consistency to the winds, he should continue to prosecute the war, notwithstanding the change of rulers, and endeavour to push to the utmost the advantuge which he had already oltained. He resolved on this latter alternative. It was while the young Heraclius was still insecure in his seat that he sent his armies into Syria, defeated the Koman troops, and took Antioch and Apameia. Following up blow with blow, he the next year (a.d. 612) invadial ('appudocia a menond time and captured Cassare:a Mazaca:" Two years later (A.d. ©it) he sent his Lemeral, Shahr-Barz, into the region east of the Antilibanus, and tosk the ancient and famous city of I :anameas.3 From Damascus, in the ensuing year, Shahr-1are advanced against l'alestine, and, summoning the Jew - to hiv aid, proclaimed a Holy War against the Christian misindievers, whom he threatenerl to -:a'ave or exterminate. Twenty-six thousand of these

[^245]fanatics flocked to his standard; and having occupied the Jordan region and Galilee, Shahr-Barz in A.D. 615 invested Jerusalem, and after a siege of eighteen days ${ }^{1}$ forced his way into the town, and gave it over to plunder and rapine. The cruel hostility of the Jews had free vent. The churches of Helena, of Constantine, of the Holy Sepulchre, of the Resurrection, and many others, were burnt or ruined; ${ }^{2}$ the greater part of the city was destroyed; the sacred treasuries were plundered; the relics scattered or carried off; and a massacre of the inhabitants, in which the Jews took the chief part, raged throughout the whole city for some days. As many as seventeen thousand, or, according to another account, ninety thousand, were slain. ${ }^{8}$ Thirtyfive thousand were made prisoners. ${ }^{4}$ Among them was the aged patriarch, Zacharias, who was carried captive into Persia, where he remained till his death. ${ }^{5}$ The Cross found by Helena, and believed to be 'the True Cross,' was at the same time transported to Ctesiphon, where it was preserved with care and duly venerated by the Christian wife of Chosroës. ${ }^{6}$

A still more important success followed. In A.D. 616 Shahr-Barz proceeded from Palestine into Egypt, which had enjoyed a respite from foreign war since the time of Julius Cæsar, surprised Pelusium, the key of

[^246]the country, and, presing forward acrose the Delta, easily made himself master of the rich and prosperous Alexandria. ${ }^{1}$ John the Merciful, who was the Patriarch, and Nicitas the Patrician, who was the governor, had quitted the city before his arrival, and had fled to Cyprus. ${ }^{2}$ Hence scarcely any resistance was made. The fall of Alexandria was followed at once by the complete submission of the rest of Egypt. ${ }^{8}$ Bands of Persians advanced up the Nile valley to the very contines of Ethiopia, and established the authority of Chosroeis over the whole country-a country in which no Persian had set foot since it was wrested by Alexander of Macedon from Darius Codomannus.

While this retnarkable conquest was made in the south-west, in the north-west another Persian army under another general, Saina or Shahén, ${ }^{4}$ starting from Cappaducia, marched through Asia Minor to the shores of the Thracian Bosphorus, and laid siege to the strong city of Chalcedon, which lay upon the strait, just opposite Constantinople. Chalcedon made a vigorous resistance: and Heraclius, anxious to stre it, had an interview with s!ahin, and at his surgeotion went three of his highost mobles an ambasadorn to (horroxes, with a

[^247]humble request for peace. The overture was ineffectual. Chosroës imprisoned the ambassadors and entreated them cruelly; ${ }^{1}$ threatened Shahên with death for not bringing Heraclius in chains to the foot of his throne; ${ }^{2}$ and declared in reply that he would grant no terms of peace-the empire was his, and Heraclius must descend from his throne. ${ }^{8}$ Soon afterwards (A.D. 617) Chalcedon, which was besieged through the winter, fell; ${ }^{4}$ and the Persians established themselves in this important stronghold, within a mile of Constantinople. ${ }^{5}$ Three years afterwards, Ancyra (Angora), which had hitherto resisted the Persian arms, was taken; ${ }^{6}$ and Rhodes, though inaccessible to an enemy who was without a naval force, submitted. ${ }^{7}$

Thus the whole of the Roman possessions in Asia and Eastern Africa were lost in the space of fifteen years. ${ }^{8}$ The empire of Persia was extended from the Tigris and Euphrates to the Egean and the Nile, attaining once more almost the same dimensions that, it had reached under the first and had kept until the third Darius. It is difficult to say how far their newly acquired provinces were really subdued, organised, and governed from Ctesiphon, how far they were merely overrun, plundered, and then left to themselves. On the one hand, we have indications of the existence of terrible dis-

[^248]orders and of something approaching to anarchy in parts of the conquered territory during the time that it was held by the Persians; on the other, we seem to see an intention to retain, to govern, and even to beautify it. Eutychius relates ${ }^{1}$ that, on the withdrawal of the Romans from Syria, the Jews resident in Tyre, who numbered four thousand, plotted with their co-religionists of Jerusalem, Cyprus, Damascus, and Galilee, a general massucre of the Tyrian Christians on a certain day. The plot was discovered; and the Jews of Tyre were arrested and imprisoned by their fellow-citizens, who put the city in a state of defence; and when the forcign Jews, to the number of $\mathbf{2 6 , 0 0 0}$, came at the apprinted time, repulsed them from the walls, and defented them with great slaughter. This story suggests the idea of a complete and general disorganisation. But on the other hand we hear of an augmentation of the revenue ${ }^{2}$ under Choeroies II., which seems ti) imply the establishment in the regions conquered of a $r$ : thal pencrument ; and the palace at Mashita, discoveral by a rewint traveller. ${ }^{3}$ a a striking pronf that ti. tompurary incupution wat contemplated, but that
 :anc. and mant to hold them and even visit them incan on:ally.
H. ravelius was now well nigh driven to depair. The liom of Epept reduced Constantinople to wame. ath it turey pepulace clamoured for final. The Avars

[^249]overran Thrace, and continually approached nearer to the capital. ${ }^{1}$ The glitter of the Persian arms was to be seen at any moment, if he looked from his palace windows across the Bosphorus. No prospect of assistance or relief appeared from any quarter. The empire was 'reduced to the walls of Constantinople, with the remnant of Greece, Italy, and Africa, and some maritime cities, from Tyre to Trebizond, of the Asiatic coast.' ${ }^{2}$ It is not surprising that under the circumstances the despondent monarch determined on flight, and secretly made arrangements for transporting himself and his treasures to the distant Carthage, ${ }^{8}$ where he might hope at least to find himself in safety. His ships, laden with their precious freight, had put to sea, and he was about to follow them, when his intention became known or was suspected; the people rose; and the Patriarch, espousing their side, forced the reluctant prince to accompany him to the church of St. Sophia, and there make oath that, come what might, he would not separate his fortunes from those of the imperial city. ${ }^{4}$

Baffled in his design to escape from his difficulties by flight, Heraclius took a desperate resolution. He would leave Constantinople to its fate, trust its safety to the protection afforded by its walls and by the strait which separated it from Asia, ${ }^{5}$ embark with such troops as he

[^250]could collect, and carty the war into the enemy's country. The one advantage which he had over his adrersary was his posesesion of an ample nary, and consequent command of the sea and power to strike his blows unexpectedly in different quarters. On making known his intention, it was not opposed, either by the people or by the Patriarch. ${ }^{1}$ He was allowed to coin the treasures of the various churches into money, ${ }^{2}$ to collect stores, enrol troops, and, on the Easter Monday ${ }^{8}$ of A.D. 622, to set forth on his expedition. His fleet was steered southward, and, though forceel to contend with adverse gales, ${ }^{4}$ made a spendy and successful voyage through the Propontis, the Helleypont, the Egcan, and the Cilician Strait, to the Gulf of I sus, ${ }^{5}$ in the angle between Asia Minor and Syria. The prsition was well chosen, as one where attack was difficult, where numbers would give little advautage, and where consequently a small but resolute force might cavily maintain itself against a preatly superior enemy. It the same time it was a punt from which an advance :uieht conveniently be made in seseral direvtions. ath which mernacel almont equally Avia Minor, Syria, and Irmonia. Morewiver, the level tract between the monamains and the ma was broad doung for the ma-
 aï,, n.el him to train his whlliern by exerciven and sham liain- (1) a faniliarity with the sights and munds and

[^251]movements of a battle. ${ }^{1}$ He conjectured, rightly enough, that he would not long be left unmolested by the enemy. Shahr-Barz, the conqueror of Jerusalem and Egypt, was very soon sent against him; ${ }^{2}$ and, after various movements, which it is impossible to follow, a battle was fought between the two armies in the mountain country towards the Armenian frontier, in which the hero of a hundred fights was defeated, ${ }^{8}$ and the Romans, for the first time since the death of Maurice, obtained a victory. After this, on the approach of winter, Heraclius, accompanied probably by a portion of his army, returned by sea to Constantinople. ${ }^{4}$

The next year the attack was made in a different quarter. Having concluded alliances with the Khan of the Khazars and some other chiefs of inferior power, ${ }^{5}$ Heraclius in the month of March embarked with 5,000 men, ${ }^{6}$ and proceeded from Constantinople by way of the Black Sea first to Trebizond, ${ }^{7}$ and then to Mingrelia or Lazica. ${ }^{8}$ There he obtained contingents from his allies, which, added to the forces collected from Trebizond and the other maritime towns, may perhaps have raised his troops to the number of 120,000 , at which we find them estimated. ${ }^{9}$ With this army, he crossed
${ }^{1}$ Georg. Pisid. ii. 44-176; Theophan. p. 254, B.
${ }^{2}$ Theophan. p. 255, B.
${ }^{3}$ Ibid. p. $2 \tilde{5} 6, \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}$; Georg. Pisid. Acroas. iii. 210-275.
4 Theophan. p. 256, C. Gibbon says that after the battle 'Heraclius boldly ascended the heights of Mount Taurus, directed his march through the plains of Cappadocia, and established his tronps for the winter in safe and plentiful quarter: on the banks of the river Halys, (Decline and Fall, vol. v. p. 401 ). He seems to quote George of Pisidia as his authority; but that writer says nothing of any move-
ment made by the army after the battle. Neither does Theophanes.
${ }^{5}$ Eutych. Annales, vol. ii. p. 231. The Khazar alliance is mentioned by the Armenian writers (Patkanian in Journ. Asiatique for 1866 , p. 213). Nicephorus puts it forward very strongly (De Rebus post Mauricium, p. $11^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). but calls the Khazars Turks.
${ }^{6}$ Eutych. Annales, l.s.c.
${ }^{7}$ Ibid.
${ }^{8}$ Moyse de Khaghank, quoted by Patkanian, p. 20t; Nicephorus, p. 11, B.
${ }_{9}$ Patkanian, p. 201.
the Araxes, ${ }^{1}$ and invaded Armenia. Chooroës, on receiving the intelligence, proceeded into Azerbijan with 40,000 men, and oscupied the strong city of Canzaca, ${ }^{2}$ the site of which is probably marked by the ruins known as Taklit-i-Suleiman. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ At the same time he ordered two other armies, which be had sent on in advance, one of them commanded by Shahr-Barz, the other by Shahén, to effect a junction and oppose themselves to the further progress of the emperor. ${ }^{4}$ The two generals were, however, tandy in their movements, ${ }^{6}$ or at any rate were outstripped by the activity of Heraclius, who, pressing forward from Arnenia into Azerbijan, directed his march upon Canzaca, hoping to bring the Great King to a battle. His advance-guard of Saracens did artually surprise the picquets of Chosrois; ${ }^{6}$ but the king himself hastily evacuated the Median stronghold, and retreated southwards through Ardelan towanls the Caugros mountains, thus avoiding the engapement which was desired by his antagonist. The army, on witnessing the flight of their monarch, broke up and dioperave: Heraclius preserel upon the thying hom and slew all whom he caught, but did not suffer hamerelf to ls. diverted from his main objert, which w.s :a wertake ( homrones. His pursuit, however, was lata:a.c.atial. (homerion availeyl himelf of the rough and hatioult country wheh lies betwern Azerbigan and the Ma-apriamian lowland, aud by moving from place

[^252]to place contrived to baffle his enemy. ${ }^{1}$ Winter arrived, and Heraclius had to determine whether he would continue his quest at the risk of having to pass the cold season in the enemy's country, far from all his resources, or relinquish it and retreat to a safe position. Finding his soldiers divided in their wishes, he trusted the decision to chance, and opening the Gospel at random settled the doubt by applying the first passage that met his eye to its solution. The passage suggested retreat; and Heraclius, retracing his steps, recrossed the Araxes, and wintered in Albania. ${ }^{2}$

The return of Heraclius was not unmolested. He had excited the fanaticism of the Persians by destroying, wherever he went, the temples of the Magians, ${ }^{8}$ and extinguishing the sacred fire, which it was a part of their religion to keep continually burning. He had also everywhere delivered the cities and villages to the flames, and carried off many thousands of the population. The exasperated enemy consequently hung upon his rear, impeded his march, and no doubt caused him considerable loss, though, when it came to fighting, Heraclius always gained the victory. ${ }^{4}$ He reached Albania without sustaining any serious disaster, and even brought with him 50,000 captives; but motives of pity, or of self-interest, caused him soon afterwards to set these prisoners free. ${ }^{5}$ It would have been difficult to feed and house them through the long and severe winter, and disgraceful to sell or massacre them.

[^253]In the year A.d. 624 Chosroes took the offensive, and, before Heraclius had quitted his winter quarters, sent a gencral, at the head of a force of picked troops, into Albania, ${ }^{2}$ with the view of detaining him in that remote province during the season of military operations. But Sarablagas feared his advenwary $t 00$ much to be able very effectually to check his movements; he was content to guard the pasees, and hold the high ground, without hazarding an engagement. Heraclius contrived after a time to avoid him, and penctrated into Persia through a series of plains, probably those along the course and about the mouth of the Araxes. It was now his wish to push rapidly southward; but the auxiliaries on whom he greatly depended ${ }^{2}$ were unwilling; and, while he doubued what course to take, three Persian armics, under commanders of note, ${ }^{2}$ closed in upon him, and threatened his small force with destruction. Heraclius feigned a disordered tlight, and drew on him :all attack from two out of the three shiefs, whech he ravily repelletl. Then he fell upoln the thind, Shathen, an! ! ampletely defeated him. I way seremed to le thus 'prenel for hina inta the heart of lervia, and he once



 a pitue whe h Thenphanes calls Sallam, where he nur-

prised Shahr-Barz in the dead of the night, massacred his troops, his wives, his officers, and the mass of the population, which fought from the flat roofs of the houses, took the general's arms and equipage, and was within a little of capturing Shahr-Barz himself. ${ }^{1}$ The remnant of the Persian army fled in disorder, and was hunted down by Heraclius, who pursued the fugitives unceasingly till the cold season approached, and he had to retire into cantonments. The half-burnt Salban afforded a welcome shelter to his troops during the snows and storms of an Armenian winter. ${ }^{2}$

Early in the ensuing spring the indefatigable emperor again set his troops in motion, and, passing the lofty range ${ }^{8}$ which separates the basin of Lake Van from the streams that flow into the Upper Tigris, struck that river, or rather its large affluent, the Bitlis Chai, ${ }^{4}$ in seven days from Salban, crossed into Arzanene, and proceeding westward recovered Martyropolis and Amida, ${ }^{5}$ which had now been in the possession of the Persians for twenty years. ${ }^{6}$ At Amida he made a halt, and wrote to inform the Senate of Constantinople of his position and his victories, intelligence which they must have received gladly after having lost sight of him for above a twelvemonth. But he was not allowed to remain long undisturbed. Before the end of March Shahr-Barz had again taken the field in force, had occupied the usual passage of the Euphrates, and threatened the line of retreat which Heraclius had

[^254]looked upon as open to him. Unable to cross the Euphrutce by the bridge, which Shabr-Barz had broken, ${ }^{1}$ the emperor descended the stream till he found a ford, when he transported his army to the other bank, and hastened by way of Samosata and Germa-nicrea ${ }^{2}$ into Cilicia. Here he was once more in his own territory, with the sea close at hand, ready to bring him supplies or afford him a safe retreat, in a position with whose advantuges he was familiar, ${ }^{8}$ where broad plains gave an opportunity for skilful manceuvres, and deep rapid rivers rendered defence easy. Heraclius took up a position on the right bank of the Sarus (Syhun), in the immediate vicinity of the fortified bridge by which alone the stream could be crosed.4 Shahr-Harz followed, and ranged his troops along the left bunk, placing the archers in the front line, while be made preparations to draw the enemy from the defence of the bridge iato the pluin on the other side. He was so far sucressful that the Roman occupration of the bridge wis emblangered; but Hernelins, by his perisomal valour and liy almest superhuman exertions, ${ }^{3}$ restored the d.ey: with his own hand he struck down a Persian of piz:u:u otature and thung him from the bridge inte the ravir: then pushing on with a fow companions, her - Anarien the leraita host in the plain, reveiving un-
 watal -idos. ${ }^{6}$ The tipht was prodonger until the evon-

ing, and even then was undecided; but Shahr-Barz had convinced himself that he could not renew the combat with any prospect of victory. He therefore retreated during the night, and withdrew from Cilicia. ${ }^{1}$ Heraclius, finding himself free to march where he pleased, crossed the Taurus, and proceeded to Sebaste (Sivas), upon the Halys, where he wintered in the heart of Cappadocia, about half-way between the two seas. According to Theophanes, ${ }^{2}$ the Persian monarch was so much enraged at this bold and adventurous march, and at the success which had attended it, that, by way of revenging himself on Heraclius, he seized the treasures of all the Christian churches in his dominions, and compelled the orthodox believers to embrace the Nestorian heresy.

The twenty-fourth year of the war had now arrived, and it was difficult to say on which side lay the balance of advantage. If Chosroës still maintained his hold on Syria, Egypt, and Asia Minor as far as Chalcedon, if his troops still flaunted their banners within sight of Constantinople, yet on the other hand he had seen his hereditary dominions deeply penetrated by the armies of his adversary; he had had his best generals defeated, his cities and palaces burnt, his favourite provinces wasted; Heraclius had proved himself a most formidable opponent ; and unless some vital blow could be dealt him at home, there was no forecasting the clamage that he might not inflict on Persia by a fresh invasion. Chosroës therefore made a desperate attempt to bring the war to a close by an effort, the success of which would have changed the history of the world. Having enrolled as soldiers, besides Persians, a vast

[^255]Cb. xilv.j alliayce of chosroes with the avars. 517
number of forcignens and slaves, ${ }^{1}$ and having concluded a close alliance with the Khan of the Avars, he formed two great armies, ${ }^{2}$ one of which was intended to watels Meraclius in Asia Minor, while the other co-operated with the Avars and forced Constantinople wo surrender. The army destined u) contend with the emperor was placel under the command of Shahein; that which was io lxar a part in the siege of Constantinople was committe. | to shahr-Harz. It is remarkable that Heraclius, though quite aware of his adversary's plans, instead of wokine to bafle them, made such arrangements as farilitatol the attempt to put them into exerution. Ho disided his own trenpses inte three lxalies, ane only of which her arntor aid it the defener of his capital. ${ }^{3}$ The aromen lualy he left with his brother Therahire, whom her remande.i as a sufficient match for Shathon. With the third dsiaion he prowected atotward to the remote
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was shown) in marriage, the barbarian chief, dazzled and flattered, readily concluded an alliance, and associated his arms with those of the Romans. ${ }^{1}$ A joint attack was made upon Tiflis, ${ }^{2}$ and the town was reduced to extremities; when Sarablagas, with a thousand men, contrived to throw himself into it, and the allies, disheartened thereby, raised the siege and retired.

Meanwhile, in Asia Minor, Theodore engaged the army of Shahên; and, a violent hailstorm raging at the time, which drove into the enemy's face, while the Romans were, comparatively speaking, sheltered from its force, he succeeded in defeating his antagonist with great slaughter. Chosroës was infuriated; and the displeasure of his sovereign weighed so heavily upon the mind of Shahên, that he shortly afterwards sickened and died. The barbarous monarch gave orders that his corpse should be embalmed and sent to the court, in order that he might gratify his spleen by treating it with the grossest indignity. ${ }^{8}$

At Constantinople the Persian cause was equally unsuccessful. Shahr-Barz, from Chalcedon, entered into negotiations with the Khan of the Avars, and found but little difficulty in persuading him to make an attempt upon the imperial city. From their seats beyond the Danube a host of barbarians-Avars, Slaves, Gepidæ, Bulgarians, ${ }^{4}$ and others-advanced through the passes of Hæmus into the plains of Thrace, destroying and ravaging. The population fled before them and

[^256]sought the protection of the city walls, which had been carefully strengthened in expertation of the attack, and were in good order. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ The hordes forced the outer works: but all their efforts, though made both by land and sen, were unavailing against the main defences; their attempt to sap the wall failed : their artillery was met and crushed by engines of greater power: ${ }^{2}$ a tleet of Slavonian canoes, which endeavoured to force an entrance by the Golden Horn, ${ }^{3}$ was destroyed or driven ashore ; ${ }^{+}$the towers with which they sought to overtop the walls were burnt ${ }^{b}$ and, after ten days of contantly reprated assaults, ${ }^{6}$ the barbarian leader became convinced that he had undertaken an impossible enterprise, and, having burnt his engines and his siege-works, he retired. The result might have been ditli-rent had the Persians, who were experienced in the attack of walled places, been able to co-cperate wihh him: but the narrow channed which flowed beiworn (halombonand the (iohlen Horn proved an insurmentable harrier: the leorians had no ships. and tion van on of the sianomians were quite unable to comt.end with the prowerful galle: of the Byantmora, or : iat the tranemet of a lemply of Parian trange from
 Si.ai.r bare hand the anmyane of witnemer the eflionte
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last hope of the Persians had failed; and Heraclius, with his mind set at rest as regarded his capital, was free to strike at any part of Persia that he pleased, and, having the prestige of victory and the assistance of the Khazars, was likely to carry all before him. It is not clear ${ }^{1}$ how he employed himself during the spring and summer of A.D. 627 ; but in the September of that year he started from Lazica ${ }^{2}$ with a large Roman army and a contingent of 40,000 Khazar horse, ${ }^{8}$ resolved to surprise his adversary by a winter campaign, and hoping to take him at a disadvantage. Passing rapidly through Armenia and Azerbijan without meeting an enemy that dared to dispute his advance, suffering no loss except from the guerilla warfare of some bold spirits among the mountaineers of those regions, ${ }^{4}$ he resolved, notwithstanding the defection of the Khazars, ${ }^{5}$ who declined to accompany him further south than Azerbijan, that he would cross the Zagros mountains into Assyria, and make a dash at the royal citics of the Mesopotamian region, thus retaliating upon Chosroës for the Avar attack upon Constantinople of the preceding year, undertaken at his instigation. Chosroës himself had for the last twenty-four years fixed his court at Dastagherd ${ }^{6}$ in the plain country, about seventy miles to the north of Ctesiphon. ${ }^{7}$ It seemed to Heraclius that this

[^257]position might perhaps be reached, and an effective blow struck against the Persian power. He hastened, therefore, to cross the mountains; and the 9 th of (Wetolver saw him at Chnathas.' in the low country, not far from Arbela, where he refreshed his army by a week's rest. He might now easily have advanced along the great post-rond which connerted Arbela with Dastagherd and Ctesiphon ; but he had probably by this time rearived information of the movements of the Penians, and was aware that by so doing he would place himself betweren two fires, and run the chance of ?x-ing intercepted in his retreat. For Chowroxis, having collerted a large forre, had mont it, under Rhazates, a n.w pemeral, into Azerbijan $\boldsymbol{}^{2}$ and this force, having reached (:anzaca, found itself in the rear of Heraclius, Intwern him and Iatrica. Heraclius apperars not to l:ave thought it safe to lence this enemy lahind him, and therefore he idled away above a month in the \%ab region, wating for Rhazates to make his apparunce. Tha: : - meral had atrict urilere from the (ireat king to tishe ti.e liomane wherewer he hand them, whatever
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an immediate engagement, Rhazates to carry out his master's orders, Heraclius because he had heard that his adversary would soon receive a reinforcement. The battle took place on the 12 th of December, ${ }^{1}$ in the open plain to the north of Nineveh. ${ }^{2}$ It was contested from early dawn to the eleventh hour of the day, and was finally decided, more by the accident that Rhazates and the other Persian commanders were slain, than by any defeat of the soldiers. Heraclius is said to have distinguished himself personally during the fight by many valiant exploits; ${ }^{8}$ but he does not appear to have exhibited any remarkable strategy on the occasion. The Persians lost their generals, their chariots, and as many as twenty-eight standards ; ${ }^{4}$ but they were not routed, nor driven from the field. They merely drew off to the distance of two bowshots, ${ }^{5}$ and there stood firm till after nightfall. During the night they fell back further upon their fortified camp, collected their baggage, and retired to a strong position at the foot of the mountains. Here they were joined by the reinforcement which Chosroës had sent to their aid ; ${ }^{6}$ and thus strengthened they ventured to approach He raclius once more, to hang on his rear, and impede his movements. He, after his victory, had resumed his march southward, had occupied Nineveh, recrossed the Great Zab, advanced rapidly through Adiabêné to the Lesser Zab, seized its bridges by a forced march of forty-eight (Roman) miles, and conveyed his army

[^258]safely to its left bank, where he pitched his camp at a place called Yesdem, ${ }^{1}$ and once more allowed his soldiers a brief repose for the purpose of keeping Christmas. Chosroeis had by this time heard of the defeat and death of Rhazatea, and was in a state of extreme alurm. Hastily recalling Shahr-Barz from Chalcedon, ${ }^{2}$ and ordering the troops lately commanded by Rhazatcs to outstrip the Romans, if possible. and interpose themselves between Heraclius and Dastagherd, ${ }^{8}$ he took up a strong powition near that place with his own army and a number of elephanto, and expressed an intention of there awaiting his antagonist. A broad and deep river, or rather canal, known as the Barns-roth or liarazrud, ${ }^{4}$ protected his front; while at some distance further in advance was the Torna, probably auother canal. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ where he expected that the army of Rhazates would make a stand. But that force, demoralised hy its recent defeat, fell back from the line of the Tirrna, without even destroying the bridge over it ; ${ }^{6}$ and 1 homern:-, linding the fore adsancing on him, lewe ho:art, and weretly thed from Inatagherd to Chesiphon. ${ }^{7}$ Whene he crosserl the Tigris to Guedemere or Billeu1:a. With his treasure and the lext-loved of his wives atad childe:n." The army lately under Rhazates ralliend

[^259]upon the line of the Nahr-wan ${ }^{1}$ canal, three miles from Ctesiphon; and here it was largely reinforced, though with a mere worthless mob of slaves and domestics. ${ }^{2}$ It made however a formidable show, supported by its elephants, which numbered two hundred; it had a deep and wide cutting in its front; and, this time, it had taken care to destroy all the bridges by which the cutting might have been crossed. Heraclius, having plundered the rich palace of Dastagherd, ${ }^{3}$ together with several less splendid royal residences, and having on the 10th of January encamped within twelve miles of the Nahr-wan, ${ }^{4}$ and learnt from the commander of the Armenian contingent, whom he sent forward to reconnoitre, that the canal was impassable, came to the conclusion that his expedition had reached its extreme limit, and that prudence required him to commence his retreat. The season had been, it would seem, exceptionally mild, ${ }^{5}$ and the passes of the mountains were still open; but it was to be expected that in a few weeks they would be closed by the snow, which always falls heavily during some portion of the winter. Heraclius, therefore, like Julian, ${ }^{6}$ having come within sight of Ctesiphon, shrank from the idea of besieging it, and,

[^260]content with the punishmeut that he had inflicted on his enemy by wasting and devastation, desisted from his expedition, and retraced his steps. In his retreat he was more fortunate than his great predecessor. The defeat which he had inflicted on the main army of the Persians paralysed their energies, and it would seem that his return march was unmolested. He reached siazurus (Shehrizur) early in February, Barzan (Berosoh) probably on the lat of March, ${ }^{2}$ and on the 11th of March Canzaca, ${ }^{8}$ where he remained during the reat of the winter.

Chorruxis had eacaped a great danger, but he had incurnad a terrible disgrace. He had fled before his alvernary without venturing to give him battle. He had wen palace after palace destroyed, and had lost the marniticent residence where he had held his court fir the last four-and-twenty ycars. The Romans had ricowered 300 standards, ${ }^{4}$ trophies gained in the numerous victories of his carly gears. They had shown themerlies able to pernetrate inte the heart of his -mpire, and to retire without suffering any lowe. Still, i.ull he persemend a moxlerate amount of prudence, Chos-rn-a mishi even now have surmounterl the prois of his
 as in :lary. Heradiun was anxion for praces and
 atan at compuras. and wonall have lexen contented at

[^261]any time with the restoration of Egypt, Syria, and Asia Minor. The Persians generally were weary of the war, and would have hailed with joy almost any terms of accommodation. ${ }^{1}$ But Chosroës was obstinate; he did not know how to bear the frowns of fortune; the disasters of the late campaign, instead of bending his spirit, had simply exasperated him, and he vented upon his own subjects the ill-humour which the successes of his enemies had provoked. Lending a ton ready ear to a whispered slander, he ordered the execution of Shahr-Barz, and thus mortally offended that general, to whom the despatch was communicated by the Romans. ${ }^{2} \mathrm{He}$ imprisoned the officers who had been defeated by, or had Hed before Heraclius. ${ }^{8}$ Several other tyrannical acts are alleged against him; ${ }^{4}$ and it is said ${ }^{5}$ that he was contemplating the setting aside of his legitimate successor, Siroës, in favour of a younger son, Merdasas, his offspring by his favourite wife, the Christian Shirin, ${ }^{6}$ when a rebellion broke out against his authority. Gurdanaspa, ${ }^{7}$ who was in command of the Persian tronps at Ctesiphon, and twenty-two nobles of importance, ${ }^{8}$ including two sons of Shahr-

[^262]Barz, ${ }^{1}$ enibraced the cause of Siroes, and seizing Chosroies, who meditated flight, ${ }^{2}$ committed him to 'the House of Darkness,' a strong place where he kept his money. ${ }^{8}$ Here he was confined for four days, his gaolers allowing him daily a morsel of bread and a small quantity of water; when he complained of hunger, they tuld him, by his son's orders, that he was welcome to satisfy his appetite by feasting upon his treasures. The officers whom he had confined were allowed free access to his prison, where they insulted him and spat upon him. Merdasas, the son whom he preferred, and several of his other children, were lorought into his presence and put to death before his eyos. After suffering in this way for four clays, he was at last, on the fifth day from his arrest (February 28), put to death in some cruel fashion, perhapa, like St.太-bastian, by being transfixed with arrows." Thus prrished miserably the second Choaroies, after having riigned thirty-seven jears ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (A.D. 591-628), a just but tardy Semesis overtaking the parricide.

The 1 Orental writers represent the surond Chowross a- a monareh whoe chamater was originally abmimalle, hut whome ponaldipposition was gradually corrupted by
 Markhainl." •hold- a distinguivhal rank among the h:az:- of I'ersia through the majeoty and firmnews of

[^263]his government, the wisdom of his views, and his intrepidity in carrying them out, the size of his army, the amount of his treasure, the flourishing condition of the provinces during his reign, the security of the highways, the prompt and exact obedience which he enforced, and his unalterable adherence to the plans which he once formed.' It is inpossible that these praises can have been altogether undeserved; and we are bound to assign to this monarch, on the authority of the Orientals, a vigour of administration, a strength of will, and a capacity for governing, not very commonly possessed by princes born in the purple. To these merits we may add a certain grandeur of soul, and power of appreciating the beautiful and the marnificent, which, though not uncommon in the East, did not characterise many of the Sassanian sovereigns. The architectural remains of Chosroës, which will be noticed in a future chapter, the descriptions which have come down to us of his palaces at Dastagherd ${ }^{1}$ and Canzaca, ${ }^{2}$ the accounts which we have of his treasures, ${ }^{3}$ his court, ${ }^{4}$

[^264]his seraglio, ${ }^{1}$.ven his reals. ${ }^{2}$ transeend all that is known of any wher monareh of his line. The employment of Byzantine suluturs and arehiterts, which his works are thought to indieate, implies atn appreriation of artivtic C.xedhence very rare among ( Oriconals. But againet there merit-must lue art a numiner of mone ertione momal deforto. whid may have herol agravaterl as time went ons. but if which we are omething more that the germ. oven while he warotill a gouth. The murder of his father was promap a state merowity, and he may mot have commandel it, or have inern acceomery to it hefore the ficet: ${ }^{3}$ hut ins inpratitule towards his uncleo, whom he delilueately put tu death, is wholly unpardomable, ani

 1, :an. In war he cexhibited neither courage mor com-
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duct; all his main military successes were due to his generals; and in his later years he seems never voluntarily to have exposed himself to danger. In suspecting his generals, and ill-using them while living, he only followed the traditions of his house; \({ }^{1}\) but the insults offered to the dead body of Shahên, whose only fault was that he had suffered a defeat, were unusual and outrageous. The accounts given of his seraglio imply either gross sensualism or extreme ostentation; perhaps we may be justified in inclining to the more lenient view, if we take into consideration the faithful attachment which he exhibited towards Shirin. \({ }^{2}\) The cruelties which disgraced his later years are wholly without excuse ; but in the act which deprived him of his throne, and brought him to a miserable end-his preference of Merdasas as his successor-he exhibited no worse fault than an amiable weakness, a partiality towards the son of a wife who possessed, and seems to have deserved, \({ }^{8}\) his affection.

The coins of the second Chosroës are numerous in the extreme, \({ }^{4}\) and present several peculiarities. The ordinary type has, on the obverse, the king's head in profile, covered by a tiara, of which the chief ornament is a crescent and star between two outstretched wings. The head is surrounded by a double pearl bordering, outside of which, in the margin, are three crescents

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Supra, pp. 419, 469, \&c.
\({ }^{2}\) The Byzantines apree with the Orientals in making Chosroës faithful to Shirin to the last. (Trbari, al lowed first to visit the tomb of vol. ii. pp. 329, 330, \&c. ; Maçoudi, Chosroës. Having obtained pervol. ii. p. 2:32; Theophanes, p. 270, mission, she entered the building C, D.) Tabari even represents him as having had no commerce with any other woman (p. 335).
\({ }^{3}\) According to Mirshond (p. 408), Shirin was sought in marriage
by Siroës after his father's death. She made it a condition of her consenting, that she should be and poisoned herself.
- See Mordtmann in th \(\stackrel{\text { Zeitschrift }}{ }\) der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, vol. viii. pp. 111-140; and vol. xi. pp. 33-44.
}
and stars. The legend is Khusrui afzud, with a monogram of doubtful meaning. \({ }^{\text {. }}\) The reverse shows the usual fire altar and supporters, in a rude form, enclosed by a triple pearl bordering. In the margin, outside the bordering, are four crescents and stars. The legend is merely the regnal year and a mint-mark. Thirtyfour mint-marks \({ }^{2}\) have been ascribed to Chosrois II.


Culy or cropmoiss 11.
I rarer and more curious type of coin, belonging to thi- monarch, presents on the obverse the front face of
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star and crescent between outstretched wings at top. The legend is Khusrui malkan malka-afzud. 'Chosroës, king of kings-increase (be his).' The reverse has a head like that of a woman, also fronting the spectator, and wearing a band enriched with pearls across the forehead, above which the hair gradually converges to a point. A head very similar to this is found on Indo-Sassanian coins. \({ }^{1}\) Otherwise we might have supposed that the uxorious monarch had wished to circulate among his subjects the portrait of his beloved Shirin.
\({ }^{1}\) See Thomas in Numismatic Chronicle for 1873, p. 242.

\section*{CHAPTER XXV.}

A: raviom of Sirues, or Kiubad II. Mis Lether to Meractive. Itace mado with Numie. Terme of the Prace. Gemeral Iupularity of the neno Krign. Inientiofaction of Shahr-Bars. Kubad, by the adives of the Ilersum Iards, murders his Brothers. His Siders reproach hime with their Ileath. Me falls info lore apirits and diea Itectivence in his Krign. His Cioina Amesion of Artarerree III. Rerole of shahrBare lirigm of Shahr-Barz Mis Murder. Reign of Iteranchuchs. Mapid surrivesion of Itretemiers. Acceasion of Isdigerd III.



Sirobs, or Kobad the Second, as he is more proprerly termet, was proclaimed king on the eith of February: a.d. fien, four dags before the murder of his father. Aceorling to the Oriental writers, \({ }^{\text {a }}\) he was very unwilling to put his father to death, and only gave a reluetime conarnt to his execution on the representitone of his mobles that it was a state nerencity. His tir-t arre, atter this urgent matter had ineen wetted, wan whake esorture of patere (herarlius, who,

 : i., Simen Emperor on the oncasion is partially A.:.tn': but the formal and wflicial tome wheh it










breathes renders it a somewhat disappointing document. Kobad begins by addressing Heraclius as his brother, and giving him the epithet of ' most clement,' \({ }^{1}\) thus assuming his pacific disposition. He then declares, that, having been elevated to the throne by the especial favour of God, he has resolved to do his utmost to benefit and serve the entire human race. He has therefore commenced his reign by throwing open the prison doors, and restoring liberty to all who were detained in custody. \({ }^{2}\) With the same object in view, he is desirous of living in peace and friendship with the Roman emperor and state, as well as with all other neighbouring nations and kings. Assuming that his accession will be pleasing to the emperor, he has sent Phæak, one of his privy councillors, to express the love and friendship that he feels towards his brother, and learn the terms upon which peace will be granted him. \({ }^{8}\) The reply of Heraclius is lost; but we are able to gather from a short summary which has been preserved, \({ }^{4}\) as well as from the subsequent course of events, that it was complimentary and favourable; that it expressed the willingness of the emperor to bring the war to a close, and suggested terms of accommodation that were moderate and equitable. The exact

\footnotetext{
 Paseh. Chron. p. 402, B.
\({ }^{2}\) Compare Tabari, vol. ii. p. 346, and Theophan. p. 271, D.
s The mutilation of Kobad's letter in the Vatican MS. renders the sense of this last passage somewhat doubtful.

4 Nicephorus gives the following as the main purport of Heraclius' reply :-'Heraclius wrote back to Sirö̈s, calling him his son, and
rayal state, not even Chosrö̈s; whom, if he had been completely victorious, he would have replaced upnn the thmone, notwithstanding all the harm that he had done both to the Romans and the Persians. But Heaven had decided otherwise. and to prevent further disaster, had punished Chosruës as he deserved, and opened to himself and Siroës the way of reconciliation.' (De Rebus post Mauricium gestis, p. 14, B.)
}
formulating of the treaty seems to have been left to Eustathius, who, after Heraclius had entertained Pheak royally for nearly a week, \({ }^{1}\) necompanied the anbassador on his return to the Perian court.

The weneral principle upon which peace was concluded was evidently the statux quu ante bellum. I'ersia was to surrember Prypt, Palentue, Syria, Asia Minor, Weetern Mesipnotamia, and any ohber conquests that she might have made from Rome, to recall her troops from them.: and to give them back into the posesesion of the Limams. She was ala, the surremeler all the - pptives whom she had carried off from the conquered conntrio: : and, abowe all, she wats to give back to the liomane the precione relic which had been taken from .herualem.t and which was believed on all hamds to be the veritable cross wherem Jeous (hrist suffered death. I- liome had merely made inroxils, but mot conpuests, the did not prows any territory to surrender b but she
 arran-emont- fir the sate comdury and hamouable
 ath A-ia Miner, on their way to the tuntar. \({ }^{\text {a }}\) The





lem, and replaced the holy relic in the shrine from which it had been taken.
It is said that princes are always popular on their coronation day. Kobad was certainly no exception to the general rule. \({ }^{1}\) His subjects rejoiced at the termination of a war which had always been a serious drain on the population, and which latterly had brought ruin and desolation upon the hearths and homes of thousands. The general emptying of the prisons was an act that cannot be called statesmanlike; but it had a specious appearance of liberality, and was probably viewed with favour by the mass of the people. A still more popular measure must have been the complete remission of taxes with which Kobad inaugurated his reign \({ }^{2}\)-a remission which, according to one authority, was to have continued for three years, had the generous prince lived so long. In addition to these somewhat questionable proceedings, Kobad adopted also a more legitimate mode of securing the regard of his subjects by a careful administration of justice, \({ }^{8}\) and a mild treatment of those who had been the victims of his father's severities. He restored to their former rank the persons whom Chosroës had degraded or imprisoned, and compensated them for their injuries by a liberal donation of money. \({ }^{4}\)

Thus far all seemed to promise well for the new reign, which, though it had commenced under unfavourable auspices, bid fair to be tranquil and prosperous. In one quarter only was there any indication of coming troubles. Shahr-Barz, the great general,

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) See Eutychius, Annales, vol. ii. |l.s.c. p. 252 ; Tabari, vol. ii. p. 346; Mirkhond, p. 409; Moyse de Kaghank, ii. 12; \&c.
\({ }^{2}\) Tabari, l.s.c.; Eutych. Ann.
\({ }^{3}\) Moyse de Kaghank, l.s.c.
\({ }_{5}^{4}\) Mirkhond, p. 409; Eutych.l.s.c.
\({ }^{5}\) Tabari, l.s.c.
}
whose life Chosroies had attempted shortly before his own death,' appears to have been dissatisfied with the terms on which Kobad had concluded peace with Rome: and there is even reason to ledieve that he contrived to imperle and delay the full execution of the treaty:: He held under Kobad the government of the weotern provinces, \({ }^{3}\) and was at the head of an army which numbred saxty thousumd men.4 hobad treated him with marked favour ; but still he coropierl a poxition almont by.yond that of a suljert, and one which could net fail tor romber him an objert of fear and suspicion. For the present, however, thouph he may have nurturen ambitious thoughts, he made no movement, hat binded hiv tume, remaining quietly in his provinore, and rulta ating friondly relations with the Roman emperor.:

Kobad had mot lxeen mated on the throne many month, when he comented to a deend by which his -har:u ter for justice and clamency waverriously compromiond, if not wholly lone This was the peneral





circumstances of peril to justify the deed, or even account for it. There have been Oriental dynasties, where such a wholesale murder upon the accession of a sovereign has been a portion of the established system of government, and others where the milder but little less revolting expedient has obtained of blinding all the brothers of the reigning prince; but neither practice was in vogue among the Sassanians; and we look vainly for the reason which caused an act of the kind to be resorted to at this conjuncture. Mirkhond \({ }^{1}\) says that Firuz, the chief minister of Kobad, advised the deed; but even he assigns no motive for the massacre, unless a motive is implied in the statement that the brothers of Kobad were 'all of them distinguished by their talents and their merit.' Politically speaking, the measure might have been harmless, had Kobad enjoyed a long reign, and left behind him a number of sons. But as it was, the rash act, by almost extinguishing the race of Sassan, produced troubles which greatly helped to bring the empire into a condition of hopeless exhaustion and weakness.

While thus destroying all his brothers, Kobad allowed his sisters to live. Of these there were two, still unmarried, who resided in the palace, and had free access to the monarch. Their names were Purandocht and Azermidocht, Purandocht being the elder. Bitterly grieved at the loss of their kindred, these two princesses rushed into the royal presence, and reproached the king with words that cut him to the soul. 'Thy ' ambition of ruling,' they said, \({ }^{2}\) ' has induced thee to ' kill thy father and thy brothers. Thou hast accom' plished thy purpose within the space of three or four

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Histoire des Sassanides, p. 409. \({ }^{2}\) See Tabari, vol. ii. p. 347.
}
' months. Thou hast hoped thereby to preserve thy ' jewer for ever. Even, however, if thou shouldst live - long, thou must die at last. May God deprive thee - of the enjoyment of this royalty!' His sisters' words sank derp into the king's mind. He acknowledged their ju-tice, burst into tears, and flung his crown on the ground.' After this he fell into a profound melancholy, ce:ased t: care for the exercise of power, and in a shart time dierl. His death is axribed by the Orien-tal- th his mental sufferings; but the stathement of a Christian bishop throws some doubt on this romantic sony. Eutychius, l'atriarch of Alexandria, tells us that, hefore Kubiad hat reigmed many months, the plague broke out in his country. Viast numbers of his subjorts died of it: and among the victims was the king hamself:" whe perisher after a reign which is varionsly -.aimaterd at six, seven, cight, and eighteen months. \({ }^{3}\)

There serm- to be no doubt that a terrible peatibence did alllict l'eria at this prerickl. The Arabian
 ar.ata. and hentare that the mal.uly wav of the mone \(\because\)-r:an on chara :ar, carrym- off one half, or at any
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stances involving privation, from the cities of Asia Minor, Syria, and Palestine, was well calculated to engender such a calamity.

The reign of Kobad II. appears from his coins to have lasted above a year. \({ }^{1}\) He ascended the throne in February, A.D. 628; he probably died about July, \({ }^{2}\) A.D. 629. The coins which are attributed to him resemble in their principal features those of Chosroës II. and Artaxerxes III., but are without wings, and have the legend Kavat-Firuz. The bordering of pearls is single on both obverse and reverse, but the king wears a dnuble pearl necklace. The eye is large, and


CON OF BIROËS OR KOBAD II.


COIN OF ARTAXREXBS III.
the hair more carefully marked than had been usual since the time of Sapor II.

\footnotetext{
\({ }_{1}\) Thomas in Numismatic Chronicle for 1873, p. 250; Mordtmann in the Zeitschrift, vol. viii. p. 141.
\({ }^{2}\) The eighteen months of Ma-
çoudi would not be complete until August 23; but they were probably incomplete.
}

At the death of Kobad the crown fell to his son, Artaxerxes III., a child of seven, \({ }^{1}\) or (according to others) of one year only. The nobles who proclaimed him took care to place him under the direction of a governor or regent, and appointed to the office a certain Mihr-Hasis, who had been the chief purveyor of Kobad. \({ }^{2}\) Mihr-Hisuis is said to have ruled with justice and discretion; but he was not able to prevent the oxcurrence of those troubles and disorders which in the East almost invariably accompany the sovereignty of a minor, and render the task of a regent a hard one. Shahr-Marz, who had scarcely condescended to comport himerelf as a subjeet under Kolsul, saw in the accesvion of a looy, and in the near extinction of the race of Silvan, an opportunity of gratifying his ambition, and at the same time of avenging the wrong which had been done him by Chosroxes. Before committing himself, however, to the perils of rebellion, he negotiated with Heraclius, and serured his alliance and -upport by the promise of certain advantares. The frient- met at Herandeat on the Propmotin. Shahrlart umberank to complete the evanuation of Eipyt. Sy:a, an! A-sa Mimor, which he had delayivl hitherto.

 for th.e nturio. intheted on liame durime the revent ward H. rallan comferred onl Siatian, the son of



\footnotetext{
 W.. . : :.
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}
own son, Theodosius, and accepted Gregoria, the daughter of Nicetas, and grand-daughter of ShahrBarz, as a wife for Constantine, the heir to the empire. \({ }^{1}\) He also, it is probable, supplied Shahr-Barz with a body of troops, \({ }^{2}\) to assist him in his struggle with Artaxerses and Mihr-Hasis.

Of the details of Shahr-Barz's expedition we know nothing. He is said to have marched on Ctesiphon with an army of sixty thousand men \(;{ }^{8}\) to have taken the city, put to death Artaxerxes, Mihr-Hasis, and a number of the nobles, \({ }^{4}\) and then seized the throne. We are not told what resistance was made by the monarch in possession, or how it was overcome, or even whether there was a battle. It would seem certain, however, that the contest was brief. The young king was of course powerless; Mihr-Hasis, though well-meaning, must have been weak; Shahr-Barz had all the rude strength of the animal whose name he bore, \({ }^{5}\) and had no scruples about using his strength to the utmost. The murder of a child of two, or at the most of eight, who could have done no ill, and was legitimately in possession of the throne, must be pronounced a brutal act, and one which sadly tarnishes the fair fame, previously unsullied, of one of Persia's greatest generals.

It was easy to obtain the crown, under the circumstances of the time; but it was not so easy to keep what had been wrongfully gained. Shahr-barz enjoyed the royal authority less than two months. \({ }^{6}\) During

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Nicephorus, \(D_{e}\) Rebus post \({ }^{s}\) Bar-hebreus explains the name Mauricium, p. 15, B. Shahr-Barz as equivalent to hzir
\({ }^{2}\) So the Armenians. (Patka- baro, 'wild boar.' Mirkhond seems nian, l.s.c.)
to approve the derivation (Histoire
3 Tabari, l.s.c.
des Sassanides, p. 410).
\({ }^{\wedge}\) Ibid. Compare Mirkhond, p. 410
\({ }^{6}\) Nirkhond (p. 411) and Tabari
(vol. ii. p. 348) give Shahr-Parz a
}
this perioxl he completed the evacuation of the Roman provinces oscupied by Chosroxis II., restored perhaps some portions of the true cross which had been kept back by Kobad, \({ }^{1}\) and sent an expeditionary force against the Khazars who had invaderl Armenia, which was complitely destroyed by the fierce barbarians." He is ssid by the Armenians \({ }^{3}\) to have married Purnn-dex-ht, the eldest daughter of Chesircies, for the purquese of strengthening his hold on the erown; but this attompt to comeiliate his subjectes, if it was really made. proverl unsurcessful. Fire be had been king for two months. his tronps mutinied. drew their swords upon hime and killeal him in the open court before the palace.' Having ew done, they tion a cord to his feet and drapsat hincorpese through the streets of ('temiphon, making proClamation everywhere as follows:-- Wherever, not being - of the blexp-royal, mats himself upon the Persian - thrume shall share the fate of Shahr-Barz.' They then




 -i.. ...: .if lialn.k: and a fatol fanation was exor.



up in all quarters, generally asserting some connection, nearer or more remote, with the royal house, but relying on the arms of their partisans, and still more on the weakness of the government. It is uncertain whether Purandocht died a natural death; \({ }^{1}\) her sister, Azermidocht, who reigned soon after her, was certainly murdered. \({ }^{2}\) The crown passed rapidly from one noble to another, and in the course of the four or five years which immediately succeeded the death of Chosroës II. it was worn by nine or ten different persons. Of these the greater number reigned but a few days or a few months; no actions are ascribed to them; and it seems unnecessary to weary the reader with their obscure names, or with the still more obscure question concerning the order of their succession. \({ }^{8}\) It may be suspected that in some cases two or more were contemporary, exercising royal functions in different portions of the empire at the same time. Of none does the history or the fate possess any interest ; and the modern historical student may well be content with the general knowledge that for four years and a half after the death of Chosroës II. the government was in the highest degree unsettled; anarchy everywhere prevailed; the

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) The shortness of her reignseven months, according to Theophanes (p. 273, D), sixteen months, according to Tabari (vol. ii. p. 350) and Mirkhond (p. 412), eighteen months, according to Maçoudi (vol. ii. p. 233)-raises the suspicion of a violent death; of which, however, there is no direct evidence.
\({ }^{2}\) Mirkhond. p. 415; Tabari, vol. ii. p. 352 ; Eutychius, Annales, vol. ii. p. 255.
\(s\) Tabari gives the order as follows:-Kobad, Artaxerxes III., Shahr-Barz, Purandocht, Kushensadeh, Azermidocht, Chosroës III.,

Khordad-Chosroës, Firuz, and \(\mathrm{Fe}_{-}\) rukhzad-Chosroës (vol. ii. pp. 336353) ; Maçoudi as Kobad, Artaxerxes III., Shahr-Barz, Chosroës III., Bouran (Purandocht), FiruzKoshenshideh, Azermidocht, and Ferhad-Khusru (vol. ii. pp. 233-4); Eutychius as Kobad, Artaxerxes III., Jorhan (=Shahr-Barz), Chosrö̈s III., Murla, Hoshnashtadah, Arzmandocht, and PharachoradChoshra (Annales, vol. ii. pp. 252255). Mirkhond agrees in the main with Tabari, but omits Khor-dad-Chosroës and Firuz (pp. 408415).
}
distracted kingdom was torn in pieces by the struggles of pretenders; and 'every province, and almost each ' city of l'ersia, was the scene of independence, of dis' cord, and of bloodshed.' \({ }^{1}\)

At length, in June, \({ }^{2}\) a.d. 632, an end was put to the internal commotions by the election of a young prince, believed to be of the true blood of Sassan, in whose rule the whole nation acquiesced without much difficulty. \({ }^{3}\) Yezdigerd (or Isdigerd) the Third was the son of Shahriar \({ }^{4}\) and the grandson of Chosrois II. \({ }^{5}\) He had beren early banished from the Court, \({ }^{6}\) and had been brought up in obscurity, his royal birth being perhaps concealed, since if known it might have caused his destruction. \({ }^{7}\) The place of his residence was Intakr," the ancient capital of Persia, but at this time a city of no great importance. Here he had lived unnoticed to the are of fifteen,' when his royal rank having somehow liven discovered, and no other scion of the stock

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Themen are them monde of (iiblon rus. of Thenphanca. who acrorr-
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of Chosroës being known to exist, he was drawn forth from his retirement and invested with the sovereignty.

But the appointment of a sovereign in whose rule all could acquiesce came too late. While Rome and Persia, engaged in deadly struggle, had no thought for anything but how most to injure each other, a power began to grow up in an adjacent country, which had for long ages been despised and thought incapable of doing any harm to its neighbours. Mohammed, half impostor, half enthusiast, enunciated a doctrine, and by degrees worked out a religion, which proved capable of uniting in one the scattered tribes of the Arabian desert, while at the same time it inspired them with a confidence, a contempt for death, and a fanatic valour, that rendered them irresistible by the surrounding nations. Mohammed's career as prophet began while Heraclius and Chosroës II. were flying at each other's throats; \({ }^{1}\) by the year of the death of Chosroës (a.d. 628) he had acquired a strength greater than that of any other Arab chief; \({ }^{2}\) two years later he challenged Rome to the combat by sending a hostile expedition into Syria ; \({ }^{8}\) and before his death (a.d. 632) he was able to take the field at the head of 30,000 men. \({ }^{4}\) During the

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Mohammed made his first converts about A.D. 614-617, when Chosroës was gaining his greatest successes. (See Ockley, History of the Saracens, pp. 14-16.)
2 'Mohammed,'says Ockley,' was now (4.D. 627) so well confirmed in his power that he took upon himself the authority of a king' (p. 45). It seems to have been in A.D. 628 that he addressed letters to Heraclius, Choeroës, and others, announcing himself as 'the apostle of God, and calling upon them to embrace his religion. Chosroës

time of internal trouble in Persia, he procured the submission of the Persian governor of the Yemen ; \({ }^{2}\) as well as that of Al Mondar, \({ }^{2}\) or Alamundarus, King of Bahrein, on the weat coast of the Persian Gulf. \({ }^{3}\) Isdigerd, upon his accession, found himself menaced by a power which had already stretched out one arm towards the lower Euphrates, while with the other it was seeking to grasp Syria and Palestine. The danger was imminent ; the means of meeting it insufficient, for Persia was exhausted by foreign war and internal contention; the monarch himself was but ill able to cope with the Arab chicfs, being youthful and inexperienced: we shall find, howerer, that he made a strenuous resistance. Though continually defeated, he prolonged the fight for neurly a avore of years, and only succumbed finally when, to the hostility of open foes, was added the treachery of pretended friends and allies. \({ }^{4}\)
 (keklep, p. 80.) forwerly givea to that portice of
'Ibiif. p. il. Ckeley man that the meininad which liee disoctly A) Mondar - afterwardo inouind the wex of the upper part of the l'res. I'rminso atod made a great alaughter aian (Julf. A rempant of thin une ": thotn.'
'Ibif. p. in. The verm Ilahman, whech io now applind waly to ther alaned criebrated fur ite pearl will be found is Cassera Niobubs
 and couspere the map."Pp. p. \(\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { (w) }\end{array}\right.\).
- Sive boluw, p. 3.s.

\section*{CHAPTER XXVI.}

Death of Mohammed and Collapse of Mohammedanism. Recovery under Abu-bekr. Conquest of the Kingdom of Hira. Conquest of Obolla. Invasion of Mesopotamia. Battle of the Bridge-the Arabs suffer a Reverse. Battle of El Bowerl--Mihran defeated by El Mothanna. -Fresh Effort made by Persia-Battle of Cadesia-Defeat of the Persians. Pause in the War. March of Sa'ad on Ctesiphon. Flight of Isdigerd. Capture of Ctesiphon. Battle of Jalula. Conquest of Susiana and Invasion of Persia Proper. Recall of Sa'ad. Isdigerd assembles an Army at Nehawend. Battle of Nehavend. Flight of Isdigerd. Conquest of the various Persian Provinces. Isdigerd murdered. Character of Isdigerd. Coins of Isdigerd.
' Yazdejird, Persarum rex . . . Rostamum misit oppugnatum Seadum . . . neque unquam bellorum et dissentionum expers fuit, donec occideretur. Rognavit autem annos viginti.'-Eutychivs, Annales, vol. ii. pp. 295-6.

The power which Mohammed had so rapidly built up fell to pieces at his decease. Isdigerd can scarcely have been well settled upon this throne when the welcome tidings must have reached him that the Prophet was dead, that the Arabs generally were in revolt, that Al Mondar had renounced Islamism, and resumed a position of independence. \({ }^{1}\) For the time Mohammedanism was struck down. It remained to be seen whether the movement had derived its strength solely from the genius of the Prophet, or whether minds of

\footnotetext{
- See Ockley, History of the and the provinces of Arabia; the Sarncens, pp. 84-90. It is sur- Hashemites alone declined the oath prising that Gibbon omits all notice of fidelity' (Decline and Fall, vol. of this time of revolt and disturbance. 'After the simple inauguration of Abubetrer,' he says, 'hu was obeyed in Media, Mecca, 'vi. pp. 270-1). This is the reverse of the fact. (See Tabari, ed. Kosegarten, vol. i. pp. 1-50; Maçoudi, vol. iv. pp. 180-3.)
}
inferior calibre would suffice to renew and sustain the impulse which had proceeded from him, and which under him had proved of such wonderful force and efficacy.

The companions of Mohammed lost no time in appointing his successor. Their choice fell upon Abubekr, his friend and father-in-law, who was a perwon of an energetic character, brave, chaste, and temperate. Abu-bekr proved himself quite equal to the difficulties of the situation. Being unfit for war himself, as he was above sixty ycars of age, \({ }^{1}\) he employed able Henerals, and within a few months of his accession struck such a series of blows that rebellion collapened everywhere, \({ }^{2}\) and in a short time the whole Arab nation, except the tribe of Gassan, acknowledged themselves his subjerts. Among the rivals against whom he me:rured himerlf, the most important was Moecil:ma. Moneilama, who afferted the prophetir chatracter. \({ }^{3}\) had a numerous following, and was able to bicht a pitehell battle with the forces of Abu-lokir. whirh mumbered \(\mathbf{f 0 . 0 0 0} \mathbf{0}\) men." At the first en-
 -al rathe army, which low \(1: \sum(n)\) warriors; but in a -r.and \(\cdot\) hasement the Mohammelans were victo-r.enc--Mo.-edama was shan-and haled, the sword oi liml, cartad back to Medina the news of his own

\footnotetext{
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triumph, and the spoils of the defeated enemy. Soon after the fall of Moseilama, the tribes still in rebellion submitted themselves, and the first of the Caliphs found himself at liberty to enter upon schemes of foreign conquest.

Distracted between the temptations offered to his arms by the East and by the West, Abu-bekr in his first year (A.d. 633) sent expeditions in both directions, against Syria, and against Hira, where Iyas, the Persian feudatory, who had succeeded Noman, son of Al Mondar, \({ }^{1}\) held his court, on the western branch of the Euphrates. For this latter expedition the commander selected was the irresistible Kaled, who marched a body of \(2,000 \mathrm{men}^{2}\) across the desert to the branch stream, \({ }^{3}\) which he reached in about latitude \(30^{\circ}\). Assisted by Al Mothanna, chief of the Beni Sheiban, who had been a subject of Iyas, but had revolted and placed himself under the protection of Abu-bekr, \({ }^{4}\) Kaled rapidly reduced the kingdom of Hira, took successively Banikiya, Barasuma, and El Lis, \({ }^{5}\) descended the river to the capital, \({ }^{6}\) and there fought an important battle

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Tabari gives a long account of the circumstances under which Iyas had been placed at the head of the Arab tribes subject to Persia in the place of Noman, the last of the great Al Mondar line (vol. ii. pp. 309-19, ed. Zotenberg).
\({ }_{2}\) Tabari (ed. Kosegarten), vol. ii. p. 11.
\({ }^{3}\) The stream in question left the Euphrates at Hit, and skirting the Arabian desert, fell into the Persian Gulf opposite the island of Bubian. It was known to the Arabs as Kerek Saideh or the canal of Saideh, and was believed to have been the work of Nebuchadnezzar. (See Ancieut Monarchies, vol. iii. p. 57, 2nd edition.)
- Tabari (ed. Zotenberg), vol. iii. p. 319.
\({ }_{5}\) Ibid. pp. 320-1. Sir H. Rawlinson identifies El Lis with the modern El Kadder, which is on the line of the Kerek Saidek, about long. \(43^{\circ} 41^{\prime}\) east from Greenwich. Banikiya and Barasuma seem also to have been on the same cutting (Tabari, ed. Kosegarten, vol. ii. p. 7). They lay, probably, north of El Lis.
- The site of Hira is tolerably cortain. It lay on the sea of Nedjif, south-east of Meshed-Ali, and almost due south of Kufa, in lat. \(31^{\circ} 50^{\prime}\), long. \(44^{\circ} 20^{\prime}\) nearly. (See the Map in Mr. Loftus's Chaldea and Susiana, opp. p. 436.)
}
with the combined Persian and Arab forces, the first trial of arms between the followers of Mohammed and thowe of Zoroaster. The Persian force consisted entirely of horse, and was commanded by a general whom the Arab writers call Asadsubeh. \({ }^{1}\) Their number is not mentioned, but was probably small. Charged furiously by Al Mothanna, they immediately broke and fled; Hira was left with no other protection than its walls; and Iyas, yielding to necessity, made his submission to the conqueror, and consented to pay a tribute of \(2!(0,000\) dirhems.

The splendid success of his pioneer induced Abubekr to support the war in this quarter with vigour. Keinforcements joined haled from every side, and in a short time he found himself at the head of an army of 1 s.onv men. \({ }^{8}\) With this force he proceeded south. wards, bent on reducing the entire tract between the desert and the Eastern or real Euphrates. The moot important city of the southern region was at the time Olyolla, which was situated on a canal or backwater derived from the Euphrates, not far from the moxlern Bu-rah." It was the great emporium for the Indian toalo. and way known as the limes Indurum, \({ }^{\text {s }}\) or 'fronthet rety towarls India.' The I'enian governor was a wr:an Hormuz or Hommislas, who held the pest with a buly of \(2(1), 0(1)\) men. \({ }^{6}\) Kiled fought his weond great battle with this antagonist, and was once more com-
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pletely victorious, killing Hormuz, according to the Arabian accounts, with his own hand. \({ }^{1}\) Obolla surrendered; a vast booty was taken; and, after liberally rewarding his soldiers, Kaled sent the fifth part of the spoils, together with a captured elephant, to Abu-bekr at Medina. The strange animal astonished the simple natives, who asked one another wonderingly, \({ }^{2}\) ' Is this indeed one of God's works, or did human art make it?'

The victories of Kaled over Asadsubeh and Hormuz were followed by a number of other successes, \({ }^{3}\) the entire result being that the whole of the fertile region on the right bank of the Euphrates, from Hit to the Persian Gulf, was for the time reduced, made a portion of Abu-bekr's dominions, and parcelled out among Mohammedan governors. \({ }^{4}\) Persia was deprived of the protection which a dependent Arab kingdom to the west of the river had hitherto afforded her, and was brought into direct contact with the great Mohammedan monarchy along almost the whole of her western frontier. Henceforth she was open to attack on this side for a distance of above four hundred miles, with no better barrier than a couple of rivers interposed between her enemy and her capital.

Soon after his conquest of the kingdom of Hira, Kaled was recalled from the Euphrates to the Syrian war, \({ }^{5}\) and was employed in the siege of Damascus, \({ }^{6}\)

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Tabari (ed. Kosegarten), vol. ii. p. 13. The perpetual single combats of Kaled, in all of which he is victorious, severely try the credulity of the modern reader of Tabari.
\({ }_{3}^{2}\) Ibid. p. 15.
3 lbid. pp. 19-74. The most important of these was the capture of Perisabor or Anbar, a city on
the Euphrates, nearly in the same parallel with Baghdad.

4 Tabari (ed. Kosegarten), vol. ii. p. 57. Ten distinct governors are mentioned.
\({ }^{s}\) Ibid. p. 77; Ockley, History of Saracens, p. 97.
- Ockley, pp. 103-138; Irving, Successors of Mahomot, pp. 19-42; Tabari, vol. ii. pp. 159-169.
}
while Persia enjoyed a breathing-space. Advantage was taken of this interval to stir up disaffection in the newly-onquered province. Rustan, appointed to the command against the Arabs by Isdigerd, \({ }^{1}\) sent emissaries :o the various towns of the Sawad, \({ }^{2}\) urging them to rise in revolt and promising to support such a movement with a lensian army. \({ }^{3}\) The situation was criticul; and if the Mohammedans had been less unacions, or the l'ersians more skilfully handled, the whole of the sawail might have been recovered. But Rustam allowed livetrongs to be defeated in detail. Al Mothamaand
 rik, Sakatiga, and harusma, \({ }^{4}\) coverame the l'enian leaders, Jaban, Niarses, and Jalemus, and drove their -hatteral armien Ixack on the Tigris. The Mohammelan authority was completely re-entablished in the truet Inei woun the desert and the Euphrates; it was even extomed :arrons the liuphrates into the tract watered by
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encamped upon the Western Euphrates at Koss-ennatek, not far from the site of Kufa. At the same time, to raise the courage of the soldiers, he entrusted to this leader the sacred standard of Persia, the famous durufsh-kawani, or leathern apron of the blacksmith Kawah \({ }^{1}\), which was richly adorned with silk and gems, and is said to have measured eighteen feet long by twelve feet broad. \({ }^{2}\) Bahman had with him, according to the Persian tradition, 30,000 men and thirty elephants; \({ }^{8}\) the Arabs under Abu Obeidah numbered no more than 9,000 , or at the most \(10,000 .{ }^{4}\) Bahman is reported \({ }^{5}\) to have given his adversary the alternative of passing the Euphrates or allowing the Persians to cross it. Abu Obeidah preferred the bolder course, and, in spite of the dissuasions of his chief officers, threw a bridge of boats across the stream, and so conveyed his troops to the left bank. Here he found the Persian horse-archers covered with their scale armour, \({ }^{6}\) and drawn up in a solid line behind their elephants. Galled severely by the successive flights of arrows, the Arab cavalry sought to come to close quarters; but their horses, terrified by the unwonted sight of the huge animals, and further alarmed by the tinkling of the bells hung round their necks, \({ }^{7}\) refused to advance. It was found necessary to dismount, and assail the Persian line on foot.


A considerable impression had been made, and it was thought that the Persians would take to flight, \({ }^{1}\) when Abu Obeidah, in attacking the most conspicuous of the elephants, was seized by the infuriated animal and trampled under his feet. \({ }^{2}\) Inspirited by this success, the Persians rushed upon their enemies, who, disheartened by the loss of their commander, began a retrograde movement, falling back upon their newly-made bridge. This, however, was found to have been broken, either by the enemy, \({ }^{3}\) or by a rash Arab who thought, by making retreat imposesible, to give his own side the courage of despair. Before the damage done could b. repaired, the retreating hust suffered severely. The Persians pressed closely upon them, slew many, and drove others into the stream, where they were drowned. Out of the 9,000 or 10,000 who originally pinery the river, only 5,000 returned, and of these 2.(1)O) at once dispersed to their homes.' Besides Abu Olwilah, the veteran Salit was shain ; \({ }^{\text {b }}\) and Al Mothama, who -uccereded to the command on Abu Obei-dah- death, wameverely woundel. \({ }^{6}\) The last remnant of th. 小 defeate. army might easily have been deotroyed, hat wet a diounton ariven among the Persians, which mhacel Bahman to return to Clesiphon.

Thi. Aratm, upon this repulse, retired to El Lis ; \({ }^{\text {a }}\) and A| M, ehannat rent to ( Manar for reinforcements. which -in•-hly arrived under the command of Jarir, son of A!nlatah." Al Mothana was preparing to resume the
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offensive when the Persians anticipated him. A body of picked troops, led by Mihran, \({ }^{1}\) a general of reputation, crossed the Euphrates, and made a dash at Hira. Hastily collecting his men, who were widely dispersed, Al Mothanna gave the assailants battle on the canal El Boweib, in the near vicinity of the threatened town, and though the Persians fought with desperation from noon to sunset, succeeded in defeating them and in killing their commander. \({ }^{2}\) The beaten army recrossed the Euphrates, and returned to Ctesiphon without suffering further losses, since the Arabs were content to have baffled their attack, and did not pursue them many miles from the field of battle. \({ }^{8}\) All Mesopotamia, however, was by this defeat laid open to the invaders, whose ravages soon extended to the Tigris and the near vicinity of the capital. \({ }^{4}\)

The year a.d. 636 now arrived, and the Persians resolved upon an extraordinary effort. An army of 120,000 men was enrolled, \({ }^{\text {b }}\) and Rustam, reckoned the best general of the day, was placed at its head. \({ }^{6}\) The Euphrates was once more crossed, the Sawâd entered, its inhabitants invited to revolt, \({ }^{7}\) and the Arab force, which had been concentrated at Cadesia (Kadisiyeh), where it rested upon a fortified town, was sought out and challenged to the

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Tabari, vol. ii. p. 205. On the probability that Míhran, wherever it occurs, is really a title, and not a name, see above, p. 224 , note \({ }^{4}\), and p. 321, note \({ }^{2}\).
2 Tabari, vol. ii. p. 215. According to reports which Tabari had heard, the Persians slain in this battle were near upon 100,000 (ibid. p. 217).
\({ }^{3}\) Ockley, History of the Saracess, p. 145.

4 Tabari, vol. ii. pp. 220-235.
The Arabs are said to have penetrated at this time to the close neighbourhood of Baghdad (ibid. p. 231).
\({ }^{5}\) Ibid. p. 291. (Compare vol. iii. pp. 1, 5, 20, \&c.) Ebn Ishak, however, who is quoted by Tabari (vol. iii. p. 66), made the number only 60,000 . With this estimate Maçoudi agrees (vol. iv. p. 208).
\({ }^{\circ}\) Tabari, vol. ii. p. 287.
\({ }^{7}\) Ibid. pp. 297-9.
}
combat. The Caliph Omar had by great efforts contrived to raise his troops in the Sawad to the number of \(30,000,{ }^{1}\) and had entrusted the command of them to Said, the son of Wakas, since Al Mothanna had died of his wound. \({ }^{2}\) Sa'ad stood wholly on the defensive. His camp was pitched outside the walls of Cadesia, in a prosition protected on either side by a canal, \({ }^{8}\) or branch stream. derived from the Euphrates, and flowing to the south-east out of the Sea of Neljef. He himself, prevented by boils from sitting on his horse, looked down on his troxpy, and sent them directions from the Cadesian citadel.4 Rustam, in order to come to blows, was obligen to fill up the more eastern of the branch streams (El Atik), with reeds and carth, \({ }^{\text {b }}\) and in this way to crows the channel. The Arabs made no attempt \(u\), hinder the operation ; and the Persian general, having brought his vast army directly opposite to the enemy, proceeded to array his troops as he thought mont experient. Dividing his army into a centre and twor wingr, he texik himself the pexition of honour in the mid lane \({ }^{6}\) with ninetern ell.phants and three-fifths of hiv foreo.; while he gave the command of the right wing to Jalinus, and of the left to lkendsuwin: \({ }^{\text {a }}\) e.wh of whom we may suppone to have had \(24.0(x)\) trenpen and meven elephants. The Arals, on their side, made no such division. Kaled, ann of (Irfuta, was the war leaker in the fight, though saiad from his watch.
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tower observed the battle and gave his orders. The engagement began at mid-day and continued till sunset. At the signal of Allah akbar, 'God is great,' shouted by Sa'ad from his tower, the Arabs rushed to the attack. Their cavalry charged; but the Persians advanced against them their line of elephants, repeating with excellent effect the tactics of the famous 'Battle of the Bridge.' \({ }^{1}\) The Arab horse fled; the foot alone remained firm ; victory seemed inclining to the Persians, who were especially successful on either wing; \({ }^{2}\) Toleicha, with his 'lions \({ }^{8}\) failed to re-establish the balance; and all would have been lost, had not Assem, at the command of Sa 'ad, sent a body of archers and other footmen to close with the elephants, gall them with missiles, cut their girths, and so precipitate their riders to the ground. Relieved from this danger, the Arab horse succeeded in repulsing the Persians, who as evening approached retired in good order to their camp. The chief loss on this, the 'day of concussion,' \({ }^{4}\) was suffered by the Arabs, who admit that they had 500 killed, \({ }^{5}\) and must have had a proportional number of wounded.

On the morning of the second day, the site of the battle was somewhat changed, the Persians having retired a little during the night. \({ }^{6}\) Reinforcements from Syria kept reaching the Arab camp through most of the day; \({ }^{7}\) and hence it is known to the Arab writers

\footnotetext{
1 See above, p. 554.
2 Tabari, vol. iii. p. 31.
3 Toleicha led the Asadites (whose name is said to have meant 'lions') into battle. See Tabari, vol. iii. p. 30.
\({ }^{4}\) See Weil, Geschichte der Chalifen, vol. i. p. 67.
\({ }^{\text {s }}\) Tabari, vol. iii. p. 31.
- So the Persian Tabari (vol. iii. p. 390) ; but perhaps from a mistaken rendering of the words 'Armath 'and 'Agwath.'
\({ }^{7}\) Tabari, vol. iii. p. 34. The entire number sent from Syria was 6,000. Of these 5,300 arrived during the second day's fight.
}
as the 'the day of succours.' \({ }^{1}\) The engagement seems for some time not to have been general, the Arabs waiting for more troops to reach them, while the Persians abstained because they had not yet repaired the furniture of their elephants. \({ }^{2}\) Thus the morning passed in light skirmishes and single combats between the champions of either host, who went out singly before the lines and challenged each other to the encounter. \({ }^{3}\) The result of the duels was adverse to the Persians, who lost in the course of them two oi their best generals, Bendsuwàn and Bahman-Dsulhadjit. \({ }^{4}\) After a time the A rabs, regarding themselves as sufficiently reinforced, attackerd the Persians along their whole line, partly with horse, and partly with camely, drissed up to resemble elephants. \({ }^{\text {b }}\) The effect on the l'ersian cavalry was the same as had on the preverling day been proxluced by the real elephants on the hone of the Ambs; it was driven off the field and disperven, suffering considerable lonese. But the infantry town firm, and after a while the cavalry rallial : Rustam, who had treen in danger of suffering capture. was ancol: \({ }^{6}\) and night closing in, defeat was avoided. theugh the : alvimtage of the day reated clatarly with Arale. The Pervians had lome llognt in killed and whatidet, the . Trate me more than \(2.01000^{7}\)
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line. But the Arabs and their horses had now grown more familiar with the strange animals; they no longer shrank from meeting them; and some Persian deserters gave the useful information that, in order to disable the brutes it was only necessary to wound them on the proboscis or in the eye. Thus instructed, the Arabs made the elephants the main object of their attack, and having wounded the two which were accustomed to lead the rest, caused the whole body on a sudden to take to flight, cross the canal El Atik, and proceed at full speed to Ctesiphon. The armies then came to close quarters; and the foot and horse contended through the day with swords and spears, neither side being able to make any serious impression upon the other. \({ }^{1}\) As night closed in, however, the Persians once more fell back, crossing the canal El Atik, \({ }^{2}\) and so placing that barrier between themselves and their adversaries.

Their object in this manœuvre was probably to obtain the rest which they must have greatly needed. The Persians were altogether of a frame less robust, and of a constitution less hardy, than the Arabs. Their army at Kadisiyeh was, moreover, composed to a large extent of raw recruits; and three consecutive days of severe fighting must have sorely tried its endurance. The Persian generals hoped, it would seem, by crossing the Atik to refresh their troops with a quiet night before renewing the combat on the morrow. But the indefatigable Arabs, perhaps guessing their intention, determined to frustrate it, and prevented the tired host from enjoying a moment's respite. The ' day of embittered war,' as it was called, \({ }^{8}\) was followed

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Tabari, vol. iii. pp. 48-48. \(\mid\) vol. i. p. 68 ; Price, Mohammedan
\({ }^{2}\) Ibid. p. 48.
\({ }^{3}\) Weil, Geechichte der Chalifen,
}
by the ' night of snarling'-a time of horrid noise and tumult, during which the discordant cries of the troops on either side were thought to resemble the gells and barks of dengs and jackals. Two of the bravest of the Arabs, Toleicha and Amr, crossed the Atik with small bodies of troops, and under cover of the darkness enteret the Persian camp, slew numbers, and caused the groatest confusion. \({ }^{1}\) By degrees a general engagement was brought on, which continued ints the succeeding day. 9 ) that the 'night of snarling' can scarcely be sparatesl' from the "day of cormorants \({ }^{3}\) - the last of the four days' hadisigeh fight.

It would wrem that the Persians must on the fourth day have hal for a time the advantage, since we find thein onve more fighting upon the old ground, in the trint betwen the two canals, with the Atik in their rear." .lyout noon, however, a wind arose from the wout, brimping with it clouds of sand, which were blown into the fares and cyes of the Persians, while the Arabs, having their inck to, the storm, suffered but little from
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off. Rustam sought a refuge from the violence of the storm among his baggage mules, and was probably meditating tlight, when the Arabs were upon him. Hillal, son of Alkama, intent upon plunder, began to cut the cords of the baggage and strew it upon the ground. A bag in falling severely injured Rustam, \({ }^{1}\) who threw himself into the Atik and attempted to swim across. Hillal, however, rushed after him, drew him to shore, and slew him; after which he mounted the vacant throne, and shouted as loudly as he could, \({ }^{2}\) ' By the lord of the Kaaba, I have killed Rustam.' The words created a general panic. Everywhere the Persian courage fell; the most part despaired wholly, and at once took to flight; a few cohorts alone stood firm and were cut to pieces; \({ }^{3}\) the greater number of the men rushed hastily to the Atik; some swam the stream ; others crossed where it had been filled up; but as many as 30,000 perished in the waves. \({ }^{4}\) Ten thousand had fallen on the field of battle \({ }^{5}\) in the course of the preceding night and day, while of the Mohammedans as many as 6,000 had been slain. Thus the last day of the Kadisiyeh fight was stoutly contested ; and the Persian defeat was occasioned by no deficiency of courage, but by the occurrence of a sand-storm and by the almost accidental death of the commander. Among the Persian losses in the battle that of the national standard, \({ }^{6}\) the durufsh-kauani, was reckoned the most serious.

\footnotetext{
iii. p. 55 ; Maçoudi, vol. iv. p. 221).
\({ }^{1}\) Tabari makes the bag break some of Rustam's vertebrce (vol. iii. p. 58), after which he runs to the Atik, plunges in, and begins to suim! It is needless to say that this is quite impossible. Maçoudi
says that the bag fell on him and broke some of his ribs (vol. iv. p. 222).
\({ }^{2}\) Maçoudi, l.s.c. ; Tabari, l.s.c.
\({ }^{3}\) Tabari, vol. iii. p. 61.
4 Ibid. p. 56.
\({ }^{5}\) Maçoudi, vol. iv. p. 221.
\({ }^{\circ}\) See above, p. 554. The soldier
}

The retreat of the defeated army was conducted by Jalenus. Sa'ad, anxious to complete his victory, sent three bordies of troxps across the Atik, to press upon the flying foe. One of these, commanded by Sohra, came up with the Persian rear-guard under Jalenus at Harrar, and slaughtered it, toprether with its lealer. \({ }^{1}\) The other two serem to have returned without efferting much. The bulk of the fugitives traversed Mesopotamia in satety, and found a shelter behind the walls of Ctesiphon.

By the defeat of Kadisigeh all hope of recovering the territory on the right bank of the Euphrates was lont: but I'ersia did not as yet dexpair of maintaining her imbependence. It was evident, indeed, that the permanent maintemance of the capital was henceforth prevariou-: and a wise forethought would have sugggioterl the removal of the Court from so exponed a situation, and its transference to sume other position, cither to Istakr, the ancient metropelis of Persia Proper, or whamulat, the capi:al city of Monaa. But prob-
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Persia in the way of preparation against her terrible assailants.

In the year a.d. 637 the Arabs again took the offensive. They had employed the intervening year and a half in the foundation of Busrah and Kufa, \({ }^{1}\) and in the general consolidation of their sway on the right bank of the Euphrates. \({ }^{2}\) They were now prepared for a further movement. The conduct of the war was once more entrusted to Sa'ad. Having collected an army of 20,000 men, \({ }^{8}\) this general procceded from Kufa to Anbar \({ }^{4}\) (or Perisabor), where he crossed the Euphrates, and entered on the Mesopotamian region. Isdigerd, learning that he had put his forces in motion, and was bent upon attacking Ctesiphon, called a council of war, and asked its advice as to the best course to be pursued under the circumstances. \({ }^{5}\) It was generally agreed that the capital must be evacuated, and a stronger situation in the more mountainous part of the country occupied; but Isdigerd was so unwilling to remove that he waited till the Arabian general, with a force now raised to 60,000 , had reached Sâbât, \({ }^{6}\) which was only a day's march from the capital, before he could be induced to commence his retreat. He then abandoned the town hastily, without carrying off more than a small portion of the treasures, which his ancestors had during four centuries accumulated at the main seat of their power, and retired to Holwan, a strong place in the Zagros mountain-range. \({ }^{7}\) Sa'ad, on learn-
\({ }^{1}\) Trabari, vol. iii. pp. 85-7. | His numbers are particularly un-
\({ }^{2}\) Ibid. p. 80 .
\({ }_{3}^{2}\) Tabari (ed. Zotenberg), vol. iii. p. 414. The Arabic Tabari of Kosegarten here fuils me; and I have to rely almost entirely on the Persian translator, who is said frequently to misrepreeent his original.

4 Ibid.
\({ }^{6}\) Ibid. p. 415.
- Ibid. Compare Kosegarten's Tabari, vol. iii. p. 71.
\({ }^{7}\) Holwan was not 'at the foot of the Median hills,' as Gibbon
ing his movement, sent a body of troops in pursuit, which came up, with the rear-guard of the Persians, sund cut it in pieces, but effected nothing really important. Iseligerd made good his retreat, and in a short time concentrated at Holwan an army of above \(\mathbf{1 0 0 , 0 0 0}\) men.' Saiad, instead of pushing forward and engaging this force, was irresistibly attracted by the reputed wealth the Great Ctesiphon, and marching thither, entered the unresisting city, \({ }^{2}\) with his troops, in the sixteenth year of the Hegira, the four hundred and eleventh from the foundation of the Sussanian kingdow by Artaxerxion, son of lubek.

Clesiphon was, undoubtedly, a rich prize. Its palaces and its gardens, its opulent houses and its pleasant fields, its fountains and its thowers, are celebrated by the Arabian writers, who are never weary of rehearsing the beanty of its site, the elengance of the buildings, the magniticence and luxury of their furniture, or the am- unt of the treasures which were contimaed in them. \({ }^{3}\) The reval palace, now known as the Takht-i-Khesru, \({ }^{4}\) - -prially pronoterl their admiration. It was built of


















of the edifice was 450 feet, its breadth 180, its height 150. In the centre was the hall of audience, a noble apartment, 115 feet long and 85 high, \({ }^{1}\) with a magnificent vaulted roof, bedecked with golden stars, so arranged as to represent the motions of the planets among the twelve signs of the Zodiac, \({ }^{2}\) where the monarch was accustomed to sit on a golden throne, hearing causes and dispensing justice to his subjects. The treasury and the various apartments were full of gold and silver, of costly robes and precious stones, of jewelled arms and dainty carpets. The glass vases of the spice magazine contained an abundance of musk, camphor, \({ }^{3}\) amber, gums, drugs, and delicious perfumes. In one apartment was found a carpet of white brocade, 450 feet long and 90 broad, with a border worked in precious stones of various hues, to represent a garden of all kinds of beautiful flowers. The leaves were formed of emeralds, the blossoms and buds of pearls, rubies, sapphires, and other gems of immense value. Among the objects found in the treasury were a horse made entirely of gold, bearing a silver saddle set with a countless multitude of jewels, and a camel made of silver, accompanied by a foal of which the material was gold. A coffer belonging to Isdigerd was captured at the bridge over the Nahrwân canal, as its guardians were endeavouring to carry it off. Among its contents were a robe of state embroidered with rubies and pearls, several garments made of tissue of gold, the crown and seal of Chosröes (Anushirwan !), and ten pieces of silk brocade. The armoury of Chosröes also

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) See below, p. 591.
\({ }^{2}\) D'Herbelot, Bibliothèque Or entale, vol. iii. p. 480.
mixed it with their bread (Gibbon,
Decline and Fall, vol. vi. p. 295;
\({ }^{3}\) The Arabs are said to have Irving, Successors of Mahomet, p. mistaken this for salt, and to bave
}
fell into the conqueror's hands. It contained his helmet, breastplate, greaves, and arm-pieces, all of solid gold adorned with pearls, six 'cuirasses of Solomon,' and ten costly scimitars. The works of art, and a fifth part of the entire booty, were set apart for the Caliph: Omar, and sent by trusty messengers to Medina; the value of the remainder was so enormous \({ }^{1}\) that when saiad divided it among his 60,000 soldiers, the share of each amounted to 12,000 dirhems ( \(31 \geqslant l\).).

It is said \({ }^{2}\) that Sa'ad, after capturing Ctesiphon, was anxious to set out in pursuit of Isdigerd, but was rotrainal by despatches received from Omar, which commanded him to remain at the Persian capital, and (") employ his brother Hashem, and the experienced peneral, I:l Kakail, in the further prosecution of the war. Harhem was, therefore, sent with 12.000 men, arainot the fugitive monarch, whowe forres, said to hate excereled 100,000 men, and commanded by a Mhhan, were drawn up at Jalula, not far from Holwans The dioparity of number, forced Hashem f.. combercond to manturring: and it was six monthFresere he ventured on a general chagement with hio an-
 atd thi tume the carnage wave excensive: 10010010 Ir:-ane are aind to have lain dat on the battle-field; ti., . . manamber wav humelf amoner the slam. Jalula
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Among other precious articles, a figure of a camel, with its rider, in solid gold, was found in one of the tents. \({ }^{1}\) Altogether the booty is reckoned at about four millions of our money-the share of each soldier engaged being 10,000 dirhems, \({ }^{2}\) or about \(260 l\). sterling.

Isdigerd, on learning the result of the battle of Jalula, quitted Holwan, and retired to Rei, a large town near the Caspian sea, \({ }^{8}\) at a short distance from the modern Teheran, thus placing the entire Zagros range between himself and his irresistible foes. A general named Khosru-sum was left behind with a large body of troops, and was bidden to defend Holwan to the last extremity. Instead of remaining, however, within the walls of the stronghold, Khosru-sum rashly led his force to meet that of El Kakâa, who defeated him at Kasr-i-Shirin \({ }^{4}\) and entirely dispersed his army. Holwan, being left without protection, surrendered; the conquest of Shirwan, Mah-sabadan, and Tekrit followed; \({ }^{5}\) and by the close of the year a.D. 637 the banner of the Prophet waved over the whole tract west of Zagros, from Nineveh almost to Susa, or from the Kurnib to the Kuran river.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Price, Mohammedan Hietory, p. 125 .
\({ }_{2}\) Tabari, vol. iii. p. 418.
\({ }^{3}\) Ibid. p. 419. Rei is generally identified with Rhages, one of the most ancient and important of the cities of Media. (See Ancient Monarchies, vol. ii. p. 272, 2nd edition.) But, while it is quite possible that the name travelled westward, it would seem to be certain that the original Rhages was very much nearer than Rei to the Caspian Gates. (See Arrian, Exp. Alex. iii. 20.)

4 Tabari, l.s.c. Kasr-i-Shirin retains its names. It is a village about twenty miles west of Holwan,
on the road leading from Baghdad to Hamadan. (GeryraphicalJournal, vol. ix. p. 33; Rich, Kurdistan, vol. ii. p. 264.) The word signities 'the palace of Shirin;' and the place is supposed to hare been one where Chosroës II. built a residence for his favourite wife.
\({ }^{5}\) Tabari, vol. iii. pp. 420-2. According to this author the Roman territory included at this time both Mosul (Nineveh) and Tekrit. A Roman general, Antag (Antiochus?), defended Tekrit with 20,000 men. It is just possible that, on the collapse of the Persian power, Rome attempted to obtain a share of the spoil.
}

\section*{Ce. [xili] intasion of scisiana and prrsia proper. 569}

Another short pause in the Arabian aggressions upon Persia now orcurred; but in the year a.d. 639 their attacks were resumed, and the Persians had to submit to further losses. Otbis, governor of Busrah, seint an expedition across the Shatel-Arab into Susiana, \({ }^{1}\) and. supported by the Arab population of the province, which desirteel the P'ersian side, engaged Hormuzan, the satrap, in two battles, defeated him, and foreed him to cerle a purtion of his territory, including the important city of Ahwaz.. Soon afterwards, Ala, governor of Bahrein, conducted in pernon an expedition into I'ervia Proper, crossing the Gulf in the rude vesisels of the time, and attacking Shehrek, the Persian satrap. who acknowlealged the authority of Ismigerd. Here. the Arabs were for once unsuccensful. Shehrek collevted a force which Ala was afraid ta encounter; the Arabichief retreated to the const, but found his ficet enfulphed by the waves ; and it was only with great difficulty that he made his emape by land from the country which he had ventured to invale. Ite owed hin exaju(.) Gtha, who wott tronps from Busrah to hiv aid. defouted shehrek, and revent his fellow fovernor from the prol which threatene.d him. \({ }^{3}\)
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}
walls, \({ }^{1}\) with no decided advantage to either side. At length Al-Berâ, son of Mâlik, one of the companions of the Prophet, and believed by many to possess the prophetic spirit, announced that victory was about to incline to the Moslems, but that he himself would be slain. A chance arrow having fulfilled one half of the prediction, the Arabs felt an assurance that the other half would follow, and fought with such fanatic ardour that their expectations were soon fulfilled. The town was won; but Hormuzan retired into the citadel, and there successfully maintained himself, till AbuSabra, the Mohammedan general, consented to spare his life, and send him to Medina, where his fate should be determined by the Caliph. Hormuzan, on obtaining an audience, pretended thirst and asked for a cup of water, which was given him ; he then looked suspiciously around, as if he expected to be stabbed while drinking. 'Fear nothing,' said Omar; 'your life is safe till you have drunk the water.' The crafty Persian flung the cup to the ground, and Omar felt that he had been outwitted, but that he must keep his word. Hormuzan became an Arab pensionary, and shortly afterwards embraced Islamism. \({ }^{2}\) His territories were occupied by the Moslems, whose dominions were thereby extended from the Kuran to the Tab river.

The Arab conquests on the side of Persia had hitherto been effected and maintained by the presiding genius of one of the ablest of the Mohammedan commanders, the victor of Kadisiyeh, Sa'ad Ibn Abi Wakas. From Kufa, where he built himself a magniticent palace, which Omar however caused to be destroyed, \({ }^{8}\) this great

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Tabari (ed. Zotenberg), vol. iii. \(\mid{ }^{3}\) See Washington Irving's Sucp. 457. cessors of Mahomet, p. 132. Com-
\({ }^{2}\) Ibid. p. 461. cessors of Mahomet, p. 132. Co
pare Tabari, vol. iii. pp. 423-4.
}
general and skilful administrator directed the movements of armies, arranged the divisions of provinces, apportionerl the sums to be paid to the revenue, dealt out justice, and generally superintended affuirs throughout the entire region conquered by the Arabs to the east of the desert. A man in such a possition necessarily made himself enemies; and complaints were frequently carried to(mar of his lieutenant's pride, luxury, and inju-tice. \({ }^{1}\) What foundation there may have been for theore charges is uncertain; but it seems that Omar was persuaded, towards the close of a.d. 640, or very carly in A.D. 641, that they were of sufficient weight to make it nocessary that they should be investigated. He arordingly reralled Sad from his government to Medina, and replaced him at Kufa by . Immar Ilon Yuser. \({ }^{2}\)

The nows of this change was carried to Isdigend at La-i, and cauned him to conceive hopes of recovering his lime torritory. The event shows that he attributed (.a. mull to the personal ability of his great antagenist ; bat the mistake was not unnatural ; and it was a mobletuphion whirh lent him to sere the fir-t promiving
 lot doprrate effirt to sube hivermpire and repube
 Atal. : lavertan represent them. There wav wo










ambition, and was certain to spread itself in all directions until its forces were expended, or a bound was set to it by resistance which it could not overcome. Isdigerd, by remaining quiet, might perhaps have prolonged the precarious existence of Persia for half-adozen years, though even this is uncertain, and it is perhaps as probable that the tide of conquest would have flowed eastward in a.D. 641 or \(64 \%\), even had he attempted nothing. What alone we can be sure of is, that no acquiescence on his part, no abstention from warlike enterprise, no submission short of the acceptance of Islamism, would have availed to save his country for more than a very brief space from the tramp of the hordes that were bent on enriching themselves with the plunder of the whole civilised world, and imposing on all the nations of the earth their dominion and their religion.

From the citadel of Rei, Isdigerd, in A.D. 641, sounded the call to battle with no uncertain note. His envoys spread themselves through Media, Azerbijan, Khorassan, Gurgan, Tabaristan, Merv, Bactria, Seistan, Kerman, and Farsistan \({ }^{1}\) (or Persia Proper), demanding contingents of troops, and appointing, as the place of rendezvous, the small town of Nehavend, which is in the mountain region, about fifty miles south of Hamadan. The call was responded to with zeal; and in a short time there was gathered together at the place named an army of 150,000 men. \({ }^{1}\) Firuzan, one of the nobles who had commanded at Kadisiyeh, \({ }^{2}\) was made general-in-chief. The design was entertained of descending on Holwan, and thence upon the lowland region, of re-taking Ctesiphon,

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Tabari (ed. Zotenberg), rol. iii. pp. 467-8.
\({ }^{2}\) Ibid. p. \(468 .{ }^{3}\) Supra, p. 501.
}
crowsing the great rivers, and destroying the rising cities of Kufa and Busrah. \({ }^{1}\) But the Arabs were upon the alert, and anticipated the intended invasion. Noman, son of Mokarrin, who commanded at Ahwaz, was hastily commissioned by Omar to collert the Arab troxpes stationed in Irak, Khuzistan, and the Sawad, to put himeelf at their head, and to prevent the outbreak by marching at once on Nehavend. He succeederl in uniting under his standard about 30,000 soldiers, \({ }^{2}\) and with this moderate force entered the mountain tract, passed Holwan and Merj, and encamped at Tur, where he expected the attack of the enemy. \({ }^{8}\) But Firuzan had now reaslved to maintain the defensive. He had entrenched himself strongly in front of Nehavend, and was bent on wearing out the patience of the Arabs by a prolonged resistance. Noman, finding himself unmolested, advanced from Tur to the immediate neighbourhosd of Nehavend, and endeavourid to provoke his adversary to give battle, but without effert. For two monthe the two homes farat corh other without fighting. It lave the stores of the Irabs, as well as their patience, leyran to fail :
 2w. up the war altongether. Herrupen. Xoman, by the :uhter of two of his captains, hat resoures to a -tratarem. He oproal a report that Gmar wav dead, at.! breaking up from his camp legan a have retreat.
 merni-. and le.d his army on the trace of the thying fin. It wat iw. daye lafore he reacheal them, and on the thand day the batele boyan. Noman, having alltoual has whien and made arrangements con-

\footnotetext{
: Talan, l.ec., I'rer. v.l i. 'Tabari, ved. iii. p. 1 iil. P1.:
- Ind p sis.
}
cerning the command in case of his own death mounted a milk-white steed, \({ }^{1}\) and gave the signal for the fight by thrice shouting the famous tekbir, or battle-cry, 'Allah akbar.' The Arabs charged with fury, and for a while, amid the clouds of dust which rose beneath their feet, nothing was heard but the clash of steel. \({ }^{2}\) At length the Persians gave way; but, as Noman advanced his standard and led the pursuit, a volley of arrows from the flying foe checked his movement, and at the same time terminated his career. A shaft had struck him in a vital part, and he fell at the moment of victory. For his men, maddened by the loss of their commander, pressed on more furiously than before; the Persians were unable to rally; and a promiscuous flight began. Then followed a dreadful slaughter. The numbers of the Persians must have impeded their retreat; and in the defiles of the mountains a rapid flight was impossible. Firuzan himself, who, instead of falling back on Nehavend, took the road leading north to Hamadan, was overtaken by El Kakâa in a narrow pass, and put to the sword. More than 100,000 Persians are said to have perished. \({ }^{3}\) The victors, pressing onwards, easily took Nehavend. Hamadan surrendered to them shortly afterwards. \({ }^{4}\)

The defeat of Nehavend terminated the Sassanian power. \({ }^{5}\) Isdigerd indeed, escaping from Rei, and

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{2}\) Price, vol. i. p. 133.
" Tabari, vol. iii. p. 477.
\({ }^{3}\) Ibid. p. 478.
\({ }^{4}\) Ibid. p. 479.
5 'The battle of Nehavend,' says Malcolm, 'decided the fate of Persia; which, from its date, fell under the dominion of the Arabian caliphs' (History of Persia, vol. i.
}

\footnotetext{
true view. It is well expressed by Mr. Vaux, who says, 'The sack of Madain(Ctesiphon) and the carnage of Nehavend followed, and the empire of the Sassanidæ, and with it the religion of Zoroaster, as a national faith, fell from the grasp of Yezdigerd III., the last feeble ruler of this house. Thus ended. A.D. 641, a dynasty which had
}
thing continually from place to place, prolonged an inglorious cexitence for the space of ten more yars--.
 kingrdom. l'eriat fell to piecess on the eneasion of - the victory of victoriow, \({ }^{1}\) and made no wher united eflont againet the Arabs. I'rovince after provine was "oripion by the fierce invalders:" and, at length, in a.b. biol, their arms penetrated to Mers, where the lat aidin of the house of Balnck had for swme years finum a refiger. It is aid that during this interval he had mate effints to engape the Khan of the Turks and tiae Emperor of the Chinese formbrace his atuse: \({ }^{3}\) lint, it this wer. - o. it was without sucron. 'Though
 - flin: was mad. by either purtentate on his Indhalf.
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It is difficult to form a decided opinion as to the character of Isdigerd III. He was but fifteen years of age at his accession, twenty-four at the time of the battle of Nehavend, and thirty-four at his decease, A.D. 651 . It is in his favour that 'history lays no crimes to his charge ; \({ }^{1}\) for this can be said of very few Sassanian sovereigns. It is also to his credit that he persevered so long in struggling against his fate, and in endeavouring to maintain, or restore, the independence of his nation. Bat, on the other hand, it must be confessed that there is little to be admired in the measures which he took to meet the perils of the time, and that personally he appears to have been weak and of luxurious habits. During the whole of his long struggle with the Arabs, he seems never once to have placed himself at the head of his troops, much less to have crossed swords with the enemy. He entrusted the defence of Persia to generals, and did not even seek to inspire his soldiers with enthusiasm by his own presence in their camp. Always occupying some secure fortress far in the rear of his army, he fled from each as the enemy made a step in advance, quitting Ctesiphon for Holwan, Holwan for Rei, and Rei for Merv, never venturing upon a stand, never making an appeal to the loyalty which was amongst the best qualities of the Persians, and which would have caused them to fight with desperation in defence of a present king. Carrying with him in all his wanderings the miserable pageant of an Oriental court, he suffered his movements to be hampered and his resources crippled by a throng of 4,000 useless retainers, \({ }^{2}\) whom he could not bring

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Irving, l.s.c.
\({ }^{2}\) Tabari, vol. iii. 504. They included, according to this author, slares of the palace, cooks, valets,
grooms, secretaries, wives, concubines, female attendants, children, and old men.
}
himself to dismiss. Instead of donning the armour which befitted one who was struggling for his crown, he wore to the last the silken robes, the jewelled belt, the rings and bracelets that were only suited for the quiet inmate of a palace, and by this incongruous and misplaced splendour he provoked, and, perhaps we may say, deserved his fate. A monarch wholoses his crown for the most part awakens interest and sympathy; but no historian has a word of commiseration for the last of the Sissanida, who is repruached with feebleness, cowardice, and cffeminacy. \({ }^{1}\) It must certainly be allowerl that he was no hero; but considering his extreme youth when his prerils begran, the efforts which he made to mert them, and the impossibility of an effective resivtan\% in the cffete and exhausterl condition of the Perian nation, history is scarcely justified in passing upoll the unfortunate prince a severe judgment.

The covisw asvigneal to Ienliged III. are neither nume-rou- nor very remarkable. \({ }^{2}\) The head is in general
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The margin, however, shews also in some instances, a peculiar device behind the crown, and also a legend, which has been read, but very doubtfully,' as 'Ormazd.' The king's name is given as Iskart or Iskarti. Among the regnal years marked on the reverse have been found the numbers ' nineteen' and 'twenty.' Among the mint-marks are Azerbijan, Abiverd, and Merv.
\({ }^{1}\) Thomas in Num. Chron. 1873, p. 253.

\section*{CHAPTER XXVII.}

Architecture of the Sassanians. Its Origin. Its Peculiarities. Oblong Square Plan. Arched Entrance Halle. Domes resting on Pendentivoe. Suites of Apartments. Onnamentation : Exterior, by Pilasters, Cornices, String-courses, and shallow arched Recesses, with Pilasters between them: Interior, by Pillars mupporting Transverse Ribs, or by Doorways and Falme I'indonos, like the Persepolitan. Specimen Pralaces at Serbistan, at Firmeabad, at CYesiphon, at Mashita. Elaborate Docoratiom at the last-named Palace. Decoration Elsewhere. Arch of Takhti-Bostan. Sinsamian Statuary. Sassmian Bas-reliefs. Estimate of their Artistic Value. Question of the Employment by the Saseanians of Byzantine Artists. General Summary.
- With the nccossion of the Sasennians, Porsia regnined much of that power and stability to which she had boen so long a stranger. . . . The improvement in the fine arts at home indicates returning prosperity, and a degree of security unknown since the fall of the Achemenidee.'-Fmeatsons, History of Architecture, vol. i. pp. 881-2, 2nd edition.

When Persia under the Sassanian princes shook off the barbarous yoke to which she had submitted for the space of almost five centuries, she found architecture and the other fine arts at almost the lowest possible ebb throughout the greater part of Western Asia. \({ }^{1}\) The ruins of the Achæmenian edifices, which were still to be seen at Pasargada, Persepolis, and elsewhere, \({ }^{2}\) bore witness to the grandeur of idea, and magnificence of construction, which had once formed part of the heritage of the Persian nation; but the intervening period was one during which the arts had well-nigh wholly

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) See Fergusson, History of Ar- Dearription de I'Armenie, la Perse, rhitecture, vol. i. pp. 377-380, and et la Meapntamis, vol. ii. planches edition. 01-110: and the Author's Ancient
\({ }^{2}\) Compare Flandin, Voyage en Mowarchice, vol. iii. pp. 273-317, Perse, planchee, vol. ii; Texier, 2nd edition.
}
disappeared from the Western Asiatic world ; and when the early sovereigns of the house of Sassan felt the desire, common with powerful monarchs, to exhibit their greatness in their buildings, they found themselves at the first without artists to design, without artisans to construct, and almost without models to copy. The Parthians, who had ruled over Persia for nearly four hundred years, \({ }^{1}\) had preferred country to city life, tents to buildings, and had not themselves erected a single edifice of any pretention during the entire period of their dominion. \({ }^{2}\) Nor had the nations subjected to their sway, for the most part, exhibited any constructive genius, or been successful in supplying the artistic deficiencies of their rulers. In one place alone was there an exception to this general paralysis of the artistic powers. At Hatra, in the middle Mesopotamian region, an Arab dynasty, which held under the Parthian kings, had thought its dignity to require that it should be lodged in a palace, \({ }^{8}\) and had resuscitated a native architecture in Mesopotamia, after centuries of complete neglect. When the Sassanians looked about for a foundation on which they might work, and out of which they might form a style suitable to their needs and worthy of their power and opulence, they found what they sought in the Hatra edifice, which was within the limits of their kingdom, and at no great distance from one of the cities where they held their Court.

The early palaces of the Sassanians have ceased to

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) From b.c. 150 to A.d. 226. 422 , 1st edition). This is true, so (See the Author's Siath Mouarchy, far as buildings are concerned. p. 77 an: p. 3867. )
\({ }^{2}\) Mr. Ferguseon says broadly, 'the Parthians have left no material traces of their existence' (Hiet of (Hist. of Architecture, vol. ii. p. vol. i. pp. 378-380, 2 nd edition.
}
exist. Artaxerxes, the son of Babek, Sapor the first, and their immediate successors, undoubterlly erected residencess for themselves exceeding in size and richness the buildings which had contented the Parthians, as well as those in which their own ancestors, the tributary kings of Persia under Parthia, had passed their lives. But these residences have almost wholly disappeared.' The most ancient of the Sassanian buildings which admit of being measured and described, are axignedz to the century between a.d. 350 and 450 ; and we are thus unable to trace the exact stepe by which the Lissanian style was gradually elaborated. We come upon it when it is beyond the stage of infancy, when it has acquired a marked and decided character, when it no longer hesitates or falters, but knows what it wants, and goees straight to its ends. Its main features are simple, and are uniform from first to last, the later buildings being merely enlangements of the earlicr. \({ }^{3}\) by an addition to the number or to the size of the apartments. The principal perculiarities of the style are, tiret, that the plan of the entire building is an oblong valare. without adjuncts or projertions; sacondly, that the main entrance is inte a lofty vaulted poreh or hall by an arehway of the ention width of the apartmont: thinlly, that lxesides thome ohlong halls, the buhding containg mparr apartments, vaulead with
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domes, which are circular at their base, and elliptical in their section, and which rest on pendentives of an unusual character; fourthly, that the apartments are numerous and en suite, opening one into another, without the intervention of passages; and fifthly, that the palace comprises, as a matter of course, a court, placed towards the rear of the building, with apartments opening into it.

The oblong square is variously proportioned. The depth may be a very little more than the breadth, \({ }^{1}\) or it may be nearly twice as much. \({ }^{2}\) In either case, the front occupies one of the shorter sides, or ends of the. edifice. The outer wall is sometimes pierced by one entrance only; \({ }^{8}\) but, more commonly, entrances are multiplied beyond the limit commonly observed in modern buildings. \({ }^{4}\) The great entrance is in the exact centre of the front. This entrance, as already noticed, is commonly by a lofty arch which (if we set aside the domes) is of almost the full height of the building, and constitutes one of its most striking, and to Europeans most extraordinary, features. From the outer air, we look, as it were, straight into the heart of the edifice, in one instance \({ }^{5}\) to the depth of 115 feet, a distance equal to the length of Henry VII.'s Chapel at Westminster. The effect is very strange when first seen by the inexperienced traveller; but similar entrances are common in the mosques of Armenia and Persia, and in the palaces of the latter country. In the mosques 'lofty and

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) As in the Serbistan palace, \(\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}\text { pl. } & \text { 39). }\end{array}\right.\)
which is 42 mètres by 37 . (See Flandin, Voyage en Porse, planches, vol. i. pl. 28; and compare Fergusson, History of Architecture, vol. i. p. 383).
\({ }^{2}\) The Sassanian palace at Firuzabad has a length of 103, and a breadth of 65 mètres (Flandin,
\(\mathrm{p}_{3}\) This is the case at Firuzabad and at Mashita.
*The Serbistan palace has thirteen entrances to the same nnmber of rooms. The fragment at Ctesiphon has four entrances.
\({ }^{3}\) At the Takht-i-Khooru.
}
deeply-recessed portals,' 'unrivalled for grandeur and appropriateness,' \({ }^{1}\) are rather the rule than the exception; and, in the palaces, 'Throne-rooms' are commonly mere deep recesses of this character, vaulted or supported by pillars, and open at one end to the full width and height of the apartment. \({ }^{*}\) The height of the arch varies in Sissanian buildings from about fifty to eighty-five feet ; it is generally plain, and without ornament ; but in one case we meet with a foiling of small arches round the great one, \({ }^{3}\) which has an effect that is not unpleaving.

The domed apartments are squares of from twentyfive to forty feet, or a little more. The domes are circular at their base; but a section of them would exhibit a half ellipse, with its longest and shortest diameters proportioned as three to two. \({ }^{4}\) The height to whith they rise from the ground is not much above wenty fivt.s A single building will have two or threedinnes. wither of the same size, or occasionally of diffir int dimemoinas. It is a peruliarty of their constructhen, that they rest, net on drums, but on prodentiones of a curawio harater. A werios of momi-circular arthes :- :hrown armen the angles of the apartment, wath pro. 1.. 'me funtior inter it than the prewerling: and in this wet th.". : in :n:o :lu wrular ahape. A cornice ram round the uarturnt, wher almone or lellow the pemdentives or
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pierced by a number of small holes, which admitted some light, and the upper part of the walls between the pendentives was also pierced by windows.

There are no passages or corridors in the Sassanian palaces. The rooms for the most part open one into the other. Where this is not the case, they give upon a common meeting-ground, which is either an open court, or a large vaulted apartment. The openings are in general doorways of moderate size, but sometimes they are arches of the full width of the subordinate room or apartment. As many as seventeen or eighteen rooms have been found in a palace. \({ }^{1}\)

There is no appearance in any Sassanian edifice of a real second story. The famous Takht-i-Khosru presents externally the semblance of such an arrangement; but this seems to have been a mere feature of the external ornamentation, and to have had nothing to do with the interior. \({ }^{2}\)

The exterior ornamentation of the Sassanian buildings was by pilasters, by arched recesses, by cornices, and sometimes by string-courses. \({ }^{8}\) An ornamentation at once simple and elegant is that of the lateral faces of the palace at Firuzabad, where long reed-like pilasters are carried from the ground to the cornice, while between them are a series of tall narrow doubly recessed arches. \({ }^{4}\) Far less satisfactory is the much more elaborate design adopted at Ctesiphon, \({ }^{5}\) where

\footnotetext{
(See Flandin, pl. 29, 'Coupe sur |some extent at Mashita (Tristram, la ligne ab.')
1 This is the number at Firuzabad. The Mashita palace seems to have had forty-four rooms.
\({ }^{2}\) Fergusson, History of Architecture, vol. i. p. 385.
\({ }^{3}\) As at the Takht-i-Khosru (Fergusson, Hist. of Architecture, vol. i. p. 386, 2nd edition), and to
}
six series of blind arches of different kinds are superimposed the one on the other, with string-courses between them, and with pilasters, placed singly or in pairs, separating the arches into groups, and not regularly superimposed, as pillars, whether real or seeming, ought to be.

The interior ornamentation was probably, in a great measure, by stucco, painting, and perhaps gilding. \({ }^{1}\) All this, however, if it existed, has dssappearell; and the interiors now present a bare and naked appearance, which is ouly slighty relieved by the occasional cecurrence of windows, of ornamental doorways, and of niches, which recall well-known features at Persepolis. In some instances, however, the arrangement of the larger rooms was improved by means of short pillars, placed at some distance from the walls, and supporting a sort of transverse rib, which broke the unif, minty of the roof. \({ }^{2}\) The pillars were connected with the side walls by low arches.
Such are the main peculiarities of Sussuman pulace architerture. The penemal effert of the groat halls is proud, thouph sarely beautiful; and, in the best -pwrinerns, the entire pulace has an air of simple wowraty, which is striking and dignifiel. The internal arrune mente dunt appar to the very convenient. T(x) unuch is sarciticed to regularity : and the opening of wh remen inter its meighlour must, one would think.
 a- - indicating comoderable originality and power.' tha: ah they point to a state of moviety when attention

\footnotetext{


 \(1 .: 1: p: 80^{\circ}\). rell.
- Blaodia, Bigege an flowor.
}
to security hardly allowed the architect the free exercise of the more delicate ornaments of his art.' \({ }^{1}\)

From this general account of the main features of the architecture, it is proposed now to proceed to a


Ground Plan of the Serbistan Palack (after Flandin). N.B.-The dimensions are given in English yards. \(\begin{array}{llll}\text { A A A } \\ \text { B B }\end{array} \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Porches. } \\ & \text { Domed Halls. }\end{aligned} \quad . \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { C C }\end{aligned} \begin{aligned} & \text { Pillared Halls. } \\ & \text { Court. }\end{aligned}\)
more particular description of the principal extant

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Fergusson, Hist. of Architecture, vol. i. p. 382.
}

Sassanian buildings-the palaces at Serbistan, Firuzabad, Ctesiphon, and Mashita.

The palace at Serbistan is the smallest, and probably the earliest of the four. It has been assigned conjecturally to the middle of the fourth century, \({ }^{1}\) or the reign of sapor II. The ground plan is an oblong but little removed from a square, the length being 42 French métres, and the breadth nearly 37 metres. \({ }^{*}\) The building faces west, and is entered by three archways, betwern which are groups of three semi-circular pilastern, while beyond the two outer arches towards the angles of the building is a single similar pilaster. Within the archways are halls or porihes of different depths, the rentral one of the three being the shallowest.


I!:- יjum- hy an an heal honrway into a muare cham-

\footnotetext{





}
ber, the largest in the edifice. It is domed, and has a diameter of about 42 feet or, including recesses, of above 57 feet. The interior height of the dome from the floor is 65 feet. Beyond the domed chamber is a court. which measures 45 feet by 40 , and has rooms of various sizes opening into it. One of these is domed; the others are for the most part vaulted. The great domed chamber opens towards the north, on a deep porch or hall, which was entered from without by the usual arched portal. On the south it communicates with a pillared hall, above 60 feet long by 30 broad. There is another somewhat similar hall on the north side of the building, in width about equal, but in length not quite 50 feet. In both halls the pillars are short, not exceeding six feet. They support piers, which run up perpendicularly for a considerable height, and then become ribs of the vaulting.

The Firuzabad palace has a length of above 390 and a width of above 180 feet. \({ }^{1}\) Its supposed date \({ }^{2}\) is A.D. 450 , or the reign of Isdigerd I. As usual the ground plan is an oblong square. It is remarkable that the entire building had but a single entrance. \({ }^{8}\) This was by a noble arch, above 50 feet in height, which faced north, and gave admission into a vaulted hall, nearly 90 feet long by 43 wide, having at either side two lesser halls of a similar character, opening into it by somewhat low semicircular arches, of nearly the full width of the apartments. Beyond these rooms, and

Plates 28 and 29 of the 'Voyage' well in his History of Architecture, present us with all necessary particulars.
\({ }^{1}\) Here again the description is drawn from the Voyage. See Planches Anciennes, pls. 38-42. Mr. Fergusson has abridged the account of Flandin carefully and

\footnotetext{
well in his History of Architecture, vol. i. pp. 383-5.
\({ }_{3}^{2}\) Fergusson, vol. i. p. 386, note \({ }^{2}\).
\({ }^{3}\) The same peculiarity belongs to the Mashita palace in its complete state. The object of having only one entrance would ceem to be greater security.
}
communicating with them by narrow, but elegant doorways, were three domed chambers precisely similar,


\I: T:- A meraemoe are in Fir alioli yonde
 atant tis fert - fare and crowined by elliptizal domes
rising to the height of nearly 70 feet. The ornamentation of these chambers was by their doorways,


Skction of Central Domed Chambrr, Firuzabad (after Flandin).
and by false windows, on the Persepolitan model. The domed chambers opened into some small apartments, beyond which was a large court, about 90 feet square, surrounded by vaulted rooms of various sizes, which for the most part communicated directly with it. False windows, or recesses, relieved the interior of these apartments, but were of a less elaborate character than those of the domed chambers. Externally the whole building was chastely and tastefully ornamented by the tall narrow arches and reed-like pilasters already men-

tioned. \({ }^{1}\) Its character, however, was upon the whole 'simple and severe;' nor can we quarrel with the judgment which pronounces it 'more like a gigantic bastile, than the palace of a gay, pavilion-loving people, like the l'ersians.' \({ }^{2}\)

It is difficult to form any very decided opinion upon

 Fhanditit male, I iert in lut tore.
the are intevtural merita of the thind and grandest of




the Sassanian palaces, the well known 'Takht-i-Khosru,' or palace of Chosroës Anushirwan, at Ctesiphon. \({ }^{1}\) What remains of this massive erection is a mere fragment, \({ }^{2}\) which, to judge from the other extant Sassanian ruins, cannot have formed so much as one fourth part of the original edifice. Nothing has come down to our day but a single vaulted hall on the grandest scale, 72 feet wide, 85 high, and 115 deep, together with the mere outer wall of what no doubt constituted the main façade of the building. The apartments, which, according to all analogy, must have existed at the two sides, and in the rear, of the great hall, some of which should have been vaulted, have wholly perished. Imagination may supply them from the Firuzabad, or the Mashita palace; but not a trace, even of their foundations, is extant; and the details, consequently, are uncertain, though the general plan can scarcely be doubted. At each side of the great hall were probably two lateral ones, communicating with each other, and capable of being entered either from the hall or from the outer air. \({ }^{8}\) Beyond the great hall was probably a domed chamber, equalling it in width, and opening upon a court, round which were a number of moderate-sized apartments. The entire building was no doubt an oblong square, of which the shorter sides seem to have measured 370 feet. \({ }^{4}\) It had at least three, and may not improbably have had a larger number of entrances, since it belongs to tranquil times and a secure locality.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Tradition seems to have been right for once in attaching this edifice to the first Chosroës. His erection of it is mentioned by Theophylact of Simocatta, who says that Greek materials and Greek workmen ware employed in its construction (Hist. v. 6).
\({ }^{2}\) See Fergusson, Hist. of Archi-
tecture, vol. i. p. 385.
\({ }^{3}\) The doorways still remain. (See the ground-plan.)
\({ }^{4}\) This is the length of the present façade. It dows not, however, correspond with either of the two measurements given by Tabari as those of the length and breadth of the building. (See above, p. 565.)
}

The ornamentation of the existing façade of the palace is by doorways, doubly-arched recesses, pilasters, and string-courses. These last divide the building, exterually, into an appearance of three or four distinct



 \(\cdots\)...! ar, :n phite hit in the aromil atami aingly. It 10 Maratiabice that the pilanters of the mound norrey
are not arranged with any regard to those of the first, and are consequently in many cases not superimposed upon the lower pilasters. In the third and fourth storeys there are no pilasters, the arched recesses being here continued without any interruption. Over the great arch of the central hall, a foiling of seventeen small semicircular arches constitutes a pleasing and unusual feature.

The Mashita palace, which was almost certainly built between a.d. 614 and A.D. 627, while on a smaller


Greneral View of Mashita Palace (frum a Photogtaph).
scale than that of Ctesiphon, was far more richly ornamented. This construction of Chosroës II. (Parwiz) consisted of two distinct buildings (separated by a court-yard, in which was a fountain), extending cach of them about 180 feet along the front, with a depth respectively of 140 and 150 feet. \({ }^{1}\) The main building,

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) This description is taken mainly \(\mid\) palace in his Land of Moab (London. from Mr. Tristram's account of the | 1873) ; but some points are added
}
which lay to the north, was entered from the courtyarl by three archways, semicircular and standing side by side, separated only by columns of hard, white stone, of a quality approaching to marble. These columns were surmounted by debased Corinthian ca!itals, of a type introluced by Justinian, \({ }^{1}\) and supported arches which were very richly tluted, and which are said to have beer: ' not unlike our own late Norman

W.ris: The archway ware entrance mate an whong
 wha haprand he a will dexorw.y the main romon of
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material, which rested on pendentives like those employed at Serbistan and at Firuzabad. \({ }^{1}\) The diameter of the hall was a little short of 60 feet. On either side of the triapsal hall, and in its rear, and again on either side of the court or hall on which it opened, were rooms of a smaller size, generally opening into each other, and arranged symmetrically, each side being the exact counterpart of the other. The number of these smaller apartments was twenty-five.

The other building, which lies towards the south, and is separated from the one just described by the whole length of the court-yard, a distance of nearly 200 feet, appears to have been for the most part of an inferior character. It comprised one large hall, or inner court, but otherwise contained only small apartments, which, it is thought, may have been 'intended as guard-rooms for the soldiers.' \({ }^{2}\) Although, however, in most respects so unpretending, this edifice was adorned externally with a richness and magnificence unparalleled in the other remains of Sassanian times, and scarcely exceeded in the architecture of any age or nation. Forming, as it did, the only entrance by which the palace could be approached, \({ }^{8}\) and possessing the only front which was presented to the gaze of the outer world, its ornamentation was clearly an object of Chosroës' special care, who seems to have lavished upon it all the known resources of art. The outer wall was built of finely-dressed hard stone ; \({ }^{4}\) and on this excellent material the sculptors of the time-whether Per-

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) See above, p. 583.
\({ }_{2}^{2}\) Tristram, l.s.c.
\({ }^{3}\) An external wall, strengthened with semicircular bastions, and without gateways, was carried round the entire enclosure of the
palace, and prevented ingress or egress anywhere except by the great portal in front. (See the ground-plan, p. 593.)
4 Tristram, pp. 202, 204.
}
ipposit- piage 3:5:



\section*{Ce. xivil.] ordayextation of masmita palace. \(390^{\circ}\)}
sian or Byzantine, it is impossible to determineproveceled to carve in the most elaborate was, first a bold pattern of zig-zags and rosettes, and then, over the entire surface, a most delicate tracery of foliage, animals, and fruits. The effect of the zig-zags is to divide the wall into a number of triangular compartments, each of which is trented separately, covered with a decoration perculiar to iteself, a fretwork of the richest kind, in which animal and vegetable forms are most happily interminglerl. In one a vase of an elegant shaper stands midway in the triangle at its base; two dones are seated on it, back to back; from between the.m tives a vine, which spreads its luxuriant branchess wer the entive compartment, covering it with its graceful curves and abundant fruitage; on either side of the :ame a lion and a wild boar confront the doves with a friondly air: while everywhere amid the leaves and grapes wie see the forms of birds, half revendeal, half hidhen by the foliage. Among the birds, pancocks. parrote, and partridges have luen reocgnimed: among the. Inatots. Inegides lions and wild lxars, buffalened. punti.. re. |ynx-a, and gaze.lles. In amother pand a winged

 of lontha. athl showa how tenaciones was ita hold on the. Wi. . Laian mind. Sire is the human form wholly

 tir. Nor: : in another, the entin figure of a man, who -arri- . banket of fruit.?

In or. - the compartments within the zig-zage, the


\footnotetext{
- Firraume. Hive. of Archearfurv, rid. i. \(p \times 10\).
- Inolrame P. 50.
}
with a patterning of large leaves, while the moulding below the zig-zags and the cornice, or string-course, above them are covered with conventional designs, the interstices between them being filled in with very beautiful adaptations of leaser vegetable forms. \({ }^{1}\)

Altogether, the ornamentation of this magnificent façade may be pronounced almost unrivalled for beauty


Archivulte at makht-i-Bistan (after Flandin)
and appropriateness; and the entire palace may well be called 'a marvellous example of the sumptuousness and selfishness of ancient princes,' \({ }^{2}\) who expended on the gratification of their own taste and love of display, the riches which would have been better employed in the defence of their kingdoms, or in the relief of their poorer subjects.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Tristram, pp. 200-201.
\({ }^{2}\) Ibid. p. 197. .
}

The exquisite ornamentation of the Mashita palace exceeds anything which is found elsewhere in the Saseanian buildings, but it is not wholly different in kind from that of other remains of their architecture in Media and Persia Proper. The archivolte which adorns the arch of Takht-i-Bostin, \({ }^{1}\) possesses almosst


utal doliary with the patternal cornice or stringcourw of the Manhita buideng: and its flowered panels misy compar. for lnanty with the Mashita triangular

\footnotetext{
 riani.tal br \(M\) liandin. and is wholly eakea fiur a reprometatione


vol i. Na 2 to 18\% foom Flich
}
compartments. Sassanian capitals are also in many instances of lovely design, sometimes delicately diapered ( \(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}\) ), sometimes worked with a pattern of conventional leaves and flowers (C), occasionally exhibiting the


Sassamax Capitals (after Flandin).

Luman form (I). E.), or a flowery patterning, like that of the T:akhti-lket:mp:anels iF, (i). In the more ilit-

other two the design is varied. \({ }^{1}\) The shafts of Sassanian columns, so far as we can judge, appear to have been fluted. \({ }^{2}\)

A work not exactly architectural, yet possessing architectural features,-the well known arch of Chosroës II. above alluded to,-seems to deserve description


Arch of Chosroz̈s II. at Talift-i-Bostan (after Flandin).
before we pass to another branch of our subject. This is an archway or grotto cut in the rock at Takht-i-
\({ }^{1}\) Ibid., pls. 17 and 27.
\({ }^{2}\) See above, p. 600, C, and compare Flandin, vol. i. pls. 6 and 8.

Bostan, near Kermanshah, which is extremely curious and interesting. On the brink of a pool of clear water, the sloping face of the rock has been cut into, and a recess formed, presenting at its further end a perpenuicular face. This face, which is about 34 feet broad. by 31 feet high, and which is ornamented at the top by some rather rude gradines, has been penetrated by an arch, cut into the solid stone to the depth of above 20 feet, and elaborately ornamented, looth within and without. Externally, the arch is in the first place surmounted by the archivolte already spoken of, and then, in the spandrels on either side arr introduced tlying figures of angels or Victories, holling chaplets in one hand and cups or vases in


 at: : In:wern the tigure in a crevent, perhape origi-



nally enclosing a ball, \({ }^{1}\) and thus presenting to the spectator, at the culminating point of the whole sculpture, the familiar emblems of two of the national divinities. Below the spandrels and archivolte, on either side of the arched entrance, are the flowered panels above-mentioned, alike in most respects, but varying in some of their details. Within the recess, its two sides, and its further end, are decorated with bas-reliefs, those on the sides representing Chosroës engaged in the chase of the wild-boar and the stag, \({ }^{2}\) while those at the end, which are in two lines, one over the other, show the monarch, above, in his robes of state, receiving wreaths from ideal beings; below, in his war costume, mounted upon his favourite charger, Sheb-Diz, \({ }^{3}\) with his spear poised in his hand, awaiting the approach of the enemy. The modern critic regards \({ }^{4}\) this figure as 'original and interesting.' We shall have occasion to recur to it, when we treat of the ' Manners and Customs' of the Neo-Persian people.

The glyptic art of the Sassanians is seen chiefly in their bas-reliefs; but one figure 'in the round' has come down to us from their times, which seems to deserve particular description. This is a colossal statue of Sapor I., hewn (it would seem) out of the natural rock, \({ }^{5}\) which still exists, though overthrown arid mutilated, in a natural grotto near the ruined city of Shapur. The original height of the figure, according to

\footnotetext{
the work of Byzantine artists ; but the correctness of this latter opinion may be doubted.
\({ }^{1}\) As does the crescent on the head of Chosroës. (Flandin, pl. 9 ; infra, opp. p. 612, \&c.)
\({ }^{2}\) See below, opp. p. 814 and p.
616; and for a description see pp.

614-617.
\({ }_{3}\) Tabari, Chronique, vol. ii. p. 304; Maçoudi, vol ii. p. 215.
- Fergusson, Hist. of Architec-
tare, 1.8.c.
\({ }^{3}\) So M. Flandin thought. (See his Voyage en Perse, vol. ii. pp. 277-8.)
}

Opposite page 605.


Statife df Sapior I. at Nhaple (rkstorpi).
M. Texier, \({ }^{1}\) was 6 mètres 7 centimètres, or between 19 and 20 feet. It was well proportioned, and carefully wrought, representing the monarch in peaceful attire, but with a long sword at his left side, wearing the murul crown which characterises him on the bas-reliefs, \({ }^{2}\) and dressed in a tunic and trowsers of a light and thexible material, apparently either silk or muslin. The hair, beard, and mustachios, were neatly arranged and well rendered. \({ }^{8}\) The attitude of the figure was naturna and geral. One hand, the right, rested upon the hip; the wher touched, but without grasping it, the hilt of the lonir struight sword. If we may trust the representation of M. Texier's artist, the folds of the drapery were represented with much skill and delicacy ; but the hands and feet of the figure, especially the latter, were somewhat roughly rendered.4

The bas-reliefs of the Sassanians are extremely numerous, \({ }^{2}\) and though generally rude, and sometimes wen protemue, are not without a certain amount of merit. Anme of the carlier and cosarwer specimens hase Ineon alroady given in this volume ; and one more if the atme clace is here appended; but we have now t. In ine wime other and better examplew, which werm :- mithate that the leraiane of this periond attained a conmbrable proficieng in thi banch of the glyp-

\footnotetext{

 1.a.te: mapmom that the ..ngiaal captod. The left hand io not morn. t.e.t.: wan biven mirn add ifoyay en /trom, Manchen vid. i. r.t.t: nerm
:-ren alsine.ipp pr ith and inl.
- Ifecheras. min \(N\) Triter.
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tic art. The reliefs belonging to the time of Sapor I. are generally poor in conception and ill executed; but in one instance, unless the modern artist has

greatly flattered bis original, \({ }^{1}\) a work of this time is
\({ }^{1}\) I cannot but suspect that M. But I have no proof that my susTexier's engravings are occasionally picions are well founded. improvements on the originals.

not devoid of some artistic excellence. This is a represerntation of the triumph of Sapor over Valerian, comprising only four figures-Sapor, an attendant, and two Romans-of which the three principal are boldly drawn, in attitudes natural, yet effective, and in good proportion. The horse on which Sapor rides is of the usual clumsy description, reminding us of those which draw our brewers' wains; and the exaggerated hair, floating riblons, and uncouth head-dress of the monarch give an "utre' and ridiculous air to the chief figure ; but, if we derluct these defects, which are common to almost all the sasvinian artists, the representation becomes pleasing and dignified. Sapor sits his horse well, and thinks ant of himself, but of what he is doing. Cyriades, who is somewhat tos short, neceives the diadem from hiv Inenefactor with a calum satisfaction.' But the best figure is that of the captive emperor. who knecels on one knere, and, with outstretched arms, implores the mercy of the compueror. The whole representation is colosal, the tigure lneing at least three time the size of hife: the exteution seems to have larengenal: but the work har Ineon comsiderably injured lig the eflerte of than

Anstior haverelief of the age of 太iper I. in on tox larpe: a vale, and uxt complicated, whe repremented here: : hut a dewription may \(\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{n}}\) given of it, and a -promen ablingued, from which the realer may judge of it- inarater. On a surface of roxk at Shapur, care-

\footnotetext{
I: io thue that I mierprot the eapmeina that the roliefio dofective
 :has V I. i: if himelf ore in th.

 n.at.arin! sins Ineropam. inl it. i Bis It te eviden: frion bie
othe part.
- I ull reprementatione will bo
 Fac. I. pl. isti and Tesiep i/dnerrap peos. v.el. 11. pl. 18:). They differ cursuedy in cuene dolaila.
}
fully smoothed and prepared for sculpture, the second Sassanian monarch appears in the centre of the tablet, mounted on horseback, and in his usual costume, with a dead Roman under his horse's feet, and holding another (Cyriades? ), by the hand. In front of him, a third Roman, the representative of the defeated nation, makes submission; and then follow thirteen tributebearers, bringing rings of gold, shawls, bowls, and the like, and conducting also a horse and an elephant. Behind the monarch, on the same line, are thirteen mounted guardsmen. Directly above, and directly below the central group, the tablet is blank; but on either side the subject is continued, above in two lines, and below in one, the guardsmen towards the left amounting in all to fifty-six, and the tribute-bearers on the right to thirty-five. The whole tablet comprises ninety-five human and sixty-three animal figures, besides a Victory floating in the sky. The annexed woodcut is a representation of the extreme right-hand portion of the second line.

After the time of Sapor I. there is a manifest decline in Sassanian art. The reliefs of Varahran II. and Varahran III., of Narses and Sapor III., fall considerably below those of Sapor, son of Artaxerxes. \({ }^{1}\) It is not till we arrive at the time of Varahran IV. (A.D. 388399), that we once more have works which possess real artistic merit. Indications have already appeared in an earlier chapter \({ }^{2}\) of this monarch's encouragement of artists, and of a kind of art really meriting the name. We saw that his gems were exquisitely cut, and embodied designs of first-rate excellence. It has

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) See the woodcuts opposite pp. 51 , and 52 ; Texier, Ilescrijtion, 108, 109, and 113, and also that on vol. ii. pls. 133, 134, 140, and 148 p. 118. Compare Flandin, Voyage (numbered by mistake 130).
en Perse, Planches, vol. i. pls. 13, \({ }^{2}\) Chapter XII. p. 265.
}

Giny diond sto.ld!

\footnotetext{

}
now to be observed further, that among the bas-reliefs of the greatest merit which belong to Sassanian times, one at least must be ascribed to him ; and that, this being so, there is considerable probability that two others of the same class belong also to his reign. The one which must undoubtedly be his, and which tends to fix the date of the other two, exists at Nakhsh-i-Rustam, near Persepolis, and has frequently been copied hy travellers. \({ }^{1}\) It represents a mounted warrior, erith the peculiar head-dress \({ }^{2}\) of Varahran IV., charging another at full speed, striking him with his spear, and bearing lweth horse and rider to the ground. A stand-ard-learer marches a little behind; and a dead warrior lies underneath Varahran's horse, which is clearing the ohotacle in his lound. The spirit of the entire comper sition is admirable: and though the stone is in a state of advanced decay, travellers never fail to admire the vigour of the design and the life and movement which charaterime it. \({ }^{3}\)

The ehor similar reliefoto which reference has lacen mald exi-t, repertively, at Sakhoh-i-hutam and at Firmabal The Nakhoh-i-Rustann tablet is almont a


```

,: I if "N: b, Plantin, linguye.
l'ias, lime , l iv.l: and by Tesmer
", 1: ; 1:Ol.

```



```

        A .:t:r oude ( ibe intatad
    ```


```

m.:: w:% tn li, crecrnt. Whach
.. . : mi:-ar fi :L.e \aktah-p-

```


```

    101. I.\imp. Iten mpiom. 1.1 ii. p.
    \#\#w. hreplinere nave: T Thenese
hae-priif . . rrpruonte a crumbal
belwmen iw., hormemen: and bae
tmon d.aletind raed groap fire, and
esoruimal in a eirlo iery aupents
l., them furoroling arme. The pro.
purti.ne of the byelme are gund.
and नiryching proclame it tor havo
berts ther with of a differmot hand."
- F f thie tablof, wore Tritep,
vol :: il l:l, and her louriep.
ol. i pl.:
I-r.hr: I'ries, vul. \& p \&5%.

```
differing from it mainly in the omission of the prostrate figure, in the forms of the head-dresses borne by the two cavaliers, and in the shape of the standard. It is also in better preservation than the other, and presents some additional details. The head-dress of the Sassanian warrior is very remarkable, being quite unlike any other known example. It consists of a cap, which spreads as it rises, and breaks into three points, terminating in large striped balls. \({ }^{1}\) His adversary wears a

hrad-dress of ar onknown king (atter Texier).
helmet crowned with a similar ball. The standard, which is in the form of a capital \(\mathbf{T}\), displays also five balls of the same sort, three rising from the cross-bar, and the other two hanging from it. Were it not for the head-dress of the principal figure, this sculpture might be confidently assigned to the monarch who set up the neighbouring one. As it is, the point must be regarded as undecided, and the exact date of the relief as doubtful. It is, however, unlikely to be either much earlier, or much later, than the time of Varahran IV.

The third specimen of a Sassanian battle-scene exists

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) See the description of \(\mathbf{M} . \mid\) de trois pointes, lesquelles sont ter-Texier:-'Le cavalier vainqueur -.. a une coiffure des plus sinminées par trois boules cannelées.'
}

at Firuzabad, in Persia Proper, and has been carefully rendered by M. Flandin. \({ }^{1}\) It is in exceedingly bad condition, but appears to have comprised the figures of either five or six horsemen, of whom the two principal are a warrior whose helmet terminates in the head of a birl, and one who wears a crown, above which rises a cap, surmounted by a ball. The former of these, who is undoubtedly a Sassanian prince, \({ }^{2}\) piences with his sperar the right side of the latter, who is represented in the act of falling to the ground. His horse tumbles at the same time, though why he does so is not quite clear. since he has not been touched by the other charp.r. His attitude is extravagantly absurd, his hind feet ining on a level with the head of hiv rider. Still more abourd wems whave been the attitude of a horse at the extreme right, which turns in falling, and exposes to the -pactator the inside of the near thigh and the belly. But, notwithstanding these drawhacks, the reprientatation has gregat merit. The figures live and bre the -- that of the dying king exprewen horror and helph.-.neo. that of his pursuer determined purpone and monly etrogth. Fiven the very homeare alive. and manifotly rejoice in the strife. The entire work L- tull if movement, of variety, and of artiotic spirit.
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- fronting to the spectator, with guards and attendants on one side, and soldiers bringing in prisoners, human heads, and booty, on the other. The style here recalls that of the tamer reliefs set up by the first Sapor, \({ }^{1}\) but is less pleasing. Some of the prisoners appear to be well drawn; but the central figure, that of the monarch, is grotesque; the human heads are ghastly; and the soldiers and attendants have little merit. The animal forms are better-that of the elephant especially, though as compared with the men it is strangely out of proportion.

With Chosroës II. (Eberwiz or Parviz), the grandson of Anushirwan, who ascended the throne only twelve years after the death of his grandfather, and reigned from A.D. 591 to A.D. 628, a reaction set in. We have seen the splendour and good taste of his Mashita palace, the beauty of some of his coins, \({ }^{2}\) and the general excellence of his ornamentation. \({ }^{8}\) It remains to notice the character of his reliefs, found at present in one locality only, viz. at Takht-i-Bostan, where they constitute the main decorations of the great triumphal arch of this monarch.

These reliefs consist of two classes of works, colossal figures, and hunting-pieces. The colossal figures, of which some account has been already given, and which are represented in the woodcut opposite, have but little merit. They are curious on account of their careful elaboration, and furnish important information with respect to Sassanian dress and armature, but they

\footnotetext{
represents himself upon his coins as facing to the spectator, and leaning both hands upon his straight sword, with its point between his feet (see above, p. 453), I make no doubt that the relief is his.
\({ }^{1}\) Especially the one figured by Texier in pl. 147 of his second volume.
\({ }^{2}\) Supra, p. 531.
\({ }^{3}\) Supra, pp. 598-603.
}

Opparite page 613.


Chosroës II. and Emblematic Figures ender Arch at Takit-i-Bostan.
are poor in design, being heary, awkward, and ungainly. Nothing can well be less beautiful than the three overstout personages, who stand with their heads nearly or quite touching the crown of the arch, at its further extremity, carefully drawn in detail, but in outline little short of hideous. The least bad is that to the left (not very well rendered by our engraver), whose drapery is tolerably well arranged, and whose face, judging by what remains of it, was not unpleasing. Of the other two it is imporsible to say a word in commendation.

The mounted cavalier below them-Chosroies himself on his black \({ }^{1}\) war horse, Sheb-Diz-is somewhat lecter. The pose of horse and horseman has dignity ; the general proportions are fairly correct, though (as usual) the horse is of a breed that recalls the modern dray-horse rather than the changer. The figure, being near the ground, has suffered much mutilation, probably at the hands of Moslem fanatics ; the off hind leg of the honse is gone; his nose and mouth have disappeared; and the horseman has lost his right foot and a portion of his lower cluthing. But nevertheless, the general Iffert is not altoggether destroyed. Modern travellers admire the repose and dignity of the compexition, its combination of simplicity with detail, and the delicacy and fimb of some portions.? It may be addel that the rellef of the figure is high ; the off leqs of the horve wore wholly detached; and the remainder of borh horar and rider was nearly, though not quite, disengrafed from the rock behind them.

The hunting pieces, which ornament the interior of the arched ruress on cither side, are far superior to the

\footnotetext{
The name sheb-Ihz aipnism, 'Flandis, boyepe on Itrea, val.i.
- CMiner af lighe (Teban, vol ii

Pr cts-a p. \(\times\) s)
}
colossal figures, and merit an exact description. On the right, the perpendicular space below the spring of the arch contains the representation of a stag hunt, in which the monarch and about a dozen other mounted horsemen take part, assisted by some ten or twelve footmen, and by a detachment mounted on elephants. The elephants, which are nine in number, occupy the extreme right of the tablet, and seem to be employed in driving the deer into certain prepared enclosures. Each of the beasts is guided by three riders, sitting along their backs, of whom the central one alone has the support of a saddle or howdah. The enclosures into which the elephants drive the game are three in number; they are surrounded by nets; and from the central one alone is there an exit. Through this exit, which is guarded by two footmen, the game passes into the central field, or main space of the sculpture, where the king awaits them. He is mounted on his steed, with his bow passed over his head, his sword at his side, and an attendant holding the royal parasol over him. It is not quite clear whether he himself does more than witness the chase. The game is in the main pursued and brought to the ground by horsemen without royal insignia, \({ }^{1}\) and is then passed over into a further compartment-the extreme one towards the left, where it is properly arranged and placed upon camels for conveyance to the royal palace. During the whole proceeding a band of twenty-six musicians, some of whom occupy an elevated platform, delights

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Yet I suspect that all the three day; the second, towards the middle, horsemen, who are on a larger as he engages in the hunt; the scale than the others, do in fact third, near the bottom, as he rides represent the ling-the first, to- home, after having enjoyed the wards the top, as he begins the sport.
}


with a 'concord of sweet sounds' the assembled sportsmen. \({ }^{1}\)

On the opposite, or left-hand, side of the recess, is represented a boar-hunt. Here again, elephants, twelve


in numiner. drive the game int", an enclesure without exit. Within this space nearly a hundred lowars and Pris may le counted. The ground lxing manshy, the monareh enoupies a boat in the centre, and from this trandix.e the game with his arrows. No one clse tatio.- part in the sport, unless it be the ridens on a trenp of five elephants, represented in the lower midille.

\footnotetext{
' The manciase cecrupy the upper portice of the contral compartment \(\infty\) eriber ade of the mecerech.
}
portion of the tablet. When the pigs fall, they are carried into a second enclosure, that on the right, where they are upturned, disembowelled, and placed across the backs of elephants, which convey them to the abode of the monarch. Once more, the scene is enlivened by music. Two bands of harpers occupy boats on either side of that which carries the king, while another harper sits with him in the boat from which he delivers his arrows. In the water about the boats are seen reeds, ducks, and numerous fishes. The oars by which the boats are propelled have a singular resemblance to those which are represented in some of the earliest Assyrian sculptures. \({ }^{1}\) Two other features must also be noticed. Near the top of the tablet towards the left, five figures standing in a boat seem to be clapping their hands in order to drive the pigs towards the monarch; while in the right centre of the picture there is another boat, more highly ornamented than the rest, in which we seem to have a second representation of the king, differing from the first only in the fact that his arrow has flown, and that he is in the act of taking another arrow from an attendant. In this second representation the king's head is surrounded by a nimbus or 'glory.' Altogether there are in this tablet more than seventy-five human and nearly 150 animal forms. In the other, the human forms are about seventy, and the animal ones about a hundred.

The merit of the two reliefs above described, which would require to be engraved on a large scale, in order that justice should be done to them, \({ }^{2}\) consists in the

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Compare the Author's Ancient | boar-hunt is that given by Ker Monarchres, vol. i. p. 546, 2nd edition.
\({ }^{2}\) The best representation of the
}
安

spirit and truth of the animal forms, clephants, camels, stagrs, hars, horses, and in the life and movement of the whole picture. The rush of the pigs, the bounds of the stary and hinds, the heavy march of the clephants. the ungainly movements of the camels, are well jwrtrayed; and in one instance, the foreshortening of a horse, advancing diagonally, is respectably rendererl. \({ }^{1}\) In general, Sassanian sculpture, like most delineative art in its infancy, affects merely the profile; but here, and in the overturned horse already described, \({ }^{2}\) and again in the Victories which ornament the spandrels of the arch of Chosrois, the mere profile is departed from with good effect, and a power is shown of drawing human and animal figures in front or at an angle. What is wanting in the entire Sassanian series is idealiom, or the notion of elevating the representation in any respects above the object represented; the highest aim of the artist is to be true to nature; in this truthfulness is his triumph; but as he often falls short of his mindels, the whole nesult, even at the lnest, is un-suli-f.utory and disappointing.

Suth must almost necessarily be the sentence of art cntus, who judge the productions of this age and nathon arcording to the abstract rules, or the accepted stambarls, of artistic effort. But if circumstances of tune and country are taken into acount, if comparison is lumitel to carlicr and later attempts in the same region, or even in neighbouring ones, a very much more faworable judyment will be paserl. The Siasam.an rellef need not on the whole shrink from a comparian with thome of the Achomenian Persians If thing are ruder and more grocesque, they are also more

\footnotetext{
' See abore, p 018 . Sapra, pill.
}
spirited, and more varied ; and thus, though they fall short in some repects, still they must be pronounced superior to the Achæmenian in some of the most important artistic qualities. Nor do they fall greatly behind the earlier, and in many respects admirable, art of the Assyrians. They are less numerous and cover a less variety of subjects; they bave less delicacy; but they have equal or greater fire. In the judgment of a traveller not given to extravagant praise, they are, in some cases at any rate, ' executed in the most masterly style.' 'I never saw,' observes Sir R. Ker Porter, ' the elephant, the stag, or the boar pourtrayed with greater truth and spirit. The attempts at detailed human form are,' he adds, ' far inferior.' \({ }^{1}\)

Before, however, we assign to the Sassanian monarchs, and to the people whom they governed, the merit of having produced results so worthy of admiration, it becomes necessary to inquire whether there is reason to believe that other than native artists were employed in their production. It has been very confidently stated that Chosroës the Second 'brought Roman artists' to Takht-i-Bostan, \({ }^{2}\) and by their aid eclipsed the glories of his great predecessors, Artaxerxes, son of Babek, and the two Sapors. Byzantine forms are declared to have been reproduced in the mouldings of the Great Arch, and in the Victories. \({ }^{8}\) The lovely tracery of the Mashita Palace is regarded as in the main the work of Greeks and Syrians. \({ }^{4}\) No doubt it is quite possible that there may be some truth in these allegations; but we must not forget, or let it be forgotten, that they rest on conjecture and are without

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Travels, vol. ii. p. 178.
\({ }^{2}\) Thomas in Numismatic Chron. for 1873, p. 243.

Fergusson, Hist. of Archilecture, vol. i. p. 394, 2nd edition.
- Ibid. p. 390.
}
historical foundation. The works of the first Chooroeis at Cusiphon, according to a respectable Greek writer, \({ }^{1}\) were proxluced for him by foreign artists, sent to his court by Justinian. But no such statement is made with respect to his grandson. On the contrary, it is derlared by the native writers, \({ }^{2}\) that a certain Ferbad, a P'ersian, was the chief designer of them ; and modern critics admit that his hand may perhaps be traced, not only at Takht-i-Bostan, but at the Mashita Palace also. \({ }^{3}\) It then the merit of the design is conceded to a native artist, we need not tox curiously inquire the nationality of the workmen employed by him.

It the worst, should it be thought that lyzantine intluence appears so plainly in the later sasumian works, that liome rather than Persia mut tee credited with the building and sculptures of both the first and the aroond Chosrois, still it will have to b . allowed that the earlier palaces-those at Serbistan and Firuzabaid-and the spirited battle-serenes above de-crilu-1.' are wholly native ; since they precent no trace of any foreipu element. But, it is in these battle veners as alrady moticet.s that the delineative art of the Sananime culminates: and it may further be questioned whether the Firuzabad palace in not the finest epmimen of their architexture, mevere thomeh it bx in the character of its ornamentation: w that. (even should we aurrender the whole of the later work-, cough will atil remain th onow that the Sinamians, and the l'erabue of their day, had merit an artint and buiders, a mernt the mure corlitable to them imasmuch as for tive

\footnotetext{



-N, Vr beraneena illuang of
}
centuries they had had no oppor their powers, having been crusher of a race singularly devoid of Even with regard to the works 1 have been indebted to foreigners, bered that, unless the monarchs h art, and admired it, they would not expense, the services of these al part, I see no reason to doubt th mains of every period are predomi sively, native, not excepting those for I mistrust the statement of The

1'There was scarcely any time A.D. 562 when Justinian and Chosroës I. friendlir were on such terms as to render contract the transaction spoken of at all soon aft probable. The 'endless peace' was died. followed almost immediately by rememb covert hostility, issuing shortly in reign of renewed warfare. The peace of after thi

\author{
: Ce. dxviil.] sassanlan manners and customs. 621
}

\section*{CHAPTER XXVIII.}

ON THE RELIGION, MANNERS, CUSTOMS, ETC., OF THE LATER PERSIANS.

Religion of the later Persians, Dualiom of the extremest kind. Ideas entertained with respect to Ormasd and Ahriman. Representations of them. Ormazd the special Guardian of the Kings. Lesser Deities sulject to Ormasd: Mithra, Serosh, Vayn, Airyanam, Vitraha, \&ic. The six Amshashpands : Bahman, Ardibehoaht, Shahravar, Isfand-armat, Khomdad, and Ancerdat. Religion, how far idolatrows. Worship of Anaitis. Chief Eril Spirits subject to Ahriman: Akomano, Indra, Çaurra, Naonhaitya, Taric, and Zaric. Position of Man betwoen the two Worlds of Good and Evil. His Duties: Worship, Agriculture, Purity. Nature of the Worship. Hymns, Incocations, the Homa Ceremony, Sacrifice. Agricullewre a part of Retigion. Aurity required: 1, Moral; 2, Legal. Nature of each. Man's future Prospects. Position of the Magi under the Sassanians; their Organisation, Iress, \&r. The Fire-temples and Altars. The Barsom. The Khrafythraghna. Magnificence of the Sassanian Court: the Throne-room, the Seraglio, the Attendants, the Minixters. Multitude of Palaces. Dress of the Monarch: 1, in Peace; 2, in War. Farourite P'astimes of the Eings. Hunting. Maintenance of Paradises. Stay and Buar-hents. Music. Harking. Ganmes. Character of the Persian Warfare under the Sarsaniana. Sassanian Chariots. The Elephant Corps. The Cavalry. The Archers. The ordinary Infantry. Officers. Standards. Tactics. Irirate Life of the later Persians. Agricultural Employment of the Men. Nion-seclusion of the Women. Gieneral Freedom from Oppression of all Classes except the highest.

The general character of the Persian religion, as revived by the founder of the Sassanian dynasty, has been described in a former chapter; \({ }^{1}\) but it is felt that the

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) See above, ch. iii. pp. 54-55.
}
present work would be incomplete if the reader with a tolerably full acco a matter; more especially, since the lay at the root of the original rebell which raised the Sassanidæ to por considerable extent the basis and \(f\) authority. An access of religious Persians of the third century after \({ }^{\prime}\) which enabled them to throw off Parthian lords and recover the sceptr A strong-almost fanatical-religio the greater number of the Sassanian the end of the kingdom came, the flourishing; and, though its star pa Mohammedanism, the faith itself : survives at the present day. \({ }^{1}\)

It has been observed that Dualis most noticeable feature of the religi be added that the Dualism professe extreme and pronounced kind. Orm the principles of Good and Evil, were to be 'twins.' \({ }^{3}\) They had 'in the t gether to create Life and Death,' a the world was to be.' \({ }^{4}\) There was n ence of the one over the other, and riority. The two, being coeval, ha all eternity, and would, it was almos to contend to all eternity, neither b quish the other. Thus an eternal st
\({ }^{1}\) Zoroastrianism is the religion \({ }^{2}\) Supra, of the Parsees (Persians), who, declining to submit to the religion of Mohammed, quitted their country, and sought a refuge in Western India, where they still remain, chiefly in Bombay and Guzerat.
\({ }^{3}\) Gatha
Haug's Gat
agrees in \(t\) vol. ii. p. \(1 E\)
\({ }^{4}\) Haug's

\section*{Ce. xxviil.] religion of the perslans, dualisy. 623}
lated between good and evil ; and the issue was doubtful, neither side posseasing any clear and manifest advantage.

The two principles were Persons. Ormazd was the creator of life, the earthly and the spiritual,' \({ }^{1}\) he who - made the celestial bxalies, earth, water, and trees. \({ }^{2}\) He was 'pownl,'s 'holy,'4 ' pure,'s 'true,' \({ }^{6}\) 'the Holy Gox,,' \({ }^{7}\) 'the Holiest,'s ' the Fssence of Truth,'s 'the father of all truth. \({ }^{10}\) 'the best being of all,' \({ }^{11}\) 'the master of purity. \({ }^{12}\) He was supremely 'happy;' \({ }^{18}\) being possessed of every blewsing, health, wealth, virtue, wixkom, immortality. \({ }^{14}\) From him came every gexal gift enjoyed by man: on the pious and the righterous he bestowed, not only carthly advantagee, but precious spiritual gifts, truth, devotion, 'the gexd mind,' and everhanting happineon : \({ }^{1 s}\) and, as he rewarded the geod, so he als, punisherl the bad, \({ }^{16}\) though this was maspert in which he was but meldonn repremented.

While Ormaza, thus far, would seem to be a presentation of the Supreme Being in a form not preatly difiorent from that wherein it has pheand Him tor reveal Humelf to mankind through the Jewi-h and Chrivian arpeure, there are certain puints of deficioney in the reprontathon, whith are rightig viewed as platmo the I're:an wery comaderally lndow the Jewn and (hris-
 f:wing of Grmatal maliesl in the eternal corexintence
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with him of another and a hostile principle, he is also limited by the independent existence of space, time, and light, which appear in the Zendavesta as ' self-created,' or 'without beginning,' \({ }^{1}\) and must therefore be regarded as 'conditioning' the Supreme Being, who has to work, as best he may, under circumstances not caused by himself. Again, Ormazd is not a purely spiritual being. He is conceived of as possessing a sort of physical nature. The 'light,' which is one of his properties, seems to be a material radiance. \({ }^{2}\) He can be spoken of as possessing health. \({ }^{3}\) The whole conception of him, though not grossly material, is far from being wholly immaterial. His nature is complex, not simple. \({ }^{4} \mathrm{He}\) may not have a body, in the ordinary sense of the word ; \({ }^{5}\) but he is entangled with material accidents, and is far from answering to the pure spirit, ' without body, parts, or passions,' which forms the Christian conception of the Deity.

Ahriman, the Evil Principle, is of course far more powerful and terrible than the Christian and Jewish Satan. He is uncaused, co-eternal with Ormazd, engaged in a perpetual warfare with him. Whatever good thing Ormazd creates, Ahriman corrupts and ruins it. Moral and physical evils are alike at his disposal. He blasts the earth with barrenness, or makes it produce thorns, thistles, and poisonous plants; his are the earthquake, the storm, the plague of hail, the thunderbolt; he causes disease and death, sweeps off a nation's flocks

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) See Spiegel's Aiesta, vol. ii. p. 218, note, and vol. iii. p. xxxix.
\({ }^{2}\) See Yaçna, xii. \(1 ;\) and compare Haug's Essays, p. 143, note.
\({ }^{3}\) See above, p. 623, note \({ }^{14}\).
4 Ormazd has a fravashi, which is distinct from himself, and yet a part of himself (Yagna, xxvi. 3;

Vendidad, xix. 46, Sc.) He has also a soul, and, in a certain sense, a body. (See Yaçna, i. 2 ; Spiegel, Avesta, vol. ii. p. 203.)
\({ }^{5}\) Even this, however, is dioputed. (See Pusey's Daniel, p. 530, note \({ }^{3}\).)
}
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mounted. \({ }^{1}\) In the form of Ormazd there is nothing very remarkable; he is attired like the king, has a long beard and flowing locks, and carries in his left hand a huge staff or baton, which he holds erect in a slanting position. The figure of Ahriman possesses more interest. The face wears an expression of pain and suffering; but the features are calm, and in no way disturbed. They are regular, and atdeast as handsome as those of Artaxerxes and his divine patron. He wears a band or diadem across the brow, above which we see a low cap or crown. From this escape the heads and necks of a number of vipers or snakes, fit emblems of the poisonous and 'death-dealing' \({ }^{2}\) Evil One.

Some further representations of Ormazd occur in the Sassanian sculptures; but Ahriman seems not to be portrayed elsewhere. Ormazd appears on foot in a relief of the Great Artaxerxes, which contains two figures only, those of himself and his divine patron. \({ }^{3}\) He is also to be seen in a sculpture which belongs probably to Sapor I., and represents that monarch in the act of receiving the diadem from Artaxerses, his father. \({ }^{4}\) In the former of these two tablets the type exhibited in the bas-relief just described is followed without any variation; in the latter, the type is considerably modified. Ormazd still carries his huge baton, and is attired in royal fashion; but otherwise his appearance is altogether new and singular. His head bears no crown, but is surrounded by a halo of streaming rays; he has not much beard, but his hair, bushy and abundant, flows down on his two shoulders; he

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) See the woodcut, p. \(606 . \mid\) pl. 27 ; Flandin, Voynge en Perse.
\({ }^{2}\) This epithet of Ahriman is pl. 193; Texier, Description de common in the Zendavesta See C.Armenie, \&c. pl. 141. Vendidad, Farg. i. § 3, 5, 6, \&c.
\({ }^{4}\) See above, opp. p. 64.
\({ }^{3}\) See Ker Porter, Travels, vol. i.
}
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processions his chariot, drawn by milk-white horses, followed closely on that of Ormazd. \({ }^{1} \mathrm{He}\) was often associated with Ormazd, as if an equal, \({ }^{\mathbf{2}}\) though a real equality was probably not intended. He was 'great,' 'pure,' 'imperishable,' ' the beneficent protector of all creatures, \({ }^{3}\) and ' the bencicent preserver of all creatures.' \({ }^{4}\) He had a thousand ears and ten thousand eyes. \({ }^{5}\) His worship was probably more widely extended than that of Ormazd himself, and was connected in general with a material representation. In the early times this was a simple disk, or circle; \({ }^{6}\) but from the reign of Artaxerxes Mnemon, a human image seems to have been substituted. \({ }^{7}\) Prayer was offered to Mithra three times a day, \({ }^{8}\) at dawn, at noon, and at sunset ; and it was usual to worship him with sacrifice. The horse appears to have been the victim which he was supposed to prefer. \({ }^{9}\)

Sraosha, or Serosh, was an angel of great power and dignity. He was the special messenger of Ormazd, and the head of his celestial army. He was 'tall, well-formed, beautiful, swift, victorious, happy, sincere, true, the master of truth.' It was his office to deliver

\footnotetext{
1 Xen. Cyrop. viii. 3, § 12.
2 As in the following passuges'Come to our help, Mithra and Ahura ( = ()rmazd), ye great ones' (Avesta, iii. 2); 'Mithra and Ahurs, the two grent, imperishable, pure ones, we praise' (ib. iii. 12); 'Wherefore may these come to our aid, Mithra and Ahura, the great ones, yea, Mithra and Ahura, the preat ones' (ib. iii. 97).
\({ }^{3}\) Mihir Yasht, 54.
4 Ibid.
\({ }^{5}\) Avesta, iii. 79.
\({ }^{6}\) The disk, or circle, represents Mithra on the tombs of the Achæmenian kings. (See the Author's Ancient Monarchies, vol. iii. pp. 320
and 352.) It is sometimes, but rarely, used by the Sassanians, who in general substitute for it a sixrayed star. (See the later coins, passim.)
\({ }^{7}\) Berosus ap. Clem. Alex. Pro trept. § 5 . The noble tigure, marked by its wearing a Persian or Phrygian cap, stabbing the bull in the classical Nithraic emblem (Lajard, ('ulte de Mithra, pl. lxxv., lxxviii., lxxx., lxxxii., lxxxiii., \&c.), probably carries out the Oriental idea.
\({ }_{8}\) Spiegel, Tradit. Schrift. d. Pars.
p. 135.
\({ }^{9}\) Xen. Cyrop. viii. 3. § 24 ; Or. Fast. i. 355 ; Yagnn, xliv. 18.
}
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Spenta-Armaiti or Isfandarmat, Haur and Ameretat or Amerdât. \({ }^{1}\) VohuMind,' originally a mere attribute of be considered a distinct being, creater attendant and his councillor. He n Grand Vizier of the Almighty King heavenly conclave. Ormazd entrus cially the care of animal life ; and over cattle, he is the patron deity of Asha-vahista, ' the best truth,' or ' \(t\) the Light of the universe, subtle, pt sent. He maintains the splendor luminaries, and presides over the Khshathra-vairya, ' wealth,' has the \(\varepsilon\) at his disposal, and specially preside conventional signs of wealth; he is fied with the metal which he dis Armaiti, ' Holy Armaiti,' is at once Earth, and the goddess of piety. \(\mathbf{S}\) of ' the good creation,' watches ove, convert the desolate and unproduc into fruitful fields and gardens. \({ }^{5} \mathrm{~T}\) ( mano, she protects the agriculturist: with increase, as Vohu-mano does called 'the daughter of Ormazd, \({ }^{7}\) \& the agent through whom Ormazd Moreover, 'she tells men the ever on one may abolish,' \({ }^{9}\) or, in otr
\({ }^{1}\) Haug, Essays, p. 263. Compare ; \({ }^{7}\) Ibid. Windischmann, Zoroastrische Stu- \({ }^{8}\) Haug dien, p. 59.
\({ }^{4}\) Yaça, xxxiii. 3.
\({ }^{3}\) Haug, p. 281.
- Spiegel, Avesta, vol. iii. p. x.
\({ }^{5}\) I'aģna, xxxi. 9.
- Ibid. xxxi. 10.
to them the eternal principles of morality. She is somertimes representerl as standing next to Ormazal in the mytholngy, as in the profession of faith required of converts to \%ornatrianism. \({ }^{1}\) The two remaining Am-sha-hpands, Haurvatat and Ameretat, 'Health' and - Immortality', have the charge of the vengetable creation: Haurvatat causes the flow of water, so neressury (o) the support of vencetable life in countries where little rain fall-: Ameretat proterts orchards and gardens, and conables trees to bring their fruits to perfertion.

Another deity, practically perhape as much wor-whip!n-l as (Irmazd and Mithra, was Anaítis or Anahit. Anati- was oripinally an Anvyian and Bahylomian. \({ }^{2}\) no: a Zoresentrian poxdeos: but her worship opread to
 carne in a short time exceerdingly peppular. It was in commertion with this worship that idolatry serms firet lu have crept in, Araxerxes Marmon (al. b.c. f(101) having introluced images of Anatis into l'ersia, and ort them up at Susa, the capital, at lemenolis, E!ntama. Bactra, Babohon, Damaw us, and Samio. Ana:- wav ihe Jabybinan Venu-: and her rites at
 I: :- : in in:ared that they were intrenluad in all their
 Andir' - areat pnpularity. Her cult - wan provided



\footnotetext{
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The Persian system was further tainted with idolatry in respect of the worship of Mithra, \({ }^{1}\) and possibly of Vohu-mano (Bahman), and of Amerdat; \({ }^{2}\) but on the whole, and especially as compared with other Oricutal cults, the religion, even of the later Zoroastrians, must be regarded as retaining a non-materialistic and anti-idolatrous character, which elevated it above other neighbouring religions, above Brahminism on the one hand and Syro-Chaldæan nature-worship on the other.

In the Kingdom of Darkness, the principal powers, besides Ahriman, were Ako-mano, Indra, Çaurva, Naonhaitya, Taric, and Zaric. \({ }^{3}\) These six together formed the Council of the Evil One, as the six Amshashpands formed the council of Ormazd. Ako-mano,' the bad mind,' or (literally) ' the naught mind,' \({ }^{4}\) was set over agairst Vohu-mano, 'the good mind,' and was Ahriman's Grand Vizier. His special sphere was the mind of man, where he suggested evil thoughts, and prompted to bad words and wicked deeds. Indra, identical with the Vedic deity, but made a demon by the Zoroastrians, presided over storm and tempest, and governed the issues of war and battle. Çaurva and Naonhaitya were also Vedic deities turned into devils. \({ }^{5}\) It is difficult to assign them any distinct sphere. Taric and Zaric, 'Darkness' and 'Poison,' had no doubt occupations corresponding with their names. Besides these chief
\({ }^{1}\) See above, p. 628.
2 An idolatrous worship of Bahman ( \({ }^{\circ} \Omega_{\mu}, \nu, v_{s}\) ), and Amerdat ( \(A \cdot, \ldots-\) caras) was established in Western Asia in Strabo's age (Strab. xi. 8, § 4, and xv. 3, § 15 ) ; but it is uncertain whether these corruptions continued into Sassanian times.
\({ }^{3}\) Haug, Essays, p. 230; Windischmann, Zoroastrische Studien, p. 59.
- Haug, pp. 142 and 258.
\({ }^{3}\) Çaurra is identified (Haug, Essays, p. 230) with the Indian Shiva, who has the epithet Sarva in one of the later Vedas (Yajar-Veda, xvi. 28). Naonhaitya represents the Aswins, whose collective name in the Vedas is Nasatyas. Taric and Zaric are peculiar to the Iranian system.
demons, a countless host of evil genii (div:s) and fairies (phirikios) awaited the orders and exeruted the behests of Alıriman.

Placed lnetween the two contending worlds of grool and rvil. man's position was one of extreme dangrer and difficulty. Originally set upon the carth by ()rmazd in order to maintain the gexal creation, he was liable to the continual temptations and seductions of the dies or derots, whor wete wicked, bad, falke, untrue, the originator, of misehief, most bancful, destructive, the bane of all things.' A single act of sin fate them a hohd upen him, and each sulmerguent act incroamed their pwere until ultimately he beatme their mere taxil and -have: It was however ponsible to reviet temptation, w dine to the side of right, to defy and wereome the derote. Man might maintain hiv uprightuen, walk in the path of duty, and by the help of the asuras, or - pomel sifrite, attain to a blissful paradise.

Tor arrise at this result, man had rarefully to wherve three primeipal duties. These were worship, aproculture, and purity. Wionhip consoted in the
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considerable beauty. \({ }^{1}\) They are sometimes general, addressed to Ormazd and the Amesha Spentas in common, sometimes special, containing the praises of a particular deity. The Homa ceremony consisted in the extraction of the juice of the Homa plant by the priests during the recitation of prayers, the formal presentation of the liquor extracted to the sacrificial fire, the consumption of a small portion of it by one of the officiating priests, and the division of the remainder among the worshippers. As the juice was drunk immediately after extraction and before fermentation had set in, it was not intoxicating. The ceremony seems to have been regarded, in part, as having a mystic force, securing the favour of heaven ; in part, as exerting a beneficial effect upon the body of the worshipper through the curative power inherent in the Homa plant. \({ }^{2}\) The animals which might be sacrificed were the horse, the ox, the sheep, and the goat, the horse being the favourite victim. A priest always performed the sacrifice, \({ }^{8}\) slaying the animal, and showing the flesh to the sacred fire by way of consecration, after which it was eaten at a solemn feast by the priest and people.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) The following is a specimen:-- to speak, and to do only such 'We worship Ahuramazda (Ormazd) the pure, the master of purity. We worship the Amesha Spentas, the possessors of good, the givers of good. We worship the whole creation of the true spirit, both the spiritual and the terrestrial, all that supports the welfare of the good creation, and the spread of the good and true religion.
'We praise all good thoughts, all good words, all good deeds, which are or shall be; and we likewise keep clean and pure all that is good.
' \(O\), Ahura-mazda, thou true, things as may be best fitted to promote the two lives (i.e. the life of the body and the life of the soul).
- We beseech the spirit of earth for the sake of these our best works' (i.e. our labours in agriculture), 'to grant us beautiful and fertile fields, to the believer as well as to the unbeliever, to him who has riches as well as to him who has no possessions.' (Yuçna, XIXV. 1-4.)
\({ }^{2}\) See the author's Ancient Monarchies, vol. ii. p. 338, 2nd edition.
\({ }^{3}\) Herod. i. 132; Amm. Marc. happy being! We strive to think,
}

It is one of the chief peculiarities of Zoroastrianism that it reyarded agriculture as a religious duty. Man had beeol pacerl upon the earth especially ' to maintain the gexal creation,' and resist the endencours of Ahriman to injure, and, if porsible, ruin it. This could only the done by careful tilling of the soil, eradication of thorns and weeds, and reclamation of the tracts over which Thriman had spread the curse of harremess. To cultitate the sil was thus incumbent upon all men; the whole community was required to be agricultural ; and either as proprictor, as farmer, or as lakouring matn, "ah \%ornaverian was bound to'further the works of life • be adrancing tillage. \({ }^{1}\)

The purity which was required of the Zomastrian wa- of two kinds, moral and legral. Moral purity comprived all that Christianity includes under it-truth, justice. chartity, and general sinlessues. It was coextensine w:h the whole sphere of human activity, cmbracing not on! worls and acts, but even the secret thoughts of
 t!:- Ahorsance of a multitude of trittin! coremoniox and the :a!n:incure from tell thousiad arts in their nath: wholiy induferne \({ }^{3}\) Eaperially, everything was l.. !n avoided whith could ter thought to prillute the for onen-n:-at! of them sured to the \%ormerian of Sa- man time- - fire, water, carth, an! :ar."

Main strughle after holine-. and purity was antameal in the Zarmatrian syatem by the contide nt hope of a faturi:y of happmes. It wan taupht' that the as.a. a: man wa immortal, and would contime ta par

\footnotetext{


 sist. ́. sita l.shs. A. act.
- Irmendend. Fiary. 15, \(\$\) 20-3: .

}
sess for ever a separate conscious existence. Immediately after death the spirits of both good and bad had to proceed along an appointed path to ' the bridge of the gatherer' (chinvat peretu). This was a narrow road conducting to heaven or paradise, over which the souls of the pious alone could pass, while the wicked fell from it into the gulf below; where they found themselves in the place of punishment. The steps of the good were guided and supported by the angel Seroshthe 'happy, well-formed, swift, tall Serosh'-who conducted them across the difficult passage into the heavenly region. There Bahman, rising from his throne, greeted them on their entrance with the salutation, 'Happy thou who art come here to us from the mortality to the immortality!' Then they proceeded joyfully onward to the presence of Ormazd, to the immortal saints, to the golden throne, to paradise. As for the wicked, when they fell into the gulf, they found themselves in outer darkness, in the kingdom of Ahriman, where they were forced to remain and to feed on poisoned banquets.

The priests of the Zoroastrians, from a time not long subsequent to Darius Hystaspis, \({ }^{1}\) were the Magi. This tribe, or caste, originally perhaps external to Zoroastrianism, had come to be recognised as a true priestly order ; and was entrusted by the Sassanian princes with the whole control and direction of the religion of the state. \({ }^{2}\) Its chief was a personage holding a rank but

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) The Magi can acarcely have (ib. i. 132). See the Author's been the priests of the lersians when Durius Hystaspis proclaimed a general massacre of them, and established the annual Magophonia. (Herod. iii. 79) ; but when Herodotus wrote, about seventy years later, they had attained the position
' Essay on the Religion of the Ancient Persians' in the first volume of his Herodotus (pp. 346-350, 2nd edition), and compare Westergaard, ' Preface' to the Zendavesta, p . 17. \({ }^{2}\) See above, p. 57.
}
wry ittle inferior to the king. He lnote the tithe af
 - Hoar of the ( hicof Matgi. In time of dithe ulty and
 rewhatwa: and in the ordinary coure of thine har
























The Zoroastrian worship was intimately connected with fire-temples \({ }^{1}\) and fire-altars. A fire-temple was maintained in every important city throughout the empire; and in these a sacred flame, believed to have been lighted from heaven, was kept up perpetually, by the care of the priests, and was spoken of as 'unestinguishable. \({ }^{2}\) Fire-altars probably also existed, independently of temples; and an erection of this kind maintained from first to last an honourable position on the Sassanian coins, being the main impress upon the reverse. \({ }^{8}\) It was represented with the flame rising from it, and sometimes with a head in the flame ; \({ }^{4}\) its stem was ornamented with garlands or fillets; and on either side, as protectors or as worshippers, were represented two figures, sometimes watching the flame, sometimes turned from it, guarding it apparently from external enemies. \({ }^{5}\)

Besides the sacerdotal, the Magi claimed to exercise the prophetical office. From a very early date thes had made themselves conspicuous as omen-readers and dream-expounders; \({ }^{6}\) but, not content with such occa-

\footnotetext{
1 The statement of Ilerodotus that the Persians had no temples (i. 131, ad init.) is not even true of his own age, as appears from the Behistun inscription, where Darius states that he rebuilt the 'temples' (ayadana) which Gomntes the Magician had destroyed (Beh. Ins. col. i. par. 14, §5). In Sassanian times their fire-temples are frequently mentioned. (See above, p. 512 ; and compare Nicephorus, De rebus post Mauricium, p. 12, A; Hyde, De relig. Pers. c. 29, p. 359; Creuzer, Symbol. i. pp. 651, 710 , 2nd edition; Patkanian, in Journ. Asiatique, 1866, p. 112 ; \&c.)
 Mriyot (Strab. xv. 3, § 15.)
}
© See the representations on coins, pp. 68, 94, 253, 329, 338, 348, 378, 454, \&c.

As in the coins giren on pp. 338 and 491.
\(s\) These guardians became ultimately so debased as scarcely to present the appearance of human figures. They are however maintained, together with the fire-altar, to the very close of the empire. (See the coin of Isdigerd III. on p. 577.)
\({ }^{6}\) Herod. i. 107, 108, 120; vii. 19, 37 ; Cic. de Iliv. i. 23, 41, \&c. That the Magi of Sussanian times undertook to expound omens, appears from the story of Kobad's siege of Amida (supra. p. 356).


Roben Figurf., showing Sassanian Ey
sional exhibitions of prophetic power, they ultimately rellued divination to a sratem, and. by the help of the
 a true anmerer on all printe connected with the future. uinol which they might be con-ulted \({ }^{1}\) Credulity is nerer wantine amone oriontais : and the pewer of the pricesl.and was tow doubt greatly increased by a pretention which was ca-ily made, readily believed, and not generaily diererlited by failureo, howeror numerous.

Thir Masian pricot was commonly sern with the 1 .tro., in hiv hand: hut exeasionally he exehangerl that
 1. war atmone the dutios of the phone Zarnatriam, and B:are orperially of thame who were entruated with the ir:a-aly vifice to wage pronelual war with Ahriman,
















head of the king when he took his sent in his thom room, is said to have been adorned with a thmmed pearls, each as large as an egg. \({ }^{1}\) The throne itedf me of gold, and was supported on four feet, each fonnad of a single enormous ruby. \({ }^{2}\) The great throne-rome was ornamented with enormous columns of silver, be tween which were hangings of rich silk or browat' The vaulted roof presented to the eye representamies of the heavenly bodies, the sun, the moon, and te stars ; \({ }^{4}\) while globes, probably of crystal, or of burnithed metal, hung suspended from it \({ }^{5}\) at various heighta lighting up the dark space as with a thousand lustas

The state observed at the court resembled thand d the most formal and stately of the Oriental monarchim The courtiers were organised in seven ranks. Fons most came the Ministers of the crown ; next the liobeds, or chief Magi ; after them, the hirbeds, or judmos: then the sipehbeds, or commanders-in-chief, of wham there were commonly four; last of all the singers, mur sicians, and men of science, arranged in three ordes. The king sat apart even from the highest nobles, who, unless summoned, might not approach nearer than thirty fect from him. A low curtain separated him from them, which was under the charge of an officer. who drew it for those only with whom the king had expressed a desire to converse. \({ }^{6}\)
An important part of the palace was the seraglio. The polygamy practised by the Sassanian princes was on the largest scale that has ever been heard of, Chosroës II. having maintained, we are told, three
\({ }^{1}\) Tabari, Chronique, vol. ii. p. iii. p. 480. 30\%. This is, of course, an exag- +Cedrenus, p. 412. geration.
\({ }^{5}\) D'Herbelot. l.s.c.
\({ }^{2}\) Ibid. p. 304.
\({ }^{3}\) D'Herbelot, Bill. Orient. vol.
- See Maçoudi, vol. ii. pp. 156-9.
thousand concubines. \({ }^{1}\) The moxdest requirements of \({ }^{(4)}\) many ecombary wives necessitated the lonlging and - We:nanore of twelse thousand adhitional fomales.? rhenty sabre. whene office was to attend on these royal fancomites, attire them, and obey their beheots. Funuchs are are: mentioned as amployed we any large extent ; hut in the aculpures of the carly promees they aerem to In. repreventerl as holding offico.of impertance.s and the
 that tha- argerii, was, tu otme extent at any rate, under :ho: r ouperintendence. Fach sianamim monareh had on. - utata or principal wife, who was permerally a
 1. .a.e n: iwn instane the monarch art the afliey of
 at : whe:t, owarl- :he elone of the empire. femalow were a:. . . . . 1 : anceld the thome. it in thenght that they re:ra:nel from parmlite themoches in thi way and









'Master of the Workmen.' Except the parasoland fan-bearer, these officials all presided ored det. ments, and had under them a numerous body of dinates. If the royal stables contained even horses, which one monarch is said to have kept fort own riding, \({ }^{1}\) the grooms and stable-boys must harele counted by hundreds; and an equal or greater numbr of attendants must have been required for the and and elephants, which are estimated \({ }^{2}\) respectivels 1,200 and 12,000 . The 'workmen' were also ph ably a corps of considerable size, continually enpes in repairs, or in temporary or permanent erections

Other great officials, corresponding more neath the 'Ministers' of a modern sovereign, were the Vaint hramanatar, \({ }^{8}\) or 'Grand Keeper of the Rogal Ondan who held the post now known as that of Grand Fina: the Dprapet Ariats, or 'Chief of the Scribes of Irani: sort of Chancellor ; the Hazarapet dran Arist, a 'Chiliarch of the Gate of Iran,' a principal Minister; te Hamarakar, a 'Chief Cashier' or 'Paymaster;' ol the Khohrdean dpir, or 'Secretary of Council,' a so of Privy Council clerk or registrar. The native name of these officers are known to us chiefly through the Armenian writers of the fifth and seventh centuries \({ }^{4}\)

The Sassanian court, though generally held at Ctas phon, migrated to other cities, if the king so pleased and is found established, at one time in the old Persian

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Chosrmis II. (Parwiz) is \({ }^{3}\) Here again I am iadebted t assigned this number by Tinbari Patkanian for the native names (vol. ii. p. 305), who reckons the the officers. (See p. 041, note ? entire royal stud at 50,000! Prob- In modern Persia the correspond ably a cipher shonld be struck off ing officer is called the Bivart both numbers. Fermander.
\({ }^{2}\) Mirkhond, Histoive des Sassa- *As Elismeus and Lazane Parbe nides, p. 404. Compare Tabari who wrote between A.d. 400 anh (l.s.c.) and Maçoudi (vol. ii. pp. 500 , and Sêpêos, who wrote be 230-2).
}
capital, Persepolis, \({ }^{1}\) at another in the comparatively mondern city of Dastapherd. \({ }^{2}\) The monarchs maintained from first to lant numerous palaces, which they visited at their pheasure an I made their residence for a longer or a shorterperiond. Four such palaces have been already dencribed \(;^{3}\) and there is reason to believe that many others existed in various parts of the empire. There was certainly one of great magnificence at Canzaca : \({ }^{*}\) and meveral are mentioned as oreupied by Heraclius in the country bxtwern the Iower Zath and Ctesiphoias \({ }^{3}\) Chorrins II. undoubtedly built one near Takht-i-Boetan; and Saper the First must have hat one at Shapur, where he wet up the preater pertion of his monuments. The dinowery of the Mashita palace, in a penition so little inviting as the land of Moab, werm to imply a very feneral entablishment of royal residences in the remote prosinces of the empire.

The contume of the later Persians is known to us chefly from the representations of the kinges, on whome fiseare alome have the native arti-ts In-atoned much atte-ntan. In prowe, the monareh rexme to have wom a wre of phliae or longe coat, patially open in fromt, aml with cione titung sever reathing to the whet." unt! r wheh he had a pair of lemer trower demending
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ribbons. Over his pelisse he wor cape or short cloak, which was fa or strings across the breast and and shoulders. \({ }^{1}\) The material com in general exceedingly light and dress commonly worn seems to ha which was perhaps ornamented wi and trowsers were also in some c Every king wore ear-rings, \({ }^{4}\) with pendants. A collar or necklace worn round the neck ; and this \(h\) more pendants in front. Occasic brought to a point and had a je \({ }^{\text {1 }}\) The hair seems always to have bee elaborately curled, and hung dow in numerous ringlets. When the state, an attendant held the roya

In war \({ }^{7}\) the monarch encased person in a coat of mail, compos Over this he wore three belts; th the breast diagonally, was probe
under the arch at Takht-i-Bustan, and in the statue of Sapor I. In this latter case the pattern is a cross. (See above, opp. p. 605.)
\({ }^{1}\) See the figures of Sapor I. (npp. pp. 82 and 91 ) ; and compare that of Artaxerxes I. (p. 606).
\({ }^{2}\) The round cap, with its ornamentation of jewels or pearls, may be best seen in the gem portraits of Sapor I. (p. 100), and Hormisdas II. ( p .138 ). It seems to be still worn in the time of Chosroes II. (p. 615), but is lower, only just covering the head.
3 See eapecially the figure of Chnsroës II. under the arch.
\({ }^{4}\) Lar-rings are, I believe, uni-
rersal u sculptur omitted. on p .11 \({ }_{3}\) See 108, 118 \({ }^{6}\) See hunt (ol
\({ }^{7}\) The taken figure : his war lower en lief at \(T\) lent repı piven by Plancher
shield, which might be hung from it; the second supportend his sword; and the third his quiver, and perhaps his low-ease. \({ }^{1}\) A stiff embroidered trowser of great fuluens protected the leg, while the head was guardeyl by a helmet, and a vizor of chain mail hid all the face but the eyes. The head and fore-quarters of the reyal dharger were aloo covered with armour, which descemed below the animal's knees in front, but was not carried back behind the rider. The monarch's shield was round, and carried on the left arm; his main offernise weapon was a heavy spear, which he bramdiohed in his right hand.

Whe of the facourite pastimes of the kings was hunting. The savanian remains show us the royal sport-men engated in the pursuit of the star, the wild Inar, the ibex, the anteloper, and the buffalo.? To this ratalongue of their leasts of chave the classical writers add the lion, the tiper, the wild asw, and the lnar. Lidne, tigers, bears, and wild anes were, it appary. colingtel for the purpme of eport, and kept in reyal
 The manath, then eqgaged in the soort in prewn, erther atary or in conjunction with a rogal ambanalor."



The lion was engaged hand to hand with sword or spear; the more dangerous tiger was attacked from a distance with arrows. \({ }^{1}\) Stags and wild boars were sufficiently abundant to make the keeping of them in paradises unnecessary. When the king desired to hunt them, it was only requisite to beat a certain extent of country in order to make sure of finding the game. This appears to have been done generally by elephants, which entered the marshes or the woodlands, and spreading themselves wide, drove the animals before them towards an enclosed space, surrounded by a net or a fence, where the king was stationed with his friends and attendants. If the tract was a marsh, the monarch occupied a boat, from which he quietly took aim at the beasts that came within shot. Otherwise he pursued the game on horseback, \({ }^{2}\) and transfixed it while riding at full speed. In either case, he seems to have joined to the pleasures of the chase the delights of

\footnotetext{
Chosroës II. (opp. p. 614). They are probably participators in the sport.
\({ }^{1}\) This difference is marked in the lines of Claudian,
Quis Stilichone prior ferro ponetrare leones Comminus, ant longe virgatas Agere ligres f
(De laud. Stilich. i. 64-5.)
\({ }^{2}\) The Sassanian, like the Jewish kings ( 1 K. i. 33 ), sometimes condescended to ride mules. The saddle-mule of Chosroës I. is represented in a bas-relief.
}

music. lands of harpers and other musicians were placed near him within the enclosure, and he could listen to their strains while he took his pastime. \({ }^{1}\)

The musical instruments which appear distinctly on the Sasumian sculptures are the harp, the horm, the drum, and the flute or pipe. The harp is triangular, and has suven atrings ; it is held in the lap, and played apparently by both hands. The drum is of small size. The horns and pipes are too rudely represented for the ir exact character to be apparent. Concerted pieces serem th have been sometimes played by harpers only, of whom as many as ten or twelve joined in the execution. Mixal hands were more numerous. In one instance \({ }^{2}\) the number of performers amounts to twentysix, of whom meven play the harp, an erpual number the tlute or pipe, three the horn, one the drum, while enght are tox) slighty readered for their instruments to Ine reerprinial. A portion of the musicians occupy an elevated orchestra, to which there is access by a flight of 0 . p .

There is reaten to bedieve that the Sasmaian monarehe texin a plasure alse in the pastume of hawking. It hat ! worn alrealy noticed that among the officers of the cour: was a • Head Falconer, who must have presuld.el wire this operies of aport. Hawking was of great anturu' \(y\) in the Fast. \({ }^{4}\) and appears to have leen handerd down uninterruptedly from remote times to the pre-

\footnotetext{
 1.pp ip ill and isles.

Kr ibe ropiresoniatixe if the
 l larard, Dieroud ind Belyken. Pp
- Ibe wionj .hechem so menerbat.
 creat by l'aitanian 1 doend lise wi.et amiog ibe aculpeure of
 an tisc.i.ad to think that be is mhich bol.ap tw the eighth oantury ngbl. Ibe toulers l'ermect call I.c.
}
sent day. We may reasonably c ostriches and pheasants, if not the in the royal preserves, \({ }^{1}\) were intend, pastime, the hawks being flown at proved to be scarce.

The monarchs also occasionally a their lesiure hours by games. I chess from India by the great Chosro already been noticed; \({ }^{2}\) and some a the same monarch brought into \(i\) tric-trac or draughts. \({ }^{8}\) Unfortun materials for determining the exac in either case, the Sassanian rem representation of such trivial matte

In the character of their warfare Sassanian period did not greatly d people under the Achæmenian kil changes which time had brought al entire disuse of the war chariot, \({ }^{4}\) an

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Theophan. Chronugraph. p. \({ }^{2} \mathrm{D}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{1}\) 268, C.
P. \(\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}\text { tale } \\ \text { tol. } \\ \text { I fini }\end{gathered}\right.\)
}


Sassantan Chariot (from the b
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Cadusians from the Caspian re always, when they wished it, suited for light service; but the Sassanian period seems to havi heavy kind, armed and equippec Chosroës II. is seen to be at

which completely covered his body as far as the hips, and a strong helmet, with a vizor, which left no part of the face exposed but the eyes. He carried a small round shield on his left arm, and had for weapons a heavy spear, a sworl, and a bow and arrows. He did not fear a collision with the best Roman troops. The Sa-sanian hore often charged the infantry of the legions with success, and drove it headlong from the field of lattle. In time of prace, the royal guards were more simply accouterel. (See the woodeut opposite.)

The archers formed the elite of the Persian infantry. \({ }^{1}\) They were traned to dehver their arrows with extreme mapility, and with an aim that was almont unerring. The huge watted shields, adopted by the Achamenian Persians from the Aswrians, still remained in use \({ }^{2}\) and from behind a row of these, rested upon the ground and formang a wort of loop-holed wall, the sawanian bowmen shot their weapons with great effect; nor was it until their store of arrows was exhaustet that the Lomam, ordinarily, felt themselves upon even terms with their enemy. Smetimes the archerv, insead of thu- tiahtmer in lime, were intermixes with the heavy harve. wath which it was not difficult for them to kerp pace. They galleyl the fore with their constant discharese from latween the maks of the horsemen, remammg themolver in comparative merurity, as the leanone rarely ventured to charye the Persian mailed cabary. If they were forect to retreat, they still shot lankwandsa they thent: and it was a proverbial saying
 mathaices
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The ordinary footmen seem to have swords and spears, perhaps also with generally stationed behind the archer retired through their ranks, when clo They had little defensive armour ; but fought with spirit and tenacity, being the legionaries under ordinary circum rior to most other adversaries.

It is uncertain how the various an were organised internally. We do divisions corresponding to the Rom: modern regiments; yet it is difficult there were not some such bodies.' satrap \({ }^{8}\) of a province commanded \(t\) within his government, taking the a cavalry or the infantry at his discreti doubtless appointed the commanders-i rapets,Spahapets, or Sipehbeds, \({ }^{4}\) as well rals (arzbeds), the head of the comn rapet or hambarakapet), and the cc elephants (zendkapet). The satraps as colonels of regiments under the 1 probably have had the nomination \(o\) : (regimental) officers.

The great national standard . 'leathern apron of the blacksmith
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\hline ortals,' which was a division of & 1 \\
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aldon-d. but ultimately cowrenl with jowels, which has beo: deraberl in a former chapter. \({ }^{1}\) This prowious pdia:lam war. howeor. but marely und. its phace
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probably never equalling one-third ( Plundering expeditions were sometin bodies of horse alone; \({ }^{2}\) but serior seldom or never attempted unless \(b\). in all arms; comprising, that is, hor and artillery. To attack the Romar it was always necessary to engage in and although, in the earlier period of narchy, a certain weakness and ineffic sieges manifested itself, \({ }^{8}\) yet ultima was overcome, and the Persian exp well provided with siege trains, com fortresses to surrender within a reass remarkable that in the later period were taken with apparently so little Mardin, Amida, Carrhæ, Edessa, H Theodosiopolis, Antioch, Damascus, andria, Cæsaræa Mazaca, Chalcedon lasting more than a few months, or ants very dear. The method used open trenches at a certain distance \(f\) to advance along them under cover ditch, and fill it up with earth and fs might then be attempted; or mova with rams or balisto, might be brou; walls, \({ }^{5}\) and the defences battered effected. Sometimes mounds were walls \({ }^{6}\) to a certain height, so that tl which was their weakest part, migh either demolished or escaladed. If ; longed attacks of this kind, the siege
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\hline 40,000 were horse. & \({ }^{5}\) See pp \\
\hline \({ }^{2}\) Supra, p. 374. & \({ }^{6} \mathrm{Seopp}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Ce. XXVIII.] PRIVATE LIFE óf the plofle. 655}
blockade, \({ }^{1}\) lines of circumvallation being drawn round the place, water cut off, and provisions prevented from entering. Cnless a strong relieving army appeared in the field, and drove off the assailants, this plan was tolerably sure to be successful.

Not much is known of the private life of the later Persians. Besides the great nobles and court officials, the strength of the nation consisted in its dikhans or lamded proprictors, who for the most part lived on their entates, reeing after the cultivation of the soil, and employing thereon the free latxour of the peasants. It was from these clases chiefly that the standing army was revruited, and that great levies might always tre mate in time of need. Simpl: habits appear to have prevailed among them; polygamy, though lawful, was net greatly in use : \({ }^{2}\) the maxims of Zaroaster, which commanded industry, purity, and piety, were fairly oimerved. Women serem not to have been kept in arlusion. \({ }^{3}\) or at any rate not in such melusion as had In.in the custom under the larthams, and as again Invame waal under the Arales. The peneral condition , if the peppulation was satisfactory. Mont of the Siassiman monareho mem to have beron deoirnu of goveming wrot: and the system inaururated by Anshirwan." atal mantatard hy his succears, wored the suljects
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rulers were well watched and \(w\) gatherers were prevented from es their due by a wholesome dread would be reported and punished; taken that justice should be honestly in all cases where an individual felt tence, an appeal lay to the king. On cause was re-tried in open court, at great square ; the king, the magi, hearing it, while the people were : entire result seems to have been, 1 possible under a despotism, oppressi and the ordinary citizen had rare serious complaint.

But it was otherwise with the k The near relations of the monarch, the court, the generals who comms exposed without defence to the mor held their lives and liberties at hi mere word or sign from him they mitted to prison, tortured, blinded, trial being thought necessary whert pronounce sentence. The intrinsic thus showed themselves, even under mild government of the Sassanians posed to them was a small one, and advantages, which may have been pensation to it for its occasional suffi
\({ }_{1}\) Patkanian, in the Journal Asi- \(\mid\) shall find atique for 1866, p. 113 . Compare Elisée, pp. 102, 107, and Lazare Parbe, pp. 80 and 140.
\({ }^{2}\) See above, pp. 103, 341, 348, \(365,381,382,410,453,469,495\), 526, and 537.
\({ }^{3}\) If we compare the Sassanian period with the Achæmenian, we provemen 1 spect of th of punishr ties are r monarch the kings the Auth vol. iii. pp

|
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ments, a terrible annoyance at the best of times, and a fearful peril under certain circumstances. The Persian troops which pursued Julian were composed of heavily armed cavalry, foot archers, and elephants ; \({ }^{1}\) and the only light horse of which we have any mention during the disastrous retreat of his army are the Saracenic allies of Sapor. \({ }^{2}\) In these auxiliaries, and in the Cadusians from the Caspian region, the Persians had always, when they wished it, a cavalry excellently suited for light service; but their own horse during the Sassanian period seems to have been entirely of the heavy kind, armed and equipped, that is, very much as Chosroës II. is seen to be at Takht-i-Bostan. \({ }^{8}\) The


A Prrsian Guardsyan (from the bas-reliefs).
horses themselves were heavily armoured about their head, neck, and chest ; the rider wore a coat of mail

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Supra, p. 224.
\({ }_{2}^{2}\) Ibid. pp. 223, 231, and 237.
roës II. (opp. p. 612), and com-
pare Julian, Orat. ii. p. 116.
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which completely covered his body as far as the hips, and a strong helmet, with a vizor, which left no part of the face exposed but the eyes. He carried a small round shield on his left arm, and had for weapons a heavy spear, a sword, and a bow and arrows. He did not fear a collision with the best Roman troops. The Sa-sanian horse often changed the infantry of the legions with success, and drove it headlong from the field of battle. In time of peace, the royal guards were more simply accoutred. (See the wooldeut opposite.)

The archers formed the eflite of the Persian infantry. \({ }^{1}\) They were trained to dehver their arrows with extreme rapidity, and with an aim that was almost unerring. The huge watted shields, adopted by the Achamenian lersians from the Asyrians, still remained in use \({ }^{2}\) and from behind a row of these, rested ugon the ground and forming a sort of loop-holed wall, the Sasanian bowmen shot their weapons with great effert ; nor was it until their store of arrows was exhausted that the Liomans, ordinarily, felt themselves upon even terms with their enemy. Smetimes the archers instead of thus tiphtury in line. were intermixet with the heavy horer. \({ }^{3}\) with which it was not difficult for them to kerp pure. They galley the fore with their constant disbares from letween the ranks of the horsemen, remaming themerlves in comparative mourity, as the l-anome rarely wentured to charge the liervian mailed ravalry. If they were forced to retreat, they still shot loukwandsas they therl: and it was a provertial saying w:? the Romans, that they were then experially formatabies

\footnotetext{
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The ordinary footmen seem to have 1 swords and spears, perhaps also with d generally stationed behind the archers. retired through their ranks, when clos They had little defensive armour ; but : fought with spirit and tenacity, being the legionaries under ordinary circums rior to most other adversaries.

It is uncertain how the various arm were organised internally. We do divisions corresponding to the Roma modern regiments; yet it is difficult there were not some such bodies. \({ }^{2}\) satrap \({ }^{8}\) of a province commanded th within his government, taking the acl cavalry or the infantry at his discretic doubtless appointed the commanders-in rapets,Spahapets, or Sipehbeds, \({ }^{4}\) as well \& rals (arzbeds), the head of the comm: rapet or hambarakapet), and the col elephants (zendkapet). The satraps as colonels of regiments under the \(a\) probably have had the nomination of (regimental) officers.

The great national standard w ' leathern apron of the blacksmith,'

Justin. xli. 2; Tac. Ann. vi. 35 ; Claudian, De laud. Stilıch. i. 68; \&c.
\({ }^{1}\) Supra, pp. 370, 515, \&c.
\({ }^{2}\) The only distinct corps of which we hear is that of 'the Immortals,' which was a division of the cavalry numbering 10,000 , and therefore not regimental. (See above, pp. 288 and 371 ; and compare Herod. vii. 83.)
\({ }^{3}\) The satra of their resper the Achæmen and led them system probab. Sassanians.
\({ }^{4}\) On these names of off the Journal 114-5.
adorned, but ultimately coverel with jewels, which has leen deseribed in a former chapter. \({ }^{\prime}\) This precious palladium was, howesor, but rarely used, its place heing suppliad for the most part by standards of a more ordinary charater. These appar by the monuments* T. hate been of two kinds. Ih,th consisted primarily of a polve and a crom-bar: but in the one kind the cro...bar -utained a single ring with a bar athwart it, wh:l- Indow deprodeal wo wonlly tavels; in the other. thre.e stiate.l balls rue from the crow-bar, while below the phare of the tavel was taken be two similar balls. I: :- ditioult to say what there cmblems sumindiwed, or whey they wer. vati...l. In lanth the representations where they appar the stamdard acompany cavalry, -1. :at: they cabuet reamably be a-ipmed to different ::tin- of the ervice. That the mumber of stambands
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probably never equalling one-third o Plundering expeditions were sometim bodies of horse alone; \({ }^{2}\) but seriou: seldom or never attempted unless by in all arms; comprising, that is, hors and artillery. To attack the Roman: it was always necessary to engage in \(t\) l and although, in the earlier period of narchy, a certain weakness and ineffici sieges manifested itself, \({ }^{8}\) yet ultimat was overcome, and the Persian expe well provided with siege trains, comf fortresses to surrender within a reaso: remarkable that in the later period st were taken with apparently so little Mardin, Amida, Carrhæ, Edessa, Hi Theodosiopolis, Antioch, Damascus, andria, Cæsaræa Mazaca, Chalcedon; lasting more than a few months, or 1 ants very dear. The method used open trenches at a certain distance fr to advance along them under cover ditch, and fill it up with earth and far might then be attempted; or moval with rams or balisto, might be broug walls, \({ }^{5}\) and the defences battered ti effected. Sometimes mounds were 1 walls \({ }^{6}\) to a certain height, so that the which was their weakest part, might either demolished or escaladed. If tc longed attacks of this kind, the siege

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Out of the 140,000 brought \({ }_{4}^{3}\) Supra, I into the field by Chosroës I. only 40,000 were horse.
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blockade, \({ }^{1}\) lines of circumvallation being drawn round the place, water cut off, and provisions prevented from entering. Cnless a strong relieving army appeared in the field, and drove off the assailunts, this plan was tolerably sure to be successful.

Not much is known of the private life of the later Persians. Besides the great nobles and court officials, the strength of the nation consisted in its dikhans or landed proprictors, who for the most part lived on their entates, secing after the cultivation of the soil, and "mploying thereon the free latxour of the peavants. It was from these clases chiefly that the standing army was recruited, and that great levies might always be made in time of need. Simple habits appear to have prevailed among them ; polygamy, though lawful, was wet preatly in use : \({ }^{2}\) the maxims of Zoroaster, which commanded industry, purity, and piety, were fairly olmerved. Women seem not to have berin kept in erlusion. \({ }^{3}\) or at any rate not in such medusion as had inven the curtom under the Parthams, and as again lexame ueual under the Aralo. The gemeral condition if the prpulation was satisfactory. Mont of the Sansanian monarehe reven to have inen dearous of geverning Well: and the syshem inampurated by Anushirwan." atd mantamed by his succerers, wereyl the subjects of the (irath King from oppreanh, w) far as wite per--hbe without reprequtive provernment. I'rovincial
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rulers were well watched and well gatherers were prevented from exa their due by a wholesome dread th would be reported and punished ; ; taken that justice should be honestly a in all cases where an individual felt aç tence, an appeal lay to the king. On si cause was re-tried in open court, at tl great square ; the king, the magi, an hearing it, while the people were als entire result seems to have been, th: possible under a despotism, oppressior and the ordinary citizen had rarely serious complaint.
But it was otherwise with the hig The near relations of the monarch, th the court, the generals who comman exposed without defence to the mona held their lives and liberties at his mere word or sign from him they ws mitted to prison, tortured, blinded, or trial being thought necessary where 1 pronounce sentence. The intrinsic e thus showed themselves, even under 1 mild government of the Sassanians; \({ }^{3}\) posed to them was a small one, and er advantages, which may have been \(f \in\) pensation to it for its occasional suffer:
\({ }^{1}\) Patkanian, in the Journal Asi- \(\mid\) shall find \(t\) atique for \(1806, \mathrm{p} .113\). Compare Elisée, pp. 102, 107, and Lazare Parbe, pp. 80 and 140.
\({ }^{2}\) See above, pp. 103, 341, 348, 365, 381, 382, 419, 453, 460, 405, 526 , and 537 .
\({ }^{3}\) If we compare the Sassanian provement 1 spect of the \(r\) of punishme: ties are rela monarch as the kings of the Author' period with the Achæmenian, we vol. iii. pp. 2
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\section*{Corrigenda.}

Page 89, line 8, for returned read ventured.
" 175, " 17, " eastern ", western.
.. 179, ", 11, " legion ", legions.

" 222, line 13, ", Libanuis read Libanius
", 224, note \({ }^{\text {", ", the changes read chang }}\)
" 231, line 4, ,, right read left.
" 238, " 16, ", it had never fallen and but once, after whic covered; and now for 1
" 426, note ', " Le Bus read Le Beau.
" 619, line 6, ", Ferbad read Ferhad.

\section*{INDEX.}
\(A_{275}^{\text {BDAAS, bishop of Ctesiphon, }} \stackrel{\text { and }}{ }\)
is Abdul-Kais, tribe of Arabs, 144, 140
12 Ablabius, Prætorian prefect, 108.
A braha, makes himself king of Arabia, 423
Abu-bekr, succeasor of Mohammed, 549) ; defeats Moseilama, ib.

Abulpharagius cited (notes) 143, 144, 284, 208
Abu Obeidah, defeats the Persians, 553 ; defeated and slain, 555
Abu Sabra, Moalem general, 570
Abu-zurd-mihir, adviser of Choaroës I., 460

Abyseinians, their power in Arabia, 423; their war with the Persians, 425
Acacius, bishop of Amida, 290, 291
Achæmenian religion, 10 ; kings, 20 ;
une of the word, 33 (see Persia,
Persians)
Adarman, Persian general, his operations against the Romans, 431, 401 : defeated by Maurice, 402
Aden, landing of Peraian expedition at, 425
Ader- erseh, Persian general, 321
Ader-Veshnasp, governor of Armenia, 3:20; defeated by Vasaf, \(3 \geqslant 1\)
Adiabene, province of P'ersia, 131, 1ix: qcecupied by Heraclius, 522
Adur, Persian fort, 237
- Eilian, count, crucified by Sapor II., \(1 \times 2\)
Aftighanistan, war of Varahran II. with, 108; rulers of, 140, 141
Agathangelus cited (notes) 14, 15,
-30 ALB
30-33, 35-38, 51-53, 61, 02, 113, 115, 152
Agathins cited (notes) 8, 0, 30-34, \(54,55,57,73,89,85,101-103\), \(107,108,114,116,13 \times, 143,254\), \(256,200,264,269,272-274,284\), \(204,208,301,300,326,331,343\), \(346,348,350,346,379,387,307\), \(407,418-119,438-434,448,449\), 451, 457, 635
Aghouank, 313 (see Albania)
Agriculture, under Chosroës I., 440 ;
regarded as a religious duty, 83.5
Ahriman (see Angro-Mainyus, Zoroastrianism)
Ahura-Mazda, the Persian principle of good, 15, 54, 622, 023, 654; sculptures of, \(71,603,625 \mathrm{sq}\).
Ahwaz, province of, 430 ; city of, 509, 573
Aigan, Massafetic chief, \(\mathbf{3 7 0}\)
Airyanam, god of marriages, 62?
Ako-mano, grand vizir of Ahriman, 032
Ala, Mohammedan governor of Bahrein, 5(N) ; his unsuccessful invasion of Persia, ib.
Alamandarus, Saracen sheikh, 373, \(3 \times 4\); his feud with Arethas, 405; his treachery, 401
Alamandarus, king of Bahrein, submits to Mohammed, 547 ; renounces INlamism, 64*
Alans, allies of Persians, 40t
Alaric, ravages of, 270
Albania, Persian dependency, 313, 318, 341, 400, 512, 513
Albanians, revolt from Persia, 313


ALB
Al-Berû, Moslem fanatic, 570
Alemanni, ravage Roman territory, 80; threaten Constantius, 173; punished by Julian, 191
Alexander the Great, his idea of a P'erso-IIellenic empire, 1, 2; attempts to collect the writings of Zoroaster, 8; adopts Attic silver standard, 09
Alexander Séverus (see Severus)
Alexandria, seized by the Persians, 505
Al Modain, 312 (see Ctesiphon)
Al-Mothanna, Moslem chief, 550, 551 ; his successes against the Persians, 553 ; succeeds 4 bu Obeidah, 555; defeats the Persians, 556 : his death, 557
Altai, Mt., Turkish court in, 428
Alypius, the philosopher, \(3 \overline{5} 5\)
Ameretat, one of the Amshashpands, 631, 632
Amida, battle of, 175 ; sieges and
- captures of, 176, 170, 355, 350,

35̄̄̆, 359, 502, 514; gallant defences of, 180, 355
Anmar Ibn Yâser, Moslenı leader, 571
Ammianus cited (notes) 55, 57, 77, 80. 118, 110, 121, 123, 128, 129132, 134, 142, 15is, 136, 180, 161, 164, 16i-184, 1×6-1N:, 192-104, 196; 197, 190)-205, 207-214, 216\(21 \times, 220,222-23 x, 241,242,245-\) 251, 255, 321, 634, 637
Amshashpands, the Zoroastrian, 020
Anahit, worship of, 631
Auak, assassin of Chosroës, 51, 52
Anastusius, emperor, 345,353 ; causes of his quarrel with Persia, 354 ; coucludes peace with Kobad, 360: strengthens Roman frontier, 361 ; bribes the Persian envors, ib.; his death, ib.
Anathan, surrundered to Julian, 203
Anatolius, lieutenant of Julian, 228; slain, ib .
Anatolius, prefect, concludes peace with Isdigerd II., 302
Anbar, city on Fuphrates (sce Perisabor)
Ancient Monarclics cited (notes) 19, 22, 23, 44, 5 5, \(7!\), 104, 154, 164, \(175,183,180,221,440,446,485\),

489, 550
631, 634,
Ancyra, ta
Anglon, ba
Angro-Mai
evil, 54,
025 sq .;
Antag, Ror
Antegan, \(g\)
Antioch, \(\mathbf{c}\)
Persian
invested
capture :
503
Antioch, or
Antiochus,
Theodosi
Antoninles,
por, 173 ,
Anushirwa
Apameia,
392, 502
Apharban,
124; his
Aphraates,
Aphumon, 433
Appian cit
Arabia, col
ment of
Persian becomes 420 (see
Arabs, thi territory 287; de their rel Mohamr Abu-bel Aratius, \(P\) Araxes, d 469
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I Italainua, lieutenant of Sapor, 945\(244^{\circ}\)
Irtalucta, I'errian ledy, 31
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Persians, 370 sq.; intercepts the expedition against Antioch, 375 ; his victories in Africa and Europe, 383; assumes the offensive in Mesopotamia, 3m; his operations against the Persians, 400
Bendamir, river, 20
* Bendsuwân, Persian peneral, 50 it

Benj-Ayar, tribe of Arabe, 144
\({ }^{n+3}+\) Beni-Waiel, tribe of Arabe, 143
has: Berhee, rapsom of, 388; taken by
3:- Chosroës II., 502
yin Berosus cited (notea) 028, 031
Beeses, Ruman commander in Iazica, 410; berieges and captures Petra, 410, 412
Beeuchis (see Maogamalcha)
Bezabde, position of, \(1 \times 4\); taken by the Persians, \(1 \times 5\); besieged by Constantius, 187
Bidpar, fables of, 450
Bindoës, Chosroës II.'s uncle, 47 l sq., \(480,4 \times 4,495\)
Biraparach, joint Roman and l'ersinn fortrees, 352, 3(1)
Bireh-jik, on Fuphrates, 70, 18.5
Bohlen cited, 05
Bokhara, taken by Turka, 420
Bostam, Chosrués II.s uncle, 471 sq., \(4 \times 4,495\)
Botta, cited (note) 87
Bourín (see Purandocht)
Bournouf, edited a portion of the Zendavesta, bx
- 13ridqe, battle of the,' 505

Bryzacius, lahram's lieutenant, 424; cruelly treated by the Romans, \(4 \times 5\)
Bugeaud, Marshal, his crueltien to the Arabs, 211
Bund-i-Kaisar, at Shuster, 02
Bunsen cited (notes) 13, 450, (02.)
Burton cited (noten) M(S-PA, 104
Busrah, founded by the Moslems, 504
Buzea, Lloman paneral, 344, \(4(10)\)
Byzantium (ace Constantinople)

CABALISM, B

0Cabul, seat of Indo-Scythic empire, 140
Cadesia, battle of, 602-502
C'adineni, l'ersian auxiliaries, 370
Cadusians, prople of Caspian region, \(30,33,3 \% 0,050\)

\section*{CEO}

Cresarea Mazaca, taken by Sapor I., 83 ; by Chosroës II., 50.2, 503
Callinicus, battle of, 375,376 ; razed by Chosroës I., 401 ; threatened by Adarman, 461
Canzaca, palace of, 528, 043
Cappadocia, in raded by the Persians, 502, 503
Caracallus, emperor, 13
Carmania, 10, 17
Carrhæ, taken by Sapor I., 76; rotaken by Gordian, 77; apain taken by Sapor, 80 ; captured by Odenathus, 80) ; identified with Haran, 109
Carua, emperor, makes war on Persia, 104 sq. ; recovers Mesopotamia, 110 ; takes Seleucia and Ctesiphon, ib. ; his death, ib . ; retreat of his legions, 111
Cassianus, duke of Mesopotamia, 170
Cassius, A vidius, 12, 200, 210
Caurva, Persian demon, 632
Cavalry, Persian, 640
Cedrenus cited (notes) 272, 2:3,200,
332., 3331, 334, 343, 400, 501, 511, 52x, 040
Celer, Roman peneral, 357 ; ravages the Persian territory, 304 ;
Chalcedon, invested by the Persians, \(500^{-5}\); fall of, 5008
Chalcis, suburbe of, burnt, 373; ransom of, 3093
Chûlons, battle of, 307
(Champayny (see I)e Champagny)
Chanaranyes, Purnian general, 377 ;
put to death by ('hosrueis I., \(3 \times 2{ }^{2}\)
Chardin cited (note) 34
Chess, introduced into Persin. 450, (14×
Chiliacomus, district of Media, 242
China, emperor of, 20x, 67.5
(Chionites (erec IIions-nu)
Chlomaron, siege of, 404
('hnethas, a city of Persia, 4×., 521
(:horianes, Persian peneral, 40)
(Chouroies, king of Armenia, :37: protects the Parthian Arseacids, \(3 x\);
 51 ; aseassinated ly Anak, 52
Chosruia, king of fiastern Armenia, 259: meeks aid from Rone, 247 ; imprisoned by the Persians, ib.; restured by Iedigerd I., \(2 \boldsymbol{2 7}\)
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\section*{Priscus} Hist. 1 iv., \(\mathbf{P a}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Pancorice. Opera, in the Hiat. 1sizant. Scmptree of Ih. (i. Niebuhr. Ihanne. \(1 \times 33\) - \(x^{2}\). & Sozomix, in the Ilinimise Frclea. Sicriptoren, Coloniwe Allobrog., 101\%. \\
\hline I'tulex.s.c". (iecopraphia, ed. Bertius, Amatelonlami, lelfo. & Spizokl. Grammatik der Huzra-reach-Niprache, Wien. 1kirl. \\
\hline Prati, Ir.. Iectures un lanaiel the Prophet, Oxfund, leval (isd edition). & \begin{tabular}{l}
-, Zendarrota, Ikerlin, INJI-1958. Strabo, (ieographia, ed. Kramer, Ikerolini, 1M4t-1N52. \\
Sribus. 1axicon, ed. (iaioford, Oxonii. 1Nis.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Anlixalix, Ii., lire Ancirnt Oriental Nonarchica, Und ed., london. lnil. & Syxczllen, Chronographia, in the llink Hyzant. Scripl of 13. G. Nirbuhr, Ibinner, 1 NSM. \\
\hline . Sixth Griental Nonarchy, Ioodon, lai:i. & Srxpolt's, "pera, ed. I'otariaa, Latetive, 1613. \\
\hline . Tinamlation of Hendotua, with Nriten, Z̈nd ed. Iondun. lawt. & \\
\hline . II. I.. Inacriptione of l'eraia, in the Journal of the Rorval Asiatic Sincints, landon. INatilat!. & Tamaki, Chninique itranalation of llermann Zutenberg l, l'aria, 1607- \\
\hline Ricn. hurdi & \\
\hline Jhetil. lifliquis. 1~1/1-1. & rum Ihei I tranniation of J. (3. I. Kimparten i.(iryphinwaldiar, 1831. \\
\hline Itromi. Hio:•rin L6.ma. 1:4. & Tactits s, "pron, id. Waleber, Halie Saxirum, 1×:31. \\
\hline Irra & Trixirk, lemenption de liarmanie, \\
\hline 17ni:. & l'erse, if do \\
\hline & Tanmintice, 1 rationes, ed. I'ctavi l'ariaing liks. \\
\hline thatix. Vinion do. Ima llune & Turanmeritra, (1prera, in the llimotise \\
\hline  & Lechen. Scripurne of lieading, Cantabrine 1-4) \\
\hline fit Mivisis. J., M.ip.ited our & Tumprinaxe ilizantixis, in the \\
\hline No....... & lliot. (irar. Fraxmenta of C. \\
\hline I : \(\cdot 1.1\) & Wuller, ral. iv., Inamak, infl. \\
\hline & \(\bullet\) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
itil - II:ot. .. All. ra-liua trana \\
 loura. 1vis.
\end{tabular} & Tuviritriacti simeitr., in the Hos. Byzane triptater of IS ( \(\mathbf{i}\). Nintioht, Ibines. \(1 \times 4\). \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
 \\

\end{tabular} & Thimimali, lif. Habury of (imenen in landert': 1.ant ( \(\because\).l.ppedia, \\
\hline Ent:a. lir. W. In:ld nary of (irmik & 1andun. Inti, ic \\
\hline  & 1. .M Ia, Sematiat Ir:criptiome, in tha Jouraal if the lerral Anintic \\
\hline  I.. :ar.l.b. loted es. Iaid. & Sarty, vil. ia., Sew Strice, loo-d-n. livil, \&e. \\
\hline い, & Hithe.ivt, Hichiore dre fimpreare \\
\hline & \\
\hline & Triorixim. (ianea, land \\
\hline  &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Corrigenda.


\section*{INDEX.}

\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{8}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Al-Beri, Moslem fanatic, 570 \\
Alemanni, ravage Roman territory, 80; threaten Constantius, 173; punished by Julian, 191 \\
Alexander the Great, his idea of a Perso-IIellenic empire, 1, 2; attempts to collect the writings of Zoroaster, 8; adopts Attic silver standard, 09 \\
Alexander Severus (see Severus)
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Alexander Severus (see Severus)
Alexandria, seized by the Persians, 50.5

Al Modain, 312 (see Ctesiphon)
Al-Mothanna, Moslem chief, 550, 551 ; his successes against the Persians, 553; succeeds 4 bu Obeidah, 555; defeats the Persians, 550; his death, 557
Altai, Mt., Turkish court in, 428
Alypius, the philosopher, 355
Ameretat, one of the Amshashpands, 631, 632
Amida, battle of, 175 ; sieres and
- captures of, \(170,170,355,350\), 357, 359, 502, 514 ; gallant defences of, 180, 355
Ammar Ibn Yâser, Moslem leader, 57
Ammianus cited (notes) 55, 57, 77, 80. 118, 119, 121, 123, 128, 129\(132,134,14 \times, 155,150,160,161\),
 199; 197, 196-205, 207-214, 216\(21 \times, 220,222-23 x, 241,242,245-\) 251, 255, 321, 634, 037
Amshashpands, the Zoroastrian, 029
Anahit, worship of, 631
Auak, assassin of Chosroës, 51, 52
Auastiasius, emperor, 345, 353; causes of his quarrel with Persia, 354; concludes peace with Kobad, 360; strenythens Roman frontier, 301; bribes the Persian envors, ib.; his death, \(i b\).
Anathan, surrendered to Julian, 203
Anatolius, lieutenant of Julian, 228; slain, ib.
Anatolins, prefect, concludes peace with Isdigerd II., 302
Anbar, city on Euphrates (sce Perisabor)
Ancient Monarchics cited (notes) 19, 22, 23, 44, 55, 79, 104, 154, 164, \(175,183,180,221,440,446,485\),

489, \(550,568,579,616,628,629\) 631, 634, 656
Ancrra, taken by the Persians, 506
Anglon, battle of, 402
Angro-Mainyus, Persian principle a evil, 54, 625; sculptures of, 71 025 sq.; his angels, 632 sq.
Antag, Roman general, 568
Antegan, governor of Armenia, 335
Antioch, captured by Sapor, 80, 82
Persian expedition against, 374
invested by Chosroës, 388, 389
capture and sack of, 390 sq., 50 . 503
Antioch, on the Tigris, 395
Antiochus, Persian instructor of Theodosius, 272, 274
Antoninis, Roman refugee with So por, 173, 174
Anushirwan (see Chosroës I.)
Apameia, sacked by the Persians: 302, 502, 503
Apharban, Persian envoy to Galerius, 124; his treatment, 125
A phraates, Persian general, 466
Aphumon, captured by the Romans, 436
Appian cited (note) 5
Arabia, condition of, 423; establishment of a Christian state in, 424; Persian expedition against, 425 ; becomes a dependency of Persia, 420 (see Mohanmed)
Arabs, their incursions into Persian territory, 144 ; strange panic of 287 ; defeated by Vitianus, 289 their rebellion after the death of Mohammed, 548; subdued by Abu-bekr, 549
Aratius, Persian general, 367
Araxes, defeat of Persians on the 409)

Arbas, battle of, 463; taken by the Romans, 460
Arcadius, emperor, makes Isdigeri I. guardian of his son, 272 sq .

Archæopolis, city of Lazica, 412, 41i Archapetes, Persian envoy, 127
Architecture, of the Sassanians 579 sq. (sce Sassanian architecture
Archives, Persian, 651
Ardaburius, invades Persia, 285; in rests Nisibis, 286; destroys a Per sian army, 280

ARD
Ardi-Viraf, firt publinhed the Zendaverta, of
Ininzaines (are Impribidus the (ioth) Anvibindus herutenant of Anantaciue, :kio: Haht .f. sion
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\section*{Ariatin (or Irant, a! 3}

I nuthatus, heutenant of Jclian, 201:
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ib.: feuds of. 12; the Bactrian, AT. \(3 \times\); cuinafe of, 06)
Art, Parthian, 17 ; revived by Artaxerxes. 65 mp ; Chosocis II inapprevintion of. \(8: 41\) : Siamennian, 5:11 m., 61~. 1118 (mee Architerturo)
A riabannex, Armenian renegede, 245
Artabanus. king of P'arthia, 1:3: defente Mncrinun, 14; akilled in matic. :11: conteat with Artar
 35: hin denth. ib.; termn of his tronty with Mmerinus, (h)
Irtalminus, liketerant of Sapor, 246247
Artaducta, Perrian lady, 31
Artumen, made hing of Aruenin, 27 B , :rer: : d.jument. 24:3
Artaxnta, capital of Amenia, :20)
Artaverven 1, 10. 12, 1:3: deriden to revilt raninot the I'arthiann, 14, 1i: : hin birth and demerat, : \(\mathbf{x}\) ) : is,

 Artalmane. his :3: ; marlivet roim of. :x: . linine dominuth of the lant. \(: i=\) : war with lhomeno. ins, :! : atilution of. H); attack the
 with thom, 11, 8: : hin war with
 the lionanas. f: : n-oults of the


 1.. adt:at.orta: in nind lour of






 - … :-

I:........ 111 . r i.n .r. inll: bio
 Iher: \(\therefore 1:\) :
 Ireamel



Arcamlec. himite . f. iall
Aṇaco, ibe, :3 8

\section*{ARZ}

Arzanene, position of, 129; ceded to Rome, ib. ; recovered by Persia, 235 ; invaded by Romans, 358, 359 ; occupied by the Romans, 436, 464
Asad-subeh, Persian general, 551 ; defeated by the Mohammedans, ib.
Asha-vahista, 'light of the universe,' 030
Asia, ancient armies of, 43; influx of Roman gold into, 69
Asiatic Society's Journal cited (notes) 32, 70, 97
Aspacures, king of Iberia, 246
Assem, Mohammedan leader, 558
Asseman (Bibliotheca) cited (notes) 438, 447, 449, 450, 451, 455, 501, 503, 505, 537
Assyria, province of Persia, 113, 241
Assyrian Canon cited (note) 154
Attila, menaces Rome, 307
Augustine, St., cited (notes) 06, 08
Aurelian, emperor, defeats and captures Zenobia, 105; his triumph, 106; declares war against the Persians, ib. ; his death, 107
Aurelius Victor cited (notes) 86, 110, \(112,119,120,122,126,148,100\), 227
A vars, the, 508; leagued with the Persians, 518; their attack on Constantinople repulsed, 519
Avesta, language of, 59 ; cited (note) 628 (see Zendavesta)
Axumites (see \(\Lambda\) byssinians)
Azarethes, sent to attack Antioch, 374 ; intercepted by Belisarius, 375
Azerbijan, province of Persia, 296, 307, 402, 439 ; invaded by Heraclius, 520 ; conquered by the Arabs, 575
Azermidocht, daughter of Chosroës II., 538, 539 ; becomes queen, 544 ; coins of, 641

BAB , Armenian prince (see Para) Babas, Roman general, 417
Babek, father of Artaxerxes I., 30, 31, 32
Babek, Chosroës' paymaster, 445
Babylonia, Julian's army in, 205 (see Julian)

BEL
Bactria, revolt of, 79; held by Ephthalites, 312 ; gave troops to Isdigerd III., 572
Badawerd, lost treasure of Heraclius, 508
Badsan, Persian governor of Yemen, submits to Mohammed, 547
Bahman (see Vohu-Mano)
Bahman-Dsul-hadjib, defeats the Moslems, 555 ; his death, 559
Bahram, 102, 300 (see Varahran)
Bahram-Chobin, 324, 543; defeats the Turks, 468 ; resumes the Lazic war, 469 ; defeated by the Romans, ib . ; revolts with his army, 470; marches on Ctesiphon, 470 sq .; his correspondence with Chosroës II., 477 sq. ; defeats him, 479 ; seizes the throne, 482 ; his difficulties, 483; battle of Zagros, 488; his retreat and forces, 489; defeated by Chosroës, 490; takes refuge with the Turks, ib.; his coins, 491 ; his death, 496
Bahrein, island in Persian Gulf, 547, 569
Bakhtigan, lake, 20, 21
Balas, made regent of Persia, 324 ; succeeds to the Persian throne, 331 ; pays tribute to the Ephthalites, 332 ; establishes religious equality in Armenia, 335; his death, 336 ; his reigu and character, 337 ; coins of, 338
Balbinus, emperor, 76
Balkh, capital of Bactria, 298, 325 ; conquered by Arabs, \(57 \overline{0}\)
Baraoro, Indo-Scythic prince, 140
Barbalissus, town on Euphrates, 393
Baresmanes, Persian general, 371
Bar-hebreus cited (notes) 501-506, 542
Bartholonæi cited (notes) 331, 453
Basil, St., cited (note) 173
Bas-Reliets, 25, 603, 606, 610, 615, 646, 648, 650
Bassaces, Armenian chief, 384
Batnæ, Mesopotamian town, 199
Behistun, inscription at, 638
Belisarius, his campaign against the Persians, 367; his defeat, 368; assembles a fresh army, ib.; attacked at Daras, 369; his letters to Perozes, 309, 370 ; routs the
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\(1 . .\).
 1．：raceol to tbre lirronne，ib：


CHO
Chosroës, made king of Persia by the nobles, 283 ; yields the throne to Varahran V., 284
Chosroës I., of Persia, 363, 377 ; his accession, 379 ; intrigues of the nobles, 380 ; puts his rivals to death, 381 ; massacres the Mazdakites, ib.; makes a treaty with Justinian, 382 ; envious of Justinian's good fortune, 384 ; receives embassies from Italy and Armenia, 385 ; resolves on war with Rome 386; invades Syria and destroys Surôn, 387 ; invests Antioch, 388; captures and sacks the city, 391 ; negotiations with Justinian, ib.; pillages Apameia, 302 ; levies contributions on the Roman cities, 393; his return march, 393 ; concludes a truce, 394 ; builds Antioch on the Tigris, 395 ; receives the submission of Lazica, 307; his invasion of Palestine frustrated, 400; his retreat, 401; besieges Edessa, 403 ; concludes an armistice with the Romans, 404 ; his policy towards the Lazi, 406, 407; renews negotiations with Rome, 414 ; makes a treaty of peace, 410 ; terms of treaty, 420 ; makes war on the Ephthalites, 422 ; his designs on Arabia, 424 ; invades the Peninsula, 425; said to have sent an expedition against India, 426 ; his treatment of the Turkish ambassadors, 428 ; again makes war on Rome, 431; besieges Daras, ib. ; armistice, 433 ; receives tribute from Rome, 434 ; invades Armenia Minor, ib.; besieges Theodosiopolis, \(43 \bar{t}\); his death, 437; his administration, 438 sq. ; his system of taxation, 441 sq.; his army reforms, 443; anecdotes of him, 445, 455; encourages agriculture, 446; his marriage laws, ib.; his treatment of foreigners, 447 ; a patron of learning, 448 sq . ; his treatment of the Ohristians, 450 ; said to have introduced chess and draughts from India, 450, 648; his domestic relations, 451; his Christian wife and son, 452; his coins and relics,

\section*{CHR}

453 ; his character and wars, 455 sq.; his paluce at Ctesiphon, 581, \(583,584,591,502\); bac-reliefs of, 611 (see Takht-i-Khosru)
Chosroés II., chosen king of Persis, 472 ; difficulties of his position, 475; attempts to conciliate Bahram, 476 sq.; marches against B.hram, 479; defeated, ib.; takes refure with the Romans, ib, ; appeals to Maurice for aid, 480 ; cedes Persian territory to Rome, 482 ; his forces, 484, 486; his cruelty, 485; his campaign against Bahram, 480; joined by the Armenians, ib. ; fights Bahram at Zagros, 480 ; defeats him, 490 ; his second reign, 493 ; puts Bindoës and Bostam to death, 495 ; procures the murder of Bahram, 496; professes Christianity, 496, 407 ; sends offerings to the shrine of St. Sergius, ib.; his seraglio, 498; his relations with Rome, 499 ; his indignation against Phocas, 500 ; declares war against Rome, 501 ; defeats Germanus and Leontius, ib. ; captures Daras, ib. ; his victories, 502 ; success of his arms in Palestine and Egypt, 505; lays claim to the Roman purple, 506; retreats before Heraclius, 511 ; takes the offensive against the Romans, 513 ; enraged by the victories of Heraclius, 516, 518; his rast armaments, 517; his alarm and flight, 523; his obstinacy, 526 ; orders the execution of ShahrBarz, ib. ; his tyrannical acts, ib.; rebellion of his nobles, ib. ; put to death, 527 ; his character, 528. 520, 530 ; his treasures, 528 ; his stud, 528,642 ; magnificence of his court, 529 ; his seraglio, ib. ; his seals, ib. ; his coins, 530 sq. ; his sons massacred by Kobad II., 537 ; his reception of Mohammed's letter, 546 ; his palaces, 594, 618 sq.; archway of, 602, 627, 639, 644 ; reliefs, 612 sq .
Christianity, mpid progress in Asia, 95 ; insulted by fulian, 198 ; persecutions of, 104, 147, 276, 284, 310, 368
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\section*{DEC}
n-rrecupien Filenea, 1RW; hin idaction, INI: his death. IIK)
(iordyene, privition of, 1:0); cemion of. 1:5;
('omanns Indimpleunten cited, 914
Cintumen, I'.rnisn, 112:3, 14H
(rmuzer citoul (mate) lises
('rimen, Tatara of, :uns
Crime. the truc. imnaporinal to l'tesiphon, \(8 \times \mathrm{H}\); montorial by the lerpinns, \({ }^{2 \prime 2}\)
('teniphou, thentenel br the Romana, 75: Leminged be (mirnathon. No; atormend br Thair, 145; itn pleamuncen. 212: buttle before, 217 ; abandunad by lmikrent III., ixh; capturvil ly isind, enki; itn trooarina amed linuty, idis, inus, insio
Cunciform Inarriptionn citod ixs
C'urtilua, ISuintuc. ( itad ( note) d:
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11. … 1.1.. i inl tirnourm of

It Ibacupany citod (mores) tis,

DEG
\(46,75,77,78,82,87,00,106,111\), 126, 129, 133
Deguignes cited (note) 205
Demetrius II., 6
Demosthenes, governor of Cæsarea, 83
De Parbe, Lazare (see Parbe)
Derbend, pass of, 352
Deriah-i-Nemek, lake, 20, 21
De Sacy cited (notes) 32, 34, 71, 83, 261
Deshtistan, region of Persia, 18, 22
D'Herbelot cited (notes) 32, 34, 62, \(74,139,140,144,146,450,455\), 460, 491, 528, 566, 640, 648
Diacira (or Hit), occupied by Julian, 204
Dino cited (note) 630
Dio Cassius cited (notes) 33, 35, 37, 38, 39, 40, 09, 134, 194, 214
Dio Chrysostom cited (note) 55
Diocletian, resumes the war with Persia, 112 ; declares war against Narses, 119; his resentment against Galerius, 122 ; his views of Eastern conquest, 126 ; terms of treaty with Narses, 128
Dizabul, khan of the Turks, 427; sends ambassadors to Chosroës I., ib. ; to Constantinople, 428, 429 ; invades Persia, 428; retreats into Turkestan, 429
Docónus, river of Lazica, 414
Domentziolus, nephew of Phocas, 501
Dorotheus, Roman general, 372
Dovin, Armenian city, 323
Draughts, game of, introduced into Persia, 648
Dualism, Zoroastrian, 54, 622 sq.
Duperron, Anquetil, cited (note) 58
Dura, treaty of, 235 sq .

TBERWIZ (see Chosroës II.)
Ebn Ishak cited (note) \(5 \tilde{5} 6\)
Fckhel cited (note) 77
Edessa, occupied by Sapor I., 80 ; relinquished, 86; occupied by Sapor II., 186 ; re-occupied be Constantius, 188; besieged by Chosroës I., 403 ; its successful defence, ib.
Egypt, subdued by the Persians, 505
El Atik, canal of, 557, 500, 562

Elephant
Elisbe cite 642,651
El-Kakaa, routs a Firuzan El-Katif, El-Lis, ci1
Elmacin c Elymais, 1
Enesa, at
Ephraim
Ephthalite 294; cc Persia, 359 ; de and Isd digerd, sq.; de make \(\mathbf{P}\) Kobad, 427
Epiphaniu
Ertang, st 98
Eunapius
Eunomius: 288
Europus, 1
Eusebius 88,147 ,
Eusebius, Perozes
Euseni, al
Eustathiu Kobad :
Eutropius 121, 12:
Eutychius 539
Eutychius 104, 132 311, 321 503, 50. 537, 53!
Evagrius 45x, 46C

\footnotetext{
\(\mathrm{F}^{-}\)
ABRIC cited Fakiu, wi Farsistan, Faustus
}
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 3111
Fershana, tahen by Turks, f:DN
Fierinowin (Host. of Aribientura) citad (notra) isis, imhtinw, sill,

Fiprhal. P'eraian arehitort, 111:
Finotus ritan (noter) \(1 \geq 1-1: 3,13!\). lini, lias
Finlausi ( Ithimenon') cited (notes) 1:3. (i3, 4:x)
Firmorurolup (ar Zanmantrianians)
Firus, ibir if kilad ll., isis
Firuiatiand. sablet and ban-molin.fa at,
 imi: plane nod diasorxions of

Finitan. lere:antiontronl, inil: mave

 haverent. isit
Firudiacht. daughter of lerizex, 3:Ah, : : :



 (111. 1:18. 1:2ns. M8

Finht: its (Ancornol citend (mate) :: 81
Finur. aran if. lis
 1:0.: \(\because=\)
Fingia ane: at l'b.rnics. lian
(1) Illisil. 1. Grian tiown.:3:4
 11. :Ar:
(iabrin.e. c.esturte the war maibit Sare - 11:0. hio imforat. 1:41. 100
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lia :re :! l'reos. : :
liani.. - -amacarn dibs
liaem. Dralas: inim. ist:

liri.. : :.. 11:
 tho Tremaneo huaro, cli:
oct
(irogrnphiond Journnl cited (nofes) \(1 \mathrm{~N} .19,210,21,12,133,513,8: 0\), \(8: 3,5 \div 4\), ixh, Fuk
Geunse: Iliman aubbamador to Choes num 11., \(4(1)\)
(ienrrius lididn rilual (nolem) sove, 810, \(31 \%, \quad i 1 \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{~s} 19\)
(iesma-nicara. pumition of, 81.\%
(iermanus, entruatiod with defence of Antionh, : ins
Germanus. Ihman general. His, ijll; doforaterl by (homanom II., ix)!
(irmonius cited ( morea) 117, 34.)
(ihilan, I'enian provimen, t:8)
(iibhen citand (moten) ti3, H1, 23. 27,
















lilines. I'rmian commander of Amida. : : "x'

(iurhang, mupror. ill: marches a-minat Man"r. : : hich helter b.1. the
 dre.:-

 ~rital in liomant trriturn, : :\%- ;


limantia. emint-dalistites of sthatho lhars, if:


lome n tar lilumizalus. li:
limairy of Vazminmu citad (note) \(\because: 6\)
Gorem.and anol 1
 \(1: 0.1\)
fiutrace. hape of lasi, 304, 400 ,

\section*{GCE}

413; quarrels with the Romans, 415; slain, 416
Guedeceer, late name of Seleucia, 523
Gurdanaspa, commandant of Ctesiphon, 520 ; seizes Chosroës II.,527
Gurgan, Hyrcania of Greeks and Romans, 317 ; Perozes there, ib.; furnishes troops to Isdigerd III., 572 ; conquered by Arabs, 575
Gurgenes, king of tberia, 365; revolts from Persia, 368; maintains himself in Laxica ib.

H
AJI-ABAD, remains at, 92
Hamadan, capital of Media, 563, 572, 574
Hashem, Moslem leader, 507; defeats the Persians at Jalula, ib.
Hatra, 580 (see Manizen)
Haug, Dr. M., cited (notes) 32, 55, 58, 71, 93, 97, 622-629, 630, 632, 033, 635
Haurvatat, one of the Amshashpands, 631
Haxthausen cited (notes) 406, 407,413
Hazaravougd, Persian general, 322 ; pursues Vahan and recovers Iberia, ib.
Hejer, in Arabia, 146
Hemaiag, Armenian chief, 308, 310
Heraclea, city of, 107, 541
Heraclianus, defeated by Zenobia, 00
Heraclius, Roman commander in the East, 464 ; defeats the Persians, 466
Heraclius, prefect of Egypt, revolts, 503
Heraclius, emperor, 503; sues for peace, 505 ; his despair, 507 ; seeks to escape from Oonstantinople, 508; loss of his treasure-ships, ib.; his desperate resolution, 500 ; defeats the Persians, 510 ; his expedition into Lazica, ib. ; invades Armenia, 511; pursues Chosroës, ib. ; destroys the Magian temples, 512; his retreat, ib .; defeats thres Persian armies, 513; surprises Shahr-Barz, 514; recovers Martyropolis and Amida, 514; his pernonal braverv, 515 ; battle of the Sarus, ib. ; his dispositions against the Persians, 517; his alliance with the Khazars, 518; his march
from
520; 1
522; 1
523; returna trophis peace
reply,
Persial
Jerusa
with \(\mathbf{S}\)
Herat, ta
Herodian
40-45,
135, 14
Herodot
26, 10
631, 6
652
Hierapol:
387; с
Hillal, sl
Hindu-k
Hindusta to, dou with el
Hiong-nt Hippis, b Hira, sut Historia 79, 81 105
Holwan, 478; III., 51

568; в ib.
Homa, 7
Homerit
Horace c
Hormazd
Hormisdi
101;
coins,
Hormisd
his cha admini relatio coins,
IIormisd. throne throner
Hormisds his tyr

HOE
Iazic war, f(X); insults Bahram, ib. : peneral revolt afainat him, tiol, 4 i 1 : hin depurition and death, 4i.3. 4i:i: his prmonal character, \(47: 3\); his roinn, ib.
Ilorminda. l'enian prince, 116; his war with Nasmes, 1113 Mq. \(;\) mummusn foreiquern to his aid, 117; norted by Namen, ib.
Ilormimiar, lerrian prince, inaulted and iuprimond by the noblen, 142 oq. ; takee refurim with ('onstantine, 14! ; commands Kuman becione, 114, 2011: ent to treal with penple of P'erimbor, sero
Ilormux, virtory of Irtaxersem at, 37
Hurmuz, l'rraían pederal, defrated lis hated, ini!
1lurauzan. matrap of Susiana, 801 ; hie battlen with the Momeann, 800), :;il: surn Mohmmadan, fio
II.unorth. II. II., cited (note) 3HI

Huna, diatinct from Fiphthalitea, :nis: allime of Indiaren II., ;(X)I:
 \(4: 1\)
Ilyile (de Ifrlienone Irrarrum) cited (ncter) (w), i:37, (has)
HIpration, limana pederal, 35\%, 354, :
 4!
 1:it. modol by Namen, 1:65; in-


 .ab:, ronewod inublas in, :mb;

 !uron!ed by the If mano. :": :
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1: : : \(\because\) ll . ratol 1 anero iniz, : asis,


\section*{Jal}

Imac, patriarch of Armenia, 277, 211, 213
Iedikerd I., his acceanion, 2(N); his penceful reisen, e271; made guardian of Therdocius, 2 of ; favours the Christians, 2is; persecutes the Mayiann, ib. ; edcouraures mansacre of the ('hrintinne, 2its; manctione their extirpation in Armenia, \(\mathbf{i b}\).; bis cuins, 27 N ; hin character, 270 ); stranpe sury of his death, 280 , 2N1
Iediferd II., succeeds Varahran V., :311; declarce war aqrainat lionse, ib.; concluden pence, sive; hie war with the Thtam, ih: nouts the Ephthadites, 304 ; hin Arme-
 chiefs to profeen Zormartrianiam, 3xu; proviohes a relipioun war, : 27 : : compuln the A nueniane to menounce their relixion. : XN : his buttlon with the F.phtha!iten, :(XNO; hise death and character, ib. ; hie cuins, 3111
Indirend III., becomea hing of I'rmia, 84t': difficultion of his
 defesind br the Niwearn, ixil), Sisl,


 piorly tol llilwan, Paii, tullei, sameand wherv, sit: ; tahes the
 deniruction of hin juner, sit ; hin douth. sis: hie charaiter, 8is

Iadi;unan. I'rnian eavory the the

Infaha:a, intioine of l'resia, till
1000.
1.taki (are l'ersepelio)
lealy. ama if. 115
 1"\%.:1:
 of Alou-lehs, inil
 Jairtiso. l'rrian primpal, eiss, \(\therefore \therefore:\). : A- 1
Jaluin. Initie u!, :00\%


INDEX.
JAM
Jamblichus, philosopher, 173
James, E. B., cited (note) 185
James, St., bishop of Nisibis, 155, 162
Jebel-Karajah-dagh mountain, 130
Jebel-Tur mountain, 130
Jerahi, valley of, 37
Jerome cited (notes) 158, 160, 161
Jerujer river, 162 (see Mygdonius)
Jerusalem, captured and burnt, 504
Jews, their activity, 05; massacte the Christians, 504 ; their plots, 507
Jezireh (see Mesopotamia)
Johannes Epiphaniensis cited (notes) 431-3
Johannsen cited (note) 423
John of Antioch cited (note) 78
John of Nalala cited (notes) 78, 84, 85, 100, 109, 288, 289, 357, 361, 362, 363, 365, 366, 307, 308, 373, 374-378, 382, 383, 389, 410, 424
Jornandes cited (notes) 122, 295
Joseph, patriarch of Armenis, 300; martyred, 308
Josephus cited (note) 9
Journal Akiatique cited (notes) 31, \(33,37,62,64,70,73,93,99,116\), \(254,255,261,264,268,282,284\), \(208,301,303,304,306,309,311\), \(319,324,347,390,441,405,498\), \(500,501,504,505,507,510,518\), \(521,533,537,540,541,543,545\), \(507,037,038,041,042,647,649\), 652, 650 (see Patkanian)
Jovian, becomes emperor, 229 ; conducts the retreat of Julian's army, 2.30 ; harassed by the Persians, 231 ; his troops swim the Tigris, 232 ; receives envoys from Sapor, 234 ; sends ambassadors to the Persian king, ib. ; accepts a shameful peace, 236 ; its terms executed, 237; his death, 247
Jovians and Herculians, rout of, 230
Judaism, in Mesopotamia, 04
Julia Mamæa, mother of Al. Severus, 40, 40
Julian (Orat.) cited (notes) 11, 153, \(154,155,156,150,160,161,102\), 163, 166
Julian the Apostate, assumes the purple, 188, 100; his birth and character, 101 ; his ambition, 102;
prepart
194;
Sapor,
and ir insolen
forces,
Mesop
200;
Sarace:
march
speech
possess
hostile
barbari
enters
harasse
besiege
braver
Nahr-i
Maogal
inhabit
Ctesipl
213, 2
29.; ;

219; t
to retre
tion il
begins
by the of his
Persial
ib. ; \({ }^{\text {a }}\)
his dea
army,
general
Persia, paign, tenants 242; d Juroipacl Justin cil Justin, \(\epsilon\)
his reli his wal death,
Justin, en 428; s
Turks, the \(\mathrm{Pel}_{1}\)
432
Justin, R
Justinian
his ir

\section*{JC8}

Wid: cubcludes pexare with Chownon l., isie: en.; surcem of his arma in Furopme isci: attacked ly ('hoserwe, imi : accepte C'borrinst lemus of prace, ixh4; Temoncee the treaty, :1745; his ination dofeated by the lromians, f14\}: corsluiden a truce with I lhominer, fi4: violatex it, fils; enken the laxi under his proteridith, f110: arain bozotiaten with the l'remians, 413: concludes

Juatinian, llimuan commamberer in the lint. fixh, 4.4: invadon l'ress arnursia. fi38: defented by Tanuchemen, this

K
 kialal. the 'anind of (icel.'
 :inl. AiL

hanate. imiantion b. 140. :2'
Kan...e. clatian the l'ersian crumb,

Kanr-j--hirin. battle of. int-
ha:ulph:co, chor of the liphethatiter, : \(:-\)
Karroun, rity .f. : \(\mathrm{m}_{1}\)
 :Ar!




hi.sit.: rin.r. \(11: 01: 1: 1: 01.2: 5\)

Kharate. :ho is :.ro: war with l'remin, ..11 -1 . !! loa'ol ligh lad I.

 w.i. n:. : \(\because\) I: t:an.e \(\therefore 1 \%\).

K!., -is: , we Irsa:.:-
 \(\because 0.00\)
h... .: . I'יtoan prictal. inio.
 -••



Kinf, 31B; retaliates, 317; his war with l'ervia, ib.; entrape the I'rerian arme, 31n: concluden puace with l'mzer, ib. ; altacked by P'eruzen, 3:4; defrats l'erizes biv a ntmaqum, 325, 3263; concluden a truaty with Balan, 3isi!; aids Kobad I. to ubtain the l'ernian thnome, 3:8)
Khusintan (oce Nuniana)
Kileb-itheryhat, on Tistin, 132
- King of Kingn, ctitlo anounced by (Adenathun un his coirne, (M)
- Kinnwir (Itrmim Eimpire) cited ( motea) 1N, 111, 210, 2!2, 8:1
Kubad 1., mon of l'erozes, 345; ; prolt of, ib.: taken nofurw with the F.pthoo litem, ib. : succeseds to the thnite, siss: bulds it an tributary of the F:phthaliter, :441: Annwn jonlouta of his vizir, ib.: his war with the Khazarm, :381 ma.: hin virtury, :18: : lerritares a pinmelyte of Mardak, itt: nuppurta the Jainn aotran ('ommume. 345: depmed nad i:aprionent, :44: © weapmand enhoe refluge with the F.plathalition
 manid nitm, (hix) M. : hin quarmi
 :Ini: ho nalurere, Bint invaden
 Aminla, ib. :captunn :her rit! and
 aurprice and dootnis. a Renuan
 the. If. manag, :wal: war with them Ifthlalitoes. ith: mentinter with Ju•: :i, :ail, hia stranger pinpmal. :Wi: . hiceme, h. . nianamere the



 :a:. lo pathe lin eniwn lu


K..tad 11. hio ervono in. iak3: frte h:c father t.: douth. ib.:
 ri:co. It. hie iolter sio the IL. ran rationim. isis. hie imoty -ith llorarline. inki . hio pelpular arle, is 11 , un

Chosroës II., 537; his remorse and death, 530 ; length of his reign, 540; his coins, ib.
Kobad, son of Zames, 380
Koes-en-natek, on Western Euphrate8, 554
Koum, province of Persia, 439
Koushans, the, defeat Perozes, 820 ; defeated by Smbat, 408
Kufa, founded by the Moslems, 504 ; head-quarters of SR'ad, 570
Kurdistan, ravaged by the llomans, 436, 451
Kurdiyeh, sister of Bahram-Chobin, 498
Kurds, like Persians, 24 ; in Roman service, 184
Kurs, a Scythian in the Roman service, 434; captures Chosroës' camp and bargage, ib.; ravages Kurdistan, 430
Kutaïs, city of Lazica, 413, 414, 417

LACTANTIUS cited (notes) 80, 87, 119, 136
Lampridius cited (notes) 41, 43, 44-40, 48; his creaibility, 45
Laristan, 10
Lavard cited (notes) 87, 120-131, 145, 154, 158, 231, 232, 514, 628, 647
Lazi, become allies of the Romans, 405; revolt from Persia, 400; their alienation from Rome, 416
Lazic wars, 306 sq., 407,415 sq., 460
Lazica, account of, \(396 \mathrm{sq} \cdot\); surprise and capture of a Persian army in, 400 ; abandoned by the Persians, ib. ; again subjected by the Persians, 413; suspension of hostilities in, 410 (sce Lazi, Lazic Wars)
Le Bean cited (notes) 153, 341, 342, 345
Lebtarikh cited (note) 32
Legion, composition of Constantine's, 175
Leontius, Roman commander in the East, 501
Libanius cited (notes) 143, 149, \(153,155,158,158,150,160,161\), 104, 105, 200, 205, 207, 210, 211, 213, 217, 220, 221, 227, 243

\section*{MAL}

Licelarius, Roman general, 367
Lilius, envoy of Phocas, 500
Litarbi, Srrian city, 109
Loftus (Chaldrea \& Susiann)
(notes) 33, 02, 163, 550, 627
Longpérier cited (notes) 07, 6 04, 90, 252, 263, 278, 310, 331, 338, 349, 453, 454, 641
Lucilianus, count, 162, 202, 20 K
Lucius Verus, emperor, 41
Lucullus, Roman general, 154
Lydus, Johannes, cited (notes)
353, 357, 301, 363, 369

M
ABOG, native name of D polis, 502
Macoudi (the Arabian histe cited (notes) 52, 60, 64, 7 \(100,102,103,108,116\), \(195,143,146,254,255,260\) \(275,282,204,297,298,300\) \(326,330,346,347,350,426\) \(442,450,459,460,465,468\) \(480,482,487,488,405,524\) 627, \(520-530,533,539-545\) \(554,550,550,562,604,640\),
Macrianus, invested with com against Sapor, 80; betrays loman arny, 81 ; assumes purple, ib.
Macrinus, defeated by the Par king, Artabanus, 14, 30; his 1 with Artabanus, (n)
Magi, a priest caste, 8, 10, associated in the goverament persecuted by Isdigerd, massacre the Christians, massacred by Darius Hyst 636; their priesthood, 637, 639
Magian hierarchy, its place in thian governmental system restored by Artaxerxes, 57
Magnentius, emperor, 165, 179
Marophonia, annual festival, \(\boldsymbol{0}\)
Mah-sabadan, district of, 588
Malala (see John of)
Malcolm (Hist. of Persia)
(notes) 18, 34-3才, 60, 61-63 70, 87, 01, 92, 100, 103,104 \(114,136,139,143,144,145\), 205, 268, 279, 281, 208, 207

\section*{MAM}

323, 32:~, :31, 34: 343, 400, 401, Bin, sitis sia
Mamisoniana, the Arnenian. 310
Mani, the beroviarch. IMS; his relisious prikemiona, 157 : njerted by Sapor, Itas; quita l'ersia, ib. : roturns, lus; his followers, ib.; his death, \(10 ; 3\)
Manicheres, ductrides of, IW; their re:ixious proparanda, 108 : persecuti.n of, ib.
Manizen, moult of, it; betrared by hio dauribter. is
Manuml, raritan a melellion of the A nurniara, jiN1: mendo an rumbaray to Artaxerxes II., ib. ; hic treaty with the l'rroinne, 2:37; memactre the I'eraiamn. !isn; hin war with Artasersom, it : denith of, ith
Memramalcha, hraienced by Julian, 2111: its fall. 211 ; maciecre of ito lababitants, ib.

Harma, emperor, refuem to aid the A пuerimas, :xif
Marcian, prefort of the Iant, 431


Madia, taken bi (bimene Il., ext:
Masoyen. (imotk ingth of. 14:
Martisuo. Ihuman sroveral. t17, 41ヶ
Kartingrisa, ILician furtismo, :WW, 576; boimand ho the l'eniana, s57; urrupiond liy the I'erciano,
 aken by llerneliua, ilt
Marucha, Vixobup. \(\therefore\) :is
Maruzeo, de?cuind by the llumame, HLS
 and doseryents of, ixhi ini mi., 310
 :-41), (ail

Macappirs. Itivabr l'rrain, liai, tbris breime at Imran. :i:11. .:il

Naurice. I: tuan rocumamior. t.zis.
 grisect tise was with lornia,
 ith: drienio the l'reone:o. Hi: . bovares eauperis, ib., detcrias.one

MES
to aid Choorvies II., 4N1; deposed and murdernd, 500
Maximin, emperor, 75
Maximus, lluman envoy to Varab\(\operatorname{man}\) V., 2Ni
Maxiak, the communistic prophet, 382; his twaching, 243: converts Kubad 1. to his views, 34t; hin pretended wiracte, ib. : meized and imprimened, :343; liberated by his followem, ib.; prameres of his opiniuna checked, :35! ; conapiracy of the Maxiakiter, :uts; his fofliwers mamacred, :nLs, 3 Nl ; and tinally exterminated, tiry
Nebudiox, Kubad'o rizir, 377, 371)
Mrinules, l'resian perderal, tive, 4KB
Meboden, ('humnw' limutenant, \& Wh; capturen is.leucia and Cevoiphon, ib.
' Merkejo cil., t1l.
Media, insaliod by . Il. Sieverua, 47 ; hin logown there, ib.
Mrdian rilm. 24
Medina, monidence of Abu-bekr, 652; of (huar, :iol
Mrane, biohip of Ibrhara, : Kot
Mozin, on liuplarates, enhi
Mriran, :im
Nalitene. luimt by ('binenuma, tifs
Nermadidor I'rotertir rited ( nefore) 1:00,
 tiki tis, t+1), tti, tixi, till, Hi:2

Menlanghab, put wideath by \((\) lhomane 11., isis

Meriman. mis of C'bunara 11., isth,

Menlabhe, plain of, :N
Nonlano.e if llimera, 1~0

 t. \(n\) !mon it. \(11:\) : menmparm
 ther lidtiane. tht: hie aucromete and donth. this
Nenu:a:i, Inur nina milde. :3is
Meri, miaiol his Fiphthalito. : Mmb;
 d,onl 111. : \(: 7\)
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Mihr-Narses, vizir of Isdigerd II., 303 ; fails to proselytize the Armenians, 305
Mihr Yasht, cited (note) 628
Milman (History of Christianity, \&c.) cited (notes) \(58,00,02,05-07\), 102-104, 152, 450, 472, 496
Mindon, defeat of the Romans at, 368
Mionnet (Médailles) cited (notes) 44, 155
Mir-aduris, Persian general, 483
Miriades (see Crriades)
Mirkhond cited (notes) 100, 102, \(103,104,107,114,116,130\), 137, 139, 140, 141, 143-146, 195, \(255,200,264,205,208,260\), \(275,279,282,283,294,296,297\),
- \(298,300,311,312,313,314,318\), \(924,325,326,391,336,337,339\) 342-344, 340-348, 350, 377, 378, \(305,421,426,42 \pi, 437-440,42\), \(446,447,450,452,453,455,456\), \(459,460,407,468,470-473,480-\) 482, 491, 495, 494, 500, 505, 520 , \(526,527,529,530,533,536-539\), 542-545, 641,642
Nithra, the sun-god, 55, 627, 032
Mithridates I., of Parthia, his conquests, \(5,6,65\)
Modjmel-al-Tewarikh cited (notes) \(31,37,73,255,268,282,294\), \(296,298,300,342,537\)
Nohammed, rise of his power, 540 ; sends letters to Heraclius and

271 \(32 i\) 474
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Mosul,
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Moyse
328 ,
Müller
(not
Gra
Müller
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\section*{INDEX.}

\section*{PAC}

PACATES (Pameg.) cited (note) 259
Palash (tee Belas)
Palestise, threatened by Chosroës I., 400 ; plague in, 401 ; invaded by Shalur-Barz, to:n; conquered, 504 ; surrendered by Nirvers, 035
Palnyra, Kingdom of, 89, 100 ( tee Odenathus, Zenolvia)
Panites (see Priscus)
Papak, requited father of Artaxerxen, 32 ; lin rank, 35 (ate Babek)
Para, son of Arsaces, 245 ; thles refuye with the Rowans, 240; proclaimed king of Armenia, ib.; death of, 250
Parbe, Lazare, cited (noter) 209, 310\(323,333,334,330,345,050\)
Parsees, their religion, 622
Parthians, their empire, 5,6 ; their treatment of the Persians, 6, 7; principle of their government, 7 ; etatua of the Magi, 8 ; their reliyion, ib. ; their rule in Persia, 10 ; thoir ethnic charncter, 11 ; ask aid from Rome, ibs, idecline of their military power, ib. ; their want of culture, \(i\) b. ; their wars with Kome, 12; Persians revolt from, ib.; their incapacity in Bipipes, 44 ; decay of art under, 67
Parviz (see Chosrous II.)
Pasargade, ancient capital of Persia, 20, 509
Paschal Chronicle cited (notea) 14s, \(155,164,542,307,501,504,505\), 518, 519, \(524-527,533-533\)
Patkunian cited (notes) 30, 73, 187, \(190,206,251,255,201,264,284\), 2418, 301, 303, 304, 306, 304, 211\(313,319,324,331,334,335,330\), \(347,360,453,484,405,495,500\), \(5011,502,503,504,505,506,510\), \(511,518,518,521,533,533,539\), \(541-545,637,638,641,642,647\), 649, 552,650
Patricius (see Peter)
Patricius, Roman general, 357, 358, (is?
Patroclus, Fr., cited (note) 207
Pearls, of Persian Gulf, 23
Pehlevi, language of Sissaninus, 60
Pelusium, phavee at, 401 ; taken by Persians, 044

\section*{Bts}

Perisnlor, position of 205: be by Julian, 2tiv; ite surnat captured by Kiled, 85
Perozen, son of Isderod II. defeats Hormisleo asd le ling, ib.; recovess Allazie his reipe, ibs hin trat ei Ephthalites, 316 eq.; hivde ib. ; revews the war. 31t trapped by the Eppatinatio does homage ta the Epbthuit 318; persecates the in Ohristians, 310 ; his trompe by the Armeaian, \(3: 1\); the war with the Ephelsalitess forces, 321 ; his anphistry defeated by the Ephchalite his denth and chankiter, wor his coins and rase, 39s, 3 3:
Perozea the Mihraa, 3is; 1 Belisarius, 849 ; his leti Belisarius, 312,370
Persarnuenia, made a Pomit vince, 2203 : invaled by 45 mans, 366,434
Persepolin, 20, 48; bat-relief becomes the capital of Pin 212, 643
Persin, its religion nuder the \(C\) Macedoninas and Pamhiat prevalence of Zorvastrinnisnu Parthian rule orer, 10; na relicion of, ibs. ; situation ass of, 16,17 ; climate and soil 18; mountain traet of, 18 great desert of, ibs.; lake \(t\) 20,21 ; productions of an \(21,22,23,24\); inhabitants admidistration of Artaserxe sq. ; revival of ayt in, fis; col (3it ; invaded by the Roman 47, 100 ; civil war in, 116 ; vinces ceded to Thome, 123 iusurection of nobles, I 43 : dition of under Sapar 11., 14 iavaded by the Massarete, tribes on the Eastern frontver. invaded by Julian, 201; inc of Chrigtianity in, 275: tro after death of Isiliyen 1., 283 ; invaded by the Ephth 2319 ; war of succession in, terrible famine in, 313 sq; graceful treaty with the Epl
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Perrints, umater the tieleuciles. 3; namen nor 'pponition th ther I'arthan::ค. 15; cauces of their revolt fre :ta l'artime. 10, 11:, 1:3: their lito mature. 1.s: pianoserpmuy of






 of marfanc. th. their cunsond of


 Mi. ithe:rgant linax. Inl , harneo



 :-" - . in Irur:an, : : : , d.o













 zri... 1 .
 drib alee \(\mathbf{W}, 1, \because\)

Peter I'atricius rited (muire) in, Ni, Ni, 1:14, 1:23, 1:M, 131, 1:02, 171, 1:2
Perta, besiaqued by ('hoankies I. 3x)w; by the liumans, tum; reidef of, ib.; niage nomewed, +10 eg. ; its stubburn defence. +11: fall of, 41:
I'habriane, P'enian general. 4(N), 4.j3
l'harak, I'ernian anibanalur io Ileracliun, sihs
Ihannodzem. wife of Annacen, :345; captureal by tiepor, :zti;
Pharanuium, dintrict of, 343
Pharns, lesuler of Ileruli, siol
1hania. ntrustrle ketwicen the Ilomans and l'orinas at, its
 dao 1V.. dio
Ihilip, nuciomer of Ciontian, is: his trunty with injur, ib.
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\(\therefore 1 \because \quad \because\)


PRI
Priscus Panites cited (notes) 315\(317,318,310,323,352,353\)
Probus, emperor, revives the project of an invasion of Persia, 109
Probus Sicorius, Roman envoy to Narses, 127; concludes a peace, 128 sq .
Probus, bishop of Chalcedon, 407
Probus, Roman governor of Circesium, 480
Procopius, Julian's lieutenant, 200, 245
Procopius, general of Theodosius, 288
Procopius cited (notes) 85, 129, 199, 234, 259, 206, \(270,272,273,279\), 282, 287, 294, 205, 302, 317, 318, 323, 325, 326, 342, 336, 343, 346-\(348,351,353,355,356,357-361\), 363-309, 371-380, 382-384, 387-\(390,302-414,424,449,452,453\), 455
Prosper, count, 173
Pulwar, river, 20
Purandocht, daughter of Chosroës II., 538,539 ; said to have been married to Shallr-Barz, 543 ; made queen of Persis, ib; her short reign, 544 ; coins of, 641
Pusey, Dr., cited (notes) 623, 024

QUERCIUS cited (note) 509
Quietus, adversary of Odenathus, 89
Quintus Curtius. See Curtius

RAHAN, general of Perozes, 312, 328
Ram-Hormuz, city of, 102,139, 569
Ras-el-Ain, taken by Chosroës II., 502
Rawlinson, Sir II., cited (notes) 33 102, 448, 489, 510 511, 513, 514, 520, \(523,524,550,551\)
Rei, city of, 508, 572, 574
Reliefs (see Sculptures, Bas-Reliefs)
Renaudot cited (note) 451
Resaina, battle of, 77
Rhazates, Persian general, 521 ; sent against Heraclius, ib. ; slain, \(5 \cdot 2\)
Rhodes, submits to Persia, 506
Rich (Kurdistan) cited (note) 231

Rion, river, modern name of the Phasis, 407
Rocknabad, ' brook of Hafiz,' 21
Rodosaces, Malik, 206
Rome, her armies in Asia, 40; receives ambassadors from Artaxerxes, 42 ; troubles in the empire, \(75,112,270,307,502,506, \overline{2} 7\), 508 ; succession of weak emperors, 79 ; her position on the death of Constantine, 151 ; licentiousness of her army, 152; her frontier crossed by Sapor, 153 ; friendly relations with Persia, 260

Her Wars with Persia, \(40 \mathrm{sq} . ;\) \(42,43,75\) sq., 106, 109,110 sq., \(112,119 \mathrm{sq} ., 148 \mathrm{eq} ., 154 \mathrm{sq} ., 173\) sq., 196 sq., 249 sq., 257 sq., 267 sq., 285 sq ., 301,303 sq., 353, 354 sq., 307, 386 sq., 395, 431 sq., 401 sq., 486 sq., 501 sq ., 509 sq.

Her Treaties with Persia, 49, 69, 78, 79, 128, 235, 236, 238 , 259, 285, 289, 290, 301, 302, 360, 367, 382, 383, 394, 404, 419, 420, 535.

Her Victories over the Persians, 81, 83, 110, 113, 123, 187, 208, \(210,217,225,230,259,289,359\), \(370,303,409,410,412,418,436\), \(462,463,465,460,469,4 \times 8,502\), \(503,505,504,510,511,513,514\), 515, 51×, 5292, 524

Her Defents, 47, \(\mathbf{7}\) ©, 80, 81, \(\mathbf{1 2 0}\), \(123,156,159,160,174,175,1 \times 3\), \(185,355,350,355,308,387,390\), 391, 392, 402, 403, 414, 415, 439, \(435,462,463,466,430,501,502\), \(503,504,505\) (see also under heads of Emperors of Rome and Kings of Persia)
Routh (Reliquice Sacra) cited (note) 96
Rufinus cited (note) 237
Rufus, Sextus, cited (note) 85
Rumia, Greek settlement of, 447
Russians, first mention of, 117
Rustam, Persian general, 553; defeated by the Moslems, ib.; assumes the offensive, 557 ; fights a four days' battle with the Mohammedans, 558-562; defeated and slain, 562
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prepares for war with Rome, 240 ; conmences hostilities, 250 ; concludes a treaty with the Romans, 251 ; his denth, ib. ; his coins, 252, 253
Sapor III., succeeds Artaxerxes II., 250, 260 ; his war with the Arabs, ib. ; his inscriptions and coins, 201, 262, 203; his death, 204
Sarablagas, a Persian officer, 513
Saracens, allies of Julian, 107; offended by him, 231 ; harass Jovian, ib. and 233; assist Varahran V., 286 ; assist Isdigerd II., 301 ; ravage Roman territory, 373 ; assist Kobad I., 374; solicited by Justinian, 585; assist Belisarius, 309; at war among themselves, 429 ; promise aid to Maurice, 461; assist Heraclius, 511
Sardis, Anaitis worshipped at, 631
Sarmatians, in Juliar's army, 232
Sarus, battle of the, 515
Sasan, reputed father of Artaxerxes, 32
Sassanian, architecture, 579 \(581,583,585,586,587,589,590\), \(591 \mathrm{sq} ., 598,590,600,601 \mathrm{sq}\). , \(605 \mathrm{sq} ., 607 \mathrm{sq}\).
Sassanidæ, use of the term, 33; coinage of, 69 ; inscriptions of, 71 ; fall of their power, 574 ; their state and magnificence, 639,640 ; their sereghio 640, 641 ; their court, 641, 042 ; their palaces, 043 ; their military costume, 044 ; their amusements, \(045 \mathrm{sq} . ;\) genealogy of, 057 (see Artaxerxes, Sapor, \&c.)
Satala, taken by Chosroës II., 502
Satraps, governiment br, 3, 4
Sauromaces, ling of Iberia, 246; banished by Sapor, ib. ; restored by the Romans, 248
Sûwûd, Persian province, conquered by the Mohammedans, 553
Scinde, said to have been ceded to Varahran V., 208
Sculptures, Persian, 25, 04, 68, 70, \(71 \mathrm{sq} ., 82,91,92,108,329,604\), 605, 608, 607, 608, 609, 610, 611 sq., 014, 048, 650 (see Coins, Inscriptions, Bas-Reliefs)
Scymnia, conquered by Mermeroës, 413

Scyths, istan, Seals, \(\mathbf{P}_{1}\) Sebaste, Sebastial Sebastia Sebocthe Roma Secundir Segestan II., 10 Soleucia, Seleucia, Seleucid, 2, 3; their \(\dot{c}\)
Sêpêos ( t (notes) Seraglio the Se Serbistar 588
Sergiopo
Sergius, 497
Serosh ( Severus, Parthi of mar
Severus, sadors ment march crosses 45; c of his 45, 46 46, 4 loeses, tion, 4:
Shahên, 505 ; defeats Theod Shah-nar Shahpur, 643
Shahr-Be II., 50 503 ; the C sacks J and \(c\) defeate
snA
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351, 303, 378, 3955, 421-426, 437, 438, 440-446, 449, 400, 467-473, 478, 479, 481-484, 487-490, 405, 406, 498, 500, 508, 526, 527, 528, 529, 530, 533, 534, 530-539, 541575, 604, 614, 610, 640, 641
Tabaristan, Persian province, 297, 498, 572
Tablets (see Sculptures, Coins, Inscriptions)
Tacitus, emperor, 109
Tacitus cited (notes) 53, 134141
Tactics, Persian military, 053, 654
Takht-i-Bostan, sculptures at, ©cc. 64, 68, 598, 012 sq., 018, \(627,039,043\), 644, 645
Takht-i-Khosru palace, 505, 566, 581, 583, 584, 591, 592, 593
Taleqan, city of, 312
Talnud, the Babylonian, 95
Tamchosro, Persian general, 435; defeats the Romans, ib. ; defeated by Maurice, 402
Tamsapor, satrap of Adiabene, 170
Taric, Persian god of darkness, 032
Tarilih-Kosideh, cited (note) 32
Tatars, Isdigerd's war with the Transoxianian, 303; Justin applies to the Crimean, 306 (see Ephthalites)
Taurus, passes of, 82
Taver (see Thair)
Tekrit, town on Tigris, 185, 568
Telephis, loman fort in Lazica, 414
Temanites, tribe of \(\Lambda\) rabs, defeated by Sapor II., 146
Terentius, duke, invades Iberia, 248,
Tewarikh (sec Modjuel and Zeenut)
Texier cited (notes) 91, 02, 03, 583 605-012, 626, 653
Thair, takes Ctesiphon by storm, 145
Themistius (Orat.) cited 172
Theoctistus, Roman ofticer, 390
Theodore, brother of IIeraclius, 518, 53ī) ; defeats Shahên, 518
Theodoret cited (notes) 147, 155, 16i2, 237 , 273, 275, 276, 284, 288, 302
Theodoric, Roman general, 461
Theodosiopolis, position of, 287; besieged by Varahran V., 288; defended by its bishop, ib.; surrendered to the Persians, 355 : restored and strengthened by the

TIM
Romans, 301 ; taken by Chosme II., 502

Theodosius I., reign of, 258: bi treaty with Persia, 259; refuses t aid Chosroës of Armenia azain the Persians, 267.
Theodosis II., committed to th charge of Isdigerd I., 272; brouph up by Antiochus, 273 ; falls unde influence of Pulcheria, ib. ; refuse to deliver Christian refugees to \(\mathrm{V}_{2}\) rahran V., 284 ; his causes of quar rel with Varahran, 285; his peac with Isdigerd II., 302, 352, 361
Theodosius, son of Heraclius, ©
Theodosius, son of Maurice, mar dered by Phocas, 500
Theophanes cited (notes) 143, 15 F 272, 373, 275, 276, 279, 270 \(284,285,287,290,294,204\) 331, 332, 336, 341, 343, 347, 353 \(355-357,350,360,362-364,357\) 373, 389, 400, 427, 429, 431, 422. 434, 464, 466, 470-473, 479, 480, \(485,490,405,500-503,505,506\), \(509-528,530,534,535,543,545\), 643, 648
Theophylact of Simocatta cited (notes) 429-437,459-473,478-495, 408-521, 502, 619
Thessalonica, massacre of, 970
Thilutha, town on Euphrates, 204
Thirlwall, Bp., cited (note) 1
Thomas (Numismatic (hronicte) cited (notes) 36, 65, 66, 70, 9. 102, 141, 251, 261, 265, 266, 2"ন̈, 284, 309, 313, 378, 454, 4:1, 474, 401, 532, 540, 577 \(, 574,618\)
Thomas of Maraga cited (note) 537
Thrace, ravaged by the Avars, 508 , 519 (see A vars)
Tiberius, count, nominated Cresar. 432 makesovertures of peace to Choeroës I., 434 ; attempts to negotiate with Hormisdas IV., 460 ; his death, 462
Tiflis, sieqe of, 517, 518
Tigranes, Persian leader, 216
Tigris, passage of by Julian, 215, 216
Tillemont cited (notes) 129, 147 155, 160, 191, 193, 194, 223, 236 270, 271, 273, 274, 303
Tinesitheus, his operations agains| Sapor, 77

IIR
Tirnnur, king of Armeuia, 182. lins: carrurul by tianur II., ib.
Tindatoa. min of 'homenex, king of
 tian, 11: my.: dofente the l'resinra. 11:3 -1.: attarkoul hy Narme. \(11 \%\) : h.a tiohe. llas: rontaral by tinioriuv. 1:3: beromen a (hrintuan and perorutom the idulatorn, lis:
Tintrya. I'r.mian deity. fi:Y
Toharintan, marion adjoining liartria, \(31:\)
Tolleirha, Monjem Iredor. Bew
Torma, canal of, ip:
Trajen, emprint, 1:, IIPI, 184, :345, \(\because 13\), :15. 310
Trajan, cuunt, eda

Tributap. phymian. 4+40
Tristram. (aning, cited (nodes) part.
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\section*{vaE}

Vakhtang, king o: llerin. : : \(\mathrm{i}(0)\) : h:a trouchary, : :0:1: tiew to Colehia. ?:는
Vinlakherh (arr Italas)
Valnmacor, Armprian prince. Lixi:

 with injar 11.0 :34 4.
Vnlentinian, emperro, elit
Valorian, "ug wnir. marches quainat Taporir 1.. al: he in taken priconer. il.: hin amy heimind, ib.; hin trecment and death. MI, mi, 125
Valerive Maximun citod (notr) ist
Valosiun cited (ncte) lizi
Van. later .f. 1:41, 814
Vandal. conquernal by Ih.limariu". 3
Vaphrize.. Prprian meneral, t1s
Varahman iece limhramat buhin).
Varahran I . nucreaner of Hormimba.lore gute Nani to death, lla;:

 thenternal lis Iur-lian, llis: hio donth. il.
Vamlimin II. hin tyranus. lan: anto ju:atom the : Natani, ib. hin nat with lise Ithatiane, lum, wi:h Carue. Il". Lic armion deforatod Wh lindater. 113: hin domil. I1t
Vamhimilll., ourrevelo tuthe thento. of I'rain, 114: bie abort soisil. 11:


 (haraiter and dowila, :vin. lan.. risie.fa if. dita

 ch mer.es. il. prraruter the 1 hrie:nate, \(\because-1\), merno thm war with I.:t.e. - - ru: .race tho










VAR
romantic death, 299; his character, 300
Varahran-Sapor, made king of Armenia, 267 ; death of, 276
Vararanes (see Varahran I.)
Varaztad, made king of Armenia, 255 ; his treachery, 256 ; his expulsion, ib.
Vart, Armenian chief, \(\mathbf{3 3 6}\)
Vartan, leader of Armenian insurgents, 307
Vartan, Armenian insurgent, \(\mathbf{4 3 0}\)
Vasaf, the Armenian margrave, 305, 307 ; deserts his countrymen, 308
Vasag, Armenian leader, 321 ; defeats the Persians and is slain, ib.
Vatché, king of the Albanians, 313
Vaux, Mr., cited (notes) 574, 575,577
Vayu, Persian deity, 629
Vazten, prince of Iberia, 306
Trendidad cited (notes) 71, 366, \(624,625,626,635,638\)
Venus, Babylonian, 631
Vertæ, allies of Sepor II., 169, 176, 180
Verus, emperor, 238
Vetranio, emperor, 165
Victor, lieutenant of Julian, 194, 202, 206
Virgil cited (notes) 651
Vi,ta, attacked by the Persians, 185
Vitianus, Roman officer, 289
Vitrahâ, Persian deity, 629
Vohu-Mano, grand vizir of Ormazd, (6:30. 6:32
Volageser (see Balas)
Vopiscus cited (notes) 105, 106, \(1(17,109,110,112\)

WEIL (Geschichte der Chalifen) cited (notes) 549, 558, 500
Westergaard cited (notes) 55, 58, 636
Wilson (Ariana Antiqua) cited (notes) 53, 69, 140, 141, 165, 169
Windischmann cited (notes) 631, 632
Witiges. king of Ostrogoths, sends embassy to Kobad I., 384

XENOPHON cited (notes) 4, 22, 26, 43, 130, 5̄57, 628
Xerses, son of Kubad. 368

\section*{ZEC}

YACNA cited (notes) 623. 624. 630, 631, 633, 634, 635
Yad, tribe of Arabs, 260
Yaksoum, king of Yemen, 424
Yemen, Abyssinian conquest of, 423 Persian expedition to, 425; becomes a Persian prorince, 424 submits to Mohammed, 547
Yesdem, Heraclius at, 523
Yezdigerd (see Isdigerd)

I7AB, rivers, 470, 522, 643
\(\angle\) Zabdicene, province of Persia ceded to Rome, 123, 130
Zacharias, patriarch of Jerusalem, 504
Zadesprates, Bahram's lieutenant. 483, 484
Zagros, chain of, 18 ; battle of, 437 : crossed by Heraclius, 533
Zaitha, scene of Gordian's murder. 78 ; Julian at, 202
Zamasp, declared king of Persia. 346; his reign, 347 ; resigns ththrone, 340 , his coins, ib.
Zames, son of Kobad, 363, 380
Zareh, brother of Perozes, \(3: 34\) : his revolt, ib. ; defeated, 3:35
Zaric, Persian god of poison, 6:32
Zeenut-al-Tewarikh cited (notex) 32, 296
Zemarchus, Roman envoy to the Turks, 428
Zend, sacred writings in the, 10 : Aryan character of, 59 ; lancuares derived from, ib.
Zendacesta, 54; Duperron's translation of. 58; first published br Ardâ-Viraf, ib.; Westergaard and Spiegel's editions of, ib.; translated into Pehlevi, 59 ; cited, \(\mathbf{6 2}\). 624,626 ; hymus of, 634
Zeno, emperor, 317
Zenob de Glag cited (notes) 31!
Zenobia, defeats Heraclianus. (א): defeated and captured by Aurelian. 105
Zenobia, city on Euphrates, 3*i;
Zermanducht, widow of Para, 256. 05
7er-Milar, an adherent of Kobad. 340
Zeugma, on Euphrates, 400

\section*{2EC}

Toun cited（mote） 341
Ziebel．khas of the Kharare， 817
Zilzibis，chief of the Humn，say
7inthn，fort in Northern Media，128， 1：4
Tonarme cited（molen）81，84，116， 11！，123，1\＄1，1Ri，164．105，22P， 4：11
7arromater，Alexander the Gireatis altempe to enllect his writinge，\(R\) ； fall of bis relision． 674 （ mp Zarteatrianiam，Magi）
Zammetrianialu，ita two priscipleo． 1i．Bt，012ㄴ，029，124，A25，A28． N：－：：culerdinate ileition of，09\％

\section*{202}

9．；demons and ovil genii，652， eq．；ponition of Men in，638： ceremonial and merifices，6SK： human duties，635；moral rode， ib．；teaching on the future otate． 650；its pricets，630，eq．；8iro－ woraip， 63 x
Zosimus cited（motes）162，194， 108，184．21ㄴ－317，220，222－224， \(\because 27-232.234\) ．238－2\％4，241， 250 ． 251， 278
Tilrmens，withdrawa his Armeminas from Julian＇r asmy， 242 －his motires， ib ：：executed with bio family．2t5
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[^0]:    
    
    

[^1]:    ${ }_{2}^{1}$ Arrian, Exp. Al. rii. 4. ${ }^{3}$ Arrian, iii. 16, 22, 23; ri. 27,
    ${ }^{2}$ Compare the Author's Sixth 20, \&c.
    Monarchy, p. 36.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Xen. Cyrop. viii. 6, §§ 3-| vol. iii. p. 424, 2nd ed.
    16 ; and compare the Authors ${ }^{2}$ Arrian, Fr. 1; Zosim. i. 18; Herodotus, vol. ii. pp. 462-3, 2nd Syncell. p. 284, 13. Compare the ed., and his Ancient Monarchics, Author's Sizth Monarchy, p. 43.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Compare the Author's Sixth ' Justin, xxxvi. 1, § 3. Monarchy, p. 77.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ibid. § 4, and xxxviii. 9, § 2.

[^4]:    
    
    

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Having obtained the writings, Alexander is said to have burned them ; but the whole character of his policy makes this incredible.
    ${ }^{2}$ Strabo, xi. 0, § 3.
    ${ }^{3}$ Agathias, ii. 26.
    ${ }^{4}$ See the Author's Sixth Monarchy, p. 399.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sep, on this point. the Author's ${ }^{\text {4 }}$ See the Author's Sixth MonarSi rth Monarchy. pp. 19-20. chy, pp. 291-2.
    ${ }^{3}$ Julian, Orat. ii. p. $63 . \quad 3$ lbid. pp. 258 and 203.
    ${ }^{3}$ See the Author's Sirth Monar- ${ }^{6}$ Ibid. p. 29.2.
    chy, Pp. 3x6-7 and 420-430.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ By Trajan A.D. 116 ; by Avi- ${ }^{4}$ Ibid. p. 312.
    dius Cassius A.d. 165; and by Sept. Severus A.d. 198.
    ${ }^{2}$ Dio Cassius, lxxi. 2.
    ${ }^{5}$ Ibid. pp. 284-6, 296-7, 318, 348-9.
    ${ }^{-}$See Mos. Chor. Hist. Armen.
    ${ }^{3}$ See the Author's Siath Monar- ii. 65 and 68.
    chy, pp. 329 and 346.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ See the Author's Sixi\% Monar- Agathangelus, the Armenian chy, pp. 354-6. historian, makes Artaxerxes tax

    2 Ibid. pp. 348-950.
    ${ }^{3}$ The Koman war terminated Artabanus and the I'arthians generally with cruelty and oppression A.D. 217. The first revolt of Ar- (ii. §5); but he gices no instances taxerxes probably occurred ab. A.D. of either. 220.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ The area of Frunce was estimated in 1808 at 213,324 square miles. It is now not much over $200,000 \mathrm{sq}$. miles. That of Great Britain is about 90,000 sq. miles; that of Italy, without the islands, under 100,000 .
    
    -'Susiuna has almost become a part of Persia' (xv. 3, § 2).
    ${ }^{3}$ Carmania was in ancient times reckoned a part of Persia (Herod. i. 125); but the later classical writers (Strabo, Arrian) and the Persian authorities for the Sassanian period make it a distinct country.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ The natives speak of a ghermsir or 'warm district,' and a serdsir or 'cold region' (Kinneir's Persian Empire, pp. 54, 200; Pottinger, Travels, p. 221 ; Geograph. Journal, vol. xxvii. p. 184). The 'warm region' is known also as the Deshtistan, or 'low country.'
    ${ }^{2}$ See Pottinger, Travels, p. 54; Fraser, Khnrasan p. 71; Kinneir, pp. 54, 70, 81; 201.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ker Porter, vol. i. p. 462.
    ${ }^{2}$ Called also Lake Kheir. The name Bakhtigan, which maintains its place in our maps, is said to be at present unknown to the natives (Abbott, in Geograph. Journal, vol. xxv. p. 71).
    ${ }^{3}$ Noore, Lalla Rookh, 'Veiled Prophet,' p. 77; 'Fire-Worshippers,' p. 232 ; \&c.
    ${ }^{4}$ Ker Porter, Travels, vol. i. p. 683.
    ${ }^{5}$ Abbott, in Geograph. Journal,
    vol. $\mathrm{xxv} . \mathrm{pp}$. 72-75.

    - Kinneir, Persian Empire, p. 60.
    ${ }^{7}$ The ancient capital, Pasargadm, was situuted in the valley of the Pulwar (or Cyrus), a tributary of the Bendamir. Persepolis, which superseded Pasargadæ, was at the opening of the Pulwar into the Bendamir ralley. Remains of Cyrus, Darius, Xerxes, and other Achæmenian kings abound in theae two vales.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ Arrian, Hist. Ind. xxxvii. 2, ${ }^{\ominus}$ Ibid. xv. 22. xxxviii. 9 .
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid. xxxviii. 6; Strab. xv. 3, $\oint 1$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Supra, p. 19.
    ${ }^{4}$ Plin. H. N. xix. 3.
    ${ }^{5}$ Ibid. xxiv. 17, xxvii. 13.

    - See Ancient Monarchies, vol. iii. p. 140, note ${ }^{18}$.
    ${ }_{7}$ Plin. xv. 13 and 14. The word 'peach' is corrupted from the Latin persica. (Compare Germ. Pfirsche, Russ. persikie, and French pêche.)
    ${ }^{2}$ Plin. H. N. xii. 3.

    10 Arrian, Hist. Ind. xl. 4. Compare Herod. i. 136; Nic. Damasc. Fr. 66; Strab. xv. 3, § 18. The statement of Xenophon, that anciently a horse was a rarity in Persia Proper (Cyrop. i. 3, § 3), is one of the many to be found in the work known as the Cyropadia, on which no dependence can be placed.
    ${ }^{11}$ Kinneir, Persian Empire, p. 41; Fraser, Khorasan, p. 72.
    ${ }^{12}$ Strab. xv. 3, § $1: \pi$ mòs rais
    

[^13]:    ITrac. HuN. Ind. xisrii. 10 ;
    1!.9 - . 1.0;
    i il $\div-1$ rasib asp. biswernp. ena:- cod amsera the domosic
    a. =e. I I'rical Itroper, bilth by liores.EO L e. 1 and Niculat of 1 maxametal 1: 0):

    - Itrac. Mine Ind 1.1 : xoon.
    
    - lezer .Monerthero, vul iii. 1 ! :
    $\because 1+1$ ir 181 :
    - Vor-a an In Mic. Ind.

    1:8.1. 1
    : Ibid. xxxix. 8 .

    - Wumeley, Trusta, wol. i. pp. Mil.


    ## ftes. dic.

    - Plin. M. I. vi. 23.
    ${ }^{10}$ As the irifis, a npecien of rockerruial Illin. H. A. xxerii. II, suh fin 1: the atiser, a white stone which had a plement adour lib. Exxrii. 10): the mahrar, a Rem of many bure ribid. ! : the mepperrion. whicis ramembled irory libid. $:$ and then ehelycardecse or irroke, which was in oprcial favour among the matives of the counitry (ibid.)

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ Arrian, Hist. Ind. xxxviii. 3. sented in the ancient sculptures: The account of pearl-fishing given by Isidore ( 800 Müller's Geographi Minores, vol. i. pp. 254, 255) is probably a description of the Persian practice, with which, as a native of Charax Spasini, on the Persian Gulf, he is likely to have been familiar. The pearls were obtained wholly by means of divers.
    ${ }^{2}$ Herod. ix. 122.
    ${ }^{3}$ Dr. Prichard says of the Persinn physiognomy, as repre-

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ Herod. i. 71.
     sixov $\pi$ idous àmayiac.
    ${ }^{3}$ Xen. Cyrop. i. 2 , §§ 8 and 11.
    4 Herod. i. 71 ; Xen. Cyrop. i. 2, § 8; Strab. x7. 3, § 18.
    ${ }^{5}$ Herod. i. 135 ; Xen. Cyrup. viii. $1, \$ 40$.
    ${ }^{6}$ Herod. i. 133 ; Heraclid. Cuman. ap. Athen. Deipn. iv. p. 145, F.
    ${ }^{7}$ Herod. 1. s. c.; Xen. Cyrop viii. $8, \S 10$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Xen. Cyrop. viii. 8, § 9.
    ${ }^{\circ}$ Herod. vi. 112, ix. 62, 71.

[^16]:    A. at : Lirabicun Arrina.
     tast.

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ See the woodcuts on pp. 66, 67, ${ }^{2}$ See the Author's Sixth Mon94, \&c. ; and compare them with the archy, pp. 371-397. Achæmenian countenances on p. 25.

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ Herod. i. 95 and 214.
    2 Agathangelus, the earliest of those Armenian historians whose works have come down to us, was the secretary of Tiridates the Great (of Armenia), and lived consequently in the earlier half of the fourth century, or about a hundred years later than Artaxerxes. Moses of Chorêné wrote a century later (ab. A.D. 440). Agathias is still

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ Agathangelus, i. § 3; Mos. Chor. Hist. Armen. ii. 54, 66, \&c.
    ${ }^{2}$ De Sacy, Mémoire, \&c., p. 30; Thomas, in As. Society's Journal, New Series, vol. iii. p. 269; Spiegel, Grammatik der Huzvaresch-Sprache, p. 172; Haug, Old Pahlavi-Pazand Glossary, p. 5. The inscription of Artaxerxes is confirmed by those of his son, Sapor, who calls Papak (Babek) his grandfather (De Sacy, p. 31 ; Thomas, in Journal of the Asiatic Society, New Series, vol. iii. pp. 301, 314; Haug, Gilossary, p. 46). There are also coins of Artaxerxes which have his head on the obverse, with the legend Artahshetr, and on the other side the head of his father, with the legend Mazdäion bag Papak, 'the Ormazd-worshipping divine Papak.' (See Mordtmann's article in the Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gosellschaft, vol. viii. p. 29 ; compare Thomas in Num. Chron. for 1872. p. 48.)
    ${ }^{3}$ See Malcolm, Hist. of Persia, i. p. 89; Thomas in Num. Chron., New Series, No. xlv p. 47. The variety, however, of the Persian accounts is almost infinite. The Lebtarikh makes Artaxerxes the son of Sasan, and calls Babek his maternal grandfather (D'Herbelot, Bibl. Orient. tom. i. p. 375). The

    Tarikh-Kozideh and Bina-Kiti agree on the latter point, but make Sasan the other (paternal) grandfather (ibid.). The Zeenut-al-Tuarikh has two Sasans, one of whom is the father and the other the grandfather of Babek. Maçoudi gives two genealogies of Artaxerxes, each containing three Sasans, and one of them two, the other three Babeks (Prairies d'Or, tom. ii. p. 151):
    

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hist. Armen. ii. 66. The statement is repeated by Eutychius (vol. i. p. 367): 'Anno imperii (Commodi) decimo exorti Persm Babelem, Amidum, et Persiam occuparunt, duce nempe Ardashiro, filio Babeci filii Sasani, Estochrista.'
    ${ }^{2}$ Oฟ̀ros $\dot{\text { oे }}$ 'A
    
    
    3 Tabari says he was a native of a city called Tirouze, which was in the government of Istakr. (Chronique, ii. n. 67.)
    ${ }^{4}$ Supra p. 30.
    ${ }^{5}$ See D'Herbelot, Bibliothèque Orientale, tom. i. p. 375, ad voc.

    - Malcolm, History of Persia, vol. i. p. 89. Tabari calls him 'Governor of Darab-gird.' (Chronique, tom. ii. p. 68.)
    ${ }^{7}$ These inscriptions were first copied by Carsten Niebuhr, the father of the historian of Rome, and are given in his Voyages, tom. ii. pl. xxvii. They may be found also in Chardin, Voyages en Perse, tom. ii. pl. lxxiii. ; De Sacy, Mémoire, pl. i. ; Ker Porter, Travels, vol. i. pl. 23 ; and Flandin, Voyage en Perse, tom. iv. pl. 180.
    Papak is called malka in the Persian, and $\beta a \sigma \lambda_{k v i s}$ in the Greek version.

[^21]:    
    
    
     earliest coins of Artaxerxes to the period when he was King of Fars only, or perhaps of Fars and Kerman.
    § 8).
    ${ }^{4}$ Ibid. 1.s.e.

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mos. Chor. ii. 68; Agathang. Lepones, the Silvani, the Caspians, $\stackrel{\text { 1.s.c. }}{2}$ Mos. Chor. ii. 69. Compare
    ${ }^{2}$ Mos. Chor. ii. 69. Compare
    Herodian, vi. 5.
    ${ }^{3}$ Mos. Chor. l.s.c.
    4 Dio Cass. l.s.c.
    ${ }^{5}$ According to Agnthangelus (ii. § 1), Chosroés called in the aid of and the Huns (!). He was also helped by the Saracens (ii. §4).
    ${ }^{6}$ Agathang. ii. § 2 ; Mus. Chor. ii. 69 .
    ${ }^{7}$ So Moses (Hist. Arm. ii. 70, ad fin.). Agathangelus, however, the earlier writer, makes no such the Albanians, the Iberians, the

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ See the Author's Sixth Mon- $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}\text { (Dio Cass. Ixxx. 4). }\end{gathered}\right.$ archy, p. 360.
    ${ }^{2}$ They had recently murdered
    ${ }^{3}$ Herodian, vi. 2 ; Dio Cass.
    lixx. 3.

[^24]:     :- nam mene ob impura its belua rocrpomuo.'

    - Liorudial La c.

[^25]:    1 Four hundred youths, selected from the tallest and most beautiful of the Persians, dressed in rich apparel, and with golden ornaments, mounted moreover on fine steeds, and armed with bows, carried the message of the Persian monarch to
    
    
     'Anias re rĩs Eúpúty àvtıkethévク̧. (Ibid.)
    s Eǐ̀at yàp airà Пe $\kappa т, \jmath \mu a \tau a . \quad$ (Ibid.)

[^26]:    ${ }^{1}$ On the Parthian incapacity, see the Author's Sixth Monarchy, p. 406, note 4. The early Persians had shown no such weakness (Ancient Monarchies, vol. iv. p. 130); but the warfare of the later Persians far more resembles that of the Parthians than the more scientific method of their own ancestors.
    ${ }^{2}$ Herodian, vi. 5. Compare
    Lampridius, § 55.
    3 'Terras interamnanas . . . re-

[^27]:     An. 1

    - Hemdian, vi. ", anb ink.

[^28]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lampridius thus sums up the account of Herodian and his followers :-'Amisisse illum (ec. Alexandrum) exercitum dicunt fame, frigore, ac 'morbo' (§ 57 ); but Herodian saysnothing about famine. His words are: $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \rho t \omega ̄ \nu ~ \mu o t \rho \omega ̄ ̀ \nu$
    
     $\pi о \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \varphi$, кри́єь. Lampridius seems to have read $\lambda_{i} \mu \psi$ for $\pi n \lambda_{i} \mu \mu$.
    ${ }^{2}$ The Persians had, however,
    lost a large number of their best troops. The Romans of the southern army had fought well, and their defeat had cost their enemv dear. (See Herodian, vi. 6, sub fin.)
    ${ }^{3}$ Persepolis seems to have now become the main Persian capital, under the native name of Istakr or Stakr. (Agathang. i. § 9, sub fin.) It was threatened when the southern army of Severus was expected to invade Persia Proper (supra, p. 46).

[^29]:    ${ }^{1}$ Herodian, vi. 5; Mos. Chor. erident that he has been misled ii. 69. Moses, it is true, calls the by a false view of Roman chronoRoman emperor, who was the ally logy.
    of Chosroës, Philip (!); but it is ${ }^{2}$ See p. 46.

[^30]:    ${ }^{1}$ Agathang. § 14.
     [oi батрánal] rvòs фvyádas ì $\mu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \boldsymbol{\psi}$
     $\mu о \beta \rho v x i o u s ~ \pi є \pi о \neq \eta к а \pi и . \quad(\mathrm{Ib} . \S 15$.
    ${ }_{3}$ Ibid. c. iii. § 16.

[^31]:    Ma. Ct : in in Agnthasم - . . .ene eitup print.

    Tracear l.e. : Mun. Cbor. $-4$
     is Les mally, it io probable, unwarmatable.'
    :2 itrad rocondmen

    - Inrlime and Fall, ch. viii. (rol. i. p. $2 t 81$.
    'Wilmon, Ariana Antigma, $p$ 3-9. Thin writes notes that the
    - tiee abore, pp. 8-10.

[^32]:    Agath. ii. p. 64.
    ${ }^{2}$ A critical analysis of the vol. iii. pp. 104-107.) But we Zendavesta into its earlier and only know the Persian religion later portions seems to show that historically from the time of Darius Dualism was a development out Hystaspis, when Duslism was cerof an earlier Monotheism. (See tainly a part of it.

[^33]:    Bepmaily Nithin the sun- 'macrificera, or matho, 'wise men'
    
    
     Parare, pp. 2tb-247): never ilari. Man-len. vel ita pa 1:8-1:3n. A ternu which eorme identify with 'rest. IT. is \{S 14 and 15: Marue (maga or maghara) occurs
     c6. 1 mem Marc. iniii. Ascition : 2
     : : : lane Vare l.a.c. The car. prose $d$ the Zanemonano -ric aind Lers, 'mara' herapen, aresia itim Wemierpmand, Introdureven (1, Yondareala, p. 1i.)

    - llino. Fr. A ; tichol. ad Nicandr. Ther 113: ('ic. In Ihr. i. 23, 41: Val Max. i. $n$.
    - Agrechian, ii. p. 68.

[^34]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mos. Chor. ii. 74.
    ${ }^{2}$ Herodian, iv. 30.
    ${ }^{3}$ Mns. Chor. l.s.c.; Dio Case. lxxp. 12.
    © Mos. Chor. 1.s.c.
    s 'Whether,' says Professor Max Müller, 'on the revival of the Persian religion and literature, 500 years after Alexander, the works
    of Zoroaster were collected and restored from extant MSS. or from oral tradition, must remain uncertain; and the disturbed state of the phonetic system voould rather lead us to suppose a long-continued influence of oral tradition.' (Bunsen's Philosophy of History, vol. iii. pp. 116-7.)

[^35]:    ${ }^{1}$ Milman, Histnry of Christianity, vol. ii. p. 251. (Compare the dissertation of Bradow, prefixed to Syncellus, vol. ii., in the Corpus Hist. Byzant. of B. G. Niebuhr, Bonn, 1829.)
    ${ }^{2}$ Anquetil Duperron, who, towards the close of the last century, profersed to translate the Zendavesta into French, was incompetent to the task, and gare a wrong im. pression of the true character of
    the volume. Burnouf first edited with correctness a portion of the text, which has since been published in its entirety by Westergaard (1852-1854) and Spiegel (18511858).
    ${ }^{3}$ See his Translation of the Avesta, Berlin, 1861.
    ${ }^{4}$ On this point the reader may consult Haug's Essays on the Sacred Language \&c. of the Parsees, Bombay, 1862.

[^36]:     $\rightarrow$ Has-y. vil iii. p. 116.
     Looes on ine priond by lisat.
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^37]:    ${ }^{1}$ See the account given by Malcolm, from Persian sources, of the dying speech of Artaxerxes (History of Persia, vol. i. p. 95). Compare Macoudi, Prairies d'Or, vol. ii. p. 162.
    ${ }^{2}$ So Milman (Hist. of Christianity, vol. ii. p. 254), whom I venture to follow, though I have not found ancient authority for the statement.
    ${ }^{3}$ Gibbon, Decline and Fall, vol. i. p. 338; Milman, vol. ii. p. 252.

[^38]:    - Hyde, De Religione Persarum, c. 21.
    ${ }^{5}$ The account which Maçoudi gives of the Court and governmental system of Artaxerxes (Prairies d Or, tom. ii. pp. 153157) is curious and interesting, but can scarcely be regarded as authentic. Macoudi did not write till about A.D. 950 ; and the picture which he draws represents probably the later rather than the earlier period of the Sassanian kingdom.

[^39]:    ${ }^{1}$ Malcoln, Hist. of Persin, vol. i. text. (See Mohl's extracts from p.96. There is a remarkable consensus the Modjmel-al-Tevarikh, in the of authors on the point of Artaxerxes' Journal Asiatique for 1841, p. 502.) love of justice. Agathangelus, the ${ }^{2}$ D Herbelot, Bibliotheque Orien-
    
     рwir ккii $\pi n \lambda_{1}$ reíq dıкatoríty (§ 9). Eutychius, the Latin writer, notes of him: 'Quanta fieri potuit cum justitia inter homines versatus est ${ }^{-}$ (vol. i. p. 373). The Persian historians make the assertions given in the
    ${ }^{3}$ See Mos. Chor. ii. 70 and 75.
    4 See the Author's Sixth Monarchy, p. 85.
    s Agathang. § 12.

    - This is probably what Dean

    Milman meant when he said that
    'the Magian hierarchy formed the

[^40]:    
    
    
    
    
    

[^41]:    ${ }^{1}$ Maçoudi, Prairies dor, vol. ii. pp. 159, 160.
    ${ }_{2}$ Tabari, Chronique, vol. ii. p. 74.
    ${ }^{3}$ See below, p. 67.

    - See Flandin, Voyage en Perse, tom. i. pl. 14; Ker Porter, Travels, vol. ii. pl. 66.
    ${ }^{5}$ Sir R. Ker Porter regarded the two main figures as Artaxerxes and Ormazd, the prostrate figure as a symbol of the fallen Arsacidæ, and the radiated personage as either Zoroaster (!) or 'a personification
    of the Mithratic religion' (Travels, vol. ii. p. 193). Flandin also thought the radiated figure to be Zoroaster (Voyage en Perse, tom. i. p. 442). Mr. Thomas takes the view of the matter which is followed in the text. (Journal of As. Society, New Series, vol. iii. p. 267, note 3.)
    - See below, p. 94; and compare Ker Porter, vol. i. pls. 21 and 28 ; Flandin, vol. i. pls. 31 and 33; vol. ii. pls. 49 and 53; vol. iv. pl. 185; Texier, pl. 129.

[^42]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mr. Thomas renders the phrase by 'Ardeshir's fire-altar,' comparing nuvazi with the Pehlevi nuus, which has this meaning (Num. Chrom. 1872, p. 51). Mordtmann thinks this translation impossible, and
    suggests 'Artaxerxes the chanter' (der Anrufende). (See the Zeitschrift, vol. viii. p. 32.) De Sacy originally read iezdani for nuvazi; but this reading is now generally regarded as mistaken.

[^43]:    
     Murdemana, in she Paicertruft, vil.
    
    
    
    78

[^44]:    ${ }^{1}$ Longpérier, Médailles des Sasranides, p. 2.
    ${ }^{2}$ For a representation of this Nakhsh-i-Rustam tablet, see the Chapter on the Art of the Sassanians.
    ${ }^{2}$ Besides the bas-relief above described, Artaxerxes has left either three or four others. One, also at Nakhsh-i-Rustam, represents Ormazd, giving Artaxerres the diadem, on foot (Ker Porter,
    vol. i. pl. 27, No. 2; Flandin, Voyage en Perse, pl. 193). Another, at Firuzabad, is similar, but shows us Artaxerxes accompanied by four attendants (Flandin, pl. 44). A third, at Takht-i-Bostan, exhibits Artaxerxes handing the diadem to his son, Sapor (Ker Porter, pl. 66 ; Flandin, pl. 14). The fourth, at Salmos, to the west of Lake Urumiyeh, which may have been the work of Sapor, represents Arta-

[^45]:    Lorice an nupp in birmebeck, orrinise the oubtainino of the creanan ilier florthr. inl ii. $\underset{\sim}{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
    l,espircer. Mrdallee do menera I Irolecen $p$ ir. and aleo 7 is To of Verrinus
    
    
    

    I Ibid. p. 14

    - Peartrian gold coins are rase, but have bron found (Wilem, Ariona Antiqua, Pp. 21R 223); Iadian are cummun cibid. pp 34754)!.
    - Dio Cemua, lexriii. 87. Compare the Authuri Stret Momercty,

[^46]:    Supra, p. 68.
    ${ }_{2}$ This inscription, which was first copied with any accuracy by Carsten Niebuhr, will be found in his Voyages, tom. ii. pl. 27. It is also represented in the work of Ker Porter, vol. i. pl. 22, opp. p. 548. Though bilingual only, it
    is triliteral ; the Persian transcript being given, with only alight differences, in the two sets of characters, which have been recently distinguished as 'Chaldæo-Pehlevi' and 'Sassanian Pehlevi'(Taylor, in Journal of Asiatic Society, vol. xii. pp. 264-266). The latter and simpler

[^47]:    ${ }^{1}$ Malcolm, History of Persia, vol. i. p. 96, note ; D'Herbelot, Bibliotheque Orientale, tom. i. pp. 378-9. Some writers are content to make her an Arsacid princess (Tabari, ii. p. 76).
    ${ }^{2}$ As Artaxerxes only reigned fourteen years after his last victory over Artabanus, if he then married that king's daughter, and Sapor was their son, he (Sapor) could not have been more than thirteen at his father's death. But the wars in which he is at once engaged do not suit this age.
    ${ }^{3}$ Compare the stories that Cambyses was the son of Nitetis, a daughter of Amasis (Herod. iii. 2); that Cyrus was a son of Mandans, daughter of Astyages (ib. i. 108); and that Alexander the Great was the son of Darius Codomannus, the
    last Achæmenian monarch (Malcolm, vol. i. p. 70).

    - The tale that his mother was condemned to death, but spared by the chief vizier because she was with child, and that her offspring was brought up secretly by the minister, who after a time revealed the matter to Artaxerxes (Tabari, ii. pp. 75-79; Malcolm, i. 96, note; D'Herbelot, l.s.c.), deserves no credence. Its details are contradictory.
    ${ }^{5}$ Malcolm, vol. i. p. 97, note.
    - Tabari calls this king Sâtiroun, and places the siege of Hatra after the capture of Valerian (Chronique, ii. pp. 80-82). Sâtiroun is also given as the name of the Hatra monarch by Maçoudi (tom. ir. pp. 81-82).

[^48]:    - ib Autbric sure Mow (
    - MÁ iz..: pp iol: Mapudi
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[^49]:    ${ }^{1}$ The two Gordians, father and |dian, viii. 8). son, who were shortly afterwards put down by Capelianus (Gibbon, vol. i. pp. 213-218).
    ${ }^{2}$ Maximus and Balbinus (ibid. p. 219).
    ${ }^{2}$ M. Antonius Gordianus, a grandson of the elder and a nephew of the younger Gordian. He was only thirteen years of age when he
    ${ }^{\text {dian, viii. 8). }}$ See coins (Mionnet, Médailles, tom. v. pp. 625-628; and Supplément, tom. viii. pp. 415, 416).
    ${ }_{5}$ According to Persian authorities, the wall foll down in answer to the prayers of the besiegers (Malcolm, vol. i. p. 93. Cumpare Tabari, Chronique, ii. p. 79).

[^50]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hist. Aug. Gord. § 28.
    ${ }^{2}$ John of Antioch makes the Roman army penetrate to the 'mouths of the Tigris' (eis tà roì Tiүрŋтоя пто́неа, Fr. 147); but this is very improbable. An advance into Southern Mesopotamia is, however, distinctly implied in the position of Gordian's tomb, which was some way south of the Khabour (Amm. Marc. xxiii. 5).
    ${ }^{3}$ Hist. August. Gord. § 29.
    4 De Champagny represents the peace made as altogether favourable speaks of Armenia as having be ${ }^{-}$ come Roman in consequence. But this was certainly not so. Armenia did not cease to be Persian till the third year of Diocletian, A.D. 286 (Mos. Chor. ii. 79). Some ancient writers called the peace 'very disgraceful to Rome' (Zosim. iii. 32:
     conclusion seems to be just, viz. that 'Philip concluded a peace with the Persians, which was as honourable to the Romans as circumstances would allow' (Lectures on Anc. Hist., vol. iii. p. 284, E. T.).

[^51]:    ${ }^{1}$ Gibbon, Decline and Fall, vol. i. pp. 298-326; Niebuhr, Lectures on Aneient History, vol. iii. pp. 290-294, E. T.
    ${ }^{2}$ Amm. Marc. xxiii. 5. Some place this capture later, as Gibbon (vol. i. p. 328) and Clinton (F. R. vol. i. p. 288); but it seems to me that the capture of the city by a sudden surprise (as related by Ammianus) is to be distinguished from the capture of which the inhabitants had due notice (mentioned by the anonymous author of the

    Td $\mu$ erd $\Delta i w v a, ~ F r . ~ H i s t . ~ G r . ~ v o l . ~$ iv. p. 192), and that the former preceded the other. The fact that Ammianus refers the surprise to the reign of Gallienus is not conclusive against this view, since Gallienus was associated in the empire as early as A.D. 253.
    ${ }^{3}$ Zosim. i. 32-34. A coin of Valerian, assigned to this year, hes the legend ' VICT. PARTHICA' (Clinton, F. R. i. p. 282).
    4 See the letter of Valerian to the Senate, written from Meeopo-

[^52]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Miriades (Mariades) of Malala (xii. p. 295) can acarcely be a different person from the Cyriades of the Historia Augusta, Triginta Tyranni, § 2. Whether he was brought forward as a pretender bofore the death of Valerian or after is perhaps doubtful (De Champagny, Césars du $3 m e$ Sì̀cle, tom. ii. p.436). But on the whole Gibbon's nexwe of the evente has the greatest probability.
    ${ }^{2}$ The setting up of Miriades as emperor is thought to be represented on more than one of Sapor's bas-reliefs. A tablet on a large scale at Darabgerd (Flandin, pl. 33) seems to exhibit the Persiun
    king on horseback, with Valerian prostrate beneath his charger's feet, in the act of designating Miriades as monarch to the assembled Romans ; Sapor's guards stand behind him with their hands upon their sword-hilts, while in front of him the Roman soldiers accept their new ruler with acclamations. He himself raises his right arm as he takes an oath of fidelity to his suzerain.
    ${ }^{3}$ See the fragment of the anonymous continuator of Dio's Roman History, in the Fr. Hist. Gr. vol. iv. p. 192.

    - The simile is used by Niebuhr (Lectures, vol. iii. p. 294, E. T.).

[^53]:    ${ }^{1}$ Agathias, iv. p. 134, D ; Eutych. vol. i. p. 387. Mirkhond agrees (Histoire des Sassanides, p. 299), but notes that his authorities varied. Malcolm says that some of the native writers allow him only thirteen years (History of Persia, vol. i. p. 103, note). Tabari gives him no more than four! (Chronique, ii. p. 90).
    ${ }_{2}$ Tabari says (l.s.c.) that Varahran II. had no son, but was succeeded by his brother Narses. Narses himself says that he was the son of Sapor and grandson of Artaxerxes. It is thought that he may have omitted his immediate ancestors as persons of small account (Thomas in Num. Chron. for 1872, p. 113); but such omission is very unusual.
    ${ }^{3}$ Mirkhond, p. 300. A basrelief at Nakhsh-i-Rustam seems to represent him as receiving the crown from his mother. (Ker Porter, pl. 19.)

[^54]:    1 The relationship of Narses to his predecessor is exceedingly doubtful. He himself declares in an inscription that he was the son of Sapor and the grandson of Artaxerxes (see above, p. 114, note ${ }^{2}$ ) ; and his statement is confirmed by the Arabian writer, Abu Obeïdah (Maçoudi, tom. ii. p. 238), and by the Armenian historian, Sêpêos. (See the Journal Asintique for 1868, p. 149.) Tabari, however, makes him the son of Varahran I. (Chronique, tom. ii. p. 90.) So Maçoudi (tom. ii. p. 174). Agathias avoids the question of relationship. Mirkhond (p. 301) and the Persian writers generally
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[^56]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mos. Chor. ii. 79, ad fin.: ${ }^{2}$ Amm. Marc. xxiii. 5. Com'Etiam ultra Cteaiphontem incur- pare the treatise De Morte Persesiones fecit.'

[^57]:    
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[^58]:    ${ }^{1}$ Oros. l.s.c. : ' Per Illyricum et Mœsiam undique copias contraxit.'
    ${ }^{2}$ Jornandes, ${ }^{\text {De Gothorum rebus }}$ gestis, c. 21. ${ }^{3}$ Aurel. Victor, Cas. § 39: ' Per
    Armeniam in hostes contendit, quæø sola, seu facilior, vincendi via est.' ${ }^{4}$ Festus, § 25.
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[^60]:    ${ }^{1}$ Petrus Patricius. Although $\mid$ Niebuhr, Preface to the Bonn this author did not write till towards the close of the sixth century, he is generally allowed by historical critics to be among the best authorities even for the events of three centuries previously. (See Gibbon, Decline and Fall, ch. xiii. vol. ii. p. 84, note ${ }^{74}$; C. Müller, edition of the Excerpta de Legationibus.)
    ${ }^{2}$ I have been content to translate Patricius. Gibbon, by recasting the entire oration and changing the position of all its parts, produces a fine result; but I have not felt at liberty to work up the Fr. Hist. Gr. vol. iv. pp. 181-4; ancient materials after his fashion.

[^61]:    
    
    

[^62]:    ${ }^{1}$ Gibbon (l.s.c.) has incorrectly placed the embassy of Apharban after the meeting of Galerius with Diocletian at Nisibis, and has made both monarchs present at the interview. De Champagny has seen the true order of the events (Césars $d u 3^{m e}$ Siècle, tom. iii. pp. 304-5).
    i. p. 18, A.

    3 Pet. Patric. Fr. 14.
    ${ }^{4}$ Gibbon, ch. xiii. (vol.ii. p. 84).
    ${ }^{5}$ Aurel. Vict. l.s.c.: ' Ad $\because 0$ victor [Galerius erat], ut, ni Valerius, cujus nutu omnia gerebantur, incertum qua causa, abnuisset, Romani fasces in provinciam novam
    ${ }^{2}$ Eutrop. ix. 25 ; Julian, Orat. ferrentur.'
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[^64]:    ${ }^{1}$ Patricius, l.s.c.
    ${ }_{2}$ Ammianus Marcellinus, $\operatorname{xxv} .7$. Gibbon has strangely intermixed the statements of the two writers, ascribing the mention of Intilene
    to Ammianus, and that of Rehimene to Patricius (vol. ii. p. 87, note ${ }^{79}$ ), which is the reverse of the truth.
    ${ }^{3}$ Pet. Patric. Fr. 14.

[^65]:    i. 8; De REdific. iii. 2; Menand. Protect. Fr. 55, 57, and 60; Jopann. Epiphan. Fr. 1, § 3; Armen. Gengr. $\$ 08$.
    ${ }^{1}$ It is remarkable that the appellation has changed so little in the course of centuries. The As syrian monarchs call the country
    ${ }^{2}$ Amm. Marc. xx. 7.
    ${ }^{3}$ Layard, Nineveh and Babylon, p. 53.

    - Strab. xi. 12, § 4, xvi. 1, § 24 ;

    Plutarch, Lucull. 26 ; \&c.
    ${ }^{6}$ Xen. Anab. iv. 1, §§ 2-3; Strab. xvi. 1, § 8; Arrian, Exp. Alex. iii. 7 ; Plin. H. N. vi. 15 ; Ptol. $v$ 13.

[^66]:    ${ }^{1}$ The 'Sophene' of Patricius may anfely be ret axide, since it had long bren Roman. His 'Intilene' come would chanye into Ingilene, a district mentioned as 'lying beyond Mreopntamia' by Fpiphanius (De Marea. lx. vol. i. p. (f)5, ed Vales.). The - Rehiment ' of Ammianus is confirmed by Znsimus, who mentinns ' Re menians' among the tribes ceded
    by Jorian (iii. 31). The ' Moxoene' of Ammianus does not elsewhere occur. Is it the modern 'district of Mokus' (Layard, Nin. and Bab. p. 417, note)? Zosimus has in its place 'Zalene,' a name of which I can make nothing.

    2 'C.rduenm, uberis regionis et nostre.' (Amm. Marc. xxv. 7.) ${ }^{3}$ Ibid. Compare Zosim. iii. :11.

[^67]:    ${ }^{1}$ Amm. Marc. l.s.c.: 'Petebat further condition that') quinque rex obatinatius sua dudum a Maximiano erepta.'
    2 'Pace facta, Mesopotamia est restituta; et super ripan Tigridis limes est confirmatus, ut (' with the
    gentium trans Tigridem constitutarum ditionem assequeremur.' (Festus, § 14.)
    ${ }^{3}$ Decline and Fall, ch. xiii. (vol. ii. p. 87, note ${ }^{77}$ ).

[^68]:    ${ }^{1}$ Tacit. Ann. vi. 33: 'Iberi, $\mid$ ad init.; Layard, Nin. and Bab. locorum potentes, Caspia via Sarmatam in Armenios raptim effundunt.' Compare Dio Cass. lxix. 15.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ninereh, which was now once more a place of importance (see Tac. pp. 590-1), and which was nearer Nisibis than any other Persian town of consequence, lay at the distunce of nearly 120 miles. Arbeia was nearly 60 miles further Aın. xii. 13 ; Ammi. Marc. xviii. 7, off.

[^69]:    
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[^70]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lactant. De Morte Persec. § 9: - Concitatus domesticis exemplis avi sui Saporis, ad occupandum Orientem magnis copiis [Narses] inhiabat.'
    2 The abdication of Narses rests wholly upon the authority of the Oriental writers. (See Mirkhond, Histoive des Sassanides, p. 302;

    Malcolm, History of Persia, vol. i. p. 104.) It is accepted, however, as a fact by most moderns. (See Malcolm, l.s.c. ; Plate in Smith's Dict. of Biography, vol. iii. p. 717, \&c.)
    ${ }^{3}$ Mirkhond, l.s.c.
    4 He is said to have been surnamed Nakhdjirkan, or 'Hunter of

[^71]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Clinton, F. R. vol. ii. p. |exactly seven years and five months 260. Agathias declares that both Narses and Hormisdas reigned

[^72]:    ${ }^{1}$ D'Herbelot, l.s.c. $\quad{ }^{3}$ See above, p. 108.
    ${ }^{2}$ Mirkhond, p. 304; Wilson, *See Wilson, Ariana Antiqua, Ariana Antiqua, p. 385, note ${ }^{5}$. $\mid$ pp. 347-381.

[^73]:    ${ }^{1}$ Herod. i. 133. Compare ix. of Haman (Esther iii. 2, v. 9). 110.
    ${ }^{2}$ Compare Mordecai's treatment
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[^75]:    ${ }^{1}$ Abulpharagius, p. 90.
    ${ }^{2}$ Mirkhond makes Sapor begin to exercise some of the offices of government at eight years (p. 307), but admits that he did not undertake the direction of military expeditions till he was sixteen
    (ibid.). So Tabari (tom. ii. p. 93).
    ${ }^{3}$ Mirkhond, 1.s.c. ; Tabari, vol. ii. pp. 91-2 ; Malcolm, vol. i. p. 106.
    4 D'Herbelot, Bibliotheque Orientale, tom. v. p. 143 ; Gibbon, Decline and Fall, ch. x xiii. (vol. ii. p.
    367). These writers make Thair

[^76]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is Mirkhond's account. Other authorities say that he dislocated (Malcolm, vol. i. p. 107; Macoudi, vol. ii. p. 177) or broke (D'Herbelot, Bibl. Orient. tom. v. p. 141) the shoulders of his prisoners, to disqualify them for military service.
    ${ }^{2}$ Gibbon, following an apocry-
    phal tale related by D'Herbelot, but
    not adopted by him, gives tho name as Dhoulacnaf, and translates it 'Protector of the Nation' (vol. ii. p. 367). The best authorities are, however, all agreed that the real epithet was Dhoulactaf, not Dhoulacnaf. (See D'Herbelot, ls.c.; Mirkhond, p. 308 ; Tabari, tom. ii. p. 91 ; Malcolm, vol. i. p. 107, note ; Maçoudi, tom. ii. p. 175.)
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[^78]:    ${ }_{1}$ Libanius, Orat. iii. pp. 118, 120 ; Aurel. Vict. De Ceesaribus, $\$ 41$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Compare Liban. 1.s.c. with Fegtus (§ 26) and Euseb. Vit. Constant. iv. 8.
    ${ }^{3}$ Some writers make the hostilities commence in the lifetıme of Constantine. (See Eutrop. x. 8; Chronic. Pasch. p. 286, C.) But Ammianus, who is almost a contemporary, assigns the cutbreak to
    the reign of Constantius (xxv. 4).
    4 Sapor is said to have sent a friendly embasey to Constantine in A.D. 333 (Euseb. Vit. Comst. iv. 8 ; Liban. Or. iii. p. 118). In A.d. 337 he suddenly threatened war, and demanded the restoration of the five provinces ceded by Narses (Liban. Or. iii. p. 120). Having received a refusal, he sent another embassy, about Easter, to express his desire for peace (Euseb. iv. 57).
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[^81]:    ${ }^{1}$ Gibbon, Decline and Fall, ch. ${ }^{\text {B }}$ Chosroës II., who was placed | xviii. (vol. ii. pp. 98-100). |  |
    | :--- | :--- |
    | 2 | on the thrne by Rome in A.D. |
    | 316, and Tiranus, his son, who |  |

    ${ }^{3}$ Mos. Chor. Hist. Armen. ii. 77 ; Agathangelus, $\$ \$ 110-132$.

    - See Milman, Hist. of Christianity, vol. ii. p. 258, and the authorities there cited. 318, and Tiranus, his son, who succeeded Chusroës in A.d. 325.
    ${ }^{6}$ This distinctly appears from Faustus, iii. 20. The cession seems to have been made by Chosroës II. (Mos. Chor, iii. 8).
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[^85]:    ${ }^{1}$ Jerome savs: 'Sapor tribus mersihus obsedit Nisibin ;' but Theophanes gives the exact duration of the siege as seventy-eight days (p. 31, D).
    ${ }^{2}$ Liban. Orat. iii. p. 129, A, B.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ibid. p. 130, A.
    4 On the position of Sinjar and the character of the surrounding country, see Layard (Nin. and Bab. pp. 246-249).
    ${ }^{s}$ Liben. p. 129, D. This writer
    fear of meeting the enemy in the open that Constantius held back, but because he wanted to draw his adversary on and prevent him from recrossing the Tigris without fighting. Perhaps it is most probable that the passage of the river took Constantius by surprise, that he was too weak to prevent it, and was obliged to remain on the defensive until his troops could be concentrated.
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[^87]:    ${ }^{1}$ Liban. p. 132, B ; Julian, p. 44. est, nostrorum copiis ingenti strage The latter writer appears to ascribe confossis.' Compare Hieronym. the Roman disaster mainly to anno 2364; and Liban. Orat. iii. the troops exposing themselves as p. 132, C. Even Julian admits they drank at the Persian cisterns
     $\kappa a \lambda \lambda i n \tau \eta \nu \nu i \kappa \eta \nu$ diqi. $\theta$ eıpav).
    ${ }^{2}$ The Roman writers touch lightly the condition of the Roman troops when the Persians fell upon them. I follow probability when I describe them as 'sleepy or drunken.'
    ${ }^{3}$ See Amm. Marc. xviii. 5: ' Apud Singaram : . acerrime that the battle was commonly regarded as the greatest victory gained by the Persians during the war (Orat. i. p. 41).
    ${ }^{4}$ Liban. p. 133, D : 'Ratiōov [oi Mipoat] rìv rov̀ ßagıдias raiòa,
    
     каi muко̀̀ їбrepov кaraknтrópevol. Tillemont has seen that this treatment could not have been possible nocturna concertatione pugnatum | till the troops were half-maddened
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[^90]:    ${ }^{1}$ See above, p. 156. The weakness here spoken of did not extend to the ancient Persians, who were fairly successful in their sieges (Ancient Monarchies, vol. iv. p. 130).
    ${ }_{2}$ Ammianus tells us that, either now or at some other time in the
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[^92]:    former places it in the reign of Valens, A.D. 364-379 (Bibliotheqque, iv. 5), the latter in that of Valentinian I., A.d. 364-375 (Hist. Armen. iii. 21). But it is clear from Ammianus (xx. 11), whose authority exceeds that of all the Armenian historians united, that the alliance was made with Constantius. It could not have been earlier than A.D. 351 , since Constans did not die till A.D. $\mathbf{8 5 0}$; and it could not have been later than A.D. 359, since it is spoken of as existing in that year (Amm. Marc. xvii. 14).
    ${ }^{1}$ That is between A.d. 350 and 357.
    ${ }^{2}$ Faustus, iv. 15.
    ${ }^{3}$ Amm. Marc. xx. 11 ; Athanas. Ep. ad Solitar. p. 856; Nos. Chor. iii. 21.

    - Pharandzem was the daughter of a certain Antor, prince of Siunia, and was tirst married to Gnel or Knel, a nephew of Arsaces, whom he put to death. Her jealousy impelled her to contrive the murder of Olympias, who is said to have been killed by poison introduced into the sacred elements at the Eucharist. (See Faustus, 1.s.c.; Mos. Chor. iii. 23, 24.)
    ${ }^{5}$ Amm. Marc. xx. 11 : 'Audiebat sepius eum tentatum arege Persarum fallaciis, et minis, et dolis.' Compare Faustus, iv. 16, 20.
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[^94]:    ${ }^{1}$ Amm. Marc. xvii. 5.
    ${ }_{3}^{2}$ Ibid. xvi. 8.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ibid. : 'Tamsupor . . . refert ad
    regem, quod acerrimis bellis Constantius implicatus pacem postulat precativam.' Compare xrii. $\boldsymbol{\delta}$.

[^95]:    
    

[^96]:    ${ }^{1}$ Themistius, Orat. iv. in laudem Cimstantii, p. 57, B.
    ${ }_{3}^{2}$ Pet. Patric. l.s.c.
    somerwhat abbreviated the reply of
    ${ }^{3}$ Amm. Marc. 1.s.c. I have

[^97]:    1. Ipse quoque in multis ac necessariis operam suam fidenter promittens.' (Amm. Marc. xviii. 5, ad fin.)
    \% Ibid. xviii. 6.
    ${ }^{8}$ Ibid. Ammianus himself witnessed the passage of the river.
    ${ }^{4}$ Carrhæ alone is expressly mentioned.
    ${ }^{3}$ Amm. Marc. xviii. 7.
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[^99]:    ${ }^{1}$ Amm. Marc. xviii. 9, sub fin.
    2 'Parte indumenti tragulæ ictu discissa' (ib. xix. 1). I do not know why Gibbon speaks of the dart as 'glancing against the royal tiara' (Decline and Fall, vol. ii. p. 407).
    ${ }^{3}$ Amm. Marc. xix. 1.

    4 Ibid. xix. 2: 'Agitata summa consiliorum placuerat, busto urbis subverse\%expiare perempti juvenis manes.'
    ${ }^{3}$ Inhabitants of Seistan, probably of Scythic origin. (See above, p. 108.)
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[^101]:    sons, whom he took with him to $\mid{ }^{1}$ Tabari, vol. ii. p. 142 ; Mirthe Ephthalite war (Procop. B.P. khond, p. 351.
    i. 4; p. 11. A), and who perished $\quad{ }^{2}$ Tabari, vol. ii. p. 143.
    there (ibid. p. 12, C); but the ${ }^{3}$ Procop. Bell. Pers. i. 4, ad fin. existence of these persons is un- Compare Theophanes, Chrenograph. known to the native historians. $\quad$ p. 106, A; Cedrenus, p. 355, D.
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[^103]:    ${ }_{2}^{1}$ See Lazare Parbe, pp. 38-39.
    ${ }^{2}$ Patkanian (Journal Asiatique, 1866, p. 176).
    ${ }^{3}$ Lazare Parbe, p. 39.
    4 The revolt of Zareh, and his relationship to Perozes, rest wholly
    on the testimony of the Armenian writers, who, however, can hardly have been mistaken in the matter. (See Lazare Parbe, p. 42 ; and compare Patkanian, ut supra, p. 175.)

[^104]:    
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[^105]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lazare Parbe, p. 45.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid. p. 46.
    ${ }^{3}$ Arathias, iv. 27 ; p. 138, A; Eutych. ii. p. 127 ; Syncellus, p. 360, D; Tabari, vol. ii. p. 144 ; Mirkhond, p. 352 ; Maçoudí, vol. ii. p. 195; Lazare Parbe, p. 46; Patkanian, p. 176, \&c. The four years were probably not complete, Balas ascending the throne in A.D. 484, and dying before the termination of A.D. 487.

    4 There is not the same universal agreement here. Tabari (p. 14t),
    (l.s.c.), and Agathias (1.s.c.), speak of Balus as dying a natural death. Lazare Parbe makes him dethroned by his subjects as too peaceful ( $p$. 46). Procopius (B. P. i. 5 and 6) and others (Theophan. p. 106, A; Cedrenus, p. 356, C) confound Balas with Zamaspes, and say that ho was dethroned and blinded by Kobad.
    ${ }^{5}$ Mirkhond, p. 351 ; Tabari, ii. p. 144.
    ${ }^{6}$ Agathias, iv. 27 : ח $\mu$ q̆os rù̀s
    

[^106]:    
    moprefisal bi. thie chapter. ${ }^{\circ}$ A. Tabari (ii. p (t0) aod

    - Talmin, Lec. Mirthuod, p. Miskboad (lac.) rolato.

    2:

[^107]:    ${ }^{1}$ Longpérier, Médailles des Sassa- |Thomas, Num. Chron. 1873, pp. nides, p. 65, and pl. ix. fig. 5 ; ${ }^{228-9 .}$

[^108]:    

    - Tebani, l.e.c.

[^109]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sufrail is the form used by the ${ }^{2}$ Tabari, vol. ii. pp. 145-6; Persians, Sukhra that employed Mirkhond, p. 352.
    by the Arabs (Mirkhond, p. 353). ${ }^{3}$ Tabari, p. 147.

[^110]:    'Tolani.p. Itw. $\quad$ 'Theoph. Chron. p. S483, C:
    
     ti Martin: Dntee tir the firac Mhyanal Hicoory of Mantiand, vol.
     11:\%. Therphadea, CAromegraph. p. 1 Imime and Fiall, vol. v. p. $400^{\circ}$ : Ec.
    
    
     dimeland. p. 10. Ec.

[^111]:    ${ }_{2}^{1}$ Tabari, vol. ii. p. $148 . \quad 1$ Tabari, vol. ii. p. 148; Modjmel-
    
    ${ }^{3}$ So Mirkhond (p. 353), who is followed by Malcolm (Hist. of Per-al-Tevoriki, quoted by St. Martin in his notes to Le Beau, vol. vii. p. sia, vol. i. p. 132).

[^112]:    'Fir the traching of Masdak, me Inau. vol. vii. pp. 392-338).
    Taben, vol. 11. pp. Ita.11: Mir : ieo eapecially Mirkboed, p
    
    [ l.in. If. I'mwip Boll. I'rre. i. is: ${ }^{2}$ ('ompars the cam of Fudozua, Theophan. 1 hrimesymph. p. Ithi, A: the prodecrmant of Fipicurua, at mos
     Iming undern witere mbin bare $\{11$. t:rated of the outijert are liibbrn IJutame fioll
     Majerim i lliow of Itrom, vol. i. p. débaucbée of ila pripulace.'
    1.8:1, and tie Martan (Nores to la

[^113]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mirkhond, p. 354.

[^114]:     thered. p Bist
    
    
    
     roper:a, Halle, Inti: etated liahas in the office. (Lazaso

    - Sl Nartis, Kacheretion an l'arbo, $p$ to.)

[^115]:    ${ }_{2}$ Tabari, vol. ii. p. 149.
    ${ }^{2}$ Agathias (iv. 28 ; p. 138, C) calls him Zamasphes, and so Theophanes (Chronograph. p. 117, C; p. 119, B). But Syncellus has the more correct Zamaspes (p. 360, D). Zamasp is the form upon the coins (Mordtmann in the Zeitschrift, vol. viii. p. 78). Maçoudi (vol. ii. p. 195), Mirkhond (p. 355), and Tabari
    (vol. ii. p. 149), have Djamasp; Eutychius, corruptly, Ramasph(vol. ii. p. 176).
    ${ }^{3}$ So Agathias: $\pi \rho g$ cít $\eta$ róg re rai
     (l.s.c.). Tabari, however, notes that he did not administer justice satisfuctorily (p. 151).
    ${ }^{4}$ Procop. Bell. Pers. i. 5 ; p. 15, B; Agathias, l.s.c.

[^116]:    'Tamanp is anajgned tro rears a deed which a little while heoce oroly br Nacoudi (rol. ii. p. livi), nut iwentr thousand armed men by Irwiphua, who, however, calls will be alle to manage.' (BNll Itra.
     of the Armenian writere llakkanian underatoud, but the adrice implied in the Jowrad dactigue for liNus, wan not adopted. p 1:- . hus fine rearn by Agnthian, ip 1.an N1. Tbmphanes ip 11\%. (). Eyncrliue chace, and mome of the , Armetiane. The mine hare a Dister if the thiod rmanal yrar! il indraman in the tentadreff, vol. 14 1:

    - Toban. vol. ii. p. lín. I'ro a price selle us that when the fate of h ibal won berind debated, an officer named counanaciadee drow uut the ha:co wi:h wheth be wen arcuntoturd 1 . rus bie navio. and. ebowing 18 to : monmblat chifo. rexclaimed--) $-b$ ow otaill thie haife is:
    

    3 The story is told with rertain variations: Gut all the mercuanta aster in altributing the eweape of the king to the aceiotancer lent hinu br his wife. According to mome. sher changerd cluthes with bim, and tow hine place in the prisin I l'n-
     mennting to orthere, she carried bim aut of the primon concoaled in a bundir of bedeloctios and conerietio ( Mirthhord. p. ifuts: Tabari, rol. ii. p 1:11.

    - Er akure, p. 312. Othor inctascres will occur in the later

[^117]:    ${ }^{1}$ Procop. Bell. Pers. i. $6 ;$ p. 18, ${ }^{\text {Aciar. }}$
    D; Agathias, iv. 28; p. 138, D.
    ${ }_{2}$ Mirkhond, p. 356 ; Tabari, vol. ii. p. 151.
    ${ }_{3}$ Tabari, l.s.c.

    - Agathias, iv. 28; p. 139, A:
    
    

    Bell. Pers. i. 6 ; p. 19, B.
    ${ }^{6}$ Histoire des Sassanides, p. 357 : ' Kobad pardonna à son frère et diseipa toutes ses craintes en lui prodiguant les marques de sa tendresse' (De Sacy's translation).

[^118]:    - Soe Lampptrier, Moidailla dad wre perhaje martine than thove of
     if the Zetthef, vel. vifi. $\frac{\beta}{}$ Je: sii. p. 14.
    an Buins of Fruhed, dated in Min aleweth ywar, which bave thit der Tier (Sime Cliren, for Ia 73, p, 231),
    the clopene shis mane yent (s.3. 405). The devion was custibusd os mant of the latert coims, and wan adapted by the Arela

[^119]:    ${ }^{1}$ So Agathias, in direct terms ${ }^{\text {ber involres a second reign of }}$ (iv. 28). Eutychius (vol. ii. pp. 131, 176), Maçoudi (vol. ii. p. 195̄), Mirkhond (p. 358), and Tabari (vol. ii. p. 151) make his two reigns, together with that of Zamasp, cover forty-three years. This num-twenty-nine or thirty years, since the first reign of Kobad lasted eleven years, and that of Zamasp between two and three years.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Clinton, F. R. vol. i. pp. 716 and 752.

[^120]:     K.m. Ha eraphy, val. in $p$ insi.

    - Clunco, f: K. roli. p its ;
    'I Inrime and fich, rol. v. p 40.
    - Tabari, rol ii p 181.

[^121]:    ${ }^{1}$ The main authority for the statements in the text is Johannes Lydus (De Magistrat. iii. 51-53), an earlier and even more painstaking writer than Procopius. He lived from A.D. 491 to about A.D. 553, Procopius from about A.D. 500 to A.D. 560. He is confirmed in the matter by Priscus Panites, who
    ${ }^{2}$ So Gibbon, Decline and Fall, vol. v. p. 87. It is perhaps not quite clear whether the Derbend pass or that of Mozdok is intended by Lydus.
    ${ }_{3}{ }_{3}$ Juroipach is the form used by Priscus (Frs. 31 and 37); Biraparach that given by Lydus (iii. wrote about B.c. 470.

[^122]:    ${ }^{1}$ These grounds are stated by Procopius as determining the conduct of Anastasius.

[^123]:    Procep if i: i. : p 90, A: 'I'roop B. P. Lac.
    Tbapione (itroncgraph lar.

    - in ibe liundalumand atreapth :Supra. p. 1:8.
    of Tbendserpolas above, pp :Tberphan. p 124, D. 250.0.
    'I'rocop. Bofl Pivaili ; p 21, B.

[^124]:    ${ }^{1}$ Procop. B. P. p. 21, D. In ${ }^{2}$ According to Procopius, he later times the monks were accused of treacherously surrendering their trust (Theophan. Chronograph. p. 125, A ; Marcellin. Chronic. p. 48); but Procopius imputes to them no worse crime than remissness.
    ${ }^{2}$ According to Procopius, he with instant death every soldier who hesitated to mount the scaling ladders.
    ${ }^{3}$ Procop. p. 22, C.

[^125]:     A. i.g im Eatior riperoris, Ani- Celer, who arrired on the acene
     Annibi...en medir.
    
    aflerwando soloand a lange number lind $1:-111$

    1t d $p$ :2, IB. Therphanes ralle itie time 'themementho.' which 20 eprahing rubd! Mascellinue oprake if ibs ati io iokets in thr Af:b mancth, which 15 clearly incepreel
    

    - Aier abore. Pp. Anclio.
    'I'rimp. is. fi. i. $N$ : p. 23, C': Irparim. rucorio anery of riporiper oi $\cdot$ ieriper ity llipias fiupacers LIC-ninat.
    - Theophan. (hrumagroph.p 19\%,

[^126]:    ${ }^{1}$ The phrase used by Procopius that Arzanene is here intended.
    

[^127]:    rate transactions. (See the Bell. Pers. i. 9 ; p. 27.) But Theophanes distinctly regards the two matters as parts of a single arrangement (Chron. p. 127, B, C) ; and probability is on his side.
    ${ }^{1}$ Procopius gives 'Aspebedes' as the name of the ambassador. But Aspebedes is clearly the modern Espebad, a title of office, corresponding to the Armenian Sparapet (or Spalapet), 'conımander-inchief.' (See Patkanian in the Journal Asiatique for 1866, p. 114.) The ambassador's sister was married
    to Kobad, and was the mother of Chosroës. (Procop. B. P. i. 11 ; p. 30, A.)
    ${ }^{2}$ Procop. B. P. i. 9; p. 25, C.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ibid. p. 27, D.
    ${ }^{4}$ See the expression of Procopius
     $\mu \eta \kappa \nu \nu o \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu o v$, and compare p. 29, B, D, whence it appears that Kobad complained of the conduct of the Romans as soon as his war with the Huns was ended, and that almost immediately afterwards Anastasius died.
    ${ }^{5}$ See above, pp. 287 and 303.

[^128]:    1 I'menp. H. I'. i. 10: p. ST, C'. and Thendoai.opolia irireryomara
     iri $p$ il. ('. Jobann. I.idua, lo prorer inco. (B.I.i. 10, edfa.) Mevadraf an. ti, ad fn. : I brophan. Bie above, p. 5 U2.

    - I'rocip. B. P. p. \&' B.
    'J,thaon. Malal. L.e.c. ; Firaçiue,
    II F: $11 .: \%$.
    lbid. $p$ ind.
    - libbura, Ination and Fiall, rol.
    - I'rucopine well myo of Imaras r. p. SU.

[^129]:    ${ }^{1}$ Zilgibis is the form used by $\mid$ to the Romans (p. 144, B). The J. Malalas (Chronogr. xvii. p. 48, C, D); Ziligdes that found in Theophanes (Chron. p. 143, A).
    ${ }^{2}$ So the contemporary, J. Malalas (xvii. p. 47, C, D). Theophanes makes Tzath receive his crown from Kobad and then desert
    to the Romans (p. 144, B). The
    Paschal Chronicle follows J. Malalas (vol. i. p. 332, A).
    ${ }^{3}$ The figure of Justin was embroidered upon Tzath's robes. His diadem was of Roman fashion. (See J. Malal. p. 47, D, E.)

[^130]:    - Thmphan p. 143, A.
    - Juotin was axty-ripht at bie or.menon |A.D. BINi, and would cramequetily bo menety-two in Ab. it: If Kiobed we eighiy.
     Jeha of Nalala declare 1 eriii. $p$ :11. 11, be woukd be cereatythre in A.D. 8:ty. I emopoct that bo woo mally colder, cinco bo is
    called an old man in a.D. 802 by J. I.rdue (IN Magidral. iii. 8S).
    - iso the Iliusana writers (I'rucop. If. I. i. 11 : p. SO) A ; compared with Theophat. (Mrom. p. 1es, C). Tabari pirce bim am eoon (Chro nogue. rol. ii. p. 1/Ni.
    - I'rocup 1. 1': i. 11 ; pe 50-3s; Theophane (Xrom. A 145, E, D.
    - Ibe grousd of the solail is

[^131]:    said to have been, that, as Justin chees;' but there can be little had no natural son, a son by adoption might have claimed to be his heir, and therefore to inherit from him the Roman Empire!
    ${ }^{1}$ See above, p. 352.
    ${ }_{2}$ The only ancient writer who gives this history at length, Theophanes, calls the sectaries 'Mani-
    doubt that the Mazdakites are intended. (See Dr. Plate's article on the Sassanide in Smith's Dict. of Gk. and Rom. Biography, vol. iii. p. 719.)
    ${ }^{3}$ Procop. B. P. i. 11 ; p. 30, A.
    4 As a Mazdakite (Theophan. Chron. p. 145, C).

[^132]:    'Jibn of Nalala placee the in A.D. 8SM. (Sve hio Chromegro-
    
    

[^133]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Herod. i. 140 ; Strab. xv. ${ }^{\text {i. } 12 ; ~ p . ~ 33, ~ D ; ~ J o h . ~ M a l a l . ~ x v i i i . ~}$ 3, § 20 ; Agathias, ii. p. 60 . Com- p. 56, A), who however use the pare Vendidâd, Farg. v. to Farg. viii. ${ }_{2}$ These people are called 'Huns' term too vaguely for us to be sure that real Huns are intended. by the Byzantines (Procop. B. P. $\left.\right|^{3}$ Procop. B. P. p. 34, C.

[^134]:    : Pmop R I. p s. D.
    Circon. Imandel, rol. I. p 225,
    $\because$ Clinum. F R. vol. i. p. i\&ß. and Theopphacea, p. 140. A. The

    - No Joh. Malal. (idrumagroph stial. P. WI, IS
    lloman moernlo quarrollod amorg
    - Tr the lacic mar of thie themenirm, and abally the llomeo
    ponid mem to beloog the notice country
    is Johear Malal xviii. p. 185, C' ;

[^135]:    ${ }^{1}$ See above, p. 360.
    ${ }^{2}$ Joh. Malal. xviii. p. 54, B.
    ${ }^{3}$ Procop. B. P. i. 13; p. 35, B. For the position of Murtyropolis, see ibid. i. 21 : p. 62, C.

    - John of Malala supplies here many facts not noted by Procopius, but quite consistent with his narrative (Chronograph. xviii. p. 60, B, C).
    ${ }^{5}$ Johann. Malal. xviii. p. 60, C ; Procop. B. P. i. 13 ; p. 35, C, D.
    ${ }^{6}$ Procop. B. P. p. 35, D.
    ${ }^{7}$ Ibid.
    ${ }^{8}$ Ibid. p. 37, A.
    - The name Perozes is given by Procopius only (B. P. p. 36, C). The title Mihran is given, as if a proper name, by John of Malala (Chronograph. xviii. p. 60, C).

[^136]:    ' Iromp. B. P. p. 11, II, 1: II. ' Ibid. p Ay, A.

[^137]:    ${ }^{1}$ 'Ikavòv aúroīs кatetuiveto rìv
    
    
     $B$. P. i. 14, sub fin.)
    ${ }^{2}$ See above, p. 367.
    3 The Persians are estimated at 30.000 , the Romans at less than half that number (Procop. i. 15; p. 43, D).
    from Theodosiopolis, and a district called Pharangium, which lay between Persarmenia and Tzania, and had gold mines in it, are the gains mentioned (ibid. p. 44, C ; p. 45, D).
    ${ }^{5}$ Ibid. pp. 46-7. Kobad required that either Daras should be evacuated and destroyed, or that the trouble and expense of defending the pass of Derbend should be shared between the two nations.

[^138]:    
    
    
    

    - Ibrepbacen mayo 'C'bakenlua' il, ll

[^139]:    ${ }^{1}$ Procop. B. P. i. 18, ad init.
    ${ }^{2}$ So Procopius (l.s.c.). John of Malala calls him Exarath (xviii. p. 69, B).

    3 John of Malala speaks of the Persian army as passing sua rovi K七甲к $\quad$ oinv, which in classical Greek would mean 'through Circesium;' but his language is so impure that we may understand him to mean 'passing by it,' on the other side of the Euphrates. So the Latin translator renders the passage ' Circesium pratergressus.'
    ${ }^{4}$ Procop. B. P. p. 52, C; Johann. Mal. l.s.c. It is curious that Procopius speaks of the country in-
    was properly the small tract at the extreme N.E. of Syria, having Samosata for its capital, and not extending further south than lat. $37^{\circ}$. The tract invaded by Azarethes was evidently Chalybonitis, all the towns that are mentioned (Hierapolis, Batnæ, Barbalissus, Gabbula, \&c.) lying in that region. The line of the Persian march is given best by J. Mulalas, who names successively Circesium, Callinicus, and Gabbula, and places Roman troops in Hierapolis and Barbalissus.
    ${ }^{5}$ See the Author's Ancient Monarchies, vol. ii. p. 466, 2nd edition.

[^140]:     $\therefore$ in: the repmita nary furm wan balimus, thirty miles acad of liabwa. a0 it peeml rallmicue and bula.
    
    

    - Prop 1 Pris, 18
    - Ibid. Compare Jo, Malal. x xiii.
    - Ib, $p$ Pi. $i^{\circ}$.
    $\boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{r}$
     Enonf Eive, When the Chriotiane -ub nity wa sub a frint muet be of the axth crotury feoted till perternd to that of J. Walalee. aler nightall (l'roomp p 63, B).

[^141]:    1 Irapp if. Is. 'J.. Malal. sviii. p ish, C.

    - I'rinep ip is, IS, Nirkbood, p itisl. Jo. Nalal Lac.

[^142]:    ${ }^{1}$ Procop. i. 11; p. 30, A; Mir- $\mid$ which Zamasp was king, as well as khond, p. 352.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Mordtmann in the Zeitschrift, vol. viii. pp. 78-83; vol. xii. pp. 13-19; and Thomas in the Numismatic Chronicle for 1873, pp. 230-232. Both authorities agree as to the meaning of afzui or afzu. (See Zeitschr. viii. p. 79; Num. Chron. p. 231, note ${ }^{21!}$.)
    ${ }^{3}$ Kobad, it is evident, counted to his reign the two years during
    those during which be actually reigned. His two reigns $(11+30)$ comprised really but forty-one years. Forty-three, however, is the number usually assigned to him. (See Tabari, vol. ii. p. 151; Mirkhond, p. 358 ; Jo. Malal. xviii. p. 73, D ; Eutych. vol. ii. p. 176.)

    4 Mordtmann in the Zeitschrift, vol. viii. pp. 78-8.3; Thomas in Num. Chron. for 1873, p. 232.

[^143]:    
    

[^144]:    ${ }^{1}$ Zames (see p. 364). It is un- ${ }^{3}$ Ibid. p. 30, A. certain what had become of Phthasuarsas.
    ${ }^{2}$ Procop. B. P. i. 23; p. 66, B.
    
     атотоя ípaorig.
    
    
     iii. 73.
    ${ }^{5}$ Procop. i. 23 ; p. 66, C.

[^145]:    - Iremip si ins. It.
    ${ }^{3}$ Nirthond. pp. W2-3; Tabari,
    - llad. pr de.n.

    Ful 11. p 10

[^146]:    ${ }^{1}$ Zames (see p. 304). It is uncertain what had become of l'htha-
    op. B. P. i. 23 ; p. 60, B.
    
    
    ${ }^{3}$ Ibid. p. 30, A.
    ${ }^{4}$ Ibid. Ettpúc: ${ }^{\prime \prime}$
    
     iii. 73.
    ; Procop. i. 23; :

[^147]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mebodes was 'commanded to repair to the iron tripod which stood before the gate of the palace, where it was death to relieve or approach the victim, and languished there several days before his sentence was pronounced by the son of Kobad.' (See Gibbon, Decline and
    ${ }^{2}$ Procnp. p. 68, B.
    ${ }^{2}$ J. Malal. xviii. p. 213, ad init.
     ${ }^{\nu} \boldsymbol{\eta}^{2}$. (Procop. B. P. i. 22; p. 65, D. Compare ii. 3 ; p. 94, B, D; B. Goth. iv. 14 ; p. 607, B.)

[^148]:    ' Fip the terme of the peare. ! 'Marcellin. Chrom. p. W.
    
    
    

[^149]:    ${ }^{1}$ Gibbon, Decline and Fall, vol. v. mask of facetiousness; but it can pp. 132-154.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Procop. B. P. i. 26, ad init.: ii. 1, 2, \&c.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ibid. i. 26 ; p. 79, C, D. Chosroës clouked his insolence under a
    scarcely have been the less offensive on that account.

    4 Ibid. ii. 2 ; pp. 89-90; ii. 3; pp. 03-4.

[^150]:    1 The alluoico bere woon certain at the inctigation of Cbowoica, had iransertismo between Juatinian and commeaced bnotilition aprimes aeso Alamundarwe, the abeikh of the of the Roman remab-kinge, abves
    

    C C

[^151]:    ${ }^{1}$ He had been killed by the desert, to the west of the Eurebels in Armenia. (Procop. B. P. phrates; the other towns menii. 3 ; p. 92, C.)

    2 See above, p. 374.
    ${ }^{2}$ Zenobia was in the Arabian
    tioned were on the opposite, or Roman, side.

[^152]:    
    but laura was in the Tigria Numia apirare en alliman town on the Puphrato. not nolr in I'mapp B. P. II \%, but alat so i. In, p. Es, B, and

    - I'rooop. B. F. ii. 8; pp 88-9.
     - ivamor (ibid. p $89, \mathrm{C}$ ).
    - laid ii. $6 ; p$ 109, $L$

[^153]:    ${ }^{1}$ Procop. B. P. ii. 6; p. 102, C. ${ }^{3}$ Ibid. ii. 7; p. 102, D.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid. p. 103, D.

    - See above, p. 80.

[^154]:    ' J. Malal. x rii. p. 149: Procop. The defect wie oberred by fanA. I'. ii. 14: P. 122, C: Theophean, manue on his arriral, and plane chrimegraph. p. 18i, C': Firagrion, wore propond by him for remedy-
     Pil.

    1'j l.rdus, In Mcyierrar. iii. $84 . \mid$ pruderat to call attoation to the Thie fraiure bee pos been commocoly doee.
    s."isul. 1 hinphan. $p$ 1:1, I). Juatia
    hat ais, outmorribed largoly in the
    

    - J I.rdun. lec.
    

[^155]:    iv 'Iepanö̀ze 'Puرaiuv oüre ò rüv (Procop. B. P. ii. 6 ; p. 101, A.)
    

[^156]:    

    - Tbr cathodral wie apared ac atandiap eo forming the revidence
     it might bo cureidesed ite reecon (ii. $10:$ p. 111, B).
    

[^157]:    ${ }^{1}$ Procop. B. P. ii. 11 ; p. 113, A.
    So, fourteen centuries earlier, the great Asshur-izir-pal, on first reaching the Mediterranean, 'erected altars and offered sacrifices to the gods of Assyria.' (Ancient Monarchies, vol. ii. p. 89, 2nd ed.)
    ${ }^{2}$ Procop. B. P. ii. 11; p. 114, A, B. Gibbon gives the impression that the sacred relic itself was adorned with gold and gems ( $D e-$ cline and Fall, vol. v. p. 180) ; but

    Procopius distinctly states that the adornment was confined to the case ( $\theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta \nu$ ) containing it.
    ${ }^{3}$ This is probably the meaning of Procopius (B. P. ii. 11 ; p. 115, A), since he makes Chosroës propose the terms to the bishop; but otherwise he might be understood as speaking of all the valuables within the town.
    ${ }^{-}$Ibid. p. 115, C.

[^158]:    ${ }^{1}$ Procop. B. P. ii. 13 ; p. 121, D.

[^159]:    ' Ir mop. B. P. ii. 18; p. 121, D.; adding that the mase given to it
    : II.r- the (rriental areoante whe Rumia (Rome), and thet it are in ontim arrurd with the wen as exact copy of the low
    
     tse creotructure of thio sem Antio A, B.
    och ta tho viciatty of Al Modala, I

[^160]:    ${ }_{2}^{1}$ See above, p. 362.
    ${ }^{2}$ Procop. B. P. ii. 15 ; p. 123, D.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ibid. p. 124, B.

    - The Laxi imported salt, corn, and other necessaries from abroad
    (ib. p. 123, D); the Roman governor under Justinian, John Tzibus, required that thesecommodities should be purchased from none but himself (ib. p. 124, C).

[^161]:    'Irmeop. B. P. ii. 18 : pp. 124-a ! Strab. Geagraph. xi. 2, $\$ 17$ : Ps-

    - The Arpenautic myen implice; trocL Fr. 7; and Plin. H. N. vi. the rearly importasce of Colchia, 17.) elther in a grold-producing, or promity merrly an a gold-xportide aruntry. The story of the Firyptian coling artiled there by Specutria' (llarial it 113 .5) in one on which It would be uncritical to place, to hare aripisaled with Choseve much minare. Hut thers is matio, Inortioe and Fielt, rol. v. p. 500). twitry eridroce of the tredian That the Homese took the mase impritasce of Cinlchie fimen the view of the importasce of Ladea flurth to the firse cealury me. in co Chomoes apperes from Agathion the lates clemol wriver (800 (Hich iii 18; P. 60, A).

[^162]:    ${ }^{1}$ Procop. B. P. ii. 15, ad fin.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid. ii. 17 ; pp. 128-9.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ibid. ii. 16; p. 126, D.
    ${ }^{4}$ Ibid. ii. 18, nd init.

[^163]:    

[^164]:    ${ }^{1}$ Procop. B. P. ii. 19, ad fin.
    
    
     20, ad init.) And a little later:
     Xo九póns áфirero. Commagene was
    now the name given to Upper Syria generally. (See note on p. 374.)
    ${ }^{3}$ Theophan. Chronograph. p.186,
    A; Cedrenus, Hist. Compend. p. 372, B.
    ${ }^{4}$ Procop. B. P. ii. 21, ad init.

[^165]:    ${ }^{1}$ Procop. B. P. ii. 24, ad init.
    ${ }^{2}$ Mid. ii. 21, ad fin.
    of Dubis '(Decline and Fall, vol. v. p. 193); but Anglon was 120 stades
    ${ }^{3}$ Ibid. ii. 24; p. 148, C. (fourteen miles) from Dubis (Procop. ii. 25 ; p. 149, D).

    - Gibbon epeaks of 'the camp ${ }^{\circ}$ Procop. p. 151, C.

[^166]:    

[^167]:    

[^168]:     $1 \cdot 1$ if loil $\because$
     !....: : : : it an amliaceachiof l.,
    
    
    
    
    
    
    laneadiof at the sated of lharan with
    Bhomene euspreting the drajpm, ios fuachl i.: imeritanion than iwenty of the sinl into the town. It is eidfent that berw the thaic of foet is the arrisal of e fremias arm-- Emin of uninual aize. The rmit

[^169]:    ${ }^{1}$ Procop. B. P. ii. 29; p. 161, B. Salt, wine, and corn are especially mentioned among the commodities required. Yet at present Mingrelia, though wretchedly cultivated, produces maize, millet, and barley in abundance (Haxthausen, Transcaucasia, p. 19); the trees are everywhere festooned with vines,
    which grow naturally (ib. p. 18) and ' yield a very tolerable wine' (p. 31); while salt is one of the main products of the neighbouring Georgia (ib. p. 81).
    ${ }^{2}$ Procop. I.s.c.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ibid. p. 160, C, and p. 161, C.
    ${ }^{4}$ Ibid. ii. 29; p. 163, ©, D.

[^170]:    ${ }^{1}$ Procop. B. P. ii. $17 ;$ p. 128, C. $\mid$ victualling of Petra with its re-
    ${ }^{2}$ Procop. B. Goth. iv. 12 ; p. 509, B. Among the most remarkable of these was a conduit, with three channels placed one under the other, which continued to supply the town with water after the upper and middle courses had been obstructed.
    ${ }^{3}$ Procop. B. P. ii. 29 ; p. 184, A. Gibbon (Decline and Fall, vol. v. p. 201) confuses the original
    victualling (see below, p. 410).
    The great supplies found when the
    Romans took the place (Procop.
    B. G. p. 599, A) must be ascribed
    to the revictualling.
    4 Procop. B. P. p. 165, D.
    ${ }^{5}$ Ibid. 1i. 29 ; p. 166, B.

    - Ibid. ii. 30 ; p. 166, D.

    7 Ibid. p. 168, A.
    ${ }^{8}$ Ibid. p. 169, B.

[^171]:    
    1:י. 8. llind iv.e.

[^172]:    ${ }^{1}$ Procop. B. G. iv. 9, ad init.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid. iv. $11 ;$ p. 593, B.
    ${ }^{4}$ Ibid. iv. 11 ; p. 592, C.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ibid. iv. 12; p. 500, A.
    ${ }^{5}$ The chief difference in the construction seems to have been, that,

[^173]:    ${ }^{1}$ Procop. B. G. iv. 12 ; pp. 597-8.
    ${ }^{2}$ Clinton, F. R. vol. i. p. 792.
    ${ }^{3}$ See above, p. 408.
    4 Procop. B. G. iv. 13; p. 601,
    A. The writer justly admires the Persian skill and industry in making the wild and mountainous

    Lazica practicable, not only for cavalry, but for the ponderous elephant.
     is eidaøoc «ルөєìєข. (Ibid. p. 599, D.) - Ibid. p. 602, D. Compare iv. 10 ; p. 611, C.

[^174]:    ${ }^{1}$ Compare Procop. l.s.c. with Agath. ii. 18. The latter writer
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    ${ }^{2}$ Procop. B. G. iv. 15; pp. 608-9.
    ${ }^{3}$ Agathias, ii. 19 ; p. 56, D.
    I Ibid. ii. 20 ; p. 58, B.
    ${ }^{5}$ Ibid. ii. 21 ; p. 59, A.
    ${ }^{6}$ Ibid. ii. 22; p. 60, A.

[^175]:    ${ }^{1}$ A th. iii. 4; p. 76, B.

    - iii. 9-11.
    iii. $8 ; \mathrm{p} .80, \mathrm{D}$.
    - Ibid. iii. 6 ; p. 78, B.
    ${ }^{5}$ Ibid. iii. 14; p. 89, C.
    - Ibid. iii. 15; pp. 90-1.

[^176]:    ${ }^{1}$ Agath. iii. 23, ad init.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid. iii. 24.
    ${ }^{2}$ Agathias makes Justin lead these troops out of the city of his own accord, and without any military purpose; but it seems almost certain that what he ascribes to accident was the result of design.

    Agath. iii. 25-27.
    Two thousand near Archæopolis (supra, p. 417), ten thousand in the battle before Phasis (Agrath. iii. 27, ad fin.), and two thousand more on the day following (ib. iii. 28).
    ${ }^{\circ}$ Ibid. iii. 28, ad fin.

[^177]:    ${ }^{1}$ According to Menander (Fr. | peror Maurice, who reigned from 11, pp. 209-210), the ambassador of Chosroës spoke of him in the negotiations of A.D. 562 as having already reduced to subjection ten nations, and crushed the power of the Ephthalites. These wars could scarcely have been carried on simultaneously with the war with Rome.
    ${ }^{2}$ Menander wrote under the Em-
    peror Maurice, who
    A.D. 582 to A.D. 602 .
    ${ }^{3}$ See Menand. Prot. Fr. 11 ; pp. 208 and 212-3.

    - There was a further provision that, at the end of the seren years, a second payment in advance should be made, but only for three years. Afterwards the payments were to be annual (ibid. p. 209).

[^178]:    1.il. :: mal. ' The amallimen :nis.

    If $1:$. . An mivalul the diocre.e of
    
    
     frieu: mi.: : wan perifly damputand haved (p. ivsis.

[^179]:    ${ }^{1}$ See above, pp. 397-8 and 407.
    ${ }^{2}$ See p. 383.
    3 That the Ephthalite war preceded A.D. 562 appears from Me nand. Prot. Fr. 11 ; p. 210. It is not likely to have been begun
    while the war with Rome continued.
    ${ }^{4}$ Tabari, Chronique, vol. ii. p. 162.
    ${ }^{5}$ Ibid. p. 161.

[^180]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     i $\therefore$.

[^181]:    ${ }^{1}$ Tabari, vol. ii. p. $188 . \quad \mid{ }^{3}$ Procop. B. P. i. 19, 20 ; Jo.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid. p. 202. Yaksoum was $\begin{gathered}\text { Malal. Chronograph. xviii. pp. 57, }\end{gathered}$ succeeded by his younger brother, Masrouq.

[^182]:    ${ }^{1}$ St. Martin, Notes to Le Bas, vol. x. p. 78; Tabari, Chronique, vol. ii. p. 215.
    ${ }_{2}$ Tabari, vol. ii. p. 218.
    ${ }^{2}$ Tabari (l.s.c.) makes Wahraz succeed Saif, and gives him 'a son called Merzeban.' No one can fail to recognise in this pretended name the favourite Persian title.
    ${ }^{4}$ Tabari, p. 221 ; Mirkhond, p. 372.
    ${ }^{5}$ Serendib (Ceylon) is said to have been the residence of the monarch. The provinces ceded are declared to have been those which were previously ceded to Bahramgur! (Tabari, vol. ii. p. 221.)
    ${ }^{-}$On the Indian embassy, see Mirkhond, p. 375 ; Maçoudi, vol. ii. p. 202; Gibbon, Decline and Fall, vol. v. p. 206.

[^183]:    I In the division of his empire 880 cerritind in l'brestea, the mox eanters of hie privicore apprear to hale tron hbirmen, tiriotan, and hiru:an Mifibend, p. Wh). (iibb.th a.dic th them $\cdot$ (iablal and Zabinetats (lac.), but without mucit raeno.

    - Mesand Protact. Fr. 18; f
    'Ibid. p. 225: o Eároetper $:$
     atror devalor reporgairop Braien milis ri rrease moondees id imíqulon ro.s ri.iocar. Compare Pr. 10.
    - Ibid. Fr. 18 ; Theophan. Cliromopraph a 207, D ; Clialoa, F. \&

[^184]:    1 "Evetv rocyapovิv $\dot{\eta}$ סva inivia seem to point to the modern Ak
     nand. Prot. l.s.c.)
    ${ }_{2}$ So Clinton understands the words of Menander (Fr. 20 : $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ óp $\rho \iota$
    
     certainly the explanation of the name points in this direction. Otherwise the name itself might

[^185]:    
    
    
    
    

[^186]:    ${ }^{1}$ The weight of the various the son of Vart．（See above， causes of war is differently esti－ mated by different writers．Menan－ der considers the invitation of the Turks to have been the chief cause （Fr．32）．Theophylact puts in the foreground the Arabian expedition and the injuries of the Abyssinians or Homerites（iii．9）．So Theo－ phanes（Chronograph．p．206，D）， Evagrius，Johannes Biclar．，and others give the preference to the state of affairs in Armenia．（See Evagr．Hist．Eccl．v．7．）
    ${ }^{2}$ St．Martin，Mémoires sur l＇Ar－ menie，vol．ii．p． 331 ；Menand． Protect．Fr． 35 a ；Evagr．H．E． v．7．The leader of the insurrec－ tion was Vartan，the Mamigonian，
    p．336．）
    ${ }^{3}$ Eighty years old，according to Gibbon（Decline and Fall，vol．v． p．365）；but I do not know his authority．Menander Protector uses the inexact phrase，tis $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \chi^{\prime \prime}$ тov
     been on the throne above forty years．
    ${ }^{4}$ The Arabian expedition to Saif；the Turkish war to his eldest son，Hormisdas．（See above，pp． 425，429．）
    ${ }^{5}$ Menaud．Protect．Fr． 36 ：${ }^{\text {E }}$ ¢ $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta}$
    
     ìá⿱丷天。．

[^187]:    ' Firaqt. H. F. .T. R: Theo e The aigo wae commenced by phylact. Simncate iii. 10; Joh. piphan. 5 i: Theriphan. Hre. $\$ 4$. Ther other Theophasen (ctimen graph. $P$ ? 3 AK A 1 and Zomame ivil. ii. p. il, (') wruagly call him Martinua

    - Jn Fipiph. \$4; Theophylact. Sim. III. 10.
    - Ther linmane werp deliphead With any ph-am of aecrex, and the bepile if Sarrasbon io colebrased to the whole chorue of livaastive wriepe. The Ifimace claimed to hair killad 1.:(x) of the ceany, Whir ibris own low wee eevel (Tbeophea. IJIL \& 4.)
    - The siego was commepced by rrem, be wee abortly superseded br Acaciue (Jo. Epiph. If; Theophylact sim iii. 11).
    ${ }^{5}$ Evagr. H. E. ©. Q. A portion of the Rroman army meme to have thrown iteolf into Mardia (Manios or Mapoc). (8oo Jo. Epiph. $\mathbf{S B}_{\mathbf{5} \text {; }}$ Theophylect iii. 11.)
    - So. Epiph. $\{4$; Evant. H. R จ. 9,10 ; Theophylect Lac.
    ' Eivarr. H. E. v. 10: rip rrow, ant rear lo. Mina. Theophylect mese - ix moelho ' (lec.). - Thoophen. Byz is 4.

[^188]:    ${ }^{1}$ Theophylact. Sim. iii. 11 . Com- |the embassy of Zacharias preceded pare Evagr. H. E. v. 10, and Jo. Epiph. §5, where, however, the text is mutilated. Theophanes of Byzantium (1.s.c.) ascribes the loss of Daras to the Romans being at variance among themselves.
    ${ }_{2}$ Evagr. H. E. v. 11; Theophylact, l.s.c.; \&c.
    ${ }^{3}$ By sending an embassy immediately upon the capture of Daras (Menand. Protect. Fr. 37). or followed the nomination of Tiberius as Cæsar. If Clinton is right in saying that the nomination was not made until the December of A.D. 574 (F. R. vol. i. p. 834), there must have been an interval during which the Empress Sophia had the sole direction of affairs. Tiberius, however, was her counsellor (Menand. Prot. Fr. 37, sub Daras (Menand. Protect. Fr. 37).
    4 It is not quite clear whether

[^189]:    ${ }^{2}$ Evagr. H. E. v. 12 ; Theophylact. Sim. l.s.c.
    , Again we are indebted to Menander for this confession (Fr. 40). The other Byzantine writers carefully conceal the fact that Rome had on each occraion to pay for peace. Gibbon omits to notice it.
    ${ }^{3}$ Menand. Prot. Fr. 41 ; Evagr. H. E. v. 14.

    4 The account of Evagrius (l.s.c.) is moderate and probable. Theo-
    phylact (iii. 14) and Theophanes (p. 212, B, C) have greatly exaggerated the importance of the victory. All three writers absurdly state that, in consequence of his danger on this occasion, Chosroès issued an edict that no Persian king should henceforth go out to battle !
    ${ }^{5}$ Theophylact, iii. 15: Theophan. p. 212, C. Evagrius does not indulge in this flourish.

[^190]:    
    

    - That C'bowico carrind on thin :Manod. Prok. Prom 47 and 80. mirgris person io deciscily declared by Meraeder (It. 41).
    - lbad. Fr. 80 . Compers Theo ; phylect uil 15 ; F. $03,1$.

[^191]:    ${ }^{1}$ Twelve thousand of the twenty ${ }^{4}$ Our knowledge of this camwere native Persians; the rest consisted of Saracens and Iberians. (Menand. Prot. l.s.c.)
    ${ }^{2}$ Theophylact, 1.s.c.
    ${ }^{3}$ Menand. Prot. Fr. 52 ; Theophylact, l.s.c. paign is derived almost wholly from Theophylact (iii. 15, 16), whose account seems worthy of acceptance. Some confirmation is furnished by Menander (Fr. 55; p. 257) and Agathias (iv. 29).

[^192]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ Anathine, ir. 20. It is curion P M4, I) L . In March (Climion, that by acree of the later writers io F. R. rul. i. p 842 ).
    thio oistement repented.

    - Thanppileact, iii. If
    - Io Agrathie (l.ae ), Mirkhoed (p. 3087 h aod Tabari (rol ui. pera).
    - Medand. Prut tis. Lei, ad inic.
    - Ibid. Fr. os.
    - That ouch a payment bed troa criniemplated by buth partice appreas lrom fis. if (a 285 ). The arace duration of hin rafre we sorig-ewren geare and ix cocatho (Eiviych. ral ii pp 179, 188), trom Sepl A.e. 8S1 to March 4e 679.

[^193]:    ${ }^{1}$ See especially Tabari, vol. ii. $\mid$ Bibliotheca, tom. iii. pp. 404-410. pp. 160, 222-232 ; Mirkhond, pp. 362-4; Maçoudi, Prairies d'Or, tom. ii. pp. 204-5 ; and Asseman,
    ${ }^{2}$ Mirkhond makes him express his intentions in his very first speech to his nobles (p. 302).

[^194]:    ' Supra, p. sel. ${ }^{2}$ Mirkbood, p. sou.

[^195]:    ${ }^{1}$ Gibbon, Decline and Fall, vol. v. p. 184.
    ${ }_{2}$ Mirkhond (p. 381) mentions this among his principles of government. It was an old practice of Persian monarchs. (See Ancient
    ${ }^{3}$ See Mirkhond, pp. 381-2.
    ${ }^{4}$ Supra, pp. 381-2.
    ${ }^{5}$ Menand. Prot. Fr. 46; Mirkhond, pp. 363, 379; Tabari, p. 226; \&c.

    - Mirkhond, p. 382. Monarchies, vol. iii. p. 213.)

[^196]:    ${ }^{1}$ On lands where the cultivator ment: 'Four palms of Fars, 1 was the owner, half the produce
    might be paid, as it was by the was the owner, half the produce
    might be paid, as it was by the helot to his Spartan master. (See the Author's Herodotus, vol. iii. p. 279.) But where the cultivator had also to pay a rent. such a tax would have been cruelly oppressive. Perhaps Tabari is right in making the highest rate paid to the state one-fifth. (See above, p. 441, note ${ }^{1}$.)
    ${ }^{2}$ Tabari, ii. p. 228.
    3 Ibid. p. 223. Maçoudi gives dirhem; six common palms, the same; six olives, the same; each vine, 8 dirhems.' (Prairies d'Or, ii. p. 204.)

    Tabari, l.s.c.
    ${ }^{5}$ Mirkhond, Histoire des Sassanides, p. 372 ; Tabari, l.s.c.

    - This appears not to have been the case under the former system; for the cultivator whose wrongs called forth the compassion of Kobad was a woman (Tabari, ii. p. 153). the following as the rate of pay-

[^197]:    

[^198]:    ${ }_{3}^{1}$ Tabari, ii. p. 227.
    2 Charging the treasury with the payment of a larger number of troops than actually maintained is one of the commonest modes of $\mid$

    3 Tabari, ii. p. 229.

[^199]:    ' Iabari, ii. pp. 220-250; Mirthoed, p 372

[^200]:    ${ }^{1}$ See the Author's Ancient Monarchies, vol. ii. pp. 337-8.
    ${ }^{2}$ Tabari, ii. p. 160.
    ${ }^{8}$ Ibid.

    - Mirkhond, p. 363 ; Tabari, L.s.c.
    ${ }^{5}$ Mirkhond, p. 364.
    - Gibbon, Decline and Fall, vol. v. p. 184.
    ${ }^{7}$ Tabari, ii. p. 160.

[^201]:    ${ }^{1}$ Agathias, ii. 30. The names ${ }^{1}$ Agath. ii. 30, 31. of the seven were Damascius of Syria, Simplicius of Cilicia, Eulamius of Phrygia, Priscianus of Lydia, Hermeias and Diogenes of Phœenicia, and Isidorus of Gaza.
    ${ }^{2}$ See the Essay of Sir H. Rawlinson 'On the Religion of the Babylonians and Assyrians,' contained in the Author's Herodotus, vol. i. p. 484, \&c.
    ${ }^{3}$ Mathiæ, Manual of Gk. and Roman Literature, p. 201, E. T.
    ${ }^{5}$ Ibid. ii. 38. The translations made by the Arabian conquerors of Spain are parallel, and lend a certain support to the statements of Agathias. Still it may be doubted whether the Persian translation extended to all the works of both philosophers. Plato's Timæus, Phædo, Gorgias, and Parmenides are, however, expressly mentioned among the treatises read by Chooroës in a Persian dress.

[^202]:    
    

    - Itronp ite bell Gich iv. 10 : 1.. 's irpr entierare pobever pi
    
     pri, priter st th a rraference beitropa the Mast acil I'ramus. but wo n.ar fasiy renclude that aimilas sicisisus i.e t.a.k place befween the Mapd asid the seves soges. p. Pañ. Il.

    Ametman, BiM Or. rol. is. Pp. 742-:.

    - Tabari, ia. p 100.
    - To cirbbra illueline ad Fely. val. V. p lasi, mote ${ }^{\infty}$ ). (Nhere ouppre that the uripiaal - Buak of hinge" wac moponed by order of Yosdogird IIL. (Soe Alkiacori:

[^203]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mirkhond, pp. 307-8.
    2 Ibid. p. 368.
    ${ }^{3}$ So Mirkhond, l.s.c. Procopius (Bell. Goth. iv. 10) says that Chosroës exiled Nushizad (whom he calls Anutozad) to a place called Belapaton in Vazaine (Ahwaz or Khuzistan).

    4 Such is Mirkhond's account.

[^204]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mr. Thomas declines the task $\mid$ main Millet quoted by M. Longof interpreting (Num. Chron. for perier in the Annales de l'Institut 1873, p. 234). Archeologique for 1843, vol. xv. p.
    ${ }_{2}$ See the account of Dom Ger- 100.

[^205]:    ${ }^{1}$ The only defeat celebrated by check as slight, and as compensated the Byzantine authors is that near Melitêné in A.D. 575. (See above, p. 434 .)
    ${ }_{2}$ Evagrius, who is the writer for soon afterwards by a victory (Hist. Eccl. v. 14).
    ${ }^{3}$ Supra, p. 420.

    - Iníra, pp. 462-6.

[^206]:    

    - Nathencid. $\beta$.

[^207]:    : Mirkhend, p. 388.
    ${ }^{2}$ Trabari, ii. p. 248.
    3 Ibid. p. 247.
    4 Malcolm, History of Persia,
    vol. i. p. 151; Gibbon, Decline and Fall, vol. v. p. 367. Neither in Tabari, Mirkhond, nor Maçoudi is
    there any mention of Abu-zurdmihir in connection with Hormisdas.
    ${ }^{-}$See Tabari, ii. pp. 273-4; Mir-
    khond, p. 388; Maçoudi, ii. p. 211; Theophylact. Simocatt. iii. 16; D'Herbelot, Bibl. Or. vol. iii. p. 222 ; \&c.

[^208]:    1 Menand. Proiact Fr. 85.

    - Thbonphylerl Simocall iii. 17. ifropero jofo do uiotien
    - Ihid: ded ras ipaceo io hafoof

[^209]:    ${ }^{1}$ Theophylact. Simocatt. iii. 17, ${ }^{4}$ Evagr. II. E. v. 20; Theoad fin. This is probably the victory of Maurice over Adarman whereof Evagrius speaks somewhat vaguely in his Hist. Eccles. v. 20.
    ${ }^{2}$ See the prolix account given by Menander Protector, Fr. 60.
    3 Theophylact. Simocatt. iii. 18, phylact. Simocatt. 1.s.c.
    ${ }_{5}$ Gibbon, Decline and Fall, vol. จ. p. 345.

    - Theophyl. Sim. i. 9 : Tòv
    
     pare Theophan. Chronograph. p. ad init. ; Menander Prot. Fr. 60, ad fin.
    
    ${ }^{7}$ Theophyl. Sim. i. 12, ad init.

[^210]:    ${ }^{1}$ Theophan. Chronograph. p. 216,
    A; Theophylact. Sim. ii. 3.
    ${ }^{2}$ Theophylact. Sim. ii. 5.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ibid. c. 7.
    ${ }^{4}$ Ibid. c. $9,8 u b$ fin.
     סearáEaç, r.r.). (Theophyl. Sim. ii. 10, ad init.)

[^211]:    1:. ito. - im. u. 1"

    - Ibid r. 3
    - Ibrd. in. is.

[^212]:    ${ }^{1}$ Theophylact. Simocatt. iii. 5. Martyropolis. Compare Evagr. H. E. vi. 14.
    2 Theophan. p. 221, A; Theophylact. Sim. iii. 6.

    Theophylact. Sim. l.s.c. Me bodes had been previously killed in the battle with Philippicus, near
    
    
     ขovar. (Theophylact. Sim. l.s.c.)
    ${ }^{5}$ Evagr. H. E. vi. 15 Theophylact. Sim. iv. 2, ad init.

[^213]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Romans seem certainly to have made no great effort at this period; and the Khazar attack is doubtful. Neither the Armenians nor the Byzantines notice it.
    Gibbnn exaggerates the peril still more by imagining a correspondence between the Turkish and Roman courts, and an intention on the part of the two armies to effect a junction (Decline and Fall, vol. v. pp. 368-370). Neither the Oriental nor the Byzantine writers know of any such concert or correspondence.
    ${ }^{2}$ Varahran is the form upon the coins (Mordtmann in the Zeitschrift, viii. pp. 110-1), Bahram that used by the Orientals, both Persians and Arabs. Theophylact has Ba-

[^214]:     p. :xin. Ime if cod and kom. cmutting all merice of Ibahraco's.
    

    - I!., ; bilare an ain $n$ : Tbeoo
     Tr mexe of ereate iery imparibebla
    
    
    - Iatari, a. p. apil Murlbued,

[^215]:    ${ }^{1}$ Theophylact mentions the deprivation and the female garments (iii. 8). Tabari (l.s.c.) and Mirkhond (l.e.c.) testify to the distaff. Gibbon from his own imagination adds a spinning-wheel (Decline and Fall. vol. v. p. 370).
    ${ }_{2}$ Theophylact (l.s.c.); Theophan. Chronograph. p. 222, A.
    ${ }^{3}$ Theophylact. Sim. ini. 8, oub fin.

    - So the Orientals (Tabari, ii. pp. 260-7; Mirkhond, p. 395).

[^216]:    ' The tabe that Behrumen in order otber haod, thore aro coine of u) arm jraloupy beiweon llormiede Bahram, ineosed in hio own namo, and hic ent ('hoonnes, incued coine which may woll be thow that bo orth is imago and aprarrixion of | put into circulation before bo bethe lattor, that Horminden in con--yurnere suoperted Cbraroma, and that tu racape death the roung priner had tis boenko himeilf to hanioliminue, boing toid waly by the "rimental writera, and uscupported ty ant kouwn facta, crambly docirros oup arroplance. There aro $n$. cunde of C'bu merue II. ualike the mor. .e peraratiop any apprarase of baving baen maod under obcame king. (See Thomer in Nio came king. (Sect Thoomet in Nir PP: 3 :4L-240.)

    - Mirkhoed makee both the brothore coffer impriconmeat ip. 308). No Mepoed (ii P. 916) and Tabari (ii $\mathcal{A}$ Se0) Theo. Phylare (iv. S) and Theophasen (p 2 'rs, (1) mpresear Bindowe en the oaly colions.
    $\therefore \div$ Tragphyince 8in. iv. 8-6;
    

[^217]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dean Milman well observes, in to Bindoës and Bostam by the the notes appended to Smith's Gibbon (vol. v. p. 371), that the orations in Theophylact 'read rather like those of a Grecian sophist than of an Eastern assembly.'
    ${ }_{2}$ The assassination is ascribed
    tn Bindoës and Bostam by the khond, p. 396; Maçoudi, ii. p. 219), to Chosroës JI. by the Byzantine writers (Theophylact. Sim. iv. 7 Theophan. p. 223, C).

[^218]:    ' Sve Theophylect 8im iii 16: Ase abova, pe 464.
    Fivagt M. F. vi. 1s: Theoplene.
     " $p$ :...s. Mirfbood, $p$. Sion Mo. ruadi. Me pe wll.

    - Mirkbuas, iec.
    - Suprar pia
    - That io 10 my, 'Illormieden, iscrives (be hin)," "Hormieden, (may bo bo) groeles.

[^219]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^220]:    ${ }^{1}$ Tahari, ii. p. 276.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid. p. 268 ; Maçoudi, ii. p. 214.
    ${ }_{3}$ Mirkhond, p. 396 ; Tabari, ii. p. 279. The beating to death with
    clubs seems to be a clumsy invention of the Byzantine writers (Theophylact. Sim. iv. 7; Theophan. p. 223, C).

    - Theophylact. Sim. L.s.c.

[^221]:    
    
    
    
    
    

[^222]:    rising with the sun and furnishing to the night her eyes (the stars?), of illustrious ancestry, a king averse to war, beneficent, hirer of the genii, and custodian of the Persian kingdom' (Theophylact. Sim. iv. racter of this exordium seems to indicate that the letter is genuine.
    ${ }^{1}$ Theophylact. Sim. iv. 9.
    ${ }^{2}$ Compare Tabari, ii. p. 276, 8). The thoroughly Oriental cha-

[^223]:    : Taban (pp :3bl:-i) sivee the the llomane asp mantioged by deiale Thappbilertiir. 19 , epposis Tharphylact ilep.l. the Arabo by
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^224]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{He}$ is said to have passed Aboreo and Anotho (Theophylact, p. 103, D). The latter is evidently Anatho or Anat. Is the former Perisabor?
    ${ }_{2}$ To reach Circesium, he must have recrossed the Euphrates. This, however, is inot mentioned.
    ${ }^{3}$ Tabari, ii. p. 280. Compare Mirkhond (p. 396) and Theophylact (iv. 12, sub init.).
    4 Mirkhond, p. 397 ; Tabari, ii. p. 281.
    ${ }^{5}$ Theophylact. Sim. iv. 10; Theophan. l.s.c.

    - The Orientals carry Chosroës to Edessa (Maçoudi, ii. p. 219) or Antioch (Tabari, ii. p. 289), and then to Constantinople (Mirkhond, p. 398; Tabari, ii. p. 291). But the Greeke, who must know best, declare that he proceeded no further than Hierupolis (Theophylact. Sim. iv. 12 and 14; Evagr. H. E. vi. 10; Theophan. p. 224, A).

[^225]:    
    
    
    
     L.u- - ir 1.: - Virithend (p. :Bmi and Mo-
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^226]:    ${ }^{1}$ Theophylact. Sim. iv. 14.
    ${ }^{2}$ Tabari, ii. p. 291. Maçoudi makes the number 100,000 (ii. p. 220). Nirkhond mentions both reports without deciding between them (p. 399). The Byzantines give no estimate of the number.
    ${ }^{3}$ Maçoudi, l.s.c.

    - On reachirg Hierapolis, Cbooroës was at once raked to order the surrender of Martyropolis. He pretended to do so, but secretly gave directions that it should be defended to the last extremity (Theo-
    phylact. Sim. iv. 12, 13).
    ${ }^{5}$ Ibid. iv. 13 ; p. 110, B. It has been thought by some that Nisibis also was ceded (Smith in Notes to Gibbon, vol. v. p. 395). But the authority of the Armenian writers is scarcely sufficient to establish such a fact against the silence of the Byzantines, who would scarcely hare failed to notice so important a gain.
    - Theophylact. Sim. iv. 12 ; Maçoudi, ii. p. 219.

[^227]:     philere. Simir. If 118, A.
    
    ai: $p$ 31:. lackaman in the 0 thid.
    

[^228]:    1 The date of Zadesprates' death $\mid$ had been joined by 20,000 Persians is fixed to February A.D. 591 by the letter of Chosroès preserved in Evagrius, which mentions that the head of Zadesprates was brought in on the 9 th of that month (Evagr. H. E. vi. 21).
    ${ }^{2}$ Bindö̈s had fled to Azerbijan from Ctesiphon, having been set free by the conspirators whose attempt failed (supra, p. 483, note ${ }^{1}$ ). He from the capital (Tabari, ii. p. 285; compare Theophylact. Sim. iv. 15, ad init.). Bostam was sent into Azerbijian by Chosroës. (Ibid. iv. 12, ad fin.)
    ${ }^{3}$ Theophylact. Sim. v. 9; p. 131,
    C; Patkanian, l.b.c.
    4 Theophylact. iv. 15.
    ${ }^{5}$ Ibid. v. 3.
    ${ }^{6}$ Ibid. v. 4.

[^229]:    
     Tbripheoro calb the place Alos- p. 803, 20d edition. aodrime ( (\% Magmap. P 8:4, B).

[^230]:    ${ }^{1}$ Theophylact. Sim. v. 9.
    ${ }^{2}$ According to Theophylact (l.s.c.) the forces of Chosroës amounted to 60,000 , those of Bahram to 40,000 . The number on the side of Chosroës is less than we should have expected; but probably strong Roman garrisons had

[^231]:    -. ab: irf fing ing the Armpaiana Thenphane
    
    
    
    

[^232]:    ${ }_{2}$ Theophylact. Sim. v. 9, ad fin. Turks (ibid. and compare Maçoudi,
    ${ }^{2}$ According to Tabari (ii. 252) ii. p. 213). Rei was the place Bahram was born at Rei, of a noble family. He was Marzpan of Rei when chosen general against the whence he issued his coins (Tabari, ii. p. 268), and whence he marched against Chosroës.

[^233]:    ${ }^{1}$ Theophan. p. 224, C. '0 Napñ̄s
    
    
    
     Compare'Theophylact. Sim. p. 133, B.
    ${ }^{2}$ Theophylact. l.s.c.; Theophan. p. 224, D.
    ${ }_{3}$ Tabari, ii. p. 296.

[^234]:    
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     1 intin. Which. arcording th the it by 'ibe otirt-lite, and compars 11:rnicio. Wm the artual epither D'llostrioh Bick Ct. sal iil. p. 4t, .i: liste monarch, is and to mone (ad roc. Uiocmis.)

[^235]:    1 Various explanations are given |which they date from his father's of this title. Mirkhond (p. 401) explains it as either 'powerful king.' or else ' victorious.' Gibbon says ' the epithet of Parviz alludes to the charms' of Chosroes (Decline and Fall, vol. v. p. 376).
    ${ }^{2}$ See Clinwn, F. k. vol. ii. pp. 153 and 109 . Writers who regard death (September, A.d. 590), give him commonly thirty-eight years. (See Mirkhond, p. 407; Tabari, vol. ii. p. 304 ; Entychius, Annales, vol. ii. p. 252 ; Clinton, F. R. vol. ii. p. 261 ; \&c.) The exact time was thirty-seven jears and five months.

[^236]:    ${ }^{2}$ Theophylact. Sim. v. 11, ad fin. and v. 13, ad init.; Theophan. Chronograph. p. 225. The number
    of the guards, according to Theo-
    phanes, was one thousand.
    ${ }_{2}$ Theophylact. Sim. v. 15, sub
    init. init.

[^237]:    
    
    
    
    
    

[^238]:    ${ }^{1}$ Nilman in Smith's Gibbon, agrees, but enters into fewer details vol. v. p. 374, note ${ }^{2}$.
    

[^239]:    versels. When I was at Beramæ, I beaought thee, $O$ saint, that thou wouldest come to my aid, and cause Sira to conceive in her womb.'
    
     (Theophylact. Sim. v. 14 ; p. 137, C.)
    ${ }_{2}$ See Tabari, vol. ii. p. 330.
    ${ }^{3}$ Patkanian in Journ. Asiatique for 1866, p. 194.

[^240]:    1 Iberpbylect Nime r. 18. I IWid vui. I, ad mid.

[^241]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Gibbon, Decline and Fall, vol. v. pp. 383-5.
    ${ }^{2}$ The body of the dead Maurice was cast into the sea by order of Phocas. (Theophylact. Sim. viii. 12, ad init.) His head wns cut off, and exposed in a public place in Constantinople.
    ${ }_{3}$ Five sons of Maurice were murdered before his eyes. One was a mere infant. (Theophylact. Sim. viii. 11; Theophan. Clıronograph. p. 243, $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$. )
    *Theophylact. Sim. viii. 13, ad
    init.
    ${ }^{5}$ Ibid. viii. 9.
    ${ }^{6}$ Ibid. viii. 13.
    7 Theophan. p. 244, C. The Orientals seem to have been persuaded that Theodosius actually escaped, and took refuge with Chooroès. (See Patkanian in the Journal Asiatique for 1866, p. 197; Tabari, Chronique, vol. ii. p. 306.) Mirkhond, however, is aware that Theodosius was killed with his father (Histoire des Sassanides, p. 401).
    ${ }^{8}$ Theophylact. Sim. viii. $1 \bar{\delta}$.

[^242]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^243]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bar-hebræus, vol. iii. p. 412.
    ${ }^{2}$ Patkanian, p. 211.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ibid. p. 198.

    - Bar-hebræus, l.s.c.
    ${ }^{3}$ Patkanian, p. 198.
    ${ }^{6}$ Chronograph. p. 248, B.

[^244]:    ${ }^{7}$ See below, p. 505.
    8 Theophan. Chronograph. p. 250,
    
     ' $A \approx \dot{a} \mu \varepsilon ı a \nu, \kappa_{r} \tau . \lambda$.

[^245]:    'riblinn. Inardine and Fill, rol. II: Itar-bebreun, Lac.
    
    
    
     Ilatianias, p. $11 \mathrm{w}_{1}$.
    
    

[^246]:    ${ }^{1}$ Patkanian, from Armenian monks, and nuns' (l.s.c.); Eutysources (Jowrnal Asiatique for 1886, p. 200).
    ${ }_{2}$ Eutych. Annales, vol. ii. pp. 212 and 219. Compare the Paschal Chronicle, vol. i. p. 385, B.
    ${ }^{3}$ Theophanes says 90,000 (p. 252, A); but this is improbable. Patkanian's Armenian authorities give the number as 17,000 (Journ. As. 1866, 1.s.c.). The Paschal Chronicle says 'many thousands of clergy,
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[^248]:    which ther carried to Chosroës is preserved in this last-named compilation. It is written in the name of the Greek people.
    ${ }^{1}$ Nicephorus, p. 9, A.
    2 Ibid.
    ${ }^{3}$ So the Armenians (Patkanian, p. 201). Theophanes says that Chosroës' answer was: 'I will never grant you peace till you deny the Crucified One, whom you, call God, and worship the sun' (p. 252, D).
    ${ }^{4}$ Theophan. p. 252, C.
    ${ }^{5}$ On the width of the canal of Constantinople, see the Author's Herodotus, vol. iii. p. 65, 2nd edition.
    ${ }^{6}$ Theophan. p. 253, C ; Barhebræus, L.s.c.
    ${ }^{7}$ Bar-hebræus, l.s.c.
    ${ }^{8}$ The conquests of Chosroës commenced in a.d. 605 (supra, p. 501 ). Ancyra and Rhodes seem to have been taken in A.D. 620 .
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[^250]:    ${ }_{2}^{1}$ Nicephorus, p. 10.
    ${ }^{2}$ Gibbon, Dechine and Fall, vol. v. p. 396.
    ${ }^{3}$ Nicephorus, p. 9, B.
    4 Ibid. The treasure-ships were caught in a tempest. Some sank; others were cast upon the Syrian shore, and the spoil, being conveyed to Chosroës, formed the treasure called Badaveerd, or 'windfall,' which was among the glories
    of his palace (Tabari, vol. ii. p.
    ${ }^{5}$ The importance of this barrier was evidenced not only on this occasion, when for ten years the heights of Chalcedon were occupied by a Persian host, but even more remarkably in later times, when for centuries it proved an impediment which the Turks could not overleap.

[^251]:    
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[^252]:    
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    - Ibid.

[^253]:    1 'Ev raúrats raị̧ סurхшрlatg róтоу
    
    
     phan. p. 258, B.) It is evident that Chosroës did not fly straight to Dastagherd, but kept to the mountain country, continually shifting
    his quarters.
    ${ }_{2}$ 'I'heophan. l.s.c. ; Yatkanian, p. 205.
    ${ }^{3}$ Nicephorus, De Rebus post Mauricium, p. 12, A.

    4 Theophan. p. 20̄8, C.

    - Ibid.

[^254]:    is written Buana by Ptolemy, and Mukus in the month of August Iban by Cedrenus; the title of Salban being, thus, literally the city of Van.'
    ${ }^{1}$ Theophan. p. 261, A.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid. p. 261, B.
    3 Mr. Layard found snow on the mountain-range between Van and
    (Nineveh and Babylon, p. 418).

    - This is the conjecture of Sir
    H. Rawlinson (Journal of Geograph. Society, vol. x. p. 91).
    ${ }^{5}$ Theophan. p. 261, D.
    ${ }^{6}$ See above, p. 502.

[^255]:    tude he contends alone, and how, like an anvil, he cares not for the blows showered upon him?'
    ${ }^{1}$ Theophan. p. 263, A.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid. p. 203, B.

[^256]:    ${ }^{1}$ Nicephorus, De Rebus post Mauricium, p. 12, A. Compare Theophan. p. 264, B.
    ${ }_{2}$ This fact, and those which follow, are derived from the Armenian writers. (See Patkanian's digest of Armenian history in the Journal Asiatique for 1866, p. 206.)
    ${ }^{3}$ Theophan. p. 203, D.
    ${ }^{4}$ Georgius Pisid. Bell. Avar. 1. 197: Theophan, p. 263, C. According to the Puschal Chronicle. the vanguard of the invaders numbered 30,000 men. (p. 392, B). The entire force is reckoned by George the Pisidian at 80,000 (Bell. Avar. 1. 219).

[^257]:    ${ }^{1}$ Some of the Oriental authorities (Elmacin, Hist. Saracen. pp. 13-16; Mirkhond, Histoire des Sasstuides, p. 402) place him in Mesopotamia at this period; but it reems very improbable that, in that case, he would have made his attack from Lazica in the autumn.
    ${ }_{3}^{3}$ Theophan. p. 264, D.
    3 Ibid. p. 264, B.
    ${ }^{4}$ Ibid. p. 265, A.
     upஸ̄̀ris кai ràs oviextis intojpouàs

[^258]:    ${ }^{1}$ Theophan. p. 286, A.
    ${ }^{2}$ This appears from the subsequent occupation of Nineveh by Heraclius. ${ }^{3}$ Theophan. p. 266, A, B. Gibbon makes Heraclius kill Rhazates himself (Decline and Fall, vol. v. p. 409); but I do not 80 understand Theophanes. Nicephorus cer-
    tainly assigns him a share of the honour (De Rebus post Mawricium, p. 13, D); but even he gives the main credit to a guardsman.
    ${ }^{4}$ Theophan. p. 266, B.
    ${ }^{5}$ Ibid. p. 266, C.
    ${ }^{6}$ Ibid. p. 267, A.
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[^260]:    ${ }^{1}$ That the 'River Arba' of Theophanes represents the Nahrwan canal is sufficiently clear: 1 , from the letter of Heraclius in the Paschal Chronicle, where the form
     2, from Maçuudi (vol. ii. p. 227), where the invading army is said to have penetrated to Nahrevân.
    ${ }^{2}$ Theophan. p. 270, A.
    ${ }^{3}$ Among the treasures of the palace are enumerated aloes, raw silk ( $\mu$ írak..), pepper, muslins, sugar, ginger, silk dresses, carpets, embroidered coverlets, and bullion. - Most of these things were burnt
    as being too heavy to carry off. In the paradise attached to the palace were found lions and tigere, kept for the purpose of being hunted, ostriches, gazelles, wild asses, peacocks, and pheasants. Heraclius kept the Feast of the Epiphany in the palace, and then cumpletely destroyed it (Theophan. p. 268, C).
    ${ }^{4}$ Theophan, p. 270, B.
    ${ }^{5}$ Sir H. Rawlinion says:-'In the year of the Emperor's visit the winter seems to have set in remarkably late' (Journal of Geograph. Society, vol. x. p. 99).

    - See above, pp. 217 et seqq.
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[^262]:    ${ }^{1}$ Theophan. p. 270, A.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid. p. 269, C, D.
    ${ }^{3}$ Nirkhond, p. 407 ; Tabari, vol. ii. p. 328 .
    4. He is said to have put many of the imprisoned officers to death (Tabari, l.s.c.), to have imprisoned his sons and forbidden them to marry (ibid.), to have mutilated Merdanshah, governor of Zabulistan (ibid. p. 331), \&c. Compare also Maçoudi, vol. ii. pp. 225-6.
    ${ }^{5}$ Theophan. p. 270, C.

    - Gibbun speaks of Siroës as - glorying in the rank and merit of his mother, Sira. (Shirin); but
    obtains no support from the Oriental writers. Tabari makes Siroës the son of Maria, daughter of the Emperor Maurice (vol. ii. p. 332), whom he distinguishes from Shinn (pp. 304, 328, \&c.). Mirkhond says that Siroës, after the death of his father, fell in love with Shirin, and reems certainly not to regard her as his mother (p. 406).
    ${ }^{7}$ This is the form of the name found in the letter of Heraclius (Chrom. Pasch. p. 398, D). Theophanes changes it into Gundabunas (p. 270, C, D).
    ${ }^{8}$ Theophan. p. 271, B.
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[^264]:    ${ }^{1}$ Theophanes, p. ${ }^{268}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C} . \mid$ hangings upon the walls, and furCompare above, p. 524.

    2 The most remarkable feature of the palace at Canzaca was a domed building, the ceiling of which was ornamented with representations of the sun, moon, and stars, while below was an image of the monarch, seated, and attended by messengers bearing wands of office. A machinery was attached, by which rain and thunder could be imitated (Cedrenus, p. 412 ; Tzetzes, Chiliad. iii. 66).

    3 The treasures found by the Romans in the palace of Dratagherd have been already enumerated (supra, p. 524, nnte ${ }^{3}$ ). The Orientals say that the palace was supported on forty thousand columns of silver, adorned by thirty thousand rich ther ornamented by a thousand glubes suspended from the roof (D'Herbelot. Bibl. Orientale, tom. iii. p. 480). Among other treasures possessed by Parviz, Tabari notices a throne of gold, called Takdis, supported on feet which were rubies, a napkin which would not burn, and a crown enriched with a thousand pearls, each as big as an egg (Chrourique, vol. ii. pp. 304-5).
    4. According to Trbari, Chosroës II. maintained for the use of his court 1,000 elephants, 12,000 white camels, 50,000 horses, mules, and asses, of which 8,000 were kept for his own ridins, and 12,000 female domestics, of whom $n$ considerable number were slaves (ibid. p. 305). Maçoudi (vol. ii. p. 230-2)

